

# Part III 2015 - Homological and Homotopical Algebra Solutions

February 9, 2025

Question Paper is available at - [https://www.maths.cam.ac.uk/postgrad/part-iii/files/pastpapers/2015/paper\\_4.pdf](https://www.maths.cam.ac.uk/postgrad/part-iii/files/pastpapers/2015/paper_4.pdf)

## Solution 1:

**Problem Statement:** Let  $R$  be an arbitrary unital ring. Let  $\mathcal{E}(C, A)$  be the set of short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

of left  $R$ -modules up to equivalence. Prove that there is a bijective correspondence between  $\mathcal{E}(C, A)$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, A)$ .

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the trivial module for the abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Classify, up to equivalence, all short exact sequences of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

**Solution.** Let  $R$  be a unital ring, and let

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of left  $R$ -modules. Define

$$\mathcal{E}(C, A) = \{0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \mid \text{up to commutative isomorphism}\}.$$

To relate  $\mathcal{E}(C, A)$  to  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, A)$ , we use the derived functor definition of  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, A)$ :

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(C, A) = H^1(\text{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, A)),$$

where

$$P_\bullet : \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

is a projective resolution of  $C$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, A)$  yields the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P_0, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P_1, A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

An element of  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, A)$  is represented by a 1-cocycle, which corresponds to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0.$$

Given an element of  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, A)$ , construct a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & P_1 & \rightarrow & P_0 \oplus A & \rightarrow & B \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & P_1 & \rightarrow & P_0 & \rightarrow & C \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

to obtain a corresponding short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{E}(C, A)$ .

Conversely, given a short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{E}(C, A)$ , we obtain a 1-cocycle in the complex  $\text{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, A)$ , defining an element of  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, A)$ . The equivalence in  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, A)$  matches the isomorphism condition in  $\mathcal{E}(C, A)$ .

Thus, we obtain a bijection

$$\mathcal{E}(C, A) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(C, A).$$

Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

in the category of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules.

The classification of such sequences is governed by  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ . By the properties of the Ext functor,

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

It is well-known that  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$  since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Therefore,

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0.$$

This implies that all short exact sequences of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

are split. Hence,  $B \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .

Thus, the only possible extension up to equivalence is the trivial split extension:

$$B \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

## Solution 2:

**Problem Statement:** Let  $R$  be an arbitrary unital ring. Define the Koszul complex  $K(\mathbf{t})$  of a sequence  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  of central elements of  $R$ .

Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module. Define what it means for a sequence to be regular on  $M$ . Show that if  $\mathbf{t}$  is regular on  $M$ , then  $K(\mathbf{t}) \otimes_R M$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $M/(t_1, \dots, t_n)M$ . You should state carefully any results that you use.

Consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  and its modules  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$  on which  $X$  acts trivially. (Here  $p, q \geq 2$ .) Compute  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[X]}^*(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$  in the cases where (i)  $p = q$  and (ii)  $p$  and  $q$  are coprime.

**Solution.** Let  $R$  be an arbitrary unital ring, and let  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  be a sequence of central elements of  $R$ . The Koszul complex  $K(\mathbf{t})$  of the sequence  $t$  is the complex of free  $R$ -modules:

$$K(\mathbf{t}) = \left( \bigwedge^n R^n \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} R \right),$$

where the differentials  $d_i$  are defined in terms of the wedge product acting on the sequence  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ .

Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module. A sequence  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is said to be regular on  $M$  if for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the map

$$t_i : M \rightarrow M$$

is injective and the sequence  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a regular sequence on  $M$ . Specifically, for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the sequence  $(t_1, \dots, t_i)$  is regular on  $M$ .

Now suppose that  $\mathbf{t}$  is regular on  $M$ . We wish to show that  $K(\mathbf{t}) \otimes_R M$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $M/(t_1, \dots, t_n)M$ . Since  $t$  is regular on  $M$ , the sequence  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  defines a free resolution of the quotient module  $R/(t_1, \dots, t_n)R$ . Tensoring this free resolution with  $M$  gives the complex  $K(\mathbf{t}) \otimes_R M$ . By the exactness of tensoring with a free resolution and the properties of the Koszul complex, we have:

$$K(\mathbf{t}) \otimes_R M \cong M/(t_1, \dots, t_n)M,$$

which proves that the two modules are quasi-isomorphic.

Consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  and its modules  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$  where  $X$  acts trivially on both modules, with  $p, q \geq 2$ . We are tasked with computing the Ext groups  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[X]}^*(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$  in the following cases:

(i) When  $p = q$ , the Ext groups  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[X]}^n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  are computed using the fact that  $X$  acts trivially on both modules. The Ext groups vanish for all  $n > 0$ , and:

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[X]}^0(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}.$$

(ii) When  $p$  and  $q$  are coprime, we compute the Ext groups using the fact that the modules  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$  have trivial  $X$ -action. The Ext groups vanish for all  $n > 0$ , and:

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[X]}^0(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) = 0.$$

Thus, in both cases, the only nonzero Ext group is  $\text{Ext}^0$  for the case where  $p = q$ .

### Solution 3:

**Problem Statement:** Define what it means to say a spectral sequence converges to a graded object  $H^*$ .

Let  $R$  be an arbitrary unital ring, let  $C$  be a cochain complex of left  $R$ -modules, and let  $F$  be a bounded filtration on  $C$ . State a theorem about the convergence of the spectral sequence associated to the filtered complex  $(C, F)$ .

Describe how to deduce that there are two spectral sequences computing the cohomology of a double complex that is bounded in both degrees.

Let  $P$  be a bounded cochain complex of projective left  $R$ -modules, and let  $M$  be any left  $R$ -module with finite projective dimension. By considering the two spectral sequences associated with a suitable double complex, prove the following Künneth spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Tor}_{-p}(H^q P, M) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(P \otimes M).$$

Assume that all boundaries of the complex  $P_*$  are also projective. Show the spectral sequence degenerates at the  $E_2$  term.

**Solution.** A spectral sequence  $(E_r^{p,q}, d_r^{p,q})$  converges to a graded object  $H^*$  if there exists a graded filtration  $\{F^p H^{p+q}\}$  on  $H^*$  such that for sufficiently large  $r$ ,

$$E_r^{p,q} \cong F^p H^{p+q} / F^{p+1} H^{p+q}.$$

In other words, the associated graded object of  $H^*$  with respect to the filtration is isomorphic to the limiting page of the spectral sequence.

Let  $(C^\bullet, F)$  be a filtered cochain complex of  $R$ -modules, where the filtration is bounded below or above:

$$F^p C^\bullet \supseteq F^{p+1} C^\bullet \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_p F^p C^\bullet = 0, \quad \bigcup_p F^p C^\bullet = C^\bullet.$$

Then the spectral sequence associated with the filtration  $F$  converges to the cohomology  $H^*(C^\bullet)$  in the sense that

$$E_r^{p,q} \implies H^{p+q}(C^\bullet).$$

If the filtration is bounded below, the convergence is in the sense of filtrations on the cohomology groups.

Let  $C^{\bullet,\bullet}$  be a bounded double complex, meaning there are only finitely many non-zero entries in both degrees. Two spectral sequences can be obtained by taking different filtrations:

Filter by rows, considering  $C^{p,\bullet}$  as the row-wise complexes. The associated spectral sequence has  $E_1^{p,q} = H^q(C^{p,\bullet})$  and converges to  $H^{p+q}(\text{Tot}(C^{\bullet,\bullet}))$ .

Filter by columns, treating  $C^{\bullet,q}$  as column-wise complexes. The associated spectral sequence has  $E_1^{p,q} = H^p(C^{\bullet,q})$  and similarly converges to the total cohomology.

Let  $P^\bullet$  be a bounded cochain complex of projective left  $R$ -modules, and let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module of finite projective dimension. Define the double complex

$$C^{p,q} = P^p \otimes_R M \quad \text{with} \quad d_1(x \otimes m) = d_P(x) \otimes m \quad \text{and} \quad d_2(x \otimes m) = 0.$$

There are two spectral sequences arising from this double complex:

Filter by rows (horizontal filtration). The first page is given by

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^p(P^\bullet \otimes_R M) \cong H^p(P^\bullet) \otimes_R M,$$

where the differential is trivial since  $d_2 = 0$ . Thus, the second page becomes

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Tor}_{-q}(H^p(P^\bullet), M).$$

