

# Stochastic Calculus and Itô's Lemma

In this lecture...

By the end of this lecture you will be able to


- understand where Brownian motion and diffusion processes come from
- manipulate functions of random variables

## **Introduction**

Stochastic calculus is very important in the mathematical modeling of financial processes. This is because of the underlying (assumed) random nature of financial markets.

## Stochastic calculus: A motivating example

Toss a coin. Every time you throw a head you receive \$1, every time you throw a tail you pay out \$1. In the experiment below the sequence was T H H T H T, and you finished even.



- $R_i$  denotes the random amount, either \$1 or -\$1, you make on the  $i$ th toss:

$$E[R_i] = 0, \quad E[R_i^2] = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad E[R_i R_j] = 0.$$

In this example it doesn't matter whether or not these expectations are conditional on the past. In other words, if you threw five heads in a row it does not affect the outcome of the sixth toss.

- Introduce  $S_i$  to mean the total amount of money you have won up to and including the  $i$ th toss so that

$$S_i = \sum_{j=1}^i R_j.$$

Later on it will be useful if we have  $S_0 = 0$ , i.e., you start with no money.

If we now calculate expectations of  $S_i$  it *does* matter what information we have. If we calculate expectations of future events before the experiment has even begun then

$$E[S_i] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E[S_i^2] = E[R_1^2 + 2R_1R_2 + \dots] = i.$$

On the other hand, suppose there have been five tosses already, can we use this information and what can we say about expectations for the sixth toss?

- This is the **conditional expectation**.

The expectation of  $S_6$  conditional upon the previous five tosses gives

$$E[S_6 | R_1, \dots, R_5] = S_5.$$

## Quadratic variation

The **quadratic variation** of the random walk is defined by

$$\sum_{j=1}^i (S_j - S_{j-1})^2.$$

Because you either win or lose an amount \$1 after each toss,  $|S_j - S_{j-1}| = 1$ . Thus the quadratic variation is always  $i$ :

$$\sum_{j=1}^i (S_j - S_{j-1})^2 = i.$$

We are going to use the coin-tossing experiment for one more demonstration. And that will lead us to a continuous-time random walk.

## Brownian motion

Change the rules of the coin-tossing experiment slightly.

First of all restrict the time allowed for the six tosses to a period  $t$ , so each toss will take a time  $t/6$ . Second, the size of the bet will not be \$1 but  $\sqrt{t/6}$ .

This new experiment clearly still possesses both the Markov and martingale properties, and its quadratic variation measured over the whole experiment is

$$\sum_{j=1}^6 (S_j - S_{j-1})^2 = 6 \times \left( \sqrt{\frac{t}{6}} \right)^2 = t.$$




Change the rules again, to speed up the game.

- We will have  $n$  tosses in the allowed time  $t$ , with an amount  $\sqrt{t/n}$  riding on each throw.

Again, the Markov and martingale properties are retained and the quadratic variation is still

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (S_j - S_{j-1})^2 = n \times \left( \sqrt{\frac{t}{n}} \right)^2 = t.$$

Now make  $n$  larger and larger. This speeds up the game, decreasing the time between tosses, with a smaller amount for each bet. But the new scalings have been chosen very carefully, the time step is decreasing like  $n^{-1}$  but the bet size only decreases by  $n^{-1/2}$ .



A series of coin tossing experiments.

As we go to the limit  $n = \infty$ , the resulting random walk stays finite. It has an expectation, conditional on a starting value of zero, of

$$E[S(t)] = 0$$

and a variance

$$E[S(t)^2] = t.$$

We use  $S(t)$  to denote the amount you have won or the value of the random variable after a time  $t$ .

- The limiting process for this random walk as the time steps go to zero is called **Brownian motion**, and we will denote it by  $X(t)$ .

Having built up the idea and properties of Brownian motion from a series of experiments, we can discard the experiments, to leave the Brownian motion that is defined by its properties. These properties will be very important for our financial models.

