

## [6.824](#) - Spring 2022

# 6.824 Lab 2: Raft

**Part 2A Due: Friday Feb 18 23:59**

**Part 2B Due: Friday Feb 25 23:59**

**Part 2C Due: Friday Mar 4 23:59**

**Part 2D Due: Friday Mar 11 23:59**

[Collaboration policy](#) // [Submit lab](#) // [Setup Go](#) // [Guidance](#) // [Piazza](#)

---

## Introduction

This is the first in a series of labs in which you'll build a fault-tolerant key/value storage system. In this lab you'll implement Raft, a replicated state machine protocol. In the next lab you'll build a key/value service on top of Raft. Then you will “shard” your service over multiple replicated state machines for higher performance.

A replicated service achieves fault tolerance by storing complete copies of its state (i.e., data) on multiple replica servers. Replication allows the service to continue operating even if some of its servers experience failures (crashes or a broken or flaky network). The challenge is that failures may cause the replicas to hold differing copies of the data.

Raft organizes client requests into a sequence, called the log, and ensures that all the replica servers see the same log. Each replica executes client requests in log order, applying them to its local copy of the service's state. Since all the live replicas see the same log contents, they all execute the same requests in the same order, and thus continue to have identical service state. If a server fails but later recovers, Raft takes care of bringing its log up to date. Raft will continue to operate as long as at least a majority of the servers are alive and can talk to each other. If there is no such majority, Raft will make no progress, but will pick up where it left off as soon as a majority can communicate again.

In this lab you'll implement Raft as a Go object type with associated methods, meant to be used as a module in a larger service. A set of Raft instances talk to each other with RPC to maintain replicated logs. Your Raft interface will support an indefinite sequence of numbered commands, also called log entries. The entries are numbered with *index numbers*. The log entry with a given index will eventually be committed. At that point, your Raft should send the log entry to the larger service for it to execute.

You should follow the design in the [extended Raft paper](#), with particular attention to Figure 2. You'll implement most of what's in the paper, including saving persistent state and reading it after a node fails and then restarts. You will not implement cluster membership changes (Section 6).

You may find this [guide](#) useful, as well as this advice about [locking](#) and [structure](#) for concurrency. For a wider perspective, have a look at Paxos, Chubby, Paxos Made Live, Spanner, Zookeeper, Harp, Viewstamped Replication, and [Bolosky et al.](#) (Note: the student's guide was written several years

ago, and part 2D in particular has since changed. Make sure you understand why a particular implementation strategy makes sense before blindly following it!)

Keep in mind that the most challenging part of this lab may not be implementing your solution, but debugging it. To help address this challenge, you may wish to spend time thinking about how to make your implementation more easily debuggable. You might refer to the [Guidance](#) page and to [this blog post about effective print statements](#).

We also provide a [diagram of Raft interactions](#) that can help clarify how your Raft code interacts with the layers on top of it.

This lab is due in four parts. You must submit each part on the corresponding due date.

## Getting Started

If you have done Lab 1, you already have a copy of the lab source code. If not, you can find directions for obtaining the source via git in the [Lab 1 instructions](#).

We supply you with skeleton code `src/raft/raft.go`. We also supply a set of tests, which you should use to drive your implementation efforts, and which we'll use to grade your submitted lab. The tests are in `src/raft/test_test.go`.

When we grade your submissions, we will run the tests without the `-race` flag. However, you should make sure that your code does not have race conditions because race conditions can cause the tests to fail. So, it's highly recommended to also run the tests with the `-race` flag as you develop your solution.

To get up and running, execute the following commands. Don't forget the `git pull` to get the latest software.

```
$ cd ~/6.824
$ git pull
...
$ cd src/raft
$ go test
Test (2A): initial election ...
--- FAIL: TestInitialElection2A (5.04s)
    config.go:326: expected one leader, got none
Test (2A): election after network failure ...
--- FAIL: TestReElection2A (5.03s)
    config.go:326: expected one leader, got none
...
$
```

## The code

Implement Raft by adding code to `raft/raft.go`. In that file you'll find skeleton code, plus examples of how to send and receive RPCs.

