

README : Vectors and Matrices

Vishal Bindal
2018CS50425

vdim

To Prove: $\text{vdim} [e_1; e_2; e_3; \dots e_n] = n$

Proof by induction

Base case: For $n=0$, $\text{vdim} []$ returns 0

Induction hypothesis: Assume $\text{vdim} [e_1; e_2; \dots e_k] = k$

Induction step: $\text{vdim } e::[e_1; e_2; \dots e_k] = 1 + (\text{vdim} [e_1; e_2; \dots e_k]) = 1+k$

Time complexity = $O(n)$, n is the dimension of the vector

mkzerov

To Prove: $\text{mkzerov } n = [0.; 0.; \dots 0.]$ (n times)

Proof by induction

Base case: For $n=0$, $\text{mkzerov } 0$ returns $[]$

Induction hypothesis: Assume $\text{mkzerov } k = [0.; 0.; \dots 0.]$ (k times)

Induction step: $\text{mkzerov } 0.0::[0.; 0.; \dots 0.]$ (k times) $= [0.; 0.; \dots 0.]$ ($k+1$ times)

Time complexity = $O(n)$, n is the dimension of the vector

isvzerov

To Prove: $\text{isvzerov} [e_1; e_2; e_3; \dots e_n] = \text{true}$ if $e_1=e_2=\dots=e_n=0$. else false

Proof by induction

Base case: For $n=0$, $\text{isvzerov} []$ returns true

Induction hypothesis: Assume $\text{isvzerov} [e_1; e_2; \dots e_k] = \text{true}$

Induction step: $\text{isvzerov } e::[e_1; e_2; \dots e_k] = \text{true}$ if e is 0.0 else false

Time complexity = $O(n)$, n is the dimension of the vector

addv

To Prove: $\text{add} [e_1; e_2; \dots e_n] [f_1; f_2; \dots f_n] = [e_1+f_1; e_2+f_2; \dots e_n+f_n]$

Proof by induction

Base case: For $n=0$, $\text{addv} [] []$ returns $[]$

Induction hypothesis: Assume $\text{add} [e_1; e_2; \dots e_k] [f_1; f_2; \dots f_k] = [e_1+f_1; e_2+f_2; \dots e_k+f_k]$

Induction step: $\text{add } e::[e_1; e_2; \dots e_k] f::[f_1; f_2; \dots f_k] = (e+f)::[e_1+f_1; e_2+f_2; \dots e_k+f_k]$

Time complexity = $O(n)$, n is the dimension of the vector

scalarmultv

To Prove: $\text{scalarmultv } s [e1; e2; \dots en] = [s * e1; s * e2; \dots s * en]$

Proof by induction

Base case: For $n=0$, $\text{scalarmultv } s []$ returns $[]$

Induction hypothesis: Assume $\text{scalarmultv } s [e1; e2; \dots ek] = [s * e1; s * e2; \dots s * ek]$

Induction step: $\text{scalarmultv } s e::[e1; e2; \dots ek] = (s * e)::[s * e1; s * e2; \dots s * ek]$

Time complexity = $O(n)$, n is the dimension of the vector

dotprodv

To Prove: $\text{dotprodv } [e1; e2; \dots en] [f1; f2; \dots fn] = e1 * f1 + e2 * f2 + \dots en * fn$

Proof by induction

Base case: For $n=0$, $\text{dotprodv } [] [] = 0$

Induction hypothesis: Assume $\text{dotprodv } [e1; e2; \dots ek] [f1; f2; \dots fk] = e1 * f1 + e2 * f2 + \dots ek * fk$

Induction step: $\text{dotprodv } e::[e1; e2; \dots ek] f::[f1; f2; \dots fk] = e * f + e1 * f1 + e2 * f2 + \dots ek * fk$

Time complexity = $O(n)$, n is the dimension of the vector

validmatrix

Method : Check if each row (float list) of the matrix has equal length.

Proof can be shown by induction, similar to `isvzerov`

Time complexity = $O(n*m)$, where n is no of rows, m is the max length of rows

mdim

Method : Rows is the length of the (float list) list. Columns is the length of the first element (float list) of this list. Since length function is correct, `mdim` is also correct.

Time complexity = $O(n+m)$, where n is no of rows, m is the length of each row

mkzerom

To Prove: $\text{mkzerom } n \ m = [ls; ls; \dots ls]$ (n times), where $ls = [0.; 0. \dots 0.]$ (m times)

Proof by induction

Base case: For $n=m=0$, mkzerom returns $[]$

Induction hypothesis: Assume $\text{mkzerom } k \ m = [ls; ls; \dots ls]$ (k times), where $ls = [0.; 0. \dots 0.]$ (m times)

Induction step: $\text{mkzerom } k+1 \ m = (\text{mkzerov } m)::[ls; ls; \dots ls]$

Since `mkzerov` is correct, `mkzerom` is also correct

Time complexity = $O(n*m)$, where n is no of rows, m is the max length of rows

iszerom

To Prove: $\text{isvzerom } [e1; e2; e3; \dots en] = \text{true}$ if $e1=e2=\dots=en = [0.; 0. \dots 0.]$ else false

Proof by induction

Base case: For $n=0$, $\text{isvzerom } []$ returns true

Induction hypothesis: Assume $\text{isvzerom } [e1; e2; \dots ek] = \text{true}$

Induction step: $\text{isvzerom } e :: [e_1; e_2; \dots e_k] = \text{true}$ if $(\text{iszerov } e)$ is true else false

Since iszerov is correct, iszerom is also correct.

