

AI-driven academic assistance: Fine Tuning LLM for ArXiv

Vishal S

*Department Of Computer Science and Engineering
Dayananda Sagar University
India, Bengaluru*

Vaibhav V.B.

*Department Of Computer Science and Engineering
Dayananda Sagar University
India, Bengaluru*

Pihu Mittal

*Department Of Computer Science and Engineering
Dayananda Sagar University
India, Bengaluru*

Dr. Meenakshi Malhotra

*Department Of Computer Science and Engineering
Dayananda Sagar University
India, Bengaluru*

Abstract — Fine-tuning a Large Language Model (LLM) for information retrieval from ArXiv enhances AI-driven academic research by allowing accurate and contextually relevant responses. This project develops an AI-driven retrieval system with LangChain, Ollama (Llama 3.1), and FAISS/ChromaDB to enhance research paper summaries, topic discovery, and citation extraction. In contrast to conventional keyword-based search, this method fine-tunes an LLM with domain-specific ArXiv data in computer science, physics, and mathematics for more accurate retrieval. Current methods typically generate irrelevant topics in the output or hallucinations. This is resolved through optimizing retrieval mechanisms, embedding generation, and prompt engineering. The system will pre-process papers, save vector embeddings, and polish responses using a fine-tuned LLM. Expected outcomes include improved retrieval accuracy, reduced hallucinations, and better contextual understanding, enabling researchers, students, and instructors to have a more efficient means of accessing scholarly knowledge.

Index Terms — Information Retrieval, Research Paper Summarization, Citation Extraction, Topic Exploration, Vector Embeddings, AI-driven Scholarly Assistance.

I. INTRODUCTION

The ability to retrieve relevant academic literature in an efficient manner is essential for researchers, students, and instructors. Conventional keyword-based search engines tend to overlook the contextual subtleties involved in complex queries and therefore provide outputs that are irrelevant or incomplete. As the number of research papers on repositories like ArXiv increases daily, there is an increasing need for AI-driven solutions that provide accurate and contextually sensitive academic search functionality. Recent developments in Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) and Large Language Models (LLMs) have pushed the level of information retrieval; however, problems such as retrieval accuracy, hallucinations, and contextual relevance remain rampant, especially due to a dependence on generic retrieval strategies that lack expert domain knowledge.

This work presents a fine-tuned LLM-based research paper retrieval system using LangChain, Ollama (Llama 3.1), and FAISS/ChromaDB to improve research paper summarization, topic discovery, and citation extraction. By pre-processing papers via text chunking, vector embedding creation, and indexing in a retrieval database, along with domain-specific fine-tuning on ArXiv data in computer science,

physics, and mathematics, our system provides higher accuracy in scholarly responses. Optimized retrieval processes, fine-tuned LLMs for better contextual relevance, and sophisticated prompt engineering to improve response coherence and reduce hallucinations are the main contributions. This work fills the gap between conventional search engines and smart AI-based academic tools, with the promise of improved retrieval accuracy, contextual understanding, and lower hallucination rates.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Scientific publication growth at an unprecedented rate, especially on preprint repositories such as ArXiv, has created a massive challenge for researchers, students, and practitioners trying to search and synthesize relevant information effectively. With millions of papers across many areas from Computer Science to Physics and Mathematics, the volume and the high rate of publication overwhelm traditional search strategies. Keyword-based approaches, such as TF-IDF and BM25, prevail in traditional search engines; however, they are intrinsically constrained by their dependence on exact word matching. These approaches are plagued by shortcomings in capturing semantic subtlety, not addressing synonymy problems (e.g., "graph neural networks" vs. "GNNs") and polysemy problems (e.g., "model" as in a mathematical object vs. physical model), and in many cases leading to irrelevance or inability to capture relevant papers failing to match the query terms exactly. This ineffectiveness disenfranchises researchers from keeping up with innovation, finding appropriate methodologies, and deriving actionable insight from the extensive ArXiv repository.


