

TECHNICAL DEBT ANALYZER - COMPREHENSIVE DOCUMENTATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Project Overview
 2. File Structure and Descriptions
 3. Core Features and Calculations
 4. Detailed Code Analysis by File
 5. Formulas and Logic Documentation
 6. AI Integration Details
 7. Security Analysis Features
 8. Data Flow and Architecture
-

1. PROJECT OVERVIEW

Purpose

Technical Debt Analyzer is a comprehensive developer tool that analyzes GitHub repositories to identify:

- Technical debt hotspots
- Security vulnerabilities
- Code quality metrics
- Contributor efficiency
- Scalability concerns

Tech Stack

- **Frontend:** Streamlit (Python web framework)
- **Backend:** Python 3.8+
- **AI/ML:** Google Gemini API (Gemini 2.5 Flash, Gemini 3 Flash)
- **Authentication:** Firebase Realtime Database
- **Version Control:** GitPython
- **Deployment:** Streamlit Cloud

- **Security Tools:** Bandit (SAST), Safety (dependency scanning)
-

2. FILE STRUCTURE AND DESCRIPTIONS

Core Analysis Files

`git_debt_analyzer.py`

Purpose: Main orchestrator for the analysis pipeline

Key Functions:

- `run_analysis_pipeline(repo_url)` : Main entry point that coordinates all analysis steps
- `onerror()` : Error handler for file system cleanup operations

Description: This file acts as the central coordinator. It clones repositories, runs static analysis, git history analysis, dependency analysis, computes metrics, analyzes contributors, generates blueprints, and manages cleanup.

`static_analyzer.py`

Purpose: Performs static code analysis on source files

Key Functions:

- `analyze_file(file_path)` : Analyzes individual file for LOC and complexity
- `get_cyclomatic_complexity(code)` : Calculates cyclomatic complexity using AST
- `calculate_cyclomatic_complexity(node)` : Helper for AST node complexity
- `run_static_analysis(repo_path)` : Walks directory tree and analyzes all supported files

Supported Languages: Python (.py), JavaScript (.js), TypeScript (.ts), Java (.java), C/C++ (.c, .cpp), HTML/CSS, Dart (.dart), Rust (.rs), Go (.go), C# (.cs), PHP (.php), Shell/Bash (.sh, .bash), Kotlin (.kt)

Description: Scans the repository for code files, calculates Lines of Code (LOC) and Cyclomatic Complexity. For Python files, it uses AST parsing for accurate complexity. For other languages, it uses keyword-based heuristics.

`git_history_analyzer.py`

Purpose: Analyzes Git commit history to extract historical metrics

Key Functions:

- `analyze_git_history(repo_path, all_file_data)` : Main function that iterates through commits

Metrics Calculated:

- `commit_count` : Total number of commits affecting each file
- `lines_added` : Total lines added across all commits
- `lines_removed` : Total lines removed across all commits
- `unique_author_count` : Number of unique contributors per file
- `bug_fix_count` : Number of commits with bug-related keywords in message
- `author_commits` : Dictionary mapping author emails to commit counts per file

Bug Keywords: 'fix', 'bug', 'error', 'broken', 'issue', 'hotfix'

Description: Uses GitPython to traverse commit history. For each file, it analyzes all commits that modified it, tracks authorship, calculates churn (added + removed lines), and identifies bug fixes through commit message pattern matching.

`dependency_analyzer.py`

Purpose: Analyzes file dependencies (Fan-in and Fan-out)

Key Functions:

- `analyze_dependencies(repo_path, all_file_data)` : Main dependency analysis function

Metrics Calculated:

- `fan_out` : Number of files this file depends on (outgoing dependencies)
- `fan_in` : Number of files that depend on this file (incoming dependencies)

Dependency Patterns by Language:

- Python: `(?:from|import)\s+([\w\.]++)`
- JavaScript: `(?:require|import)\s+(['"]?([.\w/+-@_~])?(['"])?`
- TypeScript: `(?:import|export)\s+(['"]?([.\w/+-@_~])?(['"])?`
- Java: `import\s+([\w\.]++);`
- C/C++: `#include\s+["<"]([\w/\.\.]++)[">"]`
- And patterns for 10+ other languages

Description: Uses regex patterns to identify import/require statements in each file. Matches imported modules against known files in the repository to build a dependency graph. Fan-out counts dependencies a file uses; Fan-in counts how many files depend on it.

`metrics_calculator.py`

Purpose: Calculates advanced risk scores and technical debt metrics

Key Functions:

- `assign_test_coverage_status(path)` : Estimates test coverage likelihood based on file path
- `compute_advanced_metrics(all_file_data)` : Main function calculating all risk scores
- `normalize_metric(value, max_value, min_value)` : Normalizes metrics to 0-1 range

Description: This is the core calculation engine. It takes raw metrics from static and git analysis and computes weighted risk scores. It also calculates ownership entropy and systemic risk scores.

`contributor_analyzer.py`

Purpose: Analyzes contributor efficiency and risk contribution

Key Functions:

- `analyze_contributor_efficiency(all_file_data)` : Main contributor analysis function

Metrics Calculated:

- `total_commits` : Total commits by contributor across all files
- `lines_added` : Total lines added by contributor (proportionally attributed)
- `lines_removed` : Total lines removed by contributor
- `bug_fix_count` : Number of bug fixes by contributor
- `efficiency_score` : Calculated metric (see formulas section)
- `risk_score` : Average risk contribution by contributor

Description: Aggregates per-file metrics to contributor level. Attributes proportional contribution based on commit percentage. Calculates efficiency scores and risk contribution metrics.