The spectral sequence converges to the cohomology of the total complex:

$$E_2^{p,q} \implies H^{p+q}(P^\bullet \otimes_R M).$$

Filter by columns (vertical filtration). The differential in each column is trivial ( $d_2 = 0$ ), leading to

$$E_1^{p,q} = P^p \otimes_R M \quad \text{and} \quad E_1^{p,q} = 0 \text{ for } q \neq 0.$$

Hence, this spectral sequence degenerates immediately, and

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(P^\bullet \otimes_R M).$$

Comparing the two spectral sequences yields the Künneth spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{p,q} = \operatorname{Tor}_{-q}(H^p(P^\bullet), M) \implies H^{p+q}(P^\bullet \otimes_R M).$$

If all boundaries of the complex  $P^\bullet$  are projective, then  $H^p(P^\bullet)$  is projective for all  $p$ . Recall that projective modules are acyclic for the Tor functor, implying

$$\operatorname{Tor}_i(H^p(P^\bullet), M) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i > 0.$$

Thus, the spectral sequence degenerates at the  $E_2$  page, and we obtain

$$H^n(P^\bullet \otimes_R M) \cong H^n(P^\bullet) \otimes_R M.$$

This completes the proof.

## Solution 4

**Problem Statement:** Define the weak equivalences, fibrations, and cofibrations that form the projective model structure on the category  $\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(R)$  of nonnegative chain complexes over an arbitrary unital ring  $R$ .

Define what is meant by a sequentially small object. Use the small object argument to show that any map  $a : X \rightarrow Y$  in this category factors as a cofibration followed by an acyclic fibration.

*Hint:* You may assume that acyclic fibrations are characterized by the right lifting property with respect to a certain set of cofibrations that you should specify. You may further assume the domains of these maps are sequentially small.

**Solution.** Let  $R$  be a unital ring, and let  $\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(R)$  denote the category of chain complexes bounded below by nonnegative degrees. An object in this category is a sequence of  $R$ -modules and differential maps

$$X = \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} X_n \xrightarrow{d_n} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} X_0,$$

with  $d_n \circ d_{n+1} = 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

The projective model structure on  $\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(R)$  is defined as follows.

A map of chain complexes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a *weak equivalence* if it induces isomorphisms on homology:

$$H_n(f) : H_n(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n(Y) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a *fibration* if it is degreewise surjective:

$$f_n : X_n \twoheadrightarrow Y_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a *cofibration* if it has the left lifting property with respect to all acyclic fibrations (fibrations that are also weak equivalences).

An object  $K$  in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is *sequentially small* if there exists a cardinal  $\kappa$  such that, for every regular cardinal  $\lambda \geq \kappa$  and every  $\lambda$ -filtered colimit  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , the natural map

$$\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, X_i) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} X_i)$$

is a bijection.

Given a map  $a : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{Ch}_{>0}(R)$ , we show that it factors as a cofibration followed by an acyclic fibration using the small object argument.

Acyclic fibrations satisfy the *right lifting property* (RLP) with respect to a certain set of maps  $\mathcal{I}$ . We take  $\mathcal{I}$  as the set of canonical inclusion maps

$$d^n : S^{n-1} \rightarrow D^n \quad (n \geq 0),$$

where  $S^{n-1}$  is the  $n$ -dimensional sphere complex, and  $D^n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional disc complex over  $R$ . These maps correspond to the inclusions of degreewise free submodules that impose surjectivity constraints in the lifting properties.

Given a map  $a : X \rightarrow Y$ , the small object argument proceeds as follows:

1. Form the set  $\mathcal{I}$ -cellular complex by repeatedly attaching cells to  $X$  to construct a chain complex  $X'$  and a map  $X \rightarrow X'$ . This map will have the left lifting property with respect to all acyclic fibrations, making it a cofibration.
2. Define the induced map  $X' \rightarrow Y$ . This map is forced to be an acyclic fibration because it satisfies the right lifting property with respect to all generating cofibrations, making it both a fibration and a weak equivalence.

By the small object argument, we obtain a factorization of the map  $a : X \rightarrow Y$  as

$$X \xrightarrow{\text{cofibration}} X' \xrightarrow{\text{acyclic fibration}} Y.$$

Thus, the desired factorization follows from the small object argument.