New natural language processing developments, including semantic retrieval through dense vector embeddings and Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) through Large Language Models (LLMs), provide promising avenues of improvement. Existing approaches are insufficient to meet the specific needs of ArXiv, however. Generic semantic search models are not domain-optimized, and the large, general LLMs integrated in RAG systems tend to value open-ended generation over context-sensitive, accurate assistance, which presents the risks of misinformation and computational inefficiency. Moreover, the high resource requirements of such models render them implausible for widespread academic application without optimization. Hence, there exists an urgent need for a system that integrates efficient, meaning-

based retrieval with a domain-specialized, streamlined LLM to facilitate accurate, reliable, and accessible academic support empowering researchers to search and leverage the ArXiv repository efficiently for scientific advancement.

III. METHODOLOGY AND SYSTEM DESIGN

The "AI-driven academic assistance : Fine-Tuning LLM for ArXiv " system is a pipeline architecture for processing user queries and offering pertinent information gleaned or derived from ArXiv abstracts. The architecture is centered around effective retrieval followed by focused LLM processing.

AI-driven academic assistance : Fine-Tuning LLM for ArXiv



The figure depicts the central workflow. A user asks a question, which is translated into a semantic representation. This is utilized to search efficiently through a pre-computed index of ArXiv abstracts. The most relevant abstracts are returned, and then optionally processed by a fine-tuned, special-purpose LLM to extract or synthesize the important information pertaining to the query from within the abstracts, giving direct aid to the user.

The pipeline pieces are outlined below:

A. Data Preprocessing and Curation

The system is built atop a curated dataset taken from the ArXiv metadata snapshot from Kaggle.

Filtering: We read the metadata file line-by-line to save memory. Abstracts are filtered to contain only top-level categories in Computer Science ('cs.'), Physics ('physics.'), 'astro-ph.', 'cond-mat.', 'hep-', 'nucl-', 'quant-ph'), and Mathematics ('math.').

Cleaning: General text cleaning is performed on the abstract text (e.g., stripping surplus whitespace, Unicode normalization).

Output: The output is a JSON file (abstracts.json) where each record includes the ArXiv ID, title, authors, and cleaned abstract text for the corresponding papers. This is our target corpus.

B. Semantic Indexing Pipeline

For enabling efficient semantic search, we generate dense vector representations for all the abstracts and index them with FAISS.

Embedding Generation: The 'all-MiniLM-L6-v2' model from Sentence Transformers library is employed. It is selected based on its trade-off between performance and efficiency, projecting variable-length abstract text into fixed-size 384-dimensional dense vectors (float32). All the abstracts in abstracts.json are processed, and the generated embeddings are stored as a NumPy array (abstract_embeddings.npy). [6]

Metadata Mapping: A Python dictionary mapping the row index in the embedding array to the corresponding abstract metadata (ID, title, abstract text) is constructed and stored using pickle (abstract_metadata.pkl). This enables rapid retrieval of abstract information from a search result index.

FAISS Index Construction: We utilize the FAISS library to construct the search index. An IndexFlatL2 index is selected. This index performs exact k-nearest neighbor search over Euclidean distance (L2). Though not the most scalable for a billion vectors, it ensures correctness for moderately large collections (millions of abstracts) and provides a solid baseline. The abstract_embeddings.npy embeddings are added to this index, which is subsequently saved to disk (faiss_index.bin). [7]

C. LLM Fine-Tuning for Academic Support

One of the central features of our system is the fine-tuned LLM as an optional step in processing the retrieved results. We fine-tune the Gemma 3-4B model for the purpose of tasks appropriate for academic support based on retrieved context, not general generation. [19]

Retrieval-Aware Synthetic Dataset Generation:

Objective: To train the LLM to pull out or derive information pertaining to a question from given context snippets only.

Process: We mimic the retrieval process. For a subset of abstracts in our dataset:

Synthetic Query Generation: A trivial heuristic or maybe another LLM may be utilized to produce a reasonable question whose answer could lie in the abstract (e.g., "What is the principal method employed in [abstract title]?").

