## Supplementary Materials for "Machine Learning Application to Two-Dimensional Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya Ferromagnets"

Vinit Kumar Singh<sup>1,\*</sup> and Jung Hoon Han<sup>2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur 732102, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Korea (Dated: January 12, 2019)

We detail the results of error analyses for various machine-learning predictions mentioned in the main text.

| XYZ-type                  | $\Delta \chi$ | $\Delta m$ | $\Delta B$ | $\Delta T$ |
|---------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| $H_{ m HDMZ}$             | 5.82          | 3.79       | 4.91       | 5.32       |
| $H_{ m HDMZ} + H_1$       | 5.83          | 3.85       | 5.49       | 5.62       |
| $H_{ m HDMZ} + H_2$       | 6.01          | 3.77       | 7.22       | 6.75       |
| $H_{\text{HDMZ}}$ $(b=2)$ | 7.05          | 4.15       | 10.1       | 4.66       |
| $H_{\rm HDMZ} \ (b=3)$    | 6.46          | 3.69       | 11.7       | 4.93       |
| $H_{\rm HDMZ}$ $(b=4)$    | 6.61          | 4.07       | 12.2       | 5.89       |
| XY-type                   | $\Delta \chi$ | $\Delta m$ | $\Delta B$ | $\Delta T$ |
| $H_{ m HDMZ}$             | 7.15          | 5.4        | 7.28       | 5.23       |
| $H_{ m HDMZ} + H_1$       | 7.52          | 6.2        | 8.5        | 5.42       |
| $H_{ m HDMZ} + H_2$       | 8.25          | 7.76       | 11.8       | 6.37       |
| Z-type                    | $\Delta \chi$ | $\Delta m$ | $\Delta B$ | $\Delta T$ |
| $H_{ m HDMZ}$             | 5.98          | 3.28       | 5.14       | 6.33       |
| $H_{ m HDMZ} + H_1$       | 6.09          | 3.2        | 5.56       | 6.48       |
| $H_{ m HDMZ} + H_2$       | 5.65          | 3          | 7.2        | 6.66       |

TABLE I: Averaged variance between predicted and actual values of  $(\chi, m, B, T)$ .

Listed in Table 1 are the errors in the machinepredicted values of  $(\chi, m, B, T)$ . The error estimation is done by the formula

$$\Delta X = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} (X_{\text{predicted},i} - X_{\text{actual},i})^{2}}{N}}.$$
 (1)

Here  $X=\chi,m,B,T$  and  $1\leq i\leq N$  ranges over all the test configurations. Input data types are classified as xyz, xy, and z, according to all three components, only xy-component, and only z-component of the local magnetization vector  $\mathbf{n}_i$  being used for training and testing. The pure case  $H_{\text{HDMZ}}$  refers to the choice  $D/J=\sqrt{6}$  corresponding to the spiral period  $\lambda=6$ . The two disordered Hamiltonians we considered in the main text are shown in the rows with  $H_{\text{HDMZ}}+H_1$  and  $H_{\text{HDMZ}}+H_2$ . The sample size is  $N=20\times20\times100$ .

For b=2,3,4, only the pure Hamiltonian  $H_{\rm HDMZ}$  was used with D/J values corresponding to  $\lambda=12,18,24$ , respectively. The resulting raw data is compressed according to the block-spin rule (mentioned in the text) before being subject to machine prediction. The predicted values of  $\chi, m, b, T$  are then compared to  $\chi', m', B', T'$ , which is related to the raw value through the scaling relation  $\chi'/\chi=b^{\#}$ . The exponents used are 0,0,2.32, and 0.73, respectively. For example, the variance  $\Delta B$  in the case of b=2 is obtained from

$$\Delta B = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} (B_{\text{predicted},i} - B_{\text{actual},i} 2^{2.32})^{2}}{N}}$$
 (2)

where  $B_{\text{actual},i}$  is the magnetic field used in the generation of the  $\lambda=12$  Monte Carlo configuration. The sample size was  $N=14\times 11$   $(b=2),\ N=14\times 9$   $(b=3),\ \text{and}\ N=15\times 7$  (b=4).

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Electronic address: vinitsingh911@gmail.com

<sup>†</sup> Electronic address: hanjemme@gmail.com