

COMPUTATIONAL PHYSICS

SOS 2020



VINIT DOKE

MENTOR:
CHAITANYA KUMAR

Computational Physics

Summer Of Science 2020

Vinit P. Doke
190260018¹

¹ Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Powai, Mumbai 400076 ,
Email: vinitdoke@gmail.com , 190260018@iitb.ac.in .

Mentor: Chaitanya Kumar




Figure 1: Lorenz Attractor

Table Of Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.1	Using Python	5
1.2	Numerical Methods	5
2	Data Visualisation	6
2.1	Graphs	6
2.1.1	Polar Plot	6
2.2	Density Plots and Images	7
2.2.1	Interference Pattern	7
3	Integration	9
3.1	Trapezoidal Rule	9
3.2	Simpson's Rule	9
3.2.1	Diffraction Limit Of Telescope	10
3.3	Errors on Integrals	12
3.4	Adaptive Integral Method	13
3.5	Romberg Integration	14
3.6	Gaussian Quadrature	14
3.6.1	Sample Points	14
3.6.2	Gaussian Quadrature Implementation	15
3.6.3	Heat Capacity Of A Solid	15
3.7	Integrals over Infinite Ranges	16
3.8	Multiple Integrals	16
4	Solutions Of Non-Linear Equations	18
4.1	Relaxation Method	18
4.2	Bisection Method	18
4.3	Other Methods:	18
5	Ordinary Differential Equations	19
5.1	Euler's Method	19
5.2	Runge-Kutta Method (RK4)	20
5.3	Simultaneous Ordinary Differential Equations	21
5.3.1	The Lorenz Equations	21
6	Random Processes and Monte-Carlo Methods	23
6.1	Random Number Genarators	23
6.2	Radioactive Decay Chain	23
6.3	Brownian Motion	25
6.4	Monte-Carlo Integration	26
6.5	Mean Value Method	27
A	Final Remarks	28
A.1	Learning Outcomes	28
A.2	Python Scripts on GitHub	28
A.3	References	28

List of Figures

1	Lorrenz Attractor	2
2	Polar Plots	7
3	OUTPUT of Listing 2	8
4	Plot of Bessel Functions	11
5	OUTPUT of Listing 6	12
6	OUTPUT for Listing 9	16
7	Points of Evaluation for Gaussian Quadrature	17
8	$x(t), t \in (0, 10)$	19
9	RK4 Method	20
10	Lorenz Plot	22
11	Decay Graph	24
12	Random Walk	25
13	Random Points used to calculate Integral	26

1 Introduction

With greater strides being made in Experimental Physics, we are witnessing data volumes that were never seen before. For instance, the [Large Hadron Collider generates petabytes of data per second](#). This warrants the use of computers to analyse, reformat and simulate various tasks in Physics. This is the field of study represented by Computational Sciences, Computational Physics being the application in Physics.

Most numerical calculations in physics fall into one of several general categories, based on the mathematical operations that their solution requires. Examples of common operations include calculation of integrals and derivatives, linear algebra tasks such as matrix inversion or the calculation of eigenvalues, and the solution of differential equations, including both ordinary and partial differential equations. If we know how to perform each of the basic operation types then we can solve most problems we are likely to encounter as physicists. I shall study individually the computational techniques used to perform various operations and then apply the solution to wide range of physics problems.

1.1 Using Python

Being an interpreted language, Python provides a much more natural coding experience. The plethora of support libraries available for it make it an ideal choice for scientific computing purposes. Some of the libraries required for this project include **Numpy, Pandas, Matplotlib, VPython, SciPy** etc.

Though many of these libraries provide in-built functionalities for carrying out various numerical operations, we shall follow a ground up approach towards these operations, exploring the methods followed to port them to a computer.

1.2 Numerical Methods

We shall see various methods of numerical evaluations of maths operations and then deal with the ways of calculating the errors produced due to either approximations or precision value errors (inherent to Python due to way the data types are stored). I shall be simultaneously solving problems pertaining to varied areas of Physics related to the mathematical methods which are linked here as follows:

1. [Data Visualisation](#)
2. [Integration](#)
3. [Solutions Of Non-Linear Equations](#)
4. [Ordinary Differential Equations](#)
5. [Random Processes](#)
6. [Monte-Carlo Methods](#)

2 Data Visualisation

To make sense of the vast amounts of data, to study trends, patterns and various other characteristics, Visualisation serves as an indispensable tool. Data Viz. in itself is another vast domain of study, yet it has its uses in Physics. Graphs are fundamental in Physics followed by images and animations. Here, I deal with **Graphs, Density Plots, Scatter Plots and Images**.

2.1 Graphs

2D Graphs require datasets of points to be represented on a coordinate plane. One often encounters such datasets in Physics, having data regarding a dependent variable changing with an independent one. However, to plot functions, we need to evaluate them at a linear space of points between the required range to produce illusion of continuity. The computer draws straight-lines between the points. So the end-result is not smooth but a set of line-segments. However, sampling the functions at hundreds of such points enables the creation of smooth-looking curves.

2.1.1 Polar Plot

The underlying code plots functions of form $r = f(\theta)$, i.e. explicit in r and θ .

Listing 1: Polar Plot

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib qt5 #for jupyter notebooks only

thetas=np.linspace(#range of theta , #number of sample points)
def cart(theta , r):
    x=r*np.cos(theta)
    y=r*np.sin(theta)
    return (x,y)
def func(theta):
    return #function here

X=[]
Y=[]
for theta in thetas:
    x,y=cart(theta , func(theta))
    X.append(x)
    Y.append(y)
plt.plot(Y,X, 'b')
plt.xlabel('X')
plt.ylabel('Y')
plt.title(# Function Name)
```




Figure 2: Polar Plots

2.2 Density Plots and Images

A **Density Plot** uses colours or brightness to represent magnitude on a 2D-Grid. In Python, we can pass an array of data, which can be 3D too. In this case, the values are interpreted as RGB values for each pixel in the grid. Consequently, many image formats are imported to Python in **3D-arrays**. For instance, **FITS** (Flexible Image Transport System) is a widely used data format for storing astronomical observations by telescopes.

2.2.1 Interference Pattern

The underlying code displays the wave interference pattern for 2 plane waves produced in a medium at a particular separation.

Listing 2: Interference Pattern

```

amp=1
wavelength=5
d=20
k=2*np.pi/wavelength
pond=np.zeros((500,500)) # two waves in a 1m*1m pond on a 500*500 grid
def dist(p1,p2):
    x1,y1=p1
    x2,y2=p2
    x1=conv_units(x1)
    x2=conv_units(x2)
    y1=conv_units(y1)
    y2=conv_units(y2)
    return ((x2-x1)**2+(y2-y1)**2)**(0.5)
def height1(p):
    return amp*np.sin(k*dist(p1,p))
def height2(p):
    return amp*np.sin(k*dist(p2,p))
def conv_units(point):
    return point/5
def conv_units2(point):
    return point*5

```

```
def height(p):  
    return height1(p)+height2(p)  
p1=(250,200)  
p2=(250,200+conv_units2(20))  
for i in range(500):  
    for j in range(500):  
        pond[i][j]=height((i,j))  
pond=pond/2  
plt.imshow(pond,interpolation='bicubic')
```




Figure 3: OUTPUT of Listing [2](#)

3 Integration

3.1 Trapezoidal Rule

We evaluate the integral for $f(x)$ in range (a, b) . One way would be to divide the range into N slices like rectangles or trapezoids. As the name suggests, we approximate the function between x_i and x_{i+1} slices as a trapezium of $l1 = f(x_i)$ and $l2 = f(x_{i+1})$ with separation $h = (b - a) / N$, which will be same for all the trapeziums. Let the true value of the Integral be represented by I . Then the approximated integral is represented by I_0 , given by:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{h}{2} [f(a + (i-1)h) + f(a + ih)] \\ &= \frac{h}{2} [f(a) + f(b) + 2(f(a+h)) \dots 2f(a + (N-1)h)] \\ &= \frac{h}{2} [f(a) + f(b) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} f(a + ih)] \\ &\approx I \end{aligned}$$

Naturally, we will have greater precision for higher values of N . Generally, we use Adaptive Integral Method (discussed [here](#)), to obtain results within the desired level of accuracy.

Listing 3: Trapezoidal Rule

