### **MATH 676**

# Finite element methods in scientific computing

Wolfgang Bangerth, Texas A&M University

Lecture 21.5:

**Boundary conditions**Part 1: Theory

### **General considerations**

### There are many kinds of boundary conditions:

- Dirichlet conditions
- Neumann conditions
- Robin conditions
- Strong conditions
- Natural conditions
- Force conditions
- Tractions conditions
- ...

**Question:** What do they all mean, where do they enter the picture, and how do we deal with them?

### **General considerations**

### There are many kinds of boundary conditions:

Dirichlet conditions

$$u = g$$

on 
$$\partial \Omega$$

Neumann conditions

$$a \partial u / \partial n = g$$

on 
$$\partial \Omega$$

Robin conditions

$$bu \pm a \partial u / \partial n = g$$
 on  $\partial \Omega$ 

on 
$$\partial \Omega$$

- Strong conditions
- Natural conditions
- Force conditions
- Tractions conditions

Question: What do they all mean, where do they enter the picture, and how do we deal with them?

### Laplace equation with zero boundary values:

Strong form:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
  
 
$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

• Weak form: find  $u \in V_0 = H_0^1$  so that

$$(\nabla v, \nabla u) = (v, f) \qquad \forall v \in V_0$$

This is equivalent to finding the minimizer of

$$\min_{u \in V_0} J(u) = \frac{1}{2} ||\nabla u||^2 - (f, u)$$

### Laplace equation with non-zero boundary values:

Strong form:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u = g \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

• Weak form: find  $u \in V_g = \{v \in H^1: v = g \text{ on the boundary}\}$ 

$$(\nabla v, \nabla u) = (v, f) \qquad \forall v \in ??$$

**Question:** What is the appropriate test space here?

### Laplace equation with non-zero boundary values:

Strong form:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u = g \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

Equivalent to finding the minimizer of

$$\min_{u \in V_g} J(u) = \frac{1}{2} ||\nabla u||^2 - (f, u)$$

The minimizer needs to satisfy the optimality condition:

$$J(u) \le J(u + \varepsilon v) \qquad \forall u + \varepsilon v \in V_q$$

• Since u=g on  $\partial \Omega$  we need v=0 on  $\partial \Omega$  so that  $u+\varepsilon v \in V_g$ 

### Laplace equation with non-zero boundary values:

Strong form:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u = g \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

Equivalent to finding the minimizer of

$$\min_{u \in V_g} J(u) = \frac{1}{2} ||\nabla u||^2 - (f, u)$$

For differentiable J the optimality condition is:

$$J'(u)(v) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{J(u+\varepsilon v) - J(u)}{\varepsilon} = (\nabla v, \nabla u) - (v, f) = 0 \quad \forall v$$

The appropriate test space is then the tangent space,

$$v \in V_0 = \{ \phi \in H^1 : \phi |_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \}$$

# Laplace equation with non-zero boundary values on parts of the boundary:

Strong form:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \subset \partial \Omega$$

$$\partial u / \partial n = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 = \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$$

The corresponding weak form is then

$$J'(u)(v) = (\nabla v, \nabla u) - (v, f) = 0 \quad \forall v$$

The appropriate test space is again the tangent space,

$$v \in V_0 = \{ \phi \in H^1 : \phi \mid_{\partial \Gamma_1} = 0 \}$$

### From strong to weak form:

Strong form:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \subset \partial \Omega$$

$$\partial u / \partial n = h \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 = \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$$

Take equation and multiply by test function:

$$(v, -\Delta u)_{\Omega} = (v, f)_{\Omega} \quad \forall v$$

Integrate by parts, get boundary terms:

$$(\nabla v, \nabla u)_{\Omega} - (v, n \cdot \nabla u)_{\partial \Omega} = (v, f)_{\Omega} \qquad \forall v \in V_0$$

