

5 CONCLUSIONS (CLAIMS)

Claim 1

- 10 A computer system for automated AI model risk analysis, comprising:  
a) a multi-framework analysis module that analyzes machine learning models  
for PyTorch, TensorFlow, ONNX, and scikit-learn frameworks;  
15 b) a bias detection engine that identifies discriminatory patterns using  
demographic parity, equalized odds, calibration score, and individual  
fairness algorithms;  
20 c) an EU AI Act compliance assessor that classifies models according to  
Articles 5, 19-24, and 51-55;  
wherein the system automatically generates compliance reports with penalty  
calculations up to EUR 35 million.

25 Claim 2

30 The system according to claim 1, wherein the bias detection engine  
implements the following mathematical formulas:

- 35 a) Demographic Parity:  $P(Y=1|A=0) \sim P(Y=1|A=1)$  with a threshold value of  
0.80;  
b) Equalized Odds:  $TPR_{A=0} \sim TPR_{A=1}$  AND  $FPR_{A=0} \sim FPR_{A=1}$ ;  
c) Calibration Score:  $P(Y=1|Score=s, A=0) \sim P(Y=1|Score=s, A=1)$ ;  
d) Individual Fairness:  $d(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq L \cdot d(x_1, x_2)$ .

40 Claim 3

45 The system according to claim 1, wherein the EU AI Act compliance assessor  
comprises:

- a) an Article 5 scanner that detects prohibited practices with penalty of  
EUR 35 million or 7% of global turnover;

- 50 b) an Articles 19-24 validator for high-risk systems with penalty of EUR 15  
million or 3% of global turnover;  
55 c) an Articles 51-55 checker for General Purpose AI models with compute  
threshold monitoring.

Claim 4

- 60 A Netherlands specialization module according to claim 1, comprising:  
a) a BSN (Burgerservicenummer/Citizen Service Number) detection algorithm  
with 9-digit pattern recognition and checksum validation;  
65 b) a UAVG compliance validator with Dutch Data Protection Authority  
(Autoriteit Persoonsgegevens - AP) integration;  
c) a regional penalty calculator with Netherlands compliance multipliers.

Claim 5

The system according to claim 4, wherein the BSN detection algorithm:

- 75 a) identifies 9-digit patterns in model training data;
- b) performs official checksum validation according to Netherlands specifications using the formula:  $\text{checksum} = (\text{digit\_0} \times 9) + (\text{digit\_1} \times 8) + (\text{digit\_2} \times 7) + (\text{digit\_3} \times 6) + (\text{digit\_4} \times 5) + (\text{digit\_5} \times 4) + (\text{digit\_6} \times 3) + (\text{digit\_7} \times 2) - (\text{digit\_8} \times 1)$ , wherein BSN is valid if  $\text{checksum} \bmod 11 == 0$ ;
- c) generates privacy risk assessment according to GDPR Article 9;
- 85 d) provides automatic anonymization recommendations.

Claim 6

- 90 The system according to claim 1, wherein the multi-framework analysis module:
- a) analyzes PyTorch models via `torch.load()` and `model.parameters()` enumeration;
  - 95 b) processes TensorFlow models with `tf.keras.models.load_model()` and `model.count_params()`;
  - c) examines ONNX models with `onnx.load()` and `onnxruntime.InferenceSession()`;
  - 100 d) deserializes scikit-learn models with `joblib.load()` validation.

=====

PAGE 11 of 12

Claim 7

- 105 The system according to claim 2, wherein the bias detection engine:
- a) calculates demographic parity with a threshold of 80% for group equality;
  - 110 b) evaluates equalized opportunities for True Positive Rate and False Positive Rate between protected groups;
  - c) measures calibration scores for prediction reliability per demographic group;
  - 115 d) tests individual fairness via Lipschitz continuity with factor L=1.0.

Claim 8

- 120 The system according to claim 3, wherein the EU AI Act compliance assessor:
- a) detects prohibited practices including social scoring, manipulation, and biometric identification;
  - 125 b) validates high-risk systems for quality management systems and technical documentation;
  - c) assesses General Purpose AI models for parameter count >1 billion and compute thresholds;
  - 130 d) performs automatic penalty calculations according to EU AI Act Articles 82-85.

Claim 9

The system according to claim 4, wherein the Netherlands specialization module:

- 140 a) implements BSN checksum algorithm according to official Netherlands specifications with the correct formula wherein the last digit (`digit_8`)

- is multiplied by factor 1 and subtracted;
- 145 b) validates UAVG compliance for data residency, local representation, and AP notification;
- c) applies regional penalty multipliers for Netherlands privacy violations;
- 150 d) provides Dutch language support for privacy policies and compliance reports.

=====

PAGE 12 of 12

155 Claim 10

The system according to claim 1, comprising a real-time monitoring system that:

- 160 a) performs continuous compliance monitoring with automated scanning;
- b) uses pattern matching algorithms for anomaly detection;
- c) generates automatic alerts upon compliance violations;
- 165 d) provides remediation recommendations with Netherlands legal guidance.

Claim 11

170

The system according to claim 1, wherein the system:

- 175 a) completes processing within 30 seconds for standard models and 5 minutes for Large Language Models;
- b) achieves 95% accuracy for bias detection and 98% for compliance classification;
- c) has less than 3% false positive rate for prohibited practice detection;
- 180 d) provides support for model formats .pt, .pth, .h5, .pb, .onnx, .pkl, .joblib.

185 Claim 12

The system according to claim 1, wherein the system further comprises:

- 190 a) PostgreSQL database for scan results and compliance history storage;
- b) Redis caching layer for performance optimization;
- c) Docker containerization for horizontal scaling;
- 195 d) API endpoints for enterprise integration with existing ML pipelines.

Claim 13

200 The system according to claim 1, wherein penalty calculations:

- a) determine maximum penalties of EUR35 million or 7% global turnover for Article 5 violations;
- 205 b) calculate EUR15 million or 3% global turnover for Articles 19-24 violations;
- c) apply Netherlands UAVG multipliers for regional compliance requirements;
- 210 d) activate automatic escalation triggers upon high-risk detections.

Claim 14

215 A method for automated AI model compliance verification, comprising the steps

of:

- a) model framework detection and architecture analysis;
- 220 b) bias assessment execution with four fairness algorithms;
- c) EU AI Act compliance evaluation according to applicable articles;
- 225 d) Netherlands privacy compliance verification including BSN detection with correct checksum validation;
- e) real-time monitoring and alert generation for compliance violations.

230 Claim 15

A computer-readable medium containing instructions that, when executed by a processor, implement the system according to claim 1, wherein the 235 instructions:

- a) contain multi-framework model analysis routines;
- b) implement mathematical bias detection algorithms with correct formulas;
- 240 c) execute EU AI Act compliance assessment procedures;
- d) activate Netherlands specialization modules with correct BSN validation according to official Dutch 11-proof algorithm;
- 245 e) provide real-time monitoring and reporting functions.

=====

END OF CONCLUSIONS

=====