

In the last few days, we have been experiencing a drop in value of our token, and market capital. What's the reason? Has \$REAU really lost its value?

The answer is: no. We are being attacked by a coordinated effort from several other projects, and people from other communities calling us a "scam", "financial pyramid", and even the creation of a dossier (which they conveniently did not want to sign off on, so we can't even guarantee the reliability of the information provided) with alleged evidence.

However, we have already identified the so-called evidence and have evidence that these same people from other projects and communities are coordinating their attacks in order to devalue our project so that they can create a "SCAM"\* token of their own.

\*SCAM, meaning any deceptive and/or fraudulent scheme or action that normally aims to obtain financial advantages.

We at \$REAU have decided to respond to your statements cited within the dossier.

### "Pyramid Scheme" / "Ponzi Scheme"

In the dossier, \$REAU was accused of running a Ponzi Scheme. Ponzi Schemes require intent to defraud investors and victims by promising quick returns which are propped up by other investors rather than legitimate business activity. From the very beginning, our community has reaffirmed through official channels that a DeFi token is **not** a confirmation of return on investment, and even with that, our staff and volunteers diligently inform newcomers that if they wish to invest, to only do so in quantities they can comfortably afford to lose. This information can be verified in our Telegram channels.

### "The token does not have demand!"

It is a fact that \$REAU does not currently have widespread utility. However, some stores and people already accept it as a form of payment in exchange for their products and/or services. After all, is the demand created alone, or do we create it? Did the inventors of other cryptocurrencies cause the demand to exist, or did they already know there was a demand? It is important to mention that the token is a decentralized currency and controlled by the community, therefore, the modes of use will be defined by both the community and third parties; these third parties being those who see the value and wish to participate.

### "Pump and Dump"

In any market, be it the stock exchange or crypto, there will be people with greatest concentrated financial power that can generate market fluctuations – this is a fact. However, in new currencies, the oscillations are more pronounced. This is because there are attentive people in the market looking for new projects and even bots available on the market to identify these new projects, making automatic purchases directly from the contract. This is nothing new in the world of crypto. However, the idea that this is a "pump and dump" scheme is refuted, given that the \$REAU community itself encourages holding the token. In cases of market manipulation through buying/selling, the author of the manipulation would have to create a volatility of at least 10% (due to slippage rates and the number of transactions). However, as stated, the community encourages holding, so there isn't much of an impact on this particular movement.

If the staff were manipulating the market, they would be spreading panic within the community on their own in order to increase the volatility, which did not happen, as the same staff has been encouraging holding of the token – going against the aforementioned “pump and dump” accusation.

The document issued informs that the prints inserted as “proof” were words said in a group of administrators, which is a complete lie. The messages were made in the main group, available to the entire community. What the print mentions is that one of the administrators of the voice chat was happy to have seen a whale take a loss from the market dump and subsequent rapid recovery which resulted in gain of 20 million \$REAU (our token distributes a portion of transactions to all holders).

## Tokens and Donations

As we have already mentioned, \$REAU is still in development. Since our cause has always been about helping others, we request donations made through PIX. We have already planned to include a section on our platform to specifically allow users to vote, and make donations which further creates utility and demand for the token.

## Why Don't You Donate the Amount in \$REAU?

As we previously said, donations were made through PIX as the token has not yet been implemented. Even during the donation rally, it was informed that the amount sent shouldn't be anything more than could be afforded. In addition, it would be impractical to not only take the time from NGOs to teach them, but the process to withdraw, and further suffer the impact of the market.

## The Whale Group

A Whale is a holder with a wallet that contains a large amount of tokens and can cause a large fluctuation in the market if they, for whatever reason, buy or sell (which impacts more). Any user or investor can be a whale in some asset if they have entered the market before others. This also occurs in the stock market. For example, if someone bought shares in MAGALU for 10 cents, and sold it today at \$89.56, it would have an impact on the market. In the same way, it would happen if that same investor made a bigger investment in that same asset – he continues to be a whale, with more strength.

In the document released, they say that to kill a whale, “sardines” (smaller investors) must sell at a low market value. However, this does not make any sense as it makes the token lose value and the whale has more buying power acquiring even more of the same asset.

## The Main Portfolio

Shown as a connection scheme between sources, this was bait for newcomers to the world of crypto. So, this “Main Wallet” made its first purchase order worth 3 trillion \$REAU on 3/22/2021 at 6pm. If you've checked the Telegram community on the same date, the group already had more than 100 people. The coin had only been released for 18 hours, and the price was no longer the launch price. In addition, as previously mentioned, there are bots that search for new projects on the market and \$REAU, just like any other DeFi token, is no exception.

What would be the sense for a developer to buy his own currency when it already has a high value?

Analyzing the transactions of this wallet, it is possible to identify that the holder has been jumping among several tokens for a long time which is exactly the pattern of a bot's activity. This is provided in the BSCScan link issued in the document, which is open and accessible to all.

There is no way to affirm or prove in any way that the owner of this portfolio is a developer or staff of \$REAU. It's just a whale that has strong economic power, that lives on trades and is always looking for new market opportunities.

Analyzing the portfolios where the alleged dump occurred, it is possible to identify that amounts were approximate, which does not prevent it from being just P2P (person to person) transactions, since the initial purchase process of \$REAU was quite complicated.

In addition, there's nothing to prevent the activity in the asset mentioned in the document from being carried out by a bot that manipulates the market by making transactions in several assets all day long.

The \$REAU staff had to stop their development in order to give this explanation to the issuers of the document, and we hope that in the same way that they used their words to denigrate \$REAU, they will have the decency to use these same words to retract their words.

Also answer: if it was you, who entered a coin toss and saw that your money was overvalued in a matter of minutes or hours, what would you do?

If you sell everything, you end the project, which is not characteristic of the Vira-Lata community.

If you sell little by little, well, you're manipulating the market, you are the bad guy.

If you do not sell, you are stealing money from others, you are the bad guy.

In all of the above cases, according to the issuers of the dossier, you will be the bad guy.

The Vira-Lata community does not like to silence its community and we are please to hear from everyone. However, just as an ordinary person does not like to be cursed at, the same thing must happen within the community, in order to avoid tarnishing Vira-Lata's image. Our principles have always been about helping those entering the world of crypto. The community values teaching what DeFi is to Brazilian people. However, for this it is necessary to control what is said so that no erroneous information is passed on. Furthermore, is it really accurate to call our community a scam, when we have already donated more than \$40,000 to various NGOs to help abandoned animals?