

**Solutions to Exercise Set 10.**

24.1 (a) The chi-square is  $\chi^2(q_1, q_2, q_3) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c (n_{ij} - p_{ij})^2 / p_{ij}$ , where

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} q_3 & \text{if } 2 < i < r \text{ and } 2 < j < c. \\ q_1 & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } j = 1, \text{ or } i = 1 \text{ and } j = c, \text{ or } i = r \text{ and } j = 1, \text{ or } i = r \text{ and } j = c. \\ q_2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There are 4 corner cells,  $2r + 2c - 8$  edge cells and  $(r - 2)(c - 2)$  central cells, so we have  $4q_1 + 2(r + c - 4)q_2 + (r - 2)(c - 2)q_3 = 1$ . The likelihood function is  $L \propto q_1^{N_1} q_2^{N_2} q_3^{N_3}$ , where  $N_1$  is the number of observations falling in the corners,  $N_2$  is the number on the edges, and  $N_3$  is the number in the central cells. Therefore the Maximum Likelihood Estimates are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{q}_1 &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{N_1}{n} \\ \hat{q}_2 &= \frac{1}{2(r + c - 4)} \frac{N_2}{n} \\ \hat{q}_3 &= \frac{1}{(r - 2)(c - 2)} \frac{N_3}{n} \end{aligned}$$

The test rejects  $H_1$  if  $\chi^2(\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2, \hat{q}_3)$  is too large, in reference to the  $\chi^2$  distribution with  $rc - 3$  degrees of freedom.

(b) Under  $H_0$ , we have  $q_1 = q_2 = q_3 = 1/(rc)$ . So  $\chi^2(1/(rc), 1/(rc), 1/(rc))$  is the  $\chi^2$  used to test  $H_0$  against all alternatives. It has  $rc - 1$  degrees of freedom. For testing  $H_0$  against  $H_1$ , we reject  $H_0$  if the difference,  $\chi^2(1/(rc), 1/(rc), 1/(rc)) - \chi^2(\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2, \hat{q}_3)$  is too large. This has 2 degrees of freedom, the number of restrictions going from  $H_1$  to  $H_0$ .

24.5. We find the maximum likelihood estimates of the  $p_{ij}$  under the two hypotheses. The likelihood function,  $L(\mathbf{p})$ , is proportional to  $\prod_{i=1}^I \prod_{j=1}^J p_{ij}^{n_{ij}}$ .

(a) Under  $H$ , we seek to maximize  $L(\mathbf{p})$  under the constraints  $\sum_{j=1}^J p_{ij} = 1/I$  for all  $i$ . This occurs at  $\hat{p}_{ij} = n_{ij}/(In_{i\cdot})$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , where  $n_{i\cdot} = \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij}$ . The  $\chi^2$  statistic is

$$\chi_a^2 = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{(n_{ij} - N\hat{p}_{ij})^2}{N\hat{p}_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^I \frac{(n_{i\cdot} - (N/I))^2}{N/I}.$$

For each  $i$ ,  $J - 1$  parameters were estimated so the  $\chi^2$  has  $(IJ - 1) - I(J - 1) = I - 1$  degrees of freedom.

(b) Under  $H_0$ , we seek to maximize  $L$  when  $p_{ij}$  is replaced by  $p_j$  and we have the constraint  $\sum_{j=1}^J p_j = 1/I$ . The maximum likelihood estimates are  $p_j^* = n_{\cdot j}/(IN)$  and the chi-square is

$$\chi_b^2 = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{(n_{ij} - Np_j^*)^2}{Np_j^*}.$$

It has  $(IJ - 1) - (J - 1) = IJ - J$  degrees of freedom.

(c) To test  $H_0$  against  $H - H_0$ , we use  $\chi_b^2 - \chi_a^2$ . It has  $(IJ - J) - (I - 1) = IJ - I - J + 1 = (I - 1)(J - 1)$  degrees of freedom. Under  $H_0$ ,  $\chi_b^2 - \chi_a^2$  is asymptotically equivalent to the  $\chi^2$  of Example 24.1 for testing the homogeneity of a contingency table.

24.7. (a) We find the maximum likelihood estimates of the  $p_{ij}$  under  $H_0$ . The likelihood function,  $L(\mathbf{p})$ , is proportional to  $\prod_i \prod_j p_{ij}^{n_{ij}}$ . Under  $H_0$ , we seek to maximize  $L$  when  $p_{ij}$  is replaced by  $p_j$  and we have the constraint  $\sum_{j=1}^J p_j = 1/I$ . The maximum likelihood estimates are  $p_j^* = \sum_{i=1}^I n_{ij}/(IN)$  and the chi-square is

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{(n_{ij} - Np_j^*)^2}{Np_j^*}.$$

The original chi-square had  $(IJ - 1)$  degrees of freedom, and we have estimated  $(J - 1)$  parameters, so the above chi-square has  $(IJ - 1) - (J - 1) = IJ - J$  degrees of freedom.

(b) This  $\chi^2$  has approximately a  $\chi_J^2(\lambda)$  distribution, where the non-centrality parameter,  $\lambda$  is found by replacing  $n_{ij}$  wherever it occurs in  $\chi^2$  by  $Np_{ij}$ , where  $p_{ij}$  are the true values. Here we replace  $n_{ij}$  by  $N(1 + \epsilon_i)/(IJ)$ . This also leads to replacing  $p_j^*$  by

$$\sum_{i=1}^I \frac{N(1 + \epsilon_i)}{IJ} \frac{1}{IN} = \frac{1}{IJ}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{(\frac{N(1+\epsilon_i)}{IJ} - \frac{N}{IJ})^2}{N/IJ} = N \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\epsilon_i^2}{IJ} \\ &= N \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I \epsilon_i^2. \end{aligned}$$