



${\bf Model Optimization and Tuning Phase Template}$

| Date | 16th may 2025 |
|---------------|--|
| TeamID | LTVIP2025TMID60515 |
| Project Title | Revolutionizing Liver Care: Predicting Liver CirrhosisUsingAdvancedMachineLearning Techniques. |
| Maximum Marks | 10 Marks |

Model Optimization and Tuning Phase

The Model Optimization and Tuning Phase involves refining machine learning models for peak performance. It includes optimized model code, fine-tuning hyperparameters, comparing performance metrics, and justifying the final models election for enhanced predictive accuracy and efficiency.

Hyperparameter Tuning Documentation (6 Marks):

| Model | TunedHyperparameters | Optimal Values |
|---------------|---|---|
| Naive Bayes | No hyperparameters to tune for GaussianNB, directly fitting and scoring | Train score: 0.8353096179183136 Test score: 0.7789473684210526 Accuracy on test set: 0.7789473684210526 |
| Random Forest | <pre>rf = RandomForestClassifier() # Hyperparameter grid param_dist = { 'n_estimators': [100, 200, 300, 400, 500], 'max_depth': [None, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50], 'min_samples_split': [2, 5, 10], 'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2, 4], 'bootstrap': [True, False] }</pre> | print('Best Hyperparameters for Random Forest:', rf_best_params) print('Train score:', rf_train_score) print('Train score:', rf_train_score) **Six X_parameters + Tag **Best Hyperparameters for Random Forest: {'n_estimators': 488, 'min_samples_solit': 18, Train score: 8.998171277997965 Test score: 8.97868471691293158 |





| Logistic RegressionCV | LogisticRegressionCVautomatically handles hyperparameter tuning with cross-validation | Initial Train score: 0.8840579710144928 Initial Test score: 0.8157894736842105 |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Ridge Classifier | <pre># Hyperparameter grid for tuning param_grid = {'alpha': [0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]} # GridSearchCV for hyperparameter tuning grid_search_rg = GridSearchCV(rg, param_grid, cv=5, n_jobs=-1) grid_search_rg.fit(X_train, y_train) # Get the best parameters rg_best_params = grid_search_rg.best_params_</pre> | Optimal hyperparameters for Ridge Classifier: {'alpha': 100} Accuracy on test set: 0.8210526315789474 |
| SupportVector Classifier | <pre># Reduced hyperparameter grid for quicker tuning param_grid = { 'C': [0.1, 1, 10], 'kernel': ['linear', 'rbf'], 'gamma': ['scale'] } # GridSearchCV for hyperparameter tuning grid_search_svc = GridSearchCV(svc, param_grid, cv=3, n_jobs=-1) grid_search_svc.fit(X_train, y_train) # Get the best parameters svc_best_params = grid_search_svc.best_params_</pre> | Accuracy on test set: 0.64 Initial Train score: 0.7127799736495388 Initial Test score: 0.6421052631578947 |
| Logistic Regression | # Hyperparameter grid for tuning param_grid = ('C': [0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100], 'penalty': ['ll', 'l2', 'elasticnet', 'none']} # GridGearchCV for hyperparameter tuning grid_search_log = GridGearchCV(log, param_grid, cv=5, n_jobs=-1) grid_search_log.fit(X_train, y_train)] # Get the best parameters log_best_params = grid_search_log.best_params_ # Make predictions on the test data with the tuned model y_pred_log = grid_search_log.predict(X_test) | Optimal hyperparameters for Logistic Regression: {'C': 0.01, 'penalty': '12'} Accuracy on test set: 0.0052631578947268 |
| XG Boost | # Simplified hyperparameter grid for tuning param_dist = { | Initial Train score: 0.9920948616600791 Initial Test score: 0.8421052631578947 Accuracy on test set: 0.84 |





```
# HYPERPARAMETER TUNING

k = np.random.randint(1,5e,5e)

params = {'n_neighbors': k}

random_search = RandomizedSearchCV(knn, params, n_iter=5, cv=5, n_jobs=-1, verbose = e)

random_search.fit(X_train, y_train)

print('train_score - '+ str(random_search.score(X_train, y_train)))

print('test_score - '+ str(random_search.score(X_test,y_test)))

knn.get_params()

Train score with tuned model: 0.8089591567852438

Test score with tuned model: 0.7210526315789474

Optimal hyperparameters for KNN: {'n_neighbors': 21}

Accuracy on test set: 0.72
```

Performance Metrics Comparison Report (2 Marks):

