# Introduction to Categories and Categorical Logic

Vishal Lama

# Contents

Chapte	Chapter 1. Introduction to Categories and Categorical Logic	
1.	Introduction	5
2	Some Basic Constructions	12

#### CHAPTER 1

## Introduction to Categories and Categorical Logic

## 1. Introduction

We say that a function  $f: X \to Y$  is:

injective if 
$$\forall x, x' \in X. f(x) = f(x') \implies x = x',$$
  
surjective if  $\forall y \in Y. \exists x \in X. f(x) = y,$ 

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{monic} & \text{if } \forall g, h.f \circ g = f \circ h \implies g = h & (f \text{ is left cancellative}), \\ \textit{epic} & \text{if } \forall g, h.g \circ f = h \circ f \implies g = h & (f \text{ is right cancellative}). \end{array}$$

Proposition 1. Let  $f: X \to Y$ . Then,

- (1) f is injective  $\iff$  f is monic.
- (2) f is surjective  $\iff$  f is epic.

PROOF. We first show (1).

( $\iff$ ) Suppose f is monic. Fix a one-element set  $\mathbf{1} = \{\bullet\}$ . Then, note that elements  $x \in X$  are in 1-1 correspondence with functions  $\bar{x} : \mathbf{1} \to X$ , defined by  $\bar{x}(\bullet) := x$ . Then, for all  $x, x' \in X$ , we have

$$f(x) = f(x')$$

$$\implies f(\bar{x}(\bullet)) = f(\bar{x'}(\bullet))$$

$$\implies (f \circ \bar{x})(\bullet) = (f \circ \bar{x'})(\bullet)$$

$$\implies f \circ \bar{x} = f \circ \bar{x'}$$

$$\implies \bar{x} = \bar{x'} \quad \text{(since } f \text{ is monic)}$$

$$\implies \bar{x}(\bullet) = \bar{x'}(\bullet)$$

$$\implies x = x'$$

This shows that f is injective.

( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose f is injective. Let  $f\circ g=f\circ h$  for all  $g,h:A\to X.$  Then, for all  $a\in A,$ 

$$(f \circ g)(a) = (f \circ h)(a)$$

$$\implies f(g(a)) = f(h(a))$$

$$\implies g(a) = h(a) \text{ (since } f \text{ is injective)}$$

$$\implies g = h$$

This establishes that f is monic. And, we are done.

## Exercise 2

Show that  $f: X \to Y$  is surjective iff it is epic.

SOLUTION. ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is epic. And, assume, for the sake of contradiction, f is not surjective. Then, there exists some  $y_0 \in Y$ , such that, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) \neq y_0$ . Define mappings  $g, h: Y \to Y \cup \{Y\}$  by:

$$g(y) := y$$

$$h(y) := \begin{cases} y & \text{if } y \neq y_0 \\ Y & \text{if } y = y_0 \end{cases}$$

Note that  $g \neq h$ .

Then, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) = h(f(x)) = (h \circ f)(x)$ . This implies  $g \circ f = h \circ f$ , which implies g = h, since f is epic. The last conclusion contradicts the fact that g = h. Thus, we conclude f is surjective.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is surjective. Then, for any  $y \in Y$ , there exists an  $x \in X$ , such that f(x) = y. Now, assume, for all  $g, h: Y \to Z$ ,  $g \circ f = h \circ f$ . Then, for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $g(y) = g(f(x)) = (g \circ f)(x) = (h \circ f)(x) = h(f(x)) = h(y)$ , which implies g = h, showing that f is epic. And, this completes our proof.

### Exercise 5

Suppose G and H are groups (and hence monoids), and that  $h:G\to H$  is a monoid homomorphism. Prove that h is a group homomorphism.

SOLUTION. We need only show that h preserves inverses. To that end, suppose  $g^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $g \in G$ . Then,  $h(g)h(g^{-1}) = h(gg^{-1}) = h(1_G) = 1_H = h(1_G) = h(g^{-1}g) = h(g^{-1})h(g)$ . This establishes h preserves inverses, and we are done.  $\square$ 

## Exercise 6

Check that  $Mon, Vect_k, Pos$ , and Top are indeed categories.