```
def f(x):
    return x**4-2*x+1 #Function here
N= #Number Of Divisions
a= #start range
b= #end range
h=(b-a)/N
integ=f(a)/2+f(b)/2
for i in range(1,N):
    integ+=f(a+i*h)
integ*=h
print(integ) #Final Solution
```

3.2 Simpson's Rule

In Trapezoidal Rule, we approximate the curve by trapeziums, however we could fit a higher-order polynomial to our sliced function for better accuracy. Simpson's Rule does exactly that with polynomials of degree 2, i.e. Quadratic Expressions. We divide the range of $f(x)$, (a, b) into N equally spaced slices of width $h = (b - a) / N$. Let us consider 3 points, $\{-h, 0, h\}$. The Quadratic polynomial passing through these points would be:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= ax^2 + bx + c \\ f(-h) &= ah^2 - bh + c \\ f(0) &= c \\ f(h) &= ah^2 + bh + c \end{aligned}$$

Solving for the coefficients gives us the constants a, b, c in terms of h . Now, we integrate over the slice $[-h, h]$ as follows:

$$\int_{-h}^h (ax^2 + bx + c) dx = \frac{h}{3} [f(-h) + 4f(0) + f(h)]$$

Performing this integral for all slices of form x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1} returns the general approximate integral I_0 as:

$$I_0 = \frac{h}{3} [f(a) + f(b) + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{Odd} f(a + kh) + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{Even} f(a + kh)] \\ \approx I$$

Due to better order approximation, Simpson's rule generally yields more accurate results than the Trapezoidal rule in lesser steps (N). To obtain results within a specified degree of accuracy, we use the Adaptive Integral Method for Simpson's Rule (discussed [here](#)).

Listing 4: Simpson's Rule

```
def f(x):
    return x**4-2*x+1 #function here
a= #start range
b= #end range
N= #Number of Divisions
h=(b-a)/N
s=f(a)+f(b)
for i in range(1,N,2):
    s+=4*f(a+i*h)
for i in range(2,N-1,2):
    s+=2*f(a+i*h)
s=s*h/3
print(s)#Final Value
```

3.2.1 Diffraction Limit Of Telescope

Our ability to resolve detail in astronomical observations is limited by the diffraction of light in our telescopes. Light from stars can be treated effectively as coming from a point source at infinity. When such light, with wavelength λ , passes through the circular aperture of a telescope (which we'll assume to have unit radius) and is focused by the telescope in the focal plane, it produces not a single dot, but a circular diffraction pattern consisting of central spot surrounded by a series of concentric rings. The intensity of the light in this diffraction pattern is given by

$$I(r) = \left(\frac{J_1(kr)}{kr} \right)^2,$$

where r is the distance in the focal plane from the center of the diffraction pattern, $k = 2\pi/\lambda$, and $J_1(x)$ is a Bessel function. The Bessel functions $J_m(x)$ are given by

$$J_m(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(m\theta - x \sin \theta) d\theta$$

where m is a nonnegative integer and $x \geq 0$.

Here, I work out the image of the focal plane for light of $\lambda = 500nm$

Listing 5: Bessel Function

```
def J(m,x):
    def f(t):
        return np.cos(m*t-x*np.sin(t))
    a=0
    b=np.pi
```

```

N=1000
h=(b-a)/N
s=f(a)+f(b)
for i in range(1,N,2):
    s+=4*f(a+i*h)
for j in range(2,N-1,2):
    s+=2*f(a+j*h)
s*=h/3
return (1/(np.pi)*s)

```




Figure 4: Plot of Bessel Functions

Listing 6: Main Function