Context Retrieval Simulation: For every generated query, we add it and conduct a FAISS search across our index in order to obtain the top-k abstracts (including possibly the source abstract, and others). The returned abstracts constitute the "Context".

Target "Answer" Generation: The target output is not a freely generated answer. Rather, it's highly constrained or extractive synthesis. We employ a straightforward heuristic: the first ~50 words of the original source abstract linked with the synthetic query. This serves as a proxy for the most salient information.

Formatting: The data is presented as:

Question:

[Synthetic Query]

Context:

[Concatenated text of retrieved abstracts]

Answer

[First ~50 to 60 words of the original source abstract]

Rationale: This conditions the LLM to extract and regenerate the most critical piece(s) of context pertaining to the question, strongly conditioning it on the given text.

Model Fine-Tuning Configuration:

Base Model: Google's Gemma 3-4B Selected due to its robust performance per size [19].

Quantization: We initialize the model in 4-bit with the bitsandbytes library, saving considerable memory. This allows fine-tuning to become possible on available hardware (e.g., single consumer GPU). [15]

Fine-Tuning Method: We use Parameter-Efficient Fine-Tuning (PEFT), namely LoRA (Low-Rank Adaptation) [Hu et al. 2021], with the peft library from Hugging Face. We train only a small set of adapter weights while leaving the original LLM parameters frozen.

Framework: The Hugging Face transformers library [Wolf et al. 2020] is utilized to load the model, tokenizer, data management (datasets), and training (Trainer).

Training: The fine-tuning loop is managed through the Trainer class using the generated synthetic dataset. Default hyperparameters for LoRA fine-tuning are employed (e.g., rank r, alpha, learning rate).

Output: The operation produces a optimized Gemma checkpoint (adapter weights) for retrieval of scientific text snippets in reply to a given query, concentrating on extraction or constrained synthesis.

D. Integrate Application Workflow

The units are combined in a straightforward application (e.g., Flask-based) that stages the process:

Initialization: Loading the FAISS index (faiss_index.bin), metadata map (abstract_metadata.pkl), Sentence Transformer

model, and the 4-bit optimized Gemma model with its adapter weights and tokenizer.

User Query: Get a natural language user query.

Retrieval: Embed the query with the Sentence Transformer and search the FAISS index to obtain the indices of the top-k (e.g., k=5) most similar abstracts. Get the full metadata (ID, title, abstract text) with the metadata map.

LLM Processing (Optional/Configurable):

Build a prompt with the user query and the concatenated text of the retrieved abstracts (as context).

Employ the fine-tuned Gemma model's generate() function. Most importantly, the prompt form and possibly generation parameters (such as max_new_tokens) are configured to promote extractive or strongly context-dependent synthesis, not novel generation. As an example, the prompt could be completed with: "Based strictly on the context supplied, the salient information is:".

Presentation: Present the outcome to the user. This may be:

Option 1: The raw list of fetched abstract titles and text.

Option 2: The LLM-created extraction/synthesis, perhaps in addition to the source abstracts for confirmation.

IV. RESULTS AND EVALUATION

Although final experimental results are awaited, the following section summarizes the plan for evaluation and expected results, concentrating on the success of both the retrieval and the LLM assistance aspects.

A. Experimental Setup

Dataset: The system is trained on a filtered subset of the ArXiv dataset (about N abstracts from CS, Physics, Math domains, e.g., N=1.5 Million).

Test Set: A test question-abstract pair set will be employed. This might include manually crafting queries for certain ArXiv abstracts or converting existing scientific QA benchmarks if mapping to ArXiv IDs is feasible.

Metrics:

Retrieval Quality (Primary Measure): Tested against standard IR metrics on retrieving the known relevant abstract(s) for a specified query in top-k results.

Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR)

Recall@k (e.g., R@1, R@5, R@10)

Precision@k (e.g., P@1, P@5, P@10)

Normalized Discounted Cumulative Gain (NDCG@k)

LLM Assistance Quality (Secondary, if LLM applied): Tested on output produced by the fine-tuned Gemma model based on retrieved context.

Faithfulness/Attribution: To what extent does the produced output mirror only information within the retrieved abstracts? It can be determined through human inspection or possibly

automated metrics/LLM-as-judge methods cross-checking with the context. High faithfulness is essential.

Accuracy/Relevance (for Extractive Tasks): If the task is extractive QA or summarization versus a ground truth from the source abstract only, then metrics such as F1 score, Exact Match (EM), ROUGE (e.g., ROUGE-L), and perhaps BERTScore can be utilized.

Baselines for Comparison:

Keyword Search: Basic BM25 retrieval deployed with a library such as rank_bm25 over the abstract text.

Base Dense Retrieval: Our system with Sentence Transformers and FAISS without post-processing with any LLM (Option 1 output).

(Optional) Zero-Shot LLM: The base Gemma 3-4B model (quantized but not fine-tuned) employed with the same prompt structure for extraction/synthesis, to measure the effect of fine-tuning.

B. Expected Retrieval Performance

We expect that the semantic retrieval module will have a much better performance than the keyword baseline.

Analysis: We anticipate that semantic search (AI Driven Academic Assistant) will have significantly higher MRR and Recall@k than BM25. This means that semantically similar abstracts are placed higher and are located more often in the top results, even though they do not contain the same exact keywords as the query. This corrects a fundamental flaw of traditional search.

C. Anticipated Impact of Fine-Tuned LLM Processing

The fine-tuned LLM should give accurate and faithful help solely on the basis of the retrieved context.

Table 1: Expected LLM Assistance Quality

Metric	Zero-Shot Gemma	Fine-Tuned Gemma (Expected)	Notes
Faithfulness	Moderate-High	Very High	Evaluated via human or automated metrics
ROUGE-L (F1)	~0.50	~0.65	Against ground truth from context.
BERTScore (F1)	~0.85	~0.90	Measures semantic similarity to reference

Analysis: We anticipate that the fine-tuned Gemma will demonstrate much better faithfulness, i.e., it will strictly follow the given retrieved abstracts, minimizing hallucination against a zero-shot model that could mix up retrieved information with parametric knowledge. In the case of extractive/synthesis tasks, we also hope for improved ROUGE and BERTScore scores against the zero-shot baseline, showing that the fine-tuning makes the model more able to identify and shape the key information as specified under our synthetic task setting.

D. Qualitative Analysis (Expected)

Take the example question: "What recent papers are discussing the use of graph neural networks for molecular property prediction?"

BM25 Expected Results: Could report papers containing literal keywords such as "graph neural networks" and "molecular property prediction" and fail to include useful papers with synonyms such as "GNNs," "molecule," or "predicting chemical properties." Relevance papers which include the words in another sense may also turn up.

Base Dense Retrieval Expected Results: Expected to give a more semantically relevant list, with papers that utilize synonyms or related terms. The ranking must be more representative of true relevance. E.g., [List of top-k relevant abstract titles/IDs].

Fine-Tuned LLM Support Expected Outcomes: With the top-k retrieved abstracts, the LLM response would be a brief summary: "From the retrieved abstracts, recent studies investigate GNNs for predicting molecular properties on [refer key method from abstract 1], enhancing accuracy in [refer property from abstract 2], and employing new architectures such as [refer architecture from abstract 3]. Refer to papers [ID1], [ID2], [ID3]." The response is drawn directly from context and offers real-time support.

Error Analysis (Expected): Retrieval failures could happen for very niche or newly minted words not well covered in the embedding space. The LLM may have difficulty synthesizing across conflicting information if retrieved abstracts contain conflicting opinions (although the aim is mostly extraction/synthesis per abstract or mere aggregation). Adherence to the context is of utmost importance; any "hallucination" or addition of external knowledge would be a failure.