SOLUTION. (**Mon**) The objects are monoids  $(M,\cdot,1_M)$ , and morphisms are monoid homomorphisms. Given monoid homomorphisms,  $f:(M,\cdot,1_M)\to (N,\cdot,1_N)$  and  $g:(N,\cdot,1_N)\to (P,\cdot,1_P)$ , the function  $g\circ f:(M,\cdot,1_M)\to (P,\cdot,1_P)$  is also a monoid homomorphism, because for all  $m,m'\in M$ , we have  $(g\circ f)(mm')=g(f(mm'))=g(f(m)f(m'))=(g(f(m))(g(f(m')))=((g\circ f)(m))((g\circ f)(m'))$ . Also, for each monoid, the identity morphism is the identity function. It is also easy to check that for all monoid homomorphisms f,g and h with the appropriate domains and codomains,  $h\circ (g\circ f)=(h\circ g)\circ f$ . This establishes that **Mon** is indeed a category.

 $(\mathbf{Vect}_k)$  The objects are vector spaces over a field k, and morphisms are linear maps between vector spaces. Suppose  $f:U\to V$  and  $g:V\to W$  are linear maps. Then, for all  $x,y\in U$ , we have  $(g\circ f)(x+y)=g(f(x+y))=g(f(x)+f(y))=g(f(x))+g(f(y))=(g\circ f)(x)+(g\circ f)(y)$ . Also, for all  $\alpha\in k$ , we have  $(g\circ f)(\alpha x)=g(f(\alpha x))=g(\alpha f(x))=\alpha g(f(x))=\alpha (g\circ f)(x)$ . This establishes  $g\circ f:U\to W$  is a linear map as well. The identity map  $1_U$  for any vector space U is the identity morphism. The associativity of linear maps and the identity axiom follow from the property of functions. This shows that  $\mathbf{Vect}_k$  is also a category.

(**Pos**) The objects are partially ordered sets, and morphisms are monotone functions between these sets. Suppose  $h: P \to Q$  and  $g: Q \to R$  are monotone functions. Then, for all  $x,y \in P$ ,  $x \leq y \implies h(x) \leq h(y) \implies g(h(x)) \leq g(h(y)) \implies (g \circ h)(x) \leq (g \circ h)(y)$ , which shows  $g \circ h: P \to R$  is a monotone function. The identity map is the identity morphism, and the associativity and identity axioms are satisfied by the property of functions. This establishes **Pos** is a category.

(**Top**) The objects are topological spaces, and morphisms are continuous maps between these spaces. Given continuous maps  $f:(X,T_X)\to (Y,T_Y)$  and  $g:(Y,T_Y)\to (Z,T_Z)$ , we can show that  $g\circ f:(X,T_X)\to (Z,T_Z)$  is also a continuous map. First, note that for any  $T\subset Z$ ,  $x\in (g\circ f)^{-1}(T)$  iff  $(g\circ f)(x)\in T$  iff  $g(f(x))\in T$  iff  $f(x)\in g^{-1}(T)$  iff  $x\in f^{-1}(g^{-1}(T))$ . Thus,

for all 
$$T \subset Z$$
,  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(T) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(T))$ .

Therefore, for any open set  $T \in T_Z$ , we have  $g^{-1}(T) \in T_Y$ , which implies  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(T)) \in T_X$ , which implies  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(T) \in T_X$  (by using the result above.) Hence,  $g \circ f : (X, T_X) \to (Z, T_Z)$  is a continuous map. The associativity and identity axioms follow from the associativity and identity laws for functions. This establishes **Top** is a category.

## Exercise 7

Check carefully that monoids correspond exactly to one-object categories. Make sure you understand the difference between such a category and **Mon**. (For example: how many objects does **Mon**) have?)

Solution. (Monoid as a one-object category) Given a monoid  $(M,\cdot,1)$ , we can construct its corresponding category as follows. We write  $\mathbf{B}M$  for the corresponding category with a single object  $\bullet$ , where  $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}M}(\bullet,\bullet):=M$ . We note then that the composition map in  $\mathbf{B}M$  is reflected in the binary operation  $\cdot\cdot\cdot:M\times M\to M$ , where  $\mathbf{id}_{\bullet}:=1$ . Then, the associative and identity laws for the category  $\mathbf{B}M$  follow directly from the associative and identity laws, respectively, satisfied by the monoid  $(M,\cdot,1)$ . This shows any monoid can be seen or interpreted as a one-object category.