`codebase_blueprint.py`

Purpose: Generates structural blueprint of the codebase

Key Classes:

- `CodeStructure` : Represents structural elements in a file
- `NodeVisitor` : AST visitor for Python file analysis

Key Functions:

- `analyze_python_file(file_path, content)` : AST-based analysis for Python
- `analyze_file_with_regex(file_path, content)` : Regex-based analysis for other languages

- `track_class_usage(blueprint_data, repo_path)` : Tracks class reuse across files
- `analyze_codebase_blueprint(repo_path, all_file_data)` : Main blueprint generator

Metrics Extracted:

- Classes: name, line number, methods, members, inheritance, LOC
- Functions: name, line number, parameters, async flag
- Variables: name, line number, type (local/member/global)
- Loops: type (for/while/async_for), line number
- Class usage: which classes are imported/used in other files

Description: Creates a detailed structural map of the codebase. For Python, uses AST parsing for accuracy. For other languages, uses regex patterns. Tracks class reuse to identify commonly used components.

`security_analyzer.py`

Purpose: Performs comprehensive security analysis

Key Functions:

- `scan_for_secrets(target_path)` : Scans for hardcoded secrets and credentials
- `run_bandit(target_path)` : Runs Bandit SAST tool
- `run_safety(target_path)` : Runs Safety dependency vulnerability scanner
- `analyze_repo(repo_url, return_data)` : Main security analysis orchestrator
- `display_output()` : Formats and displays security findings

Security Patterns Detected:

- AWS Keys: `AKIA[0-9A-Z]{16}`
- Generic Passwords: `(password|passwd|pwd|secret|key|token)\s*=\s*['"]([^\"]+)[']"`
- Private Keys: `-----BEGIN (RSA|EC|DSA) PRIVATE KEY-----`
- API Keys: `(api|client|access)[._]key\s*:\s*([a-z0-9]{32,64})`

Description: Multi-layered security analysis using custom pattern matching, Bandit (Python SAST), and Safety (dependency checker). Generates severity-based risk scores and detailed findings.

Reporting and UI Files

`report_generator.py`

Purpose: Generates CLI reports and tables

Key Functions:

- `security_keyword_scan(scan_directory)` : Scans for specific security keywords
- `find_main_contributing_factor(data, max_values)` : Identifies primary risk factor
- `generate_cli_report(repo_url, all_file_data)` : Main CLI report generator
- `print_table(title, headers, data)` : Formats and prints ASCII tables

Tables Generated:

1. File Size Summary (File Path, LOC)
2. Complexity and Change Cost (File, Complexity, Churn)
3. Highest-Risk Files (File, Risk Score, Main Factor)
4. Systemic Risk Hotspots (File, Fan-In, Test Status, Systemic Score)
5. Contributor Efficiency (Author, Commits, Lines Added, Efficiency Score)
6. Comprehensive File Summary (File, LOC, CC, Risk Score, Main Factor, Fan-In, Churn)
7. Comment-to-Code Ratio Summary
8. Security Keyword Hotspots
9. Codebase Blueprint (Classes, Functions, Loops, Variables, Statistics)

Description: Transforms analysis data into human-readable CLI reports. Generates formatted tables, calculates aggregated metrics, and provides structured output.

`streamlit_app.py`

Purpose: Web-based user interface using Streamlit

Key Functions:

- `build_tables_from_data(all_file_data)` : Converts analysis data to Streamlit-compatible format
- `main()` : Main Streamlit application entry point

Features:

- Interactive web interface
- Three main tabs: Code Analysis, Contributor Analysis, Security Analysis
- Real-time progress bars
- Interactive charts and graphs
- AI-powered summaries
- Export capabilities

Description: Provides a modern web interface for the analyzer. Integrates all analysis results into interactive dashboards with visualizations, tables, and AI-generated insights.

api_server.py

Purpose: Flask-based REST API server

Key Endpoints:

- `POST /analyze` : Accepts repo_url, runs analysis, returns JSON results
- `GET /` : Status check endpoint

Description: Provides API access to the analysis engine. Allows programmatic access for integration with other tools or automated workflows. Uses CORS to enable cross-origin requests.

AI Integration Files

gemini_integration.py

Purpose: Integrates Google Gemini AI for intelligent analysis summaries

Key Functions:

- `generate_code_analysis_summary(file_data, overall_debt, total_files)` : Generates comprehensive code analysis
- `generate_contributor_analysis_summary(contributor_data)` : Generates contributor insights
- `generate_security_analysis_summary(security_findings, risk_score, severity_counts)` : Generates security analysis
- `generate_refactor_summary(top_risk_data)` : Generates refactoring recommendations

Model Used: `gemini-2.5-flash`

AI Prompts Include:

- Code quality assessment
- Refactoring recommendations
- Security suggestions
- Scalability analysis
- Team collaboration insights

Description: Leverages Google's Gemini AI to provide contextual, natural-language insights. Transforms raw metrics into actionable recommendations with explanations.

firebase_config.py

Purpose: Manages Firebase integration for API key storage

Key Functions:

- `get_gemini_api_key()` : Retrieves Gemini API key from Firebase Realtime Database

Firestore Configuration:

- Database URL: `https://chatter-insights-tdrbu-default-rtdb.firebaseio.com`
- Path: `/GeminiGEMINI_API_KEY.json`

Description: Securely retrieves API keys from Firestore instead of hardcoding them. Provides centralized key management.

Utility Files

`repo_cloner.py`

Purpose: Handles Git repository cloning

Key Functions:

- `clone_repository(repo_url)` : Clones repository to temporary directory

Description: Creates a secure temporary directory and clones the target repository using GitPython. Returns the path to the cloned repository.

`report_exporter.py`

Purpose: Exports analysis results to PDF

Key Functions:

- `generate_pdf_report(repo_url, all_file_data, output_path)` : Generates PDF report

Dependencies: Jinja2 (templating), WeasyPrint (HTML to PDF conversion)

Description: Converts analysis results into professional PDF reports using HTML templating and PDF generation.

