CSC411 Assignment 1

Yue Guo

October 5, 2017

1 Learning basics of regression in Python

1.1 Describe and summarize the data

Dimension: 13 Target: price

Data points: for each feature, we have 506 data points

1.2 visualization

1.3




Figure 1:

1.3.1 Feature weights

Weights of each feature:

CRIM	39.3306546864
ZN	-0.105570660997
INDUS	0.033569463917
CHAS	0.0501338462503
NOX	2.44159672082
RM	-18.9563291605
AGE	3.65717113479
DIS	0.00193877592741
RAD	-1.46699325228
TAX	0.349594800713
PTRATIO	-0.0145786907583
В	-0.959592750851
LSTAT	0.008452561222

1.3.2 MSE of my model

19.0490487755

1.3.3 Two more error measurement

normal error = 313.546384855mean square root = 0.281596677525

I suggest these two error measurements because they do not square the differences.

1.3.4 Most significant feature

Based on my results, the most significant feature is AGE. It has lowest MSE value among all features. mse of each weight

ZN	484.413688573
INDUS	1167.93253171
CHAS	1099.4260966
NOX	1296.12240787
RM	1503.03007542
AGE	13.3927241703
DIS	699.634285002
RAD	494.827199307
TAX	273.13255436
PTRATIO	360.690295753
В	1211.11481317
LSTAT	325.90073992

2 Locally weighted regression

2.1 weighted least square problem and analytic solution proof

hih Since the matrix A is diagonal and
$$\hat{y} = A^T x$$
 and $L(w) = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma a^{(i)} (y^{(i)} - W^T x^{(i)})^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||W||^2$
$$L(w) = \frac{1}{2} A[(y - W^T x)(y - W^T x)] + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||W||^2$$

$$L(w) = \frac{1}{2} A(y^T y + W^T X^T X W - 2W^T X^T y) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||W||^2$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} = \frac{1}{2} \times 2A[X^T X W^* - X^T y] + \lambda ||W|| = 0$$

$$AX^T X W^* - X^T Ay + \lambda W^* = 0$$

$$(AX^T X + \lambda)W^* = X^T Ay$$

$$W^* = X^T Ay (AX^T X + \lambda I)^{-1}$$

2.2




Figure 2:

2.3

The algorithm produces results or weights of each feature with a large variance if $\tau \to 0$, and variance $\to 0$ if $\tau \to 0$

Mini-batch 3

Proof of expected value of mini batches

 $RHS = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$ is the average of all samples in the data set LHS: $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i$ is the average of each random batch. Since each batch is drown randomly from the dataset, the m elements each have $\frac{1}{n}$ chance to be drawn. The probability of the batch is $\frac{m}{n}$. $E(\frac{1}{m}\Sigma a_i) = \frac{m}{n} \times \frac{1}{m}\Sigma a_i = \frac{1}{n}\Sigma_{i=1}^n a_i$ **QED**

3.2 Proof of gradients

From the result of part 1, substitute l into a_i

$$E\left[\frac{1}{m}\Sigma l(x,y,\theta)\right] = \frac{1}{n}\Sigma l(x,y,\theta)$$

$$E[L(x,y,\theta)] = L(x,y,\theta)$$

$$E[L(x, y, \theta)] = L(x, y, \theta)$$

apply gradient, we have

$$\nabla E[L(x, y, \theta)] = L(x, y, \theta)$$

$$E[\nabla L(x, y, \theta)] = \nabla L(x, y, \theta)$$

Importance of this result

This implies that k random batches of data can approximate the gradient of the complete dataset.

3.4 Gradient

3.4.1 Analytic solution

$$\nabla L = 2X^T X w - 2X^T y$$

3.4.2

see q3.py

3.5 Error measurements

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{square\ metric} = 79165708.6263\\ \mathrm{cosine\ similarity} = 0.999998432222 \end{array}$

I suggest cosine similarity because square distance takes the difference to the power of 2, which punishes certain cases more.

3.6 plot

 $\frac{3}{2}$ this is the graph




Figure 3: