

CO600 Reviewer User Stories

Tools for journal editors / conference programme committee chairs

Ross Taylor

Introduction

This document contains the User Stories based around the Reviewer for the conference manager system.

Stories

- As a Reviewer, I would like be part of a new conference, So i can review documents in the other conference along with ones over on this conference.
 - This will be done through an options menu, allowing the reviewer to join up with another chair, providing the chair of the other conference allows this.
- As a Reviewer, I would like to search the documents in this chair for a specific document title, so I can show interest in this document.
 - A search mechanism should be implemented into the search system that reduces the amount of documents on the list, providing none match the search.
- As a Reviewer, I would like to filter the search by a specific tag associated with the chair, so I can see all documents with that tag.
 - A filtering systems should be implemented to search part of the system to allow this.
- As a Reviewer, I would like to be notified when I have a new paper to review, so I know I need to mark a new paper.
 - A notifications tab will be implemented to the system, which will show when anything they are related to in the system changes.
- As a Reviewer, I would like to download a paper I have been assigned to review, so I can review the document.
 - A download will be given on the Review page.
- As a Reviewer, I would like to submit a review for this paper I have been assigned, So I can finish marking this paper.
 - A way of uploading reviews must be implemented into the Review page.
- As a Reviewer, I would like to score a paper between 1 and 5. This is so i can show a score of how good or bad the paper was.
 - A scoring system between 1 and 5 will be implemented into the system.
- As a Reviewer, I would like to score myself on how well I know the subject on the review, So everyone reading the review understands my knowledge on the subject prior to reading the document.
 - A rating of X to Z will be used based on how much knowledge a Reviewer has on the subject. X meaning experienced, Y meaning familiar with the subject, Z meaning next to no experience. This will be added to the final review.

- As a Reviewer, I would like to modify a review after i have published it, So any mistakes I may have made can be corrected.
 - Reviewers should be allowed to resubmit a review, which will override the old review already on the system.
- As a Reviewer, I would like to comment on another Reviewer's review, So I can point out a flaw in their review.
 - A commenting system should be implemented to the Review Input page, allowing for users who have access to that page a chance to point out any errors or just give general feedback on said review.
- As a Reviewer, I would like to be able to talk to my other colleagues reviewing the paper, so I can ask them a question if I am unsure about something on the paper.
 - A chat System will be implemented into the system, which will allow all tasked reviewers on this paper to talk amongst each other. The chair of the conference will also have access to this.
- As a Reviewer, I would like to see the name of the author whose paper I am reviewing after the review has been published, so I know who I have just reviewed.
 - An option should be implemented that will allow a Reviewer to never see the names of people they are reviewing, to always see the names of people they are reviewing, or to see the names after they have published the review.