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# Introduction

Gossamer is our version of the distributed file system like Dropbox that we are currently familiar with. Similarly, our system is also capable of storing, retrieving and balancing tasks/ data to make file sharing/storing to be decentralized over a network of servers.

Clients can retrieve or send any file type (pdf, mp4, mp3, docx,jpeg, png) to the system without worrying about fault tolerance, scalability or work load. Since we process requests asynchronously, user experience would be more pleasant.

# Technologies Used

Following is the technology stack we chose:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Languages**: | Java |
| **Core Packages**: | Netty, Google Protobuff |
| **Storage/ DB**: | Redis, MySQL |
|  |  |

Let’s take a closer look at why choosing those packages and storage choices were in fact advantageous for our system:

# Netty

Netty is a “client-server framework which enables quick and easy development of network applications such as protocol servers and clients” [1]. It has a generic API for different transport types that supports, blocking and non-blocking of sockets. It gives total control over customizing threads. It’s event model provides room for separation of concerns making it more flexible and easy to extend.

Some of the other features would be:

* Easy to follow Java documentation with examples
* Performance wise, gives better throughput and lower latency
* Huge save on memory

# Google Protocol Buffers

Protobuf is a language and platform independent “mechanism for serializing structured data” [2]. It is comparatively, much “smaller, faster and simpler” than XML[2]. Once you define a structure in a dot proto file, on building, you generate a dot java file with methods to access those fields.

# Redis Server

Jedis is a Redis handler built in Java as a key-value pair but better as it can store richer set of data types (list, set, sorted set, hashes) “and different levels of on-disk persistence and provides high availability via Redis Sentinel and automatic partitioning with Redis cluster” [4].

Other advantages of Redis:

* Fast performance – about “110000 SETs per second, 81000 GETs per second” [3].
* Operations are atomic – concurrently two clients could access it
* In built feature support publisher-subscriber model
* In memory database

# Topology

We decided to implement Mesh Topology where in all the nodes would be connected to every other node. But it’s quite expensive because we are making multiple redundant connections.

# Advantages of Mesh Topology

Simultaneously you could transmit multiple messages and it can handle high traffic. Even if one connection fails, there are alternative routes to communicate the message over. Adding or deleting nodes from the cluster isn’t an issue at all and it could be done without disrupting the existing connection between nodes.

# Disadvantages of Mesh Topology

As mentioned earlier, there is high redundancy of network connections. Hence it’s an expensive topology to maintain as compared to others. Also, setting up and maintaining the network is extremely difficult.

# Leader Election

Leader Election is a process of assigning a single machine as a coordinator to distribute tasks among multiple nodes. There are certain conditions that a leader election algorithm must follow:

* **Termination**: the algorithm should run only for a finite duration to pick a leader.
* **Uniqueness**: there should not be more than one leader in a cluster.
* **Agreement**: all the nod es must be aware of the who the leader is.

We decided to implement Raft as our leader election algorithm.

# What is Raft?

Raft is a consensus algorithm. “The difference is that it's decomposed into relatively independent sub-problems, and it cleanly addresses all major pieces needed for practical systems. We hope Raft will make consensus available to a wider audience, and that this wider audience will be able to develop a variety of higher quality consensus-based systems than are available today” [6].

# Why Raft?

Since Raft assumes that each node is connected to all the other nodes, which is exactly what we have developed through Mesh topology, we could directly request votes, get vote responses, send heartbeats…etc. It’s highly scalable as it doesn’t need to know the number of nodes in the cluster.

# Architecture & Workflow

# Node Discovery

Since it’s a distributed system, it should always look around its peripheral to capture systems that are constantly trying to connect to the cluster. As a first step, we are broadcasting message to all the nodes nearby for a 30 second window. After the discovering step, it would write to a route config file for the nodes to form mesh network.

After forming a network, leader election process starts. Now say a new node wants to join this network, it can be added only by a leader.

# WRITE request from client directly connected to cluster

READ request form client directly connected to cluster

READ request from client outside cluster

# Replication

In distributed system, files are usually split into different nodes and there is always a fear of what happens when a node fails and we end up losing that data. Hence it’s very crucial that week multiple copies of the file in
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Process

# Work Stealing

Queuing

Type of Queues

Advantages of Queuing

Additional Components

Detailed Explanation of the Queuing System

Inter-Cluster Communication

# Client API

Client allows four options to the user.

Option 0: Exit

Option 1: Write file to cluster

Option 2: Read a specific file from server

Option 3: Fetch File Names stored in cluster

Option 4: Ping to cluster

Option 5: Read Multiple files from cluster

# Learning

* Netty
* Redis
* ProtoBuff
* Architecture advantages and disadvantages
* Leader election algorithms
* Java multithreading handling
* Working in a team

# Important Design Decisions

1. Executor Service configured to use maximum threads system can support. This makes sending faster
2. Client uses a LinkedBlockingDeque capable of being read from both ends
3. Recreating files at both server and followers to confirm that file is transferred without errors. To be removed after testing.
4. Lamport clock maintained at each node to keep track of all requests inserted into db. Used to synchronize, if any aberrations.
5. Replication is done to all followers as limited time did not allow us to build sharding which could complicate searching files
6. Work stealing implemented as all followers steal from leader's queue and if unable to service the message due not being fully synchronized or any other reason, returns the message back to leader of the cluster
7. Work stealing is made easier by doing it only on reads and not writes. Previous design decision of replicating to all nodes helps in making work stealing from any node. Currently implemented to only steal from leader node.
8. When a client requests a file, leader checks if it is present in the cluster. If it isn't, passes the request to the next cluster if it exists or throws an exception if it doesn't.
9. All clusters have been provisioned an ID. Our cluster ID is 2. Client retains the ability to connect to a cluster of its choice.
10. All clusters use a common redis db to store their leader node addresses. Client can connect to any cluster via this common redis db.
11. Config file to start server only stores nodeID which can be abstracted away in the future.
12. Although code for cold start was written,it is not being used because of better implementation which removed it's need.
13. Redis db at server being used to store metadata of all chunks and files. Planned to store hot data but limited in memory storage making it difficult. MongoDB could be used to store the hot data but it's usage is restricted by project scope.
14. All files are saved with their metadata, filenames are MD5 hashed and stored. If file with same filename is sent, it gets overwritten as in a normal file system.
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