Your implementation must support the following interface, which the tester and (eventually) your key/value server will use. You'll find more details in comments in `raft.go`.

```
// create a new Raft server instance:
rf := Make(peers, me, persister, applyCh)
```

```
// start agreement on a new log entry:
rf.Start(command interface{}) (index, term, isleader)

// ask a Raft for its current term, and whether it thinks it is leader
rf.GetState() (term, isLeader)

// each time a new entry is committed to the log, each Raft peer
// should send an ApplyMsg to the service (or tester).
type ApplyMsg
```

A service calls `Make(peers, me, ...)` to create a Raft peer. The `peers` argument is an array of network identifiers of the Raft peers (including this one), for use with RPC. The `me` argument is the index of this peer in the `peers` array. `Start(command)` asks Raft to start the processing to append the command to the replicated log. `Start()` should return immediately, without waiting for the log appends to complete. The service expects your implementation to send an `ApplyMsg` for each newly committed log entry to the `applyCh` channel argument to `Make()`.

`raft.go` contains example code that sends an RPC (`sendRequestVote()`) and that handles an incoming RPC (`RequestVote()`). Your Raft peers should exchange RPCs using the `labrpc` Go package (source in `src/labrpc`). The tester can tell `labrpc` to delay RPCs, re-order them, and discard them to simulate various network failures. While you can temporarily modify `labrpc`, make sure your Raft works with the original `labrpc`, since that's what we'll use to test and grade your lab. Your Raft instances must interact only with RPC; for example, they are not allowed to communicate using shared Go variables or files.

Subsequent labs build on this lab, so it is important to give yourself enough time to write solid code.

## Part 2A: leader election ([moderate](#))

### TASK

Implement Raft leader election and heartbeats (`AppendEntries` RPCs with no log entries). The goal for Part 2A is for a single leader to be elected, for the leader to remain the leader if there are no failures, and for a new leader to take over if the old leader fails or if packets to/from the old leader are lost. Run `go test -run 2A` to test your 2A code.

- **Hint:** You can't easily run your Raft implementation directly; instead you should run it by way of the tester, i.e. `go test -run 2A`.
- **Hint:** Follow the paper's Figure 2. At this point you care about sending and receiving `RequestVote` RPCs, the Rules for Servers that relate to elections, and the State related to leader election.
- **Hint:** Add the Figure 2 state for leader election to the `Raft` struct in `raft.go`. You'll also need to define a struct to hold information about each log entry.
- **Hint:** Fill in the `RequestVoteArgs` and `RequestVoteReply` structs. Modify `Make()` to create a background goroutine that will kick off leader election periodically by sending out `RequestVote` RPCs when it hasn't heard from another peer for a while. This way a peer will learn who is the leader, if there is already a leader, or become the leader itself. Implement the `RequestVote()` RPC handler so that servers will vote for one another.
- **Hint:** To implement heartbeats, define an `AppendEntries` RPC struct (though you may not need all the arguments yet), and have the leader send them out periodically. Write an `AppendEntries` RPC handler method that resets the election timeout so that other servers don't step forward as leaders when one has already been elected.

- **Hint:** Make sure the election timeouts in different peers don't always fire at the same time, or else all peers will vote only for themselves and no one will become the leader.
- **Hint:** The tester requires that the leader send heartbeat RPCs no more than ten times per second.
- **Hint:** The tester requires your Raft to elect a new leader within five seconds of the failure of the old leader (if a majority of peers can still communicate). Remember, however, that leader election may require multiple rounds in case of a split vote (which can happen if packets are lost or if candidates unluckily choose the same random backoff times). You must pick election timeouts (and thus heartbeat intervals) that are short enough that it's very likely that an election will complete in less than five seconds even if it requires multiple rounds.
- **Hint:** The paper's Section 5.2 mentions election timeouts in the range of 150 to 300 milliseconds. Such a range only makes sense if the leader sends heartbeats considerably more often than once per 150 milliseconds. Because the tester limits you to 10 heartbeats per second, you will have to use an election timeout larger than the paper's 150 to 300 milliseconds, but not too large, because then you may fail to elect a leader within five seconds.
- **Hint:** You may find Go's [rand](#) useful.
- **Hint:** You'll need to write code that takes actions periodically or after delays in time. The easiest way to do this is to create a goroutine with a loop that calls [time.Sleep\(\)](#); (see the `ticker()` goroutine that `Make()` creates for this purpose). Don't use Go's `time.Timer` or `time.Ticker`, which are difficult to use correctly.
- **Hint:** The [Guidance page](#) has some tips on how to develop and debug your code.
- **Hint:** If your code has trouble passing the tests, read the paper's Figure 2 again; the full logic for leader election is spread over multiple parts of the figure.
- **Hint:** Don't forget to implement `GetState()`.
- **Hint:** The tester calls your Raft's `rf.Kill()` when it is permanently shutting down an instance. You can check whether `Kill()` has been called using `rf.killed()`. You may want to do this in all loops, to avoid having dead Raft instances print confusing messages.
- **Hint:** Go RPC sends only struct fields whose names start with capital letters. Sub-structures must also have capitalized field names (e.g. fields of log records in an array). The `labgob` package will warn you about this; don't ignore the warnings.