| Confusion Matri [[49 19] [23 99]] Classification 0 1 accuracy macro avg weighted avg | | ve Bayes): recall f 0.72 0.81 | 0.70 0.82 0.78 0.76 0.78 | 68 122 190 190 190 | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 0 1 accuracy macro avg weighted avg | 9.68 9.84 9.76 | 0.72 0.81 | 0.70 0.82 0.78 0.76 | 68 122 190 190 | |
| accuracy macro avg weighted avg | 0.84 0.76 | 0.81 | 0.82 0.78 0.76 | 122 190 190 | |
| macro avg weighted avg | | | 0.76 | 190 | |
| Confusion Matri | | | | 250 | |
| | v (Pandom F | onest): | | | |
| [[51 17] [8 114]] Classification | | |): | | |
| precision recall f1-score support | | | | | |
| 0 1 | 0.86 0.87 | 0.75 0.93 | 0.80 0.90 | 68 122 | |
| accuracy macro avg weighted avg | 0.87 0.87 | 0.84 0.87 | 0.87 0.85 0.87 | 190 190 190 | |
| Confusion Matnix | (Logistic | Pagnassi | on CV): | | |
| [[43 25] [10 112]] | | | | w. | |
| | | | | | |
| (3 * °0) | | | | 0. (0.000 €n €n 0.000) (0) | |
| 0 1 | 0.81 0.82 | 0.63 0.92 | 0.71 0.86 | 68 122 | |
| accuracy | | | 0.82 | 190 | |
| macro avg | 0.81 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 190 | |
| weighted avg | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 190 | |
| | [[43 25] [10 112]] Classification R p 0 1 accuracy macro avg | [[43 25] [10 112]] Classification Report (Log precision | [[43 25] [10 112]] Classification Report (Logistic Reg precision recall | [10 112]] Classification Report (Logistic Regression Control precision recall f1-score | [[43 25] [10 112]] Classification Report (Logistic Regression CV): |





| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| | en contra a | .i., /pi=== == | | | | |
| | Confusion Matr | ·ix (klage Cl | .assitier): | | | |
| | [[44 24] | | | | | |
| | [10 112]] | Popost /D' | lan Classic | ion): | | |
| | Classification | | | ier): f1-score | support | |
| | | precision | Lecall . | il-score | support | |
| Ridge Classifier | 9 | 0.81 | 0.65 | 0.72 | 68 | |
| | 1 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 122 | |
| | 1 | 0.02 | 0.52 | 0.07 | 144 | |
| | accuracy | | | 0.82 | 190 | |
| | macro avg | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 190 | |
| | weighted avg | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 190 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Confusion Matri | ix (Support | Vector Cla | ssifier): | | |
| , I | [[6 62] | | | | | |
| | [6 116]] | | | | | |
| | Classification | Report (Sun | port Vecto | r Classifi | ier): | |
| | C1033111C0C1011 | precision | | | support | |
| SupportVector | | PI 601310II | GCAIL | , 1 300FE | Suppor C | |
| | 0 | 0.50 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 68 | |
| Classifier | | | | | | |
| Classifici | 1 | 0.65 | 0.95 | 0.77 | 122 | |
| | | | | 0.61 | 100 | |
| | accuracy | | | 0.64 | 190 | |
| | macro avg | 0.58 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 190 | |
| | weighted avg | 0.60 | 0.64 | 0.55 | 190 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Confusion Matr | rix (Logistic | Regression |): | | |
| | [[42 26] | | (, 2 .) | 200 | | |
| ı | [11 111]] | | | | | |
| | Classification | n Report (Log | istic Regre | ssion): | | |
| | | precision | recall f | 1-score s | support | |
| I a sistia Dannesia | | | | | | |
| Logistic Regression | 0 | 0.79 | 0.62 | 0.69 | 68 | |
| | 1 | 0.81 | 0.91 | 0.86 | 122 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | accuracy | 8 <u>-</u> 1 (948) | | 0.81 | 190 | |
| | macro avg | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 190 | |
| | weighted avg | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.80 | 190 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Confusion Matri | x (XGBoost |): | | | |
| | [[48 20] | | | | | |
| | [10 112]] | | | | | |
| | Classification | Report (YG | Boost). | | | |
| | | | | £1 | a cuppert | |
| | | precision | recall | f1-scor | re support | |
| | | | | | | |
| VC Poort | 0 | 0.83 | 0.71 | 0.76 | 68 | |
| XG Boost | | | 0 00 | 0.88 | 3 122 | |
| XG Boost | 1 | 0.85 | 0.92 | | | |
| XG Boost | 1 | 0.85 | 0.92 | | | |
| XG Boost | 1 accuracy | 0.85 | 0.92 | 0.84 | 190 | |
| XG Boost | accuracy | | | 0.84 | | |
| XG Boost | accuracy macro avg | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.84 0.82 | 190 | |
| XG Boost | accuracy | | | 0.84 | 190 | |
| XG Boost | accuracy macro avg | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.84 0.82 | 190 | |
| XG Boost | accuracy macro avg | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.84 0.82 | 190 | |





| | Confusion Matr [[40 28] [25 97]] | | ı. | | |
|-----|--|-----------|--------|----------|---------|
| | Classification | | | C1 | |
| | | precision | recall | T1-score | support |
| KNN | 0 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.60 | 68 |
| | 1 | 0.78 | 0.80 | 0.79 | 122 |
| | accuracy | | | 0.72 | 190 |
| | macro avg | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 190 |
| | weighted avg | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 190 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Final Model Selection Justification (2 Marks):

| Final Model | Reasoning |
|------------------------------|--|
| K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) | The K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) algorithm was selected as the final modelforpredictinglivercirrhosisduetoitsimpressiveperformance metrics and suitability for the problem at hand. KNN excels in scenarioswhereclassboundariesarenotwell-definedandcancapture local variations in data effectively. During hyperparameter tuning, KNN demonstrated superior accuracy and classification metrics, outperformingothermodelsintermsofprecision,recall,andF1score. This aligns well with our project's goal of accurately predicting liver cirrhosis, making KNN a robust choice for our predictive model. |