3. CORE FEATURES AND CALCULATIONS

A. CODE METRICS

1. Lines of Code (LOC)

Formula: Direct count of non-empty, non-comment lines

Implementation:


```
loc = len(code.splitlines())
```

Location: `static_analyzer.py` → `analyze_file()`

2. Cyclomatic Complexity (CC)

Formula: Base complexity (1) + sum of decision points

Decision Points Include:

- if statements: +1
- while loops: +1
- for loops: +1
- try/except blocks: +1
- Boolean operators (and/or): +(number of terms - 1)

Python Implementation:

```
cc = 1 # Base complexity
for node in ast.walk(tree):
    cc += calculate_cyclomatic_complexity(node)
```

For Non-Python Files:

```
complexity = 1 + code.count('function ') + code.count('class ') + code.cc
```

Location: `static_analyzer.py` → `get_cyclomatic_complexity()`

3. Churn Analysis

Formula:

- `total_churn = lines_added + lines_removed`
- `complexity_x_churn = complexity × total_churn`

Purpose: Identifies files with high complexity that also change frequently (expensive to maintain)

Location: `git_history_analyzer.py` → `analyze_git_history()`

4. Risk Score (0-100 scale)

Formula: Weighted sum of normalized metrics

```

risk_score = (
    norm_complexity × 0.30 +
    norm_churn × 0.20 +
    norm_entropy × 0.15 +
    norm_bug_freq × 0.25 +
    norm_dependency × 0.10
) × 100

```

Weights:

- Complexity: 30%
- Churn: 20%
- Ownership Entropy: 15%
- Bug Fix Frequency: 25%
- Dependency Score: 10%

Normalization:

```

norm_value = (value - min_value) / (max_value - min_value)
# Clamped to [0, 1] range

```

Location: `metrics_calculator.py` → `compute_advanced_metrics()`

5. Ownership Entropy

Formula: Information entropy of contributor distribution

$$H = -\sum (p_i \times \log_2(p_i))$$

where $p_i = \text{commits_by_author_i} / \text{total_commits}$

Interpretation:

- Low entropy (< 0.5): Knowledge concentrated in few people (high risk)
- High entropy (> 2.0): Knowledge well distributed (lower risk)

Calculation:

```

# For each file, calculate entropy of author commit distribution
author_commits = data.get('author_commits', {})
total = sum(author_commits.values())
entropy = -sum((count/total) * log2(count/total)
               for count in author_commits.values() if count > 0)

```

Location: `metrics_calculator.py` → `compute_advanced_metrics()`

6. Bug Fix Frequency

Formula:

```
bug_fix_frequency = bug_fix_count / (commit_count or 1)
```

Purpose: Identifies files that frequently require bug fixes (indicating instability)

Location: `git_history_analyzer.py` → `analyze_git_history()`

7. Main Risk Factor

Formula: Identifies the contributing metric with highest weighted impact

```
contributions = {
    'Complexity': norm_complexity × 0.30,
    'Churn': norm_churn × 0.20,
    'Entropy': norm_entropy × 0.15,
    'Bugs': norm_bug_freq × 0.25,
    'Dependency': norm_dependency × 0.10
}
main_factor = max(contributions.items(), key=itemgetter(1))
```

Location: `report_generator.py` → `find_main_contributing_factor()`

8. Fan-In / Fan-Out

Fan-Out Formula: Count of unique files imported/required by this file

Fan-In Formula: Count of unique files that import/require this file

Dependency Score:

```
dependency_score = fan_in × 2 + fan_out × 1
```

(Fan-in weighted higher as it indicates higher coupling responsibility)

Location: `dependency_analyzer.py` → `analyze_dependencies()`

9. Test Coverage Factor

Formula: Heuristic based on file path patterns

```
if "test" in path.lower() or path.endswith("_spec.rb") or
path.endswith("_test.py"):
    return 0.1 # Likely covered
```

```
elif any(keyword in path.lower() for keyword in ["model", "interface",
"util", "core", "api", "database"]):
    return 1.0 # High risk if untested
else:
    return 0.5 # Ambiguous
```

Location: `metrics_calculator.py` → `assign_test_coverage_status()`

10. Systemic Risk Score

Formula:

```
systemic_risk_score = fan_in × risk_score × missing_test_coverage_factor
```

Purpose: Identifies files that are:

- Highly depended upon (high fan-in)
- Have high individual risk
- Lack test coverage

These files have the highest "blast radius" if they fail.

Location: `metrics_calculator.py` → `compute_advanced_metrics()`

11. Comment-to-Code Ratio

Formula:

```
ratio = comment_lines / (loc + comment_lines)
```

Comment Detection: Uses language-specific regex patterns:

- Python: `^\s*#`
- JavaScript/Java/C++: `^\s*/\s*/`
- Shell/Bash: `^\s*#`

Location: `static_analyzer.py` (implied in report generation)

12. Code Quality Index

Formula (implied in Streamlit app):

```
quality_index = f(complexity, churn, risk_score, comment_ratio,
test_coverage)
```

Combined metric balancing multiple quality factors.

13. Maintainability Index

Formula (from Streamlit app):

```
maintainability = max(0, 100 - (complexity × 0.3 + churn × 0.01 + risk_sc
```

Lower complexity, churn, and risk = higher maintainability (scale: 0-100)

Location: `streamlit_app.py` → `build_tables_from_data()`

B. CONTRIBUTOR METRICS

1. Efficiency Score

Formula:

```
efficiency = lines_added / (total_commits + lines_removed + (bug_fix_count  
× 10))
```

Interpretation:

- Higher score = more productive (more lines per unit of "cost")
- Bug fixes penalized heavily (×10 multiplier)
- Commits and removals also count as "cost"

Location: `contributor_analyzer.py` → `analyze_contributor_efficiency()`

2. Risk Contribution Score

Formula:

For each file:

```
author_risk_contribution = file_risk_score × (author_commits_in_file /  
total_commits_in_file)
```

For each author:

```
risk_score = sum(author_risk_contribution) /  
sum(author_commit_percentages)
```

Purpose: Identifies contributors who work on high-risk files

Location: `contributor_analyzer.py` → `analyze_contributor_efficiency()`

3. Bus Factor Analysis

Formula: Files with `unique_contributors ≤ 2` AND `risk_score > 50`

Purpose: Identifies critical files that rely on too few developers

Location: `streamlit_app.py` → `build_tables_from_data()`

4. Knowledge Concentration Risk

Formula:

`concentration_risk = loc / max(unique_contributors, 1)`

Purpose: High LOC per contributor indicates knowledge silo

Location: `streamlit_app.py` → `build_tables_from_data()`

5. Contribution Distribution

Formula:

`commits_percentage = (author_commits / total_commits) × 100`

`lines_percentage = (author_lines_added / total_lines_added) × 100`

Location: `streamlit_app.py` → `build_tables_from_data()`

C. SECURITY METRICS

1. Security Risk Score (0-100)

Formula:

`total_penalty = Σ(severity_count × severity_weight)`

Where severity weights:

- HIGH: 10 points
- MEDIUM: 5 points
- LOW: 1 point
- INFO: 0 points

`penalty_ratio = min(1.0, total_penalty / 100)`

`risk_score = 100 - (penalty_ratio × 100)`

Interpretation:

- 100 = Perfect (no findings)

- 90+ = Excellent
- 70-89 = Good
- 50-69 = Needs Improvement
- <50 = Critical

Location: `security_analyzer.py` → `analyze_repo()`

2. Security Compliance Score

Formula:

```
compliance_score = max(0, 100 - (high_count × 10 + medium_count × 5))
```

Location: `streamlit_app.py` → Security Analysis tab

3. Severity Classification

Sources:

- Bandit (SAST): Provides severity levels (HIGH, MEDIUM, LOW, INFO)
- Safety (Dependencies): All marked as HIGH
- Custom Secret Scan: All marked as HIGH

Location: `security_analyzer.py`

4. DETAILED CODE ANALYSIS BY FILE

`static_analyzer.py` - Deep Dive

File Structure:

- Constants: `ANALYZE_EXTENSIONS` , `COMMENT_PATTERNS`
- Functions: `calculate_cyclomatic_complexity()` ,
`get_cyclomatic_complexity()` , `analyze_file()` , `run_static_analysis()`

Key Algorithms:

1. AST Parsing for Python:

- Uses Python's `ast` module to parse code
- Walks the AST tree using `ast.walk()`
- Counts complexity-increasing nodes (if, while, for, except, BoolOp)
- Handles async constructs separately

2. Heuristic Complexity for Non-Python:

- Counts function declarations: `code.count('function ')`
- Counts class declarations: `code.count('class ')`
- Counts conditional statements: `code.count('if (')`
- Base complexity of 1

3. File Walking:

- Recursively walks directory tree
- Skips `.git` directories
- Filters by file extension
- Only processes files with `loc > 0`

Error Handling:

- Catches `SyntaxError` for invalid Python code
- Falls back to heuristic counting
- Handles file read errors gracefully

git_history_analyzer.py - Deep Dive

File Structure:

- Constants: `BUG_KEYWORDS`
- Function: `analyze_git_history()`

Key Algorithms:

1. Commit Iteration:

```
for commit in repo.iter_commits(paths=file_path, reverse=True):
```

- Iterates commits affecting specific file
- `reverse=True` processes oldest first
- Filters by file path to avoid processing unrelated commits

2. Diff Calculation:

```
diff_index = commit.tree.diff(commit.parents[0].tree, paths=[file_path])
stats = repo.git.diff(commit.parents[0].hexsha, commit.hexsha, '--numstat')
```

- Uses Git's `--numstat` flag for efficient line counting

- Parses tab-separated output: `added\tremoved\tfilename`

3. Bug Detection:

- Case-insensitive keyword matching in commit message
- Keywords: 'fix', 'bug', 'error', 'broken', 'issue', 'hotfix'
- Counts occurrences, not just presence

4. Author Tracking:

- Uses `commit.author.email` for unique identification
- Builds dictionary: `{email: commit_count}`
- Preserves full author commit mapping for entropy calculation

Performance Considerations:

- Diff calculation can be expensive for large files
- Uses try-except to skip problematic commits
- Continues processing even if individual commits fail

dependency_analyzer.py - Deep Dive

File Structure:

- Constants: `DEPENDENCY_PATTERNS` (dict by file extension)
- Function: `analyze_dependencies()`

Key Algorithms:

1. Pattern Matching:

- Language-specific regex patterns stored in dictionary
- Handles multiple capture groups (e.g., PHP's `use` vs `require`)
- Extracts module/package names from import statements

2. Dependency Resolution:

```
for dep in found_dependencies:
    for target_path in all_file_data.keys():
        if dep in target_path or os.path.basename(dep) in target_path:
            fan_out_map[path].append(target_path)
```

- Simple substring matching
- Handles both full paths and basenames
- Avoids self-dependencies

3. Fan-In Calculation:

- Reverse lookup: for each file, count how many other files reference it
- Uses set to avoid duplicate counting

Limitations:

- Does not handle transitive dependencies
- Module resolution may miss some dependencies
- No handling of dynamic imports

metrics_calculator.py - Deep Dive

File Structure:

- Functions: `assign_test_coverage_status()`, `normalize_metric()`, `compute_advanced_metrics()`

Key Algorithms:

1. Normalization:

```
def normalize_metric(value, max_value, min_value=0.0):  
    if max_value == min_value or max_value == 0:  
        return 0.0  
    value = max(min_value, value)  
    return min(1.0, (value - min_value) / (max_value - min_value))
```

- Min-max normalization to [0, 1] range
- Handles edge cases (zero max, equal min/max)
- Clamps values to prevent out-of-range results

2. Two-Pass Calculation:

- **First Pass:** Find maximum values for each metric across all files
- **Second Pass:** Calculate normalized scores and risk metrics
- Ensures fair comparison across repository

3. Risk Score Calculation:

- Weighted sum of normalized metrics
- Each component normalized independently
- Final score multiplied by 100 for 0-100 scale

4. Ownership Entropy:

- Requires per-file author commit distribution
- Uses base-2 logarithm for information entropy
- Higher entropy = better knowledge distribution

5. Systemic Risk:

- Multiplicative combination of factors
- Fan-in amplifies individual file risk
- Test coverage factor acts as multiplier

contributor_analyzer.py - Deep Dive

File Structure:

- Constants: `BUG_PENALTY_FACTOR = 10`
- Function: `analyze_contributor_efficiency()`
- Uses: `defaultdict` for aggregation

Key Algorithms:

1. Proportional Attribution:

```
author_commits_percentage = commits_in_file / file_total_commits
author_summary[author_email]['lines_added'] += data.get('lines_added')
```

- Attributes file metrics proportionally based on commit share
- If author has 50% of commits, gets 50% of lines_added/removed/bug_fixes

2. Efficiency Calculation:

- Numerator: Lines added (positive contribution)
- Denominator: Total "cost" (commits + removals + bug_fixes×10)
- Bug fixes heavily penalized (10× multiplier)
- Higher efficiency = more output per unit cost

3. Risk Contribution:

- Weighted average of file risk scores
- Weighted by author's commit percentage in each file
- Identifies contributors who work on high-risk code

Data Structure:

```

author_summary = {
    'email': {
        'total_commits': float,
        'lines_added': float,
        'lines_removed': float,
        'bug_fix_count': float,
        'risk_contribution_sum': float,
        'total_author_contrib_percentage': float,
        'efficiency_score': float,
        'risk_score': float
    }
}

```

codebase_blueprint.py - Deep Dive

File Structure:

- Classes: `CodeStructure` , `NodeVisitor` (AST visitor)
- Constants: `CLASS_PATTERNS` , `FUNCTION_PATTERNS` , `LOOP_PATTERNS` , `VARIABLE_PATTERNS`
- Functions: `analyze_python_file()` , `analyze_file_with_regex()` , `track_class_usage()` , `analyze_codebase_blueprint()`

Key Algorithms:

1. AST-Based Python Analysis:

- Custom `NodeVisitor` class extends `ast.NodeVisitor`
- Tracks context: current class, current function
- Visits nodes: `ClassDef` , `FunctionDef` , `AsyncFunctionDef` , `For` , `While` , `Assign` , `Import` , etc.
- Maintains stack for nested structures

2. Variable Type Detection:

- **Local**: Variables defined within function
- **Member**: Class attributes accessed via `self.attribute`
- **Global**: Module-level variables
- Uses context tracking to distinguish

3. Regex-Based Analysis (Non-Python):

- Line-by-line regex matching

- Tracks brace depth for class/function scope
- Handles inheritance parsing: `class X extends Y implements Z`
- Parameter extraction from function signatures

4. Class Usage Tracking:

- Builds class-to-file mapping
- Searches for import statements: `import X, from Y import X`
- Searches for instantiation: `new X() , X()`
- Searches for type hints: `: X, extends X`

Output Structure:

```
{
  '_blueprint': {
    'file_path': {
      'classes': [{'name', 'line', 'methods', 'members', 'inheritance'},
      'functions': [{'name', 'line', 'parameters', 'async'}],
      'variables': [{'name', 'line'}],
      'loops': [{'type', 'line'}],
      'imports': [str],
      'class_usage': {class_name: [files_using_it]},
      'function_variable_usage': {function_name: [{'var', 'line', '
    }
  },
  '_blueprint_stats': {
    'total_classes': int,
    'total_functions': int,
    'total_variables': int,
    'total_loops': int,
    'total_files_analyzed': int,
    'most_reused_classes': [(class_name, usage_count)]
  }
}
```

security_analyzer.py - Deep Dive

File Structure:

- Constants: `TOOL_VERSION`, `MAX_PENALTY_SCORE`, `SEVERITY_WEIGHTS`, `COLOR_MAP`, `SECRET_PATTERNS`

- Functions: `print_colored()` , `clean_up()` , `run_external_tool()` , `run_bandit()` , `run_safety()` , `scan_for_secrets()` , `analyze_repo()` , `display_output()`

Key Algorithms:

1. Secret Pattern Matching:

- AWS Access Keys: `AKIA[0-9A-Z]{16}` (20-character format)
- Generic credentials: Pattern matches variable assignments
- Private keys: Multi-line pattern for PEM format
- API keys: Various naming conventions

2. External Tool Integration:

```
subprocess.run(['bandit', '-r', target_path, '-f', 'json', '-n', '3'],  
subprocess.run(['safety', 'check', '-r', req_file, '--json'])
```

- Uses subprocess to run external security tools
- Parses JSON output
- Handles tool failures gracefully
- 10-minute timeout for long-running scans

3. Risk Score Calculation:

- Severity-based weighting
- Normalized to 100-point scale
- Inverted scale (100 = best, 0 = worst)

4. Output Formatting:

- Color-coded terminal output (using colorama)
- Severity-based coloring
- Structured findings with remediation guidance

Security Tool Details:

Bandit (Python SAST):

- Checks for: SQL injection, shell injection, hardcoded passwords, weak cryptography, etc.
- Returns: `test_id`, `issue_severity`, `issue_text`, `issue_cwe`, `filename`, `line_number`

Safety (Dependency Checker):

- Checks `requirements.txt` against known vulnerability database
- Returns: package name, installed_version, vulnerability ID, secure_versions

report_generator.py - Deep Dive

File Structure:

- Functions: `security_keyword_scan()` , `normalize_metric()` , `get_risk_color()` , `colorize()` , `find_main_contributing_factor()` , `print_table()` , `generate_cli_report()`

Key Algorithms:

1. Security Keyword Scanning:

```
TARGET_KEYWORDS = ["api", "apikey", "api key"]
```

- Case-insensitive scanning
- Counts occurrences per file
- Skips binary files and large files (>1MB)

2. Table Formatting:

- Calculates column widths dynamically
- Creates ASCII box-drawing tables
- Handles long file paths with truncation
- Sorts data before display

3. Main Factor Identification:

- Calculates weighted contribution of each metric
- Finds maximum contributor
- Formats as: "Metric Name (percentage%)"

Report Sections:

1. File Size Summary
2. Complexity and Change Cost
3. Highest-Risk Files
4. Systemic Risk Hotspots
5. Contributor Efficiency
6. Comprehensive File Summary
7. Comment-to-Code Ratio
8. Security Keyword Hotspots
9. Codebase Blueprint (multiple sub-tables)

streamlit_app.py - Deep Dive

File Structure:

- Function: `build_tables_from_data()` , `main()`
- Imports: Streamlit, Pandas, analysis modules, AI integration

Key Features:

1. Data Transformation:

- Converts nested dictionaries to flat structures
- Creates Pandas DataFrames for visualization
- Filters and sorts data for display

2. Interactive UI Components:

- Progress bars: `st.progress()`
- Status text: `st.empty()`
- Tabs: `st.tabs()`
- Metrics: `st.metric()`
- Charts: `st.bar_chart()` , `st.line_chart()`
- Data tables: `st.dataframe()`

3. Three Main Tabs:

Code Analysis Tab:

- File size charts
- Complexity vs Churn scatter (implied)
- Risk score rankings
- Systemic risk hotspots
- Comment ratios
- Code quality metrics dashboard
- Change frequency analysis
- Maintainability index
- Codebase blueprint visualization

Contributor Analysis Tab:

- Contributor efficiency charts
- Bus factor analysis
- Contribution distribution (pie/bar charts)
- Knowledge concentration risk

Security Analysis Tab:

- Security keyword matches
- Severity breakdown charts
- Detailed findings table
- Risk distribution
- Vulnerability breakdown
- Compliance score

4. AI Integration:

- Calls Gemini API for summaries
- Displays markdown-formatted AI insights
- Handles API failures gracefully

Data Flow:

```
User Input (repo_url)
  → run_analysis_pipeline()
  → build_tables_from_data()
  → Streamlit UI Components
  → User sees results
```

gemini_integration.py - Deep Dive

File Structure:

- Constants: `MODEL_NAME = 'gemini-2.5-flash'`
- Global: `client` (Gemini client instance)
- Functions: `generate_refactor_summary()` , `generate_code_analysis_summary()` ,
`generate_contributor_analysis_summary()` ,
`generate_security_analysis_summary()`

Key Algorithms:

1. Client Initialization:

```
GEMINI_API_KEY = get_gemini_api_key() # From Firebase
client = genai.Client(api_key=GEMINI_API_KEY)
```

2. Prompt Engineering:

- Structured prompts with clear sections
- Includes context: metrics, scores, file lists

- Requests specific output format (markdown)
- Provides examples and guidelines

3. Response Handling:

```
response = client.models.generate_content(model=MODEL_NAME, contents=  
return response.text
```

Prompt Templates:

Code Analysis Prompt:

- Overall Technical Debt Score
- Total Files Analyzed
- Top Risk Files (with metrics)
- Requested sections: Summary, File Analysis, Recommendations, Suggestions, Scalability, Security

Contributor Analysis Prompt:

- Contributor metrics (commits, lines, efficiency, risk)
- Requested sections: Summary, Insights, Recommendations, Suggestions, Team Scalability

Security Analysis Prompt:

- Risk Score
 - Severity Breakdown
 - Top Findings
 - Requested sections: Summary, Critical Issues, Recommendations, Suggestions, Security Scalability
-

5. FORMULAS AND LOGIC DOCUMENTATION

Normalization Formula

```
normalized_value = (value - min_value) / (max_value - min_value)  
# Clamped to [0, 1] range
```

Purpose: Scales metrics to comparable ranges before weighted combination.

Risk Score Formula (Detailed)

Step 1: Normalize each metric

```
norm_complexity = normalize(complexity, max_complexity)
norm_churn = normalize(churn, max_churn)
norm_entropy = normalize(entropy, 1.0)
norm_bug_freq = normalize(bug_fix_count/commit_count, max_bug_freq)
norm_dependency = normalize(fan_in*2 + fan_out*1, max_dependency)
```

Step 2: Weighted combination

```
risk_score = (
    norm_complexity * 0.30 +
    norm_churn * 0.20 +
    norm_entropy * 0.15 +
    norm_bug_freq * 0.25 +
    norm_dependency * 0.10
) * 100
```

Ownership Entropy Formula (Information Theory)

$$H(X) = -\sum (p_i \times \log_2(p_i))$$

where:

```
p_i = commits_by_author_i / total_commits
i ranges over all unique authors
```

Example:

- File A: Author1=50 commits, Author2=50 commits
 - $H = -(0.5 \times \log_2(0.5) + 0.5 \times \log_2(0.5)) = 1.0$
- File B: Author1=90 commits, Author2=10 commits
 - $H = -(0.9 \times \log_2(0.9) + 0.1 \times \log_2(0.1)) \approx 0.47$
- File A has better knowledge distribution (higher entropy)

Systemic Risk Formula

```
systemic_risk_score = fan_in * risk_score * missing_test_coverage_factor
```

where:

```
fan_in: Number of files depending on this file
risk_score: Individual file risk (0-100)
missing_test_coverage_factor: 0.1 (covered) to 1.0 (untested)
```

Interpretation: Multiplicative combination amplifies risk for critical, untested, highly-coupled files.

Efficiency Score Formula

$$\text{efficiency} = \text{lines_added} / \text{denominator}$$

$$\text{denominator} = \text{total_commits} + \text{lines_removed} + (\text{bug_fix_count} \times 10)$$

Interpretation:

- Higher lines_added = better (numerator)
- More commits, removals, bugs = worse (denominator, cost)
- Bug fixes penalized 10× more than regular commits

Security Risk Score Formula

Step 1: Calculate penalty

$$\text{total_penalty} = \sum (\text{count_severity} \times \text{weight_severity})$$

weights:

HIGH: 10

MEDIUM: 5

LOW: 1

INFO: 0

Step 2: Normalize to 0-1

$$\text{penalty_ratio} = \min(1.0, \text{total_penalty} / \text{MAX_PENALTY_SCORE})$$

MAX_PENALTY_SCORE = 100

Step 3: Invert to score (higher is better)

$$\text{risk_score} = 100 - (\text{penalty_ratio} \times 100)$$

Maintainability Index Formula

$$\text{maintainability} = \max(0, 100 - (\text{complexity} \times 0.3 + \text{churn} \times 0.01 + \text{risk_score} \times 0.5))$$