Be sure you pass the 2A tests before submitting Part 2A, so that you see something like this:

```
$ go test -run 2A
Test (2A): initial election ...
... Passed -- 3.5 3 58 16840 0
Test (2A): election after network failure ...
... Passed -- 5.4 3 118 25269 0
Test (2A): multiple elections ...
... Passed -- 7.3 7 624 138014 0
PASS
ok      6.824/raft      16.265s
$
```

Each "Passed" line contains five numbers; these are the time that the test took in seconds, the number of Raft peers, the number of RPCs sent during the test, the total number of bytes in the RPC messages, and the number of log entries that Raft reports were committed. Your numbers will differ from those shown here. You can ignore the numbers if you like, but they may help you sanity-check the number of RPCs that your implementation sends. For all of labs 2, 3, and 4, the grading script will fail your solution if it takes more than 600 seconds for all of the tests (`go test`), or if any individual test takes more than 120 seconds.

When we grade your submissions, we will run the tests without the `-race` flag but you should also make sure that your code consistently passes the tests with the `-race` flag.

## Part 2B: log (**hard**)

Implement the leader and follower code to append new log entries, so that the `go test -run 2B` tests pass.

### TASK

- **Hint:** Run `git pull` to get the latest lab software.
- **Hint:** Your first goal should be to pass `TestBasicAgree2B()`. Start by implementing `Start()`, then write the code to send and receive new log entries via `AppendEntries` RPCs, following Figure 2. Send each newly committed entry on `applyCh` on each peer.
- **Hint:** You will need to implement the election restriction (section 5.4.1 in the paper).
- **Hint:** One way to fail to reach agreement in the early Lab 2B tests is to hold repeated elections even though the leader is alive. Look for bugs in election timer management, or not sending out heartbeats immediately after winning an election.
- **Hint:** Your code may have loops that repeatedly check for certain events. Don't have these loops execute continuously without pausing, since that will slow your implementation enough that it fails tests. Use Go's [condition variables](#), or insert a `time.Sleep(10 * time.Millisecond)` in each loop iteration.
- **Hint:** Do yourself a favor for future labs and write (or re-write) code that's clean and clear. For ideas, re-visit our the [Guidance page](#) with tips on how to develop and debug your code.
- **Hint:** If you fail a test, look over the code for the test in `config.go` and `test_test.go` to get a better understanding what the test is testing. `config.go` also illustrates how the tester uses the Raft API.

The tests for upcoming labs may fail your code if it runs too slowly. You can check how much real time and CPU time your solution uses with the `time` command. Here's typical output:

```
$ time go test -run 2B
Test (2B): basic agreement ...
... Passed --    0.9  3  16    4572    3
Test (2B): RPC byte count ...
... Passed --    1.7  3  48  114536   11
Test (2B): agreement after follower reconnects ...
... Passed --    3.6  3  78   22131    7
Test (2B): no agreement if too many followers disconnect ...
... Passed --    3.8  5  172  40935    3
Test (2B): concurrent Start()s ...
... Passed --    1.1  3  24   7379    6
Test (2B): rejoin of partitioned leader ...
... Passed --    5.1  3  152  37021    4
Test (2B): leader backs up quickly over incorrect follower logs ...
... Passed --   17.2  5 2080 1587388  102
Test (2B): RPC counts aren't too high ...
... Passed --    2.2  3   60   20119   12
PASS
ok      6.824/raft    35.557s

real    0m35.899s
user    0m2.556s
sys     0m1.458s
$
```

The "ok 6.824/raft 35.557s" means that Go measured the time taken for the 2B tests to be 35.557 seconds of real (wall-clock) time. The "user 0m2.556s" means that the code consumed 2.556 seconds of CPU time, or time spent actually executing instructions (rather than waiting or sleeping). If your solution uses much more than a minute of real time for the 2B tests, or much more than 5 seconds of CPU time, you may run into trouble later on. Look for time spent sleeping or waiting for RPC timeouts, loops that run without sleeping or waiting for conditions or channel messages, or large numbers of RPCs sent.