```

focal_plane=np.array([[0 for i in range(500)] for i in range(500)])
center=(250,250)
wavelength=62.5
k=2*np.pi/wavelength
def dist(point):
    x0,y0=center
    x,y=point
    return np.sqrt((x0-x)**2+(y0-y)**2)
def I(r):
    return ((J(1,k*r))/(k*r))**2
for i in range(500):
    for j in range(500):
        focal_plane[i][j]=dist((i,j))
fp2=I(focal_plane)
mean=fp2.mean()
std=fp2.std()
plt.imshow(fp2,'cividis',vmax=mean+0.5*std, interpolation='bicubic')

```




Figure 5: OUTPUT of Listing 6

3.3 Errors on Integrals

The numerical integrals mentioned before were only approximations. Therefore, we are able to derive their approximation errors to get an idea of the precision involved.

We define $x_k = a + kh$, a point to evaluate $f(x)$ at. Now Taylor expansion at x_{k-1} is given by:

$$f(x) = f(x_{k-1}) + (x - x_{k-1})f'(x_{k-1}) + \frac{1}{2!}(x - x_{k-1})^2 f''(x_{k-1}) + \dots$$

Integrating $f(x)$ in range $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ gives:

$$\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} f(x) \cdot dx = f(x_{k-1}) \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} dx + f'(x_{k-1}) \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} (x - x_{k-1}) \cdot dx + \frac{f''(x_{k-1})}{2} \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} (x - x_{k-1})^2 \cdot dx + \dots$$

Substituting $u = x - x_{k-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} f(x) \cdot dx &= f(x_{k-1}) \int_0^h du + f'(x_{k-1}) \int_0^h u \cdot du + \frac{f''(x_{k-1})}{2} \int_0^h u^2 \cdot du + \dots \\ &= hf(x_{k-1}) + \frac{h^2}{2} f'(x_{k-1}) + \frac{h^3}{6} f''(x_{k-1}) + O(h^4) \end{aligned}$$

where, $O(h^4)$ denotes the rest of the terms in the series, those in h^4 and higher.

We can do similar expansion around $x = x_k$ and again integrate within the given range. Taking the average of the integrals in range $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ with Taylor expansions at both x_{k-1} and x_k gives us:

$$\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} f(x) \cdot dx = \frac{h}{2} [f(x_{k-1}) + f(x_k)] + \frac{h^2}{4} [f'(x_{k-1}) - f'(x_k)] + \frac{h^3}{12} [f''(x_{k-1}) + f''(x_k)] + O(h^4)$$

Finally, we sum this expression over all slices k to get the full integral that we want:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(x) \cdot dx &= \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} f(x) dx \\ &= \frac{h}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N [f(x_{k-1}) + f(x_k)] + \frac{h^2}{4} [f'(a) - f'(b)] + \frac{h^3}{12} \sum_{k=1}^N [f''(x_{k-1}) + f''(x_k)] + O(h^4) \end{aligned}$$

Making the changes necessary to fit the above equation to the **Trapezoidal Rule** we have:

$$\int_a^b f(x) \cdot dx = \frac{h}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N [f(x_{k-1}) + f(x_k)] + \frac{h^2}{12} [f'(a) - f'(b)] + O(h^4)$$

Thus, to leading order in h , the value of the terms dropped when we use the trapezoidal rule, which equals the approximation error ϵ on the integral is:

$$\epsilon = \frac{h^2}{12} [f'(a) - f'(b)]$$

This is the *Euler-Maclaurin formula* for the error on the trapezoidal rule. Similar operations for the **Simpson's Rule** yield:

$$\epsilon = \frac{h^4}{90} [f'''(a) - f'''(b)]$$

For programming purposes, we use the numerical approach of calculating ϵ which is given as follows:

$$\textbf{Trapezoidal Rule} : \epsilon = \frac{1}{3} [I_2 - I_1]$$

$$\textbf{Simpson's Rule} : \epsilon = \frac{1}{15} [I_2 - I_1]$$

3.4 Adaptive Integral Method

This method utilises the numerical approach of calculating the errors. We begin by calculating an approximate integral I_1 with N steps. Similarly I_2 is calculated with $2N$ steps. Then, the error will be given by:

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{3} [I_2 - I_1]$$

Furthermore, If $\epsilon > \epsilon_0$, where ϵ_0 is the degree of accuracy, we double the number of steps until $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$. This is implemented for the **Trapezoidal Rule** as follows:

Listing 7: Adaptive Rule