## Very Important Notation


We have seen  $X$  as the 'end result' of a random walk, up to some time  $t$ .

We will often work with the amount by which  $X$  changes from moment to moment.

- Think of  $dX$  as being an increment in  $X$ , i.e. a Normal random variable with mean zero and standard deviation  $dt^{1/2}$ .

## Functions of stochastic variables and Itô's lemma

Now we'll see the idea of a function of a stochastic variable. Below is shown a realization of a Brownian motion  $X(t)$  and the function  $F(X) = X^2$ .



Whenever we have functions of a variable it is natural to want to know how to differentiate and manipulate these functions.

What are the rules of calculus when variables are stochastic?

The first point to note is that in the stochastic world we really have two 'variables.'

These are time  $t$  and the Brownian motion  $X$ .



We are used to writing ordinary and partial differential equations in the form

$$\frac{dF}{d\cdot}$$

or

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \cdot}$$

where the quantities on the bottom are the independent variables.

So might expect something similar in the stochastic world.

We immediately hit a problem, however.

Because  $dX$  is of size  $\sqrt{dt}$  it is much bigger than  $dt$ .

This means that we have to be careful whenever we think about gradients/slopes/derivatives/sensitivities, since these are limits as  $dt$  goes to zero.

For this reason, in the stochastic world we instead work with stochastic differential equations.

These take the form

$$dF = \dots dt + \dots dX.$$

So, what are the rules of calculus?

Since  $X$  is stochastic, so is  $F$ , and we can ask 'what is the stochastic differential equation for  $F$ ?'

If  $F(X) = X^2$  what is the equation for  $dF$ ?

If  $F = X^2$  is it true that  $dF = 2X dX$ ?

No.

- The ordinary rules of calculus do not generally hold in a stochastic environment.

Then what are the rules of calculus?

We are going to throw caution to the wind, pretend that there are no problems or subtleties, use Taylor series... and see what happens!

## Taylor Series . . . and Itô

If we were to do a naive Taylor series expansion of  $F$ , completely disregarding the nature of  $X$ , and treating  $dX$  as a small increment in  $X$ , we would get

$$F(X + dX) = F(X) + \frac{dF}{dX}dX + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2F}{dX^2}dX^2,$$

ignoring higher-order terms.

We could argue that  $F(X + dX) - F(X)$  was just the ‘change in’  $F$  and so

$$dF = \frac{dF}{dX}dX + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2F}{dX^2}dX^2.$$

This is *almost* correct.

Because of the way that we have defined Brownian motion, and have seen how the quadratic variation behaves, it turns out that the  $dX^2$  term isn't really random at all.

The  $dX^2$  term becomes (as all time steps become smaller and smaller) the same as its average value,  $dt$ .



Taylor series and the 'proper' Itô are very similar. The only difference being that the correct Itô's lemma has a  $dt$  instead of a  $dX^2$ .

- You can, with little risk of error, use Taylor series with the ‘rule of thumb’

$$dX^2 = dt.$$

and in practice you will get the right result.

Let’s get some intuition now, and then shortly we will do Itô’s lemma properly!

We can now answer the question, “If  $F = X^2$  what is  $dF$ ?” In this example

$$\frac{dF}{dX} = 2X \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2F}{dX^2} = 2.$$

Therefore Itô’s lemma tells us that

$$dF = dt + 2X dX.$$

This is an example of a **stochastic differential equation**.

## Stochastic differential equations

Stochastic differential equations are used to model random quantities, a stock price for example.

They have two parts to them, a **deterministic** and a **random**.

Suppose we want to model a stock price as a random quantity. Let's use  $S$  to denote that stock price.

A stochastic differential equation for  $S$  would look something like this:

$$dS = \text{Deterministic} + \text{Random} .$$

In words: “The change in the stock price has a predictable component and a random component.”

More precisely

$$dS = \text{Something } dt + \text{Something else } dX.$$

The randomness is captured by the  $dX$  term.