## Part 2C: persistence (**hard**)

If a Raft-based server reboots it should resume service where it left off. This requires that Raft keep persistent state that survives a reboot. The paper's Figure 2 mentions which state should be persistent.

A real implementation would write Raft's persistent state to disk each time it changed, and would read the state from disk when restarting after a reboot. Your implementation won't use the disk; instead, it will save and restore persistent state from a `Persister` object (see `persister.go`). Whoever calls `Raft.Make()` supplies a `Persister` that initially holds Raft's most recently persisted state (if any). Raft should initialize its state from that `Persister`, and should use it to save its persistent state each time the state changes. Use the `Persister`'s `ReadRaftState()` and `SaveRaftState()` methods.

### TASK

Complete the functions `persist()` and `readPersist()` in `raft.go` by adding code to save and restore persistent state. You will need to encode (or "serialize") the state as an array of bytes in order to pass it to the `Persister`. Use the `labgob` encoder; see the comments in `persist()` and `readPersist()`. `labgob` is like Go's `gob` encoder but prints error messages if you try to encode structures with lower-case field names. Insert calls to `persist()` at the points where your implementation changes persistent state. Once you've done this, and if the rest of your implementation is correct, you should pass all of the 2C tests.

- **Hint:** Run `git pull` to get the latest lab software.
- **Hint:** The 2C tests are more demanding than those for 2A or 2B, and failures may be caused by problems in your code for 2A or 2B.
- **Hint:** You will probably need the optimization that backs up `nextIndex` by more than one entry at a time. Look at the [extended Raft paper](#) starting at the bottom of page 7 and top of page 8 (marked by a gray line). The paper is vague about the details; you will need to fill in the gaps, perhaps with the help of the 6.824 Raft lecture notes.

Your code should pass all the 2C tests (as shown below), as well as the 2A and 2B tests.

```
$ go test -run 2C
Test (2C): basic persistence ...
... Passed -- 5.0 3 86 22849 6
Test (2C): more persistence ...
... Passed -- 17.6 5 952 218854 16
Test (2C): partitioned leader and one follower crash, leader restarts ...
... Passed -- 2.0 3 34 8937 4
Test (2C): Figure 8 ...
... Passed -- 31.2 5 580 130675 32
Test (2C): unreliable agreement ...
```



```

... Passed -- 1.7 5 1044 366392 246
Test (2C): Figure 8 (unreliable) ...
... Passed -- 33.6 5 10700 33695245 308
Test (2C): churn ...
... Passed -- 16.1 5 8864 44771259 1544
Test (2C): unreliable churn ...
... Passed -- 16.5 5 4220 6414632 906
PASS
ok      6.824/raft      123.564s
$

```

It is a good idea to run the tests multiple times before submitting and check that each run prints PASS.

```
$ for i in {0..10}; do go test; done
```

## Part 2D: log compaction (**hard**)

As things stand now, a rebooting server replays the complete Raft log in order to restore its state. However, it's not practical for a long-running service to remember the complete Raft log forever. Instead, you'll modify Raft to cooperate with services that persistently store a "snapshot" of their state from time to time, at which point Raft discards log entries that precede the snapshot. The result is a smaller amount of persistent data and faster restart. However, it's now possible for a follower to fall so far behind that the leader has discarded the log entries it needs to catch up; the leader must then send a snapshot plus the log starting at the time of the snapshot. Section 7 of the [extended Raft paper](#) outlines the scheme; you will have to design the details.

You may find it helpful to refer to the [diagram of Raft interactions](#) to understand how the replicated service and Raft communicate.