```
def f(x):
    return #Function here
a= #Start Range
b= #End Range
thresh= #threshold
error=1 #Seed Error
N=1 #Repetitions
I1=(f(a)+f(b))/2
while error>thresh:
    N=2*N
    h=(b-a)/N
    s=1/2*(f(a)+f(b))
    for i in range(1,N):
        s+=f(a+i*h)
    I2=h*s
    error=abs(1/3*(I2-I1))
    I1=I2
print(f'The value of integral is {I1} with error {error}')
```


3.5 Romberg Integration

The **Romberg Integration Formula** is :

$$R_{i,m+1} = R_{i,m} + \frac{1}{4^m - 1} (R_{i,m} - R_{i-1,m})$$

which is accurate to order h^{2m+1} with an error of order h^{2m+2} . The procedure is given as follows:

1. Calculate first two estimates using **Trapezoidal Rule** :
 $I_1 \equiv R_{1,1}$ and $I_2 \equiv R_{2,1}$
2. From these two, calculate the more accurate estimate: $R_{2,2}$
3. Thus. for each estimate we also calculate the error to meet some desired accuracy.



3.6 Gaussian Quadrature

Until now, we had been using numerical methods that had evenly spaced point within the range. However, for functions with high variation in slope, we require higher number of points of evaluation in regions of higher variations of slope. Conversely, we do not require a lot of points for functions with lesser variation in slope. This dilemma is partly solved by the **Gaussian Quadrature** method.

To calculate an approximation to our integral, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(x) dx &\approx \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx = \int_a^b \sum_{k=1}^N f(x_k) \phi_k(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N f(x_k) \int_a^b \phi_k(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have weights w_k given by:

$$w_k = \int_a^b \phi_k(x) \cdot dx$$

Often, we are given these weights for $a = -1$ and $b = +1$. These can be mapped to our new variables by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x'_k &= \frac{1}{2}(b-a)x_k + \frac{1}{2}(b+a) \\ w'_k &= \frac{1}{2}(b-a)w_k \end{aligned}$$

3.6.1 Sample Points

The sample points x_k should be chosen to coincide with the zeros of the N^{th} **Legendre Polynomial** $P_N(x)$, rescaled if necessary. The corresponding weights w_k are:

$$w_k = \left[\frac{2}{(1-x^2)} \left(\frac{dP_N}{dx} \right)^{-2} \right]_{x=x_k}$$

To obtain such points, I shall use the python program discussed in Appendix.

3.6.2 Gaussian Quadrature Implementation

Listing 8: Gaussian Quadrature

```

from gaussxw import gaussxwab #to obtain sample points and weights
def f(x):
    return #function here
a= #Start Range
b= #End Range
N= #Iterations
x,w=gaussxwab(N,a,b)
integ=0
for i in range(N):
    integ+=f(x[i])*w[i]
print(integ)

```

3.6.3 Heat Capacity Of A Solid

$$C_V = 9V\rho k_B \left(\frac{T}{\theta_D} \right)^3 \int_0^{\theta_D/T} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx$$

Listing 9: Heat Capacity