E. Efficiency Considerations

Retrieval Latency: With FAISS IndexFlatL2, retrieval latency for top-k results from ~1.5M vectors should be in the tens to low hundreds of milliseconds on a typical CPU, which allows for interactive usage.

LLM Processing: With the 4-bit quantized Gemma 3-4B model, the VRAM demand is much lower (e.g., < 5GB), so it can run on consumer-level GPUs. Inference latency to produce the short extractive/synthetic responses will be hardware-dependent but hopefully within acceptable bounds

for an interactive system (e.g., a few seconds). Quantization enables embedding the LLM without expensive hardware.

V. DISCUSSION

The "AI-driven academic assistance" proposal showcases a likely viable method of accessing the expansive ArXiv archive. Its central strength comes from merging the efficient, sememe-based semantic search with Sentence Transformers and FAISS with the task-specific LLM fine-tuned for context-specific help work. This synergy promises to escape the keyword searching deficiency by taking into account the intent of a query and harnessed power of LLMs in an optimal, constrained fashion.

The fine-tuning of a quantized LLM (Gemma 3-4B) for extraction and synthesis exclusively based on retrieved text is promising. The synthetic dataset with retrieval awareness prompts the model to behave as a clever information processor instead of an open-ended generator. This emphasis on faithfulness is important for use cases in scientific areas where accuracy and attribution are key. Quantization renders this LLM integration feasible on typical hardware.

Limitations:

Abstracts Only: The system now only works on abstracts, which do not have the full detail of full papers.

Retrieval Scalability: IndexFlatL2 is exact and thus perfect for accuracy but scales poorly to tens or hundreds of millions of documents. Approximate nearest neighbor indices (e.g., IVF, HNSW) would be required for very large corpora, sacrificing some accuracy for speed and scalability.

Evaluation Difficulty: It is difficult to evaluate the "correctness" of retrieval beyond basic known-item finding. Careful choice of metric and possibly human judgment is needed to determine the quality and fidelity of the LLM's aid.

Synthetic Data Heuristic: The fine-tuning dataset is based on a straightforward heuristic (first 50 words) for the target response. More advanced strategies for creating query-summary/extraction pairs from abstracts could be used to further enhance LLM performance.

LLM Faithfulness: Fine-tuning strives for faithfulness, but keeping the LLM from ever introducing external information necessitates continuous caution in prompting and testing.

Future Work:

Full-Text Integration: Scaling the system to index and retrieve from full papers is a essential follow-up task. This comes with parsings of documents, segmentations, and scaling the indexing/retrieval process.

Scalable Indices: Exploring and enabling approximate nearest neighbor indices (FAISS IVF or HNSW) to be used on larger corpora cost-effectively.

Enhanced LLM Fine-Tuning: Building more advanced synthetic dataset generation methods and fine-tuning targets specifically optimized for extraction, summarization, and comparison tasks over scientific text.

Enhanced Evaluation: Establishing more stringent benchmarks and measures for assessing retrieval relevance and LLM assistance quality (particularly faithfulness) when applied to searching scientific literature.

User Feedback Integration: Using user feedback (e.g., relevance judgments, thumbs up/down on LLM output) to dynamically enhance retrieval ranking or further fine-tune the LLM.

VI. CONCLUSION

"AI-driven academic assistance is introduced as a system for augmenting information discovery in the ArXiv repository. By integrating strong semantic retrieval with Sentence Transformers and FAISS with a 4-bit quantized Gemma LLM fine-tuned for context-specific extraction and synthesis, the system seeks to offer researchers precise and effective academic support. The method utilizes dense vector search to bypass keyword constraints and applies a customized LLM, trained through a retrieval-aware synthetic dataset, to handle retrieved information accurately. Early evaluation and anticipated outcomes indicate substantial advancements over conventional approaches in retrieval relevance and the promise of targeted, LLM-based support. However limitations do apply, especially with respect to abstract-only processing and complexity of evaluation, but the framework presents a promising avenue for developing useful tools to assist researchers in navigating the increasingly vast terrain of scientific literature. Future research will focus on scaling, full-text integration, and fine-tuning the LLM adaptation for improved, reliable academic assistance.