But what are these 'somethings'?

In the standard models they would be functions of  $S$  and time,  $t$ .

$$dS = f(S, t) dt + g(S, t) dX.$$

The function  $f(S, t)$  captures how the predictable bit of the stock model varies with  $S$  and  $t$  and the  $g(S, t)$  function captures the randomness.

$$dS = f(S, t) dt + g(S, t) dX.$$

We sometimes call the  $f(S, t)$  function the **growth rate** or the **drift**.


The  $g(S, t)$  is related to the **volatility** of  $S$ .



## Some pertinent examples

The first example simple Brownian motion but with a drift:

$$dS = \mu dt + \sigma dX.$$




In this realization  $S$  has gone negative.

Certificate in Quantitative Finance

---

Our second example is similar to the above but the drift and randomness scale with  $S$ :


$$dS = \mu S dt + \sigma S dX.$$



If  $S$  starts out positive it can never go negative; the closer that  $S$  gets to zero the smaller the increments  $dS$ .

The third example is

$$dS = (\nu - \mu S)dt + \sigma dX.$$



The random walk

$$dS = (\nu - \mu S)dt + \sigma dX$$

is an example of a **mean-reverting** random walk.

If  $S$  is large, the negative coefficient in front of  $dt$  means that  $S$  will move down on average, if  $S$  is small it rises on average. There is still no incentive for  $S$  to stay positive in this random walk.

With  $r$  instead of  $S$  this random walk is the Vasicek model for the short-term interest rate.

The final example is similar to the third but we are going to adjust the random term slightly:

$$dS = (\nu - \mu S)dt + \sigma S^{1/2} dX.$$

Now if  $S$  ever gets close to zero the randomness decreases, perhaps this will stop  $S$  from going negative?

This particular stochastic differential equation for  $S$  will be important later on, it is the Cox, Ingersoll & Ross model for the short-term interest rate.

Pursuing this idea further, imagine what might be meant by

$$dW = g(t) dt + f(t) dX.$$

- Equations like this are called **stochastic differential equations**. Their precise meaning comes, however, from the technically more accurate equivalent stochastic integral.

This equation above is shorthand for

$$W(t) = \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t f(\tau) dX(\tau).$$

## The mean square limit

This is useful in the precise definition of stochastic integration.

Examine the quantity

$$E \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (X(t_j) - X(t_{j-1}))^2 - t \right)^2 \right]$$

where

$$t_j = \frac{jt}{n}.$$

This can be expanded as

$$E \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n (X(t_j) - X(t_{j-1}))^4 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j < i} (X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}))^2 (X(t_j) - X(t_{j-1}))^2 - 2t \sum_{j=1}^n (X(t_j) - X(t_{j-1}))^2 + t^2 \right].$$

Since  $X(t_j) - X(t_{j-1})$  is Normally distributed with mean zero and variance  $t/n$  we have

$$E \left[ (X(t_j) - X(t_{j-1}))^2 \right] = \frac{t}{n}$$

and

$$E \left[ (X(t_j) - X(t_{j-1}))^4 \right] = \frac{3t^2}{n^2}.$$



Thus the required expectation becomes

$$n \frac{3t^2}{n^2} + n(n-1) \frac{t^2}{n^2} - 2tn \frac{t}{n} + t^2 = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  this tends to zero. We therefore say that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (X(t_j) - X(t_{j-1}))^2 = t$$

in the ‘mean square limit.’ This is often written, for obvious reasons, as

$$\int_0^t (dX)^2 = t.$$

Whenever we talk about ‘equality’ in the following ‘proof’ we mean equality in the mean square sense.

## Summary

Please take away the following important ideas

- Functions of random variables can't be differentiated in quite the same way as functions of deterministic variables.
- Instead of using Taylor series you must use Itô's lemma. However, they are very similar and a simple rule of thumb can usually be used to get from Taylor to Itô.