## Exercise 8

Check carefully that preorders correspond exactly to categories in which each homset has at most one element. Make sure you understand the difference between such a category and **Pos**. (For example: how big can homsets in **Pos** be?)

SOLUTION. Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a preorder. Then, we define the corresponding category  $\mathbf{C}$  as follows. The objects of  $\mathbf{C}$  are the elements of the set P, and for all  $x, y \in P$ , we define a morphism  $x \to y$  iff  $x \leq y$ . Then, for every object  $x \in \mathbf{C}$ , the identity morphism  $1_x : x \to x$  corresponds exactly to the reflexive property  $x \leq x$  for all  $x \in P$ . Note that each homset in  $\mathbf{C}$  has at most one element. Also, for every  $x \to y$  and  $y \to z$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $x \to z$  follows from the fact that  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$  and the transitivity of the  $\leq$  relation on P. This defines a composition map for morphisms in  $\mathbf{C}$ . In addition, for all morphisms  $x \to y$ ,  $y \to z$ , and  $z \to w$ , their associativity follows immediately from the transitivity of  $\leq$ . Lastly, the unit laws

also follow from the same transitivity relation. Therefore, we conclude that every preorder corresponds precisely to a category in which each homset has at most one element.  $\Box$ 

#### Exercise 10

Show that the inverse, if it exists, is unique.

SOLUTION. Suppose  $i: A \to B$  is an isomorphism, with inverse  $j: B \to A$ , in a category **C**. Suppose  $j': B \to A$  is also an inverse of i. Then,  $j = 1_A \circ j = (j' \circ i) \circ j = j' \circ (i \circ j) = j' \circ 1_B = j'$ , and we are done.

#### Exercise 11

Show that  $\cong$  is an equivalence relation on the objects of a category.

SOLUTION. Let C be some category.

(Reflexivity) For any object  $X \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X \cong X$  follows from the fact that the identity morphism  $1_X : X \to X$  is an isomorphism.

(Symmetry) If  $X \cong Y$ , then there exists an isomorphism  $i: X \to Y$ . But, the inverse,  $i^{-1}: Y \to X$ , of i is also an isomorphism. Hence,  $Y \cong X$ .

(Transitivity) Suppose  $X \cong Y$  and  $Y \cong Z$ . Then, there exist isomorphisms  $i: X \to Y$  and  $j: Y \to Z$ . Then, we claim that  $j \circ i: X \to Z$  is also an isomorphism. Indeed, its trivial to show that its inverse is the morphism  $i^{-1} \circ j^{-1}: Z \to X$ . This implies  $X \cong Z$ .

We thus conclude that  $\cong$  is an equivalence relation on the objects of a category.  $\square$ 

## Exercise 12

Verify the claims that isomorphisms in **Set** correspond exactly to bijections, in **Grp** to group isomorphisms, in **Top** to homeomorphisms, and in **Pos** to isomorphisms.

SOLUTION. (Set) We claim the following:

- (1)  $f: X \to Y$  is injective iff f has a left inverse.
- (2)  $f: X \to Y$  is surjective iff f has a right inverse.

We first show (1).

 $(\Longrightarrow)$  Suppose  $f:X\to Y$  has a left inverse,  $g:Y\to X$ , say. Then,  $g\circ f=1_X$ . Assume for any  $x,x'\in X, f(x)=f(x')$ . Then,  $x=1_X(x)=(g\circ f)(x)=g(f(x))=g(f(x'))=(g\circ f)(x')=1_X(x')=x'$ , which implies f is injective.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is injective. If X is empty, then f is an empty function corresponding to each Y. In this case,  $1_X$  is also an empty function, and we thus have  $g \circ f = 1_X$  for any  $g: Y \to X$ . That is, f has a left inverse. On the other hand, if X is nonempty, choose some  $x_0 \in X$ . Define  $g: Y \to X$  by

$$g(y) := \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{if } y \in Y \setminus \mathbf{Im}(f) \\ f^{-1}(y) & \text{if } y \in \mathbf{Im}(f) \end{cases}$$

Then, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) = x = 1_X(x)$ , which implies  $g \circ f = 1_X$ , thus showing that g is a left inverse of f.