Your Raft must provide the following function that the service can call with a serialized snapshot of its state:

```
Snapshot(index int, snapshot []byte)
```

In Lab 2D, the tester calls `Snapshot()` periodically. In Lab 3, you will write a key/value server that calls `Snapshot()`; the snapshot will contain the complete table of key/value pairs. The service layer calls `Snapshot()` on every peer (not just on the leader).

The `index` argument indicates the highest log entry that's reflected in the snapshot. Raft should discard its log entries before that point. You'll need to revise your Raft code to operate while storing only the tail of the log.

You'll need to implement the `InstallSnapshot` RPC discussed in the paper that allows a Raft leader to tell a lagging Raft peer to replace its state with a snapshot. You will likely need to think through how `InstallSnapshot` should interact with the state and rules in Figure 2.

When a follower's Raft code receives an `InstallSnapshot` RPC, it can use the `applyCh` to send the snapshot to the service in an `ApplyMsg`. The `ApplyMsg` struct definition already contains the fields you will need (and which the tester expects). Take care that these snapshots only advance the service's state, and don't cause it to move backwards.

If a server crashes, it must restart from persisted data. Your Raft should persist both Raft state and the corresponding snapshot. Use `persister.SaveStateAndSnapshot()`, which takes separate

arguments for the Raft state and the corresponding snapshot. If there's no snapshot, pass `nil` as the `snapshot` argument.

When a server restarts, the application layer reads the persisted snapshot and restores its saved state.

Previously, this lab recommended that you implement a function called `CondInstallSnapshot` to avoid the requirement that snapshots and log entries sent on `applyCh` are coordinated. This vestigial API interface remains, but you are discouraged from implementing it: instead, we suggest that you simply have it return `true`.

## TASK

Implement `Snapshot()` and the `InstallSnapshot` RPC, as well as the changes to Raft to support these (e.g, operation with a trimmed log). Your solution is complete when it passes the 2D tests (and all the previous Lab 2 tests).

- **Hint:** `git pull` to make sure you have the latest software.
- **Hint:** A good place to start is to modify your code so that it is able to store just the part of the log starting at some index `X`. Initially you can set `X` to zero and run the 2B/2C tests. Then make `Snapshot(index)` discard the log before `index`, and set `X` equal to `index`. If all goes well you should now pass the first 2D test.
- **Hint:** You won't be able to store the log in a Go slice and use Go slice indices interchangeably with Raft log indices; you'll need to index the slice in a way that accounts for the discarded portion of the log.
- **Hint:** Next: have the leader send an `InstallSnapshot` RPC if it doesn't have the log entries required to bring a follower up to date.
- **Hint:** Send the entire snapshot in a single `InstallSnapshot` RPC. Don't implement Figure 13's `offset` mechanism for splitting up the snapshot.
- **Hint:** Raft must discard old log entries in a way that allows the Go garbage collector to free and re-use the memory; this requires that there be no reachable references (pointers) to the discarded log entries.
- **Hint:** Even when the log is trimmed, your implementation still needs to properly send the term and index of the entry prior to new entries in `AppendEntries` RPCs; this may require saving and referencing the latest snapshot's `lastIncludedTerm/lastIncludedIndex` (consider whether this should be persisted).
- **Hint:** A reasonable amount of time to consume for the full set of Lab 2 tests (2A+2B+2C+2D) without `-race` is 6 minutes of real time and one minute of CPU time. When running with `-race`, it is about 10 minutes of real time and two minutes of CPU time.

Your code should pass all the 2D tests (as shown below), as well as the 2A, 2B, and 2C tests.

```
$ go test -run 2D
Test (2D): snapshots basic ...
... Passed -- 11.6 3 176 61716 192
Test (2D): install snapshots (disconnect) ...
... Passed -- 64.2 3 878 320610 336
Test (2D): install snapshots (disconnect+unreliable) ...
... Passed -- 81.1 3 1059 375850 341
Test (2D): install snapshots (crash) ...
... Passed -- 53.5 3 601 256638 339
Test (2D): install snapshots (unreliable+crash) ...
... Passed -- 63.5 3 687 288294 336
```



```
Test (2D): crash and restart all servers ...  
... Passed -- 19.5 3 268 81352 58  
PASS  
ok      6.824/raft      293.456s
```

Again, a reminder that when we grade your submissions, we will run the tests without the `-race` flag but you should also make sure that your code consistently passes the tests with the `-race` flag.