Split boundary term:

$$(\nabla v, \nabla u)_{\Omega} - (v, n \cdot \nabla u)_{\Gamma_1} - (v, n \cdot \nabla u)_{\Gamma_2} = (v, f)_{\Omega} \quad \forall v \in V_0$$

### From strong to weak form:

Strong form:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \subset \partial \Omega$$

$$\partial u / \partial n = h \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 = \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$$

Consider the boundary terms separately:

$$(\nabla v, \nabla u)_{\Omega} - \left(\underbrace{v}_{=0}, n \cdot \nabla u\right)_{\Gamma_1} - \left(\underbrace{v}_{=h}, \underbrace{n \cdot \nabla u}_{=h}\right)_{\Gamma_2} = (v, f)_{\Omega} \qquad \forall v \in V_0$$

This leads to the final form:

$$(\nabla v, \nabla u)_{\Omega} = (v, f)_{\Omega} + (v, h)_{\Gamma_2}$$

$$\forall v \in V_0$$

### From strong to weak form:

Strong form:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \subset \partial \Omega$$

$$\partial u / \partial n = h \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 = \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$$

Consider the boundary terms separately:

$$(\nabla v, \nabla u)_{\Omega} - \left(\underbrace{v}_{=0}, n \cdot \nabla u\right)_{\Gamma_1} - \left(\underbrace{v}_{=n}, \underbrace{n \cdot \nabla u}_{=n}\right)_{\Gamma_2} = (v, f)_{\Omega} \qquad \forall v \in V_0$$

- We call boundary conditions
  - strong, if a term disappears because of the test space
  - natural, if a term gets replaced and goes to the r.h.s.
- For Laplace: Dirichlet=strong, Neumann=natural

#### What about Robin conditions:

Strong form:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \subset \partial \Omega$$

$$bu + \partial u / \partial n = h \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 = \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$$

This yields:

$$(\nabla v, \nabla u)_{\Omega} - (\underbrace{v}_{=0}, n \cdot \nabla u)_{\Gamma_1} - (\underbrace{v}_{=h-bu})_{\Gamma_2} = (v, f)_{\Omega} \qquad \forall v \in V_0$$

And in final form:

$$(\nabla v, \nabla u)_{\Omega} + (v, bu)_{\Gamma_2} = (v, f)_{\Omega} + (v, h)_{\Gamma_2}$$

 $\forall v \in V_0$ 

### What about equations with coefficients:

Strong form:

$$-\nabla \cdot A \nabla u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \subset \partial \Omega$$

$$?? = h \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 = \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$$

This yields after integration by parts:

$$(\nabla v, \nabla u)_{\Omega} - \left(\underbrace{v}_{=0}, n \cdot A \nabla u\right)_{\Gamma_1} - \left(v, \underbrace{n \cdot A \nabla u}_{??}\right)_{\Gamma_2} = (v, f)_{\Omega} \qquad \forall v \in V_0$$

 To replace the third term, we need that the natural (Neumann) boundary condition reads

$$n \cdot A \nabla u = h$$
 on  $\Gamma_2$ 

This corresponds with the physical definition of flux.

# More general equations

Question: Is it generally true that

- Dirichlet = strong boundary condition
- Neumann = natural boundary condition

or does this only apply to the Laplace equation?

#### **Answer:**

No! It all depends on the spaces and bilinear forms.

# **Example: Mixed Laplace**

### **Consider the mixed Laplace equation:**

$$A^{-1}u + \nabla p = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$p = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \subset \partial \Omega$$

$$n \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 = \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$$

Multiplying by test functions, integrating by parts yields

$$(v, A^{-1}u)_{\Omega} - (\nabla \cdot v, p)_{\Omega} + (v, np)_{\partial\Omega} - (q, \nabla \cdot u)_{\Omega} = -(q, f)_{\Omega} \quad \forall v \in U, q \in P$$

with spaces ("sort of")

$$U = \{ v \in H(\text{div}) : n \cdot v |_{\Gamma_2} = 0 \}$$

$$P = L_2$$

# **Example: Mixed Laplace**

### **Consider the mixed Laplace equation:**

$$A^{-1}u + \nabla p = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$p = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \subset \partial \Omega$$

$$n \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 = \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$$