Interpretation:

- Starts at 100 (perfect maintainability)
- Subtracts penalties for complexity, churn, risk
- Weights: complexity=0.3, churn=0.01, risk=0.5
- Clamped to [0, 100] range

Comment-to-Code Ratio Formula

```
ratio = comment_lines / (code_lines + comment_lines)
```

Interpretation:

- 0.0 = No comments
 - 0.5 = Equal comments and code
 - 1.0 = Only comments (theoretical)
 - Generally, 0.2-0.3 is considered good
-

6. AI INTEGRATION DETAILS

Gemini API Configuration

Model: `gemini-2.5-flash`

Authentication: API key from Firebase Realtime Database

Client Library: `google.genai` (Google GenAI SDK)

Prompt Structure

All prompts follow a consistent structure:

1. **Context Section:** Provides relevant metrics and data
2. **Task Section:** Describes what analysis to perform
3. **Format Section:** Specifies output format (markdown)
4. **Section Requests:** Lists specific sections to include

Code Analysis Prompt Example

Analyze this codebase with the following metrics:

- Overall Technical Debt Score: `{score}/100` (lower is better)
- Total Files Analyzed: `{count}`
- Top Risk Files:
`{file_summaries}`

Generate a comprehensive analysis in markdown format with these sections:

- ## 📋 Short Summary
- ## 🔍 File Analysis Summary
- ## 💡 Recommendations
- ## 🚀 Suggestions
- ## 📈 Scalability Analysis
- ## 🔒 Security Considerations

Error Handling

```
try:
    response = client.models.generate_content(model=MODEL_NAME, contents=
    return response.text
except APIError as e:
    return f"Gemini API Error: Failed to generate summary. ({e})"
except Exception as e:
    return f"An unexpected error occurred during API call: {e}"
```

Fallback Behavior: If AI fails, displays informative error message instead of crashing.

7. SECURITY ANALYSIS FEATURES

Feature 1: Hardcoded Secret Detection

Patterns Detected:

1. **AWS Access Keys:** `AKIA[0-9A-Z]{16}` (20 characters)
2. **Generic Credentials:** Variable assignments with keywords (password, secret, key, token)
3. **Private Keys:** PEM format (RSA, EC, DSA)
4. **API Keys:** Various naming conventions (api_key, client_key, access_key)

Implementation:

- Regex pattern matching across all source files
- Case-insensitive scanning
- Skips binary files and files >1MB
- Reports file path and line number

Feature 2: Dependency Vulnerability Scanning

Tool: Safety

Method: Scans `requirements.txt` against known vulnerability database

Output: Package name, installed version, vulnerability ID (CVE), secure versions

Feature 3: Dangerous Code Execution

Tool: Bandit (SAST)

Checks:

- Use of `eval()` , `exec()`
- Shell injection risks
- SQL injection risks
- Code execution via subprocess

Feature 4: Injection Risk Indicators

Tool: Bandit (SAST)

Checks:

- SQL injection (B608)
- Shell injection (B602, B607)
- Command injection patterns

Feature 5: Git History Secret Scan

Status: Not implemented (requires external tools like Gitleaks/TruffleHog)

Note: Current files are scanned, but historical commits are not

Feature 6: Insecure Cryptography Usage

Tool: Bandit (SAST)

Checks:

- Weak cryptographic algorithms (MD5, SHA1)
- Hardcoded encryption keys
- Insecure random number generation

Feature 7: Overall Security Risk Score

Formula: See Security Risk Score Formula (Section 5)

Scale: 0-100 (higher is better)

Categorization:

- 90-100: Excellent
- 70-89: Good
- 50-69: Needs Improvement
- 0-49: Critical

8. DATA FLOW AND ARCHITECTURE

Analysis Pipeline Flow

1. User Input (repo_url)
↓
2. repo_cloner.py: Clone repository to temp directory
↓
3. static_analyzer.py: Analyze all files (LOC, complexity)
↓
4. git_history_analyzer.py: Analyze commit history (churn, authorship, bugs)
↓
5. dependency_analyzer.py: Build dependency graph (fan-in, fan-out)
↓
6. metrics_calculator.py: Calculate risk scores, entropy, systemic risk
↓
7. contributor_analyzer.py: Aggregate contributor metrics
↓
8. codebase_blueprint.py: Extract structural information
↓
9. report_generator.py: Generate CLI reports
OR
9. streamlit_app.py: Generate web UI
OR
9. api_server.py: Return JSON via API

Data Structure Evolution

After Static Analysis:

```
{
    'file_path': {
        'loc': int,
        'complexity': int
    }
}
```

After Git History:

```
{
    'file_path': {
        'loc': int,
```



```

        'complexity': int,
        'commit_count': int,
        'lines_added': int,
        'lines_removed': int,
        'unique_author_count': int,
        'bug_fix_count': int,
        'author_commits': {email: count}
    }
}

```

After Dependency Analysis:

```

{
    'file_path': {
        # ... previous fields ...
        'fan_in': int,
        'fan_out': int
    }
}

```

After Metrics Calculation:

```

{
    'file_path': {
        # ... previous fields ...
        'risk_score': float, # 0-100
        'ownership_entropy': float,
        'systemic_risk_score': float,
        'missing_test_coverage_factor': float,
        'main_factor': str
    },
    '_repo_stats': {
        'overall_technical_debt': float,
        'max_values': {...}
    }
}

```

After Contributor Analysis:

```

{
    # ... file data ...
    '_contributor_stats': {

```

```
        'author@email.com': {
            'total_commits': int,
            'lines_added': float,
            'efficiency_score': float,
            'risk_score': float
        }
    }
}
```

After Blueprint Analysis:

```
{
    # ... previous data ...
    '_blueprint': {
        'file_path': {
            'classes': [...],
            'functions': [...],
            'loops': [...],
            'variables': [...]
        }
    },
    '_blueprint_stats': {...}
}
```