```

V=10**(-3)
ndensity=6.022*10**28
debyetemp=428
N=50
k=1.38065852*10**(-23)
x,w=gaussxw(N)
def f(x):
    return (x**4)*(np.exp(x))/(np.exp(x)-1)**2
def cv(T):
    a=0
    b=debyetemp/T
    integ=0
    wk=(b-a)/2*w
    xk=(b-a)/2*x+(b+a)/2
    for i in range(N):
        integ+=f(xk[i])*wk[i]
    return 9*V*ndensity*k*((T/debyetemp)**3)*integ
T=np.arange(5,501)
C_val=[]
for i in range(len(T)):
    C_val.append(cv(T[i]))
plt.figure(figsize=(10,5))
plt.plot(T,C_val)
plt.xlabel('Temp,K')
plt.ylabel('C.V')
plt.title('Heat_Capacity_Of_Solid_Al_v/s_Temp')

```




Figure 6: OUTPUT for Listing 9

3.7 Integrals over Infinite Ranges

We cannot possibly converge on an acceptable solution for integrals with infinite ranges by a numerical approach, since there are infinite slices. Therefore, what we require is a **change of variables**. To evaluate $\int_0^\infty f(x)dx$, we substitute

$$z = \frac{x}{1+x}$$

Then,

$$dx = \frac{dz}{(1-z)^2}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)dx = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} f\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right) dz$$

Similar substitution for ranges like $(-\infty, 0), (a, \infty)$ etc. can be made. To evaluate in range $(-\infty, \infty)$, we divide the range at 0 and evaluate accordingly.

3.8 Multiple Integrals

To evaluate

$$I = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x, y) dx dy$$

We can re-write this by defining a function $F(y)$, thus

$$F(y) = \int_0^1 f(x, y) dx$$

Then,

$$I = \int_0^1 F(y) dy$$

Similarly, for using the **Gaussian Quadrature**, we have the *Gauss-Legendre product formula*:

$$I \approx \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N w_i w_j f(x_i, y_j)$$




Figure 7: Points of Evaluation for Gaussian Quadrature

4 Solutions Of Non-Linear Equations

4.1 Relaxation Method

To solve for the value of a single variable, we rearrange the equation to form $x = f(x)$. Then,

$$x_1 = f(x_0)$$

$$x_2 = f(x_1)$$

$$x_3 = f(x_2)$$

and so on.. Simultaneously, we find the difference between consecutives x_i and x_{i+1} . If the values appear to converge to a solution or the consecutive differences reach magnitudes lesser than the desired accuracy, we have found a root for $x - f(x) = 0$. This is known as the **Relaxation Method**.

4.2 Bisection Method

Assuming a continuous and smooth function $f(x)$, we choose initial values x_1 and x_2 such that $f(x_1)$ and $f(x_2)$ are of opposite sign. This guarantees that x_1 and x_2 bracket at least one solution. Now, we evaluate $f(x_3) = f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right)$ and reassign x_3 to the initial variables such that we have variables of opposite signs. This shortens the region of the possible solution, with increasing accuracy. Consequently, we terminate the loop when the length of the region $x_2 - x_1$ is less than required accuracy ϵ .

4.3 Other Methods:

- Newton-Raphson Method
- Secant Method
- Gradient Descent

5 Ordinary Differential Equations

5.1 Euler's Method

The general form of a first order one variable ODE is:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x, t)$$

We begin with an initial value condition, say $x = a$ at $t = 0$. We then calculate x at $t + h$ given by $x(t + h) = x(t) + dx$, where dx is obtained by equation

$$dx = dt * (f(x(t), t))$$

Accordingly, $dt = h$, where

$$h = \frac{t_{\max} - t_{\min}}{N},$$

where N is the number of steps we choose to have. This is known as Euler's Method.

Listing 10: Euler's Method

```
def f(x, t):
    return -x**3+np.sin(t)
N=1000
tval=np.linspace(0,10,N)
x=0
xval=[]
a=tval[0]
b=tval[N-1]
h=(b-a)/N
for t in tval:
    xval.append(x)
    x+=h*f(x, t)
plt.plot(tval, xval)
plt.title('$\frac{dx}{dt}=-x^3+\sin(x)$')
```




Figure 8: $x(t), t \in (0, 10)$

5.2 Runge-Kutta Method (RK4)

Let,

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= hf(x, t) \\ k_2 &= hf\left(x + \frac{k_1}{2}, t + \frac{h}{2}\right) \\ k_3 &= hf\left(x + \frac{k_2}{2}, t + \frac{h}{2}\right) \\ k_4 &= hf(x + k_3, t + h), \end{aligned}$$

then, we have:

$$x(t+h) = x(t) + \frac{(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)}{6}$$

This is the **Fourth-Order Runge-Kutta Method**.

Implementation:

Listing 11: RK4 Method