Considering boundary terms separately:

$$(v, A^{-1}u)_{\Omega} - (\nabla \cdot v, p)_{\Omega} + (v, n p)_{\Gamma_1} + (\underbrace{n \cdot v}_{=0}, p)_{\Gamma_2} - (q, \nabla \cdot u)_{\Omega} = -(q, f)_{\Omega}$$

 $\forall v \in U, q \in P$ 

This yields:

$$(v, A^{-1}u)_{\Omega} - (\nabla \cdot v, p)_{\Omega} - (q, \nabla \cdot u)_{\Omega} = -(q, f)_{\Omega} - (v, ng)_{\Gamma_1} \quad \forall v \in U, q \in P$$

**Here:** 
$$p=g \rightarrow \text{Dirichlet} \rightarrow \text{natural boundary condition}$$
  $n \cdot u = 0 \rightarrow \text{Dirichlet} \rightarrow \text{strong boundary condition}$ 

# **Example: Mixed Laplace**

### What happens with conflicting boundary conditions:

$$A^{-1}u + \nabla p = 0$$
 in  $\Omega$   
 $\nabla \cdot u = f$  in  $\Omega$   
 $p = g$  on  $\partial \Omega$   
 $n \cdot u = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ 

After integration by parts we then have

$$(v, A^{-1}u)_{\Omega} - (\nabla \cdot v, p)_{\Omega} + \left(\underbrace{n \cdot v}_{=0}, \underbrace{p}_{=g}\right)_{\partial \Omega} - (q, \nabla \cdot u)_{\Omega} = -(q, f)_{\Omega} \quad \forall v \in U, q \in P$$

This yields:

$$(v, A^{-1}u)_{\Omega} - (\nabla \cdot v, p)_{\Omega} - (q, \nabla \cdot u)_{\Omega} = -(q, f)_{\Omega}$$
  $\forall v \in U, q \in P$ 

**Here:** p=g does not appear at all. It will be ignored.

### **Consider the Stokes equations:**

$$-\eta \Delta u + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

Question: What boundary conditions can we pose?

**Answer:** Form the bilinear form:

$$(\eta \nabla v, \nabla u)_{\Omega} - (v, n \cdot \eta \nabla u)_{\partial \Omega} - (\nabla \cdot v, p)_{\Omega} + (n \cdot v, p)_{\partial \Omega} - (q, \nabla \cdot u)_{\Omega} = (v, f)_{\Omega} \quad \forall v, q \in \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}$$

Combine boundary terms:

$$(\eta \nabla v, \nabla u)_{\Omega} - (\nabla \cdot v, p)_{\Omega} - \frac{(v, n \cdot [\eta \nabla u - pI])_{\partial \Omega}}{(v, n \cdot [\eta \nabla u - pI])_{\partial \Omega}} - (q, \nabla \cdot u)_{\Omega} = (v, f)_{\Omega} \qquad \forall v, q \in \mathbb{R}$$

### **Consider the Stokes equations:**

$$-\eta \Delta u + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

**Question:** What boundary conditions can we pose?

**Answer:** Consider the boundary term:

$$(\mathbf{v}, n \cdot [\mathbf{\eta} \nabla u - p I])_{\partial \Omega}$$

We can then consider the following boundary conditions:

• Prescribed velocity:  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = g \rightarrow v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ 

• Prescribed traction force:  $n \cdot [\eta \nabla u - pI]|_{\partial \Omega} = h$ 

**Here:** Dirichlet=strong, Neumann=natural

### **Stokes equations with Dirichlet conditions:**

$$-\eta \Delta u + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

### The complete formulation is then:

Find 
$$u \in U_g = \{v \in H^1(\Omega)^d : v|_{\partial\Omega} = g\}, p \in L_2(\Omega)$$
 so that 
$$(\eta \nabla v, \nabla u)_{\Omega} - (\nabla \cdot v, p)_{\Omega} - (q, \nabla \cdot u)_{\Omega} = (v, f)_{\Omega} \quad \forall v \in U_{0}, q \in P$$