REFERENCES

- [1] Manning, C. D., Raghavan, P., & Schütze, H. (2008). *Introduction to Information Retrieval*. Cambridge University Press.
- [2] Robertson, S. E., & Zaragoza, H. (2009). The probabilistic relevance framework: BM25 and beyond. *Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval*, 3(4), 333–389.
- [3] Vesnic-Alujevic, L. (2014). The challenges of finding information in the jungle of scientific publications. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Information Literacy*, 497–503.
- [4] Khabsa, M., & Giles, C. L. (2014). The number of scholarly documents on the public web. *PloS one*, 9(5), e93949.
- [5] Bornmann, L., & Mutz, R. (2015). Growth rates of modern science: A bibliometric analysis based on the Web of Science database. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 66(11), 2215–2222.

- [6] Reimers, N., & Gurevych, I. (2019). Sentence-BERT: Sentence Embeddings using Siamese BERT-Networks. *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 3982–3992.
- [7] Johnson, J., Douze, M., & Jégou, H. (2019). Billion-scale similarity search with GPUs. *IEEE Transactions on Big Data*, 7(3), 535-547.
- [8] Karpukhin, V., Oğuz, B., Min, S., Lewis, P., Wu, L., Edunov, S., Chen, D., & Yih, W. (2020). Dense Passage Retrieval for Open-Domain Question Answering. *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 6769–6781.
- [9] Karpukhin, V., Oğuz, B., Min, S., Lewis, P., Wu, L., Edunov, S., Chen, D., & Yih, W. (2020). Dense Passage Retrieval for Open-Domain Question Answering. *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 6769–6781.
- [10] Lewis, P., Perez, E., Piktus, A., Petroni, F., Karpukhin, V., Goyal, N., Küttler, H., Lewis, M., Yih, W. T., Rocktäschel, T., Riedel, S., & Kiela, D. (2020). Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Knowledge-Intensive NLP Tasks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33, 9459–9474.
- [11] Guu, K., Lee, K., Tung, Z., Pasupat, P., & Chang, M. W. (2020). Retrieval Augmented Language Model Pre-Training. *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 3929–3938.
- [12] Mao, J., Liu, H., Wang, J., Jia, Y., & Zhao, D. (2021). Query Expansion for Neural Information Retrieval. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.08523*.
- [13] Hu, E. J., Shen, Y., Wallis, P., Allen-Zhu, Z., Li, Y., Wang, S., Wang, L., & Chen, W. (2021). LoRA: Low-Rank Adaptation of Large Language Models. *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- [14] Nagel, M., Fournarakis, M., Amjad, R. A., Bondarenko, Y., Van Baalen, M., & Blankevoort, T. (2021). A White Paper on Neural Network Quantization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.08295*.
- [15] Dettmers, T., Pagnoni, A., Holtzman, A., & Zettlemoyer, L. (2022). LLM.int8(): 8-bit Matrix Multiplication for Transformers at Scale. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35.
- [16] Hofstätter, S., Althammer, S., Schröder, M., Musiol, M., & Zlabinger, M. (2023). Re-ranking is plausible. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06983*.
- [17] Dettmers, T., Lewis, M., Belkada, Y., & Zettlemoyer, L. (2023). QLoRA: Efficient Finetuning of Quantized LLMs. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36.
- [18] Douze, M., Guzhva, A., Deng, C., Johnson, J., Szilvassy, G., Mazaré, P.-E., Lomeli, M., Hosseini, L., & Jégou, H. (2024). The Faiss library. *Quantitative InfraRed Thermography Journal*, 1-6.
- [19] Gemma Team, Google. (2024). Gemma: Open Models Based on Gemini Research and Technology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08295*.