We now show (2).

( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  has a right inverse,  $g: Y \to X$ , say. Then,  $f \circ g = 1_Y$ . Therefore, for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $y = 1_Y(y) = (f \circ g)(y) = f(g(y)) = f(x)$ , where x = g(y). This shows f is surjective.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is surjective. Now, consider an indexed family of nonempty sets  $\{f^{-1}(y)\}_{y \in Y}$ . Then, using the axiom of choice, we conclude there exists a function  $g: Y \to X$ , such that  $g(y) \in f^{-1}(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Then, for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $(f \circ g)(y) = f(g(y)) = y = 1_Y(y)$ , which implies  $f \circ g = 1_Y$ , thus proving f has a right inverse.

Since in **Set** a bijection is a function which is both injective and surjective, using (1) and (2), we immediately conclude that bijections in **Set** correspond exactly to isomorphisms, and we are done.

In addition, in any category  $\mathbb{C}$ , if  $f: X \to Y$  has both a left inverse,  $g: Y \to X$ , say, and a right inverse,  $h: Y \to X$ , say, then g = h. Indeed,  $g = g \circ 1_Y = g \circ (f \circ h) = (g \circ f) \circ h = 1_X \circ h = h$ , and we are done.

$$\begin{array}{c} (\mathbf{Grp}) \\ (\mathbf{Top}) \\ (\mathbf{Pos}) \end{array} \qquad \Box$$

Opposite Categories and Duality. Given a category C, the opposite category  $C^{op}$  is given by taking the same objects as C, and

$$\mathbf{C^{op}}(A,B) = \mathbf{C}(B,A).$$

Composition and identities are inherited from  ${\bf C}$ . If we have

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

in  $C^{op}$ , this means

$$A \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} B \stackrel{g}{\leftarrow} C$$

in **C**. Therefore, composition  $g \circ f$  is  $\mathbf{C^{op}}$  is defined as  $f \circ g$  in **C**. This leads to the **principle of duality**: a statement S is true about a category **C** iff its dual (i.e. the one obtained from S by reversing all the arrows) is true about  $\mathbf{C^{op}}$ . For example, a morphism f is monic in  $\mathbf{C^{op}}$  iff it is epic in **C**. We say monic and epic are dual notions.

## Exercise 14

If P is a preorder, for example  $(\mathbb{R}, \leq)$ , describe  $P^{op}$  explicitly.

SOLUTION. An arrow  $a \leq_{P^{\mathbf{op}}} b$  in  $P^{\mathbf{op}}$  is precisely the arrow  $b \leq_P a$  in P. When  $P = (\mathbb{R}, \leq)$ ,  $P^{\mathbf{op}}$  describes the "greater than or equal" preorder relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Subcategories.** Let C be a category. Suppose we are given the collections

$$\mathbf{Ob}(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq \mathbf{Ob}(\mathbf{C}),$$
  
$$\forall A, B \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathbf{D}).\mathbf{D}(A, B) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(A, B).$$

We say D is a *subcategory* of C if it is itself a category. In particular, D is:

- A full subcategory of C if for any  $A, B \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathbf{D}), \mathbf{D}(A, B) = \mathbf{C}(A, B)$ .
- A *lluf* subcategory of C if Ob(D) = Ob(C).

For example, **Grp** is a full subcategory of **Mon**, and **Set** is a lluf subcategory of **Rel**.

#### Exercise 16

How many categories  $\mathbf{C}$  with  $\mathbf{Ob}(\mathbf{C}) = \{\bullet\}$  are there? (Hint: what do such categories correspond to?)

Solution. Each such category corresponds to a monoid. So, there are as many such categories as there are monoids.  $\hfill\Box$ 

#### Exercises.

- (1) Consider the following properties of an arrow f in a category  $\mathbf{C}$ .
  - f is *split monic* if for some g,  $g \circ f$  is an identity arrow.
  - f is *split epic* if for some g,  $f \circ g$  is an identity arrow.
  - a. Prove that if f and g are arrows such that  $g \circ f$  is monic, then f is monic.
  - b. Prove that if f is split epic then it is epic.
  - c. Prove that if f and  $g \circ f$  are iso then g is iso.
  - d. Prove that if f is monic and split epic then it is iso.
  - e. In the category **Mon** of monoids and monoid homomorphisms, consider the inclusion map

$$i: (\mathbb{N}, +, 0) \to (\mathbb{Z}, +, 0)$$

of natural numbers into the integers. Show that this arrow is both monic and epic. Is it an iso?