### **Stokes equations with Neumann conditions:**

$$-\eta \Delta u + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$n \cdot [\eta \nabla u - pI] = h \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

### The complete formulation is then:

Find 
$$u \in U = H^1(\Omega)^d$$
,  $p \in L_2(\Omega)$  so that

$$(\eta \nabla v, \nabla u)_{\Omega} - (\nabla v, p)_{\Omega} - (q, \nabla v)_{\Omega} = (v, f)_{\Omega} + (v, h)_{\partial \Omega} \qquad \forall v \in U, q \in P$$

**Question:** What if we prescribe u.n=g?

**Answer:** Then v.n=0. Consider again the boundary term:

$$\begin{aligned} (v, n \cdot [\eta \nabla u - pI])_{\partial \Omega} &= \left( \underbrace{(n \otimes n) v}_{=(n \cdot v)n = 0} + (I - n \otimes n) v, n \cdot [\eta \nabla u - pI] \right)_{\partial \Omega} \\ &= \left( v, (I - n \otimes n) (n \cdot [\eta \nabla u - pI]) \right)_{\partial \Omega} \end{aligned}$$

**Consequence:** If we prescribe *only* the normal component of the velocity, we also need to prescribe the *tangential* component of the traction:

$$|u \cdot n|_{\partial \Omega} = g$$
  
$$(I - n \otimes n)(n \cdot [\eta \nabla u - pI]) = h$$

**Question:** What if we prescribe the *physical traction force*:

$$n \cdot [2 \eta \varepsilon(u) - pI]|_{\partial \Omega} = h$$
 where  $\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla u + \nabla u^T)$ 

**Answer:** Consider again the boundary term:

$$(v, n \cdot [\eta \nabla u - p I])_{\partial \Omega}$$

Consequence: You can't do this.

**Question:** What if we prescribe the *physical traction force*:

$$n \cdot [2 \eta \varepsilon(u) - pI]|_{\partial \Omega} = h$$
 where  $\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla u + \nabla u^T)$ 

**Answer:** You can't do this. However, if we formulate the Stokes equations as

$$-2\eta \nabla \cdot \varepsilon(u) + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

then the weak form is

$$(2\eta\varepsilon(v),\varepsilon(u))_{\Omega}-(\nabla\cdot v,p)_{\Omega}-(v,n\cdot[2\eta\varepsilon(u)-pI])_{\partial\Omega} -(q,\nabla\cdot u)_{\Omega}=(v,f)_{\Omega} \qquad \forall v,q$$

and we can impose this boundary condition.

**Note:** The two formulations of the Stokes equations,

$$-\eta \Delta u + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

and

$$-2\eta \nabla \cdot \varepsilon(u) + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

are equivalent because

$$2\eta \nabla \cdot \varepsilon(u) = \eta \nabla \cdot (\nabla u) + \eta \nabla \cdot (\nabla u^{T}) = \eta \Delta u + \eta \nabla (\underbrace{\nabla \cdot u}_{=0}) = \eta \Delta u$$

They only differ in whether we can impose:

- The *unphysical traction*  $n \cdot [\eta \nabla u pI]|_{\partial \Omega} = h$
- The *physical traction*  $n \cdot [2 \eta \epsilon(u) pI]|_{\partial \Omega} = h$

## **Summary**

- Boundary conditions are complicated
- They can be resolved by looking at the boundary terms after integrating by parts

- You can impose strong conditions:
  - relating to the test function in these terms
  - appear as part of the function space for the solution
  - are zero in the function space for the test function
- You can impose natural conditions:
  - relating to the solution in these terms
  - are replaced by their boundary value
  - are brought to the right hand side of the weak form

### **MATH 676**

# Finite element methods in scientific computing

Wolfgang Bangerth, Texas A&M University