Time complexity = $O(n*m)$, where n is no of rows, m is the max length of rows

mkunitm

Helper functions

idrow, which returns a row of the unit matrix

To Prove: $\text{idrow } i \ n = [0.; 0.; \dots 1.; \dots 0.]$ (n times), 1. at the i TH position

Proof by induction

Base case: For $n=0$, idrow returns $[]$

Induction hypothesis: Assume $\text{idrow } i \ k = [e_1; e_2; \dots e_k]$

Induction step: $\text{idrow } i+1 \ k+1 = 1.0 :: [e_1; e_2; \dots e_k]$ if $i+1$ is 1 else $0.0 :: [e_1; e_2; \dots e_k]$.

Since i can become 1 only once as it is being changed at each step, 1. will appear only once at the required position

mkrows, which generates a list of all rows

Recursively call idrow for all rows, can be proved by induction

Calls mkrows . Since mkrows is correct, it is also correct.

Time complexity = $O(n*m)$, where n is no of rows, m is the max length of rows

isunitm

Helper functions

issquare, which returns whether a matrix is a square matrix

Calls mdim and checks whether no of rows = no of columns

iscorow, which checks if given row is correct (i.e. 1 at given pos and 0 at others)

Proof similar to idrow above, except in this case check the existing entries and return true/false accordingly

isidentity , which recursively checks all rows by calling iscorow

Can be proved easily by induction

Calls issquare and isidentity , and returns true if both true, else false

Time complexity = $O(n*m)$, where n is no of rows, m is the max length of rows

addm

Recursively add row by row by calling addv

Since addv is correct, this is also correct

Time complexity = $O(n*m)$, where n is no of rows, m is the max length of rows

scalarmultm

Recursively scale each row of matrix by calling scalarmultv

Since scalarmultv is correct, this is also correct

Time complexity = $O(n*m)$, where n is no of rows, m is the max length of rows

transm

To Prove: $\text{transm } [[a_{11}; a_{12}; \dots; a_{1m}]; [a_{21}; a_{22}; \dots; a_{2m}]; \dots [a_{n1}; a_{n2}; \dots; a_{nm}]] =$
 $[[a_{11}; a_{21}; \dots; a_{n1}]; \dots [a_{1m}; a_{2m}; \dots; a_{nm}]]$

Proof by induction

Base case: For $n=m=0$, transm returns []

Induction hypothesis: $\text{transm } [[a_{11}; a_{12}; \dots; a_{1k}]; [a_{21}; a_{22}; \dots; a_{2k}]; \dots [a_{n1}; a_{n2}; \dots; a_{nk}]] =$
 $[[a_{11}; a_{21}; \dots; a_{n1}]; \dots [a_{1k}; a_{2k}; \dots; a_{nk}]]$ for some k

Induction step: $\text{transm } [a_{1:}::[a_{11}; a_{12}; \dots; a_{1k}]; a_{2:}::[a_{21}; a_{22}; \dots; a_{2k}]; \dots a_{n:}::[a_{n1}; a_{n2}; \dots; a_{nk}]] =$
 $(a_1; a_2; \dots; a_n) :: [[a_{11}; a_{21}; \dots; a_{n1}]; \dots [a_{1k}; a_{2k}; \dots; a_{nk}]]$

This happens as (map hd rows) returns a list containing only the first element of each list in rows. xs::(map tl rows) returns the remaining matrix.

Time complexity = $O(n*m)$, where n is no of rows, m is the max length of rows

removei

Removes ith element of given list

Time complexity: $O(n)$, where n is length of list

detm

Helper functions:

iterm: Returns over all the elements of the first row, and calls term for each of them, adding the result for every term. Correctness can easily be proved by induction.

term: Calculates $\text{element} * \text{sign} * (\text{detm of matrix after removing rows and cols pertaining to the element})$. For removing cols, removei is used.

Sign is passed by iterm, and is multiplied by -1 at each step of recursion (over elements of first row of matrix)

$T(n) = n * T(n-1)$ for an $n \times n$ matrix

Hence **time complexity** = $O(n!)$, where n is no of elements in the list.

Limitation: Time complexity can be improved to $O(n^3)$ by using a better algorithm.

Trade-off: Efficiency will be improved, but the implementation will become harder to understand.

multm

Take transpose of second matrix, and then take dot product of rows to generate the product matrix.

if first matrix is $m \times n$ and second matrix is $n \times q$,

then transpose = $O(n \cdot q)$

Time for each element of product matrix = $O(n)$ (Taking dot product of 2 n -dimension vectors)

No of elements in product matrix = $m \cdot q$;

Time complexity = $O(n \cdot q) + O(n \cdot m \cdot q) = O(n \cdot m \cdot q)$

cofact

compute cofactor matrix of given $n \times n$ matrix

For a given row, compute matrix without that row in $O(n)$ time

For each element in the row, remove the column corresponding to that element in $O(n)$ time and calculate determinant of matrix in $O((n-1)!)$

Time complexity = $(n \cdot O(n)) \cdot (n \cdot O(n) \cdot O((n-1)!)) = O(n! \cdot n^3)$

invn

Compute transpose of cofactor matrix, and scale each element by $1/\text{determinant}$

Time complexity = $O(n! \cdot n^3) + O(n^2) + O(n!) + O(n^2) = O(n! \cdot n^3)$

Limitation: Time complexity can be improved by using a better algorithm

Trade-off: Efficiency will increase by using algorithm, but will become more complex

crossprodv

Make a 2×3 matrix of the 2 vectors, and take determinant of 3 submatrices to yield the 3 components of cross product

Time complexity = $O(1)$, since the length and no of vectors are fixed

crossprodgen

In the $(n-1) \times n$ matrix of the $n-1$ vectors, take determinant of n submatrices of $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ to yield n components of cross product

Time complexity = $O(n \cdot (n-1)!) = O(n!)$