```
for N in [10,20,50,100]:
    tval=np.linspace(0,10,N)
    a=tval.min()
    b=tval.max()
    h=(b-a)/N
    x=0
    xval=[]
    for t in tval:
        k1=h*f(x, t)
        k2=h*f(x+k1/2, t+h/2)
        k3=h*f(x+k2/2, t+h/2)
        k4=h*f(x+k3, t+h)
        x+=1/6*(k1+2*k2+2*k3+k4)
        xval.append(x)
    plt.plot(tval, xval, label=f"N={N}")
```




Figure 9: RK4 Method

5.3 Simultaneous Ordinary Differential Equations

Let,

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f_x(x, y, t)$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f_y(x, y, t)$$

They can be re-written into vector notation as:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{r}(t+h) = \mathbf{r}(t) + h\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$$

Further Taylor Expansions used to derive Runge-Kutta rules also generalize straightforwardly to the multi-variable case. Thus,

$$\mathbf{r}(t+h) = \mathbf{r}(t) + \frac{k_1 + 2(k_2 + k_3) + k_4}{6}$$

5.3.1 The Lorenz Equations

The Lorenz Equations are:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \sigma(y - x)$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = rx - y - xz$$

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = xy - bz$$

To Plot:

Listing 12: Lorenz Plotter

```
s=np.float64(10.0)
r=np.float64(28.0)
b=np.float64(8/3)
def f(R):
    x=R[0]
    y=R[1]
    z=R[2]
    fx=s*(y-x)
    fy=r*x-y-x*z
    fz=x*y-b*z
    return np.float64([fx,fy,fz])
tmin=np.float64(0)
tmax=np.float64(35)
N=3000
h=(tmax-tmin)/N
tval=np.linspace(tmin,tmax,N)
R=np.float64([0,1,0])
xval=[]
yval=[]
zval=[]
```

```
for t in tval:  
    k1=h*f(R)  
    k2=h*f(R+h/2)  
    k3=h*f(R+k2/2)  
    k4=h*f(R+k3)  
    R+=(k1+2*k2+2*k3+k4)/6  
    xval.append(R[0])  
    yval.append(R[1])  
    zval.append(R[2])  
ax = plt.axes(projection='3d')  
ax.plot3D(xval, yval, zval, color="Blue")
```

Lorenz Plot




Figure 10: Lorenz Plot

6 Random Processes and Monte-Carlo Methods

6.1 Random Number Genarators

The discussed equations produce seemingly random numbers given a seed number. However, these aren't truly random as they are deterministic and can be re-discovered given the seed number is known. Hence, they are referred to as **pseudorandom numbers**.


Consider the equation:

$$x' = (ax + c) \bmod(m),$$

where a, c, m are integer constants and x is an integer variable. Given a value of x (seed), x' is the resultant integer which can be re-fed to the equation as x . This is a *linear congruential random number generator*.

6.2 Radioactive Decay Chain

^{213}Bi decays to stable ^{209}Bi via two different routes given as:



Here, we begin with 10,000 atoms of ^{213}Bi and for each such atom, we generate a random number between 0 and 1. We compare the number with the probability of the decay of such an atom in time t which is given by the equation:

$$p(t) = 1 - 2^{-\frac{t}{\tau}},$$

where τ is the half-life for ^{213}Bi . We calculate the probability for $t = 1\text{sec}$ with $t_{\max} = 20,000\text{seconds}$. This is done for all decaying entities, namely, ^{213}Bi , ^{209}Tl , and ^{209}Pb

Listing 13: Decay Chain

```
Bi213=10000
Tl=0
Pb=0
Bi209=0
tau1=46*60
tau2=2.2*60
tau3=3.3*60
h=1
p1=1-2**(-h/tau1)
p2=1-2**(-h/tau2)
p3=1-2**(-h/tau3)
tmax=20000
b2p=0.9791
b2t=1-b2p
NTl=[]
NPb=[]
NBi213=[]
NBi209=[]
tpoints=np.arange(0,tmax,h)
for t in tpoints:
    Pbdecay=0
    Bi213decay=0
```