Memory Management

- Temporary directories created for cloned repos
- Cleanup handled in `finally` blocks
- Large files (>1MB) skipped in security scans
- AST parsing only for Python (efficient)
- Regex parsing for other languages (faster but less accurate)

Error Handling Strategy

1. **File-level errors:** Continue processing other files
2. **Tool-level errors:** Report warning, continue with other tools
3. **Critical errors:** Raise exception, cleanup, exit gracefully
4. **API errors:** Return error response with status code

Performance Considerations

1. **Git History:** Can be slow for large repositories
 - Solution: Processes one file at a time, filters commits by path
 2. **Dependency Analysis:** Regex matching can be slow
 - Solution: Processes files sequentially, caches patterns
 3. **AST Parsing:** Memory-intensive for large files
 - Solution: Only used for Python, handles syntax errors gracefully
 4. **Security Scanning:** External tools can timeout
 - Solution: 10-minute timeout, continues on failure
-

9. ADDITIONAL FEATURES AND ENHANCEMENTS

Code Quality Metrics Dashboard

- Total LOC across repository
- Average complexity
- Total churn (all files combined)
- Average risk score
- Total files analyzed

File Change Frequency Analysis

- Commit count per file
- Total churn per file
- Average churn per commit
- Identifies frequently modified files

Bus Factor Analysis

- Files with ≤ 2 contributors
- Combined with risk score to identify critical knowledge silos
- Helps identify onboarding risks

Knowledge Concentration Risk

- LOC per contributor ratio
- High ratio = knowledge concentrated in few people
- Prioritizes files for documentation/knowledge sharing

Contribution Distribution

- Percentage of commits per contributor
- Percentage of lines added per contributor
- Visualizes team workload distribution

Security Compliance Score

- Based on severity counts
- Penalizes HIGH and MEDIUM findings
- Provides compliance status (Excellent/Good/Fair/Poor)

Vulnerability Severity Breakdown

- Groups findings by severity (HIGH, MEDIUM, LOW, INFO)
 - Shows top vulnerabilities by severity
 - Helps prioritize remediation efforts
-

10. EXTERNAL DEPENDENCIES

Python Packages (requirements.txt)

- `streamlit` : Web UI framework
- `GitPython` : Git repository access
- `reportlab` : PDF generation (optional, used in `report_exporter`)
- `pandas` : Data manipulation
- `altair` : Visualization (used by Streamlit)
- `pyyaml` : YAML parsing
- `matplotlib` : Plotting
- `numpy` : Numerical operations
- `colorama` : Terminal colors
- `statistics` : Statistical functions (built-in)
- `google-genai` : Gemini AI SDK
- `firebase-admin` : Firebase integration
- `flask` : API server (not in requirements, but used)
- `flask-cors` : CORS support for API
- `bandit` : Security analysis tool (external CLI)
- `safety` : Dependency vulnerability scanner (external CLI)

External Tools (must be installed separately)

- `bandit` : Python SAST tool(`pip install bandit`)
 - `safety` : Dependency checker(`pip install safety`)
 - `git` : Git version control system
-

11. CONFIGURATION AND SETUP

Environment Variables

- `GEMINI_API_KEY` : (Optional) Direct API key (if not using Firebase)
- Firebase configuration: Set in `firebase_config.py`

Firebase Setup

1. Create Firebase Realtime Database project
2. Store API key at path: `/GeminiGEMINI_API_KEY.json`
3. Update `FIREBASE_DB_URL` in `firebase_config.py`

Installation Steps

```
# 1. Clone repository
git clone <repo_url>
cd finalhack2609
```

```
# 2. Install Python dependencies
pip install -r requirements.txt
```

```
# 3. Install external security tools
pip install bandit safety
```

```
# 4. Set up Firebase (optional, for AI features)
# Configure firebase_config.py with your database URL
```

```
# 5. Run Streamlit app
streamlit run streamlit_app.py
```

```
# OR run CLI version
python git_debt_analyzer.py --repo-url https://github.com/user/repo
```

```
# OR run API server  
python api_server.py
```

12. KNOWN LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS

Current Limitations

1. **Git History:** Does not handle file renames/moves well
2. **Dependency Analysis:** Simple substring matching, may miss some dependencies
3. **Test Coverage:** Heuristic-based, not actual test coverage data
4. **Multi-language:** Some languages have better support than others
5. **Security:** Git history secret scanning not implemented
6. **Performance:** Can be slow for very large repositories (>1000 files)

Potential Improvements

1. **Actual Test Coverage:** Integrate with coverage.py or similar tools
 2. **Better Dependency Resolution:** Use language-specific parsers (e.g., AST for all languages)
 3. **File Move Detection:** Track file renames in Git history
 4. **Caching:** Cache analysis results for unchanged files
 5. **Parallel Processing:** Process files in parallel for faster analysis
 6. **More Security Tools:** Integrate additional scanners (Gitleaks, TruffleHog)
 7. **Historical Trends:** Track metrics over time (requires database)
 8. **Custom Rules:** Allow users to define custom risk calculation rules
-

13. TESTING AND VALIDATION

Test Cases (Implicit)

The codebase includes error handling for:

- Invalid Git URLs
- Non-existent files
- Syntax errors in code files
- Missing dependencies
- API failures
- File permission issues

Validation

Metrics are validated through:

- Range checks (e.g., risk_score should be 0-100)
 - Normalization ensures values stay in expected ranges
 - Error handling prevents crashes on invalid data
-

CONCLUSION

This Technical Debt Analyzer is a comprehensive tool that combines:

- **Static code analysis** for structural metrics
- **Git history analysis** for temporal patterns
- **Dependency analysis** for coupling assessment
- **Security scanning** for vulnerability detection
- **AI-powered insights** for actionable recommendations
- **Multiple interfaces** (CLI, Web UI, API) for different use cases

The system uses well-established software engineering metrics and formulas, enhanced with modern AI capabilities to provide developers and teams with actionable insights for maintaining code quality and reducing technical debt.

Document Version: 1.0

Last Updated: 9-6-2026

Maintained By: Visha & Viraj