```

Tldecay=0
for p in range(Pb):
    if np.random.rand()<p3:
        Pbdecay+=1
for t in range(Tl):
    if np.random.rand()<p2:
        Tldecay+=1
for b in range(Bi213):
    if np.random.rand()<p1:
        Bi213decay+=1

Pb-=Pbdecay
Bi209+=Pbdecay
Pb+=Tldecay
Tl-=Tldecay
Bi213-=Bi213decay
b2pdecay=0
b2tdecay=0
for _ in range(Bi213decay):
    if np.random.rand()<b2p:
        b2pdecay+=1
    else:
        b2tdecay+=1
Pb+=b2pdecay
Tl+=b2tdecay

NTl.append(Tl)
NPb.append(Pb)
NBi213.append(Bi213)
NBi209.append(Bi209)

```




Figure 11: Decay Graph

6.3 Brownian Motion

To simulate a random walk, I assume a Canvas of size 500×500 pixels. We select at random, one of 4 directions : Up, Down, Left, and Right. Running the code for some prescribed number of iterations N , we obtain random paths. The code is as follows:

Listing 14: Random Walk

```
N=100000*3
current_pos=np.array([250,250])
canvas=np.zeros([501,501])
def fill(Dir, current_pos):
    x,y=current_pos
    if Dir==0:
        dx,dy=-1,0
    if Dir==1:
        dx,dy=+1,0
    if Dir==2:
        dx,dy=0,+1
    if Dir==3:
        dx,dy=0,-1
    if (x+dx)<0 or (x+dx)>500:
        dx=0
    if (y+dy)<0 or (y+dy)>500:
        dy=0
    canvas[x+dx,y+dy]+=1
    return np.array([x+dx,y+dy])
for i in range(N):
    Dir=np.random.randint(4)
    current_pos=fill(Dir, current_pos)
plt.imshow(canvas)
```




Figure 12: Random Walk: Output for Above Code

6.4 Monte-Carlo Integration

To integrate a function $f(x)$ in range $[a, b]$, with the maximum and minimum values f_{max} and f_{min} , consider a rectangle enclosing the function, having area A . We choose at random, points inside the rectangle and check whether they fall within the area of the function. Then, the probability that a given point lies in the area is given $I \div A$. Let the probability be $P = K \div N$, where K is number of points that fall within the area and N is total number of points. Hence,

$$I \approx \frac{K * A}{N}$$

Following code outlines the way to obtain an integral for the function:

$$f(x) = \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{x * (2 - x)} \right),$$

in range $[0, 2]$

Listing 15: Monte Carlo Integration

```
def f(x):
    return (np.sin(1/(x*(2-x))))**2
N=10000
count=0
A=2
for i in range(N):
    x=2*random.rand()
    y=random.rand()
    if y<f(x):
        count+=1
print(A*count/N)
```




Figure 13: Random Points used to calculate Integral

6.5 Mean Value Method

The average value of $f(x)$ in range from a to b is by definition:

$$\langle f \rangle = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx = \frac{I}{b-a}$$

Thus,

$$I = (b-a) \langle f \rangle$$

A simple way to estimate $\langle f \rangle$ is to measure $f(x)$ at N points, chosen uniformly at random between a and b . Then,

$$I \approx \frac{b-a}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i)$$

A Final Remarks

A.1 Learning Outcomes

Throughout the course of this learning project, I learnt various Numerical Methods to evaluate Maths Functions and implement them in code. I learnt various Data Visualisation techniques and libraries in Python, namely, NumPy, Matplotlib, Seaborn, Plotly etc.

Along with this, I gained insight into various Physics Concepts. By simulating them, we stand to gain more intuition of their working and by readily adjusting their parameters, we can see their dependence on these parameters instantaneously. I believe this adds to another layer of interactive learning.

A.2 Python Scripts on GitHub

I have hosted various python scripts utilised in this report alongside the scripts that aren't shown here, on my GitHub profile : https://github.com/vinitdoke/Computational_Physics_SoS_2020

A.3 References

- MARK NEWMAN, **Computational Physics**
- Python Bootcamp Course on udemy.com by Jose Portilla
- <https://docs.python.org/3/>
- doc.scipy.org