The **Axiom of Choice** in Set Theory states that if  $\{X_i\}_{i\in I}$  is a family of nonempty sets, we can form a set  $X = \{x_i \mid i \in I\}$ , where  $x_i \in X_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

- f. Show that in **Set** an arrow which is epic is split epic. Explain why this needs the Axiom of Choice.
- g. Is is always the case that an arrow which is epic is split epic? Either prove that it is, or give a counterexample.
- (2) Give a description of partial orders as categories of a special kind.

## SOLUTION.

(1)

- a. Suppose  $f:A\to B$  and  $g:B\to C$  such that  $g\circ f$  is monic. Assume, for all  $i,j:Z\to A,\ f\circ i=f\circ j.$  Then,  $(g\circ f)\circ i=g\circ (f\circ i)=g\circ (f\circ j)=(g\circ f)\circ j,$  which implies i=j, since  $g\circ f$  is monic. This implies f is monic, and we are done.
- b. Suppose  $f:A\to B$  is split epic. Then, there exists a  $g:B\to A$  such that  $f\circ g=1_B$ . Assume, for all  $i,j:B\to C,\ i\circ f=j\circ f$ . Then,  $i=i\circ 1_B=i\circ (f\circ g)=(i\circ f)\circ g=(j\circ f)\circ g=j\circ (f\circ g)=j\circ 1_B=j,$  which shows f is epic.
- c. Suppose  $f:A\to B$  and  $g:B\to C$  such that f and  $g\circ f$  are iso. We claim that the inverse of g is  $f\circ (g\circ f)^{-1}:C\to B$ . Indeed,  $g\circ (f\circ (g\circ f)^{-1})=(g\circ f)\circ (g\circ f)^{-1}=1_C$ , and  $(f\circ (g\circ f)^{-1})\circ g=f\circ (g\circ f)^{-1}\circ (g\circ f)\circ f^{-1}=f\circ f^{-1}=1_B$ , which establishes g is also an iso.
- d. Suppose  $f:A\to B$  is monic and split epic. The latter implies f has a right inverse,  $g:B\to A$ , say, where  $f\circ g=1_B$ . Note that  $g\circ f:A\to A$  and  $1_A:A\to A$ . Now,  $f\circ (g\circ f)=(f\circ g)\circ f=$

 $1_B \circ f = f = f \circ 1_A$ , which implies  $g \circ f = 1_A$ , since f is monic (left cancellative). Thus, g is also a left inverse of f, and hence, f is iso.

e. It is easy to prove the inclusion map  $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is really a monoid homomorphism. Indeed, i(0) = 0, and, for all  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i(n_1 + n_2) = n_1 + n_2 = i(n_1) + i(n_2)$ .

Next, we show that i is monic. Assume, for all monoid homomorphisms  $g, h: X \to \mathbb{N}, \ i \circ g = i \circ h$ . Then, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $(i \circ g)(x) = (i \circ h)(x)$ , which implies i(g(x)) = i(h(x)), which implies g(x) = h(x), which implies g(x) = h(x). This shows the inclusion map is monic.

We now show the inclusion map is epic. First, assume, for all monoid homomorphisms  $g,h:(\mathbb{Z},+,0)\to (X,\star,1_X),\ g\circ i=h\circ i.$  Then, for all  $n\in\mathbb{N},\ (g\circ i)(n)=(h\circ i)(n),$  which implies g(i(n))=h(i(n)), which implies g(n)=h(n). We now claim that for all  $n\geq 1,\ g(-n)=h(-n).$  To that end, we use induction on n. Note that  $g(-1)=g(-1)\star 1_X=g(-1)\star h(0)=g(-1)\star h(1+(-1))=g(-1)\star h(1)\star h(-1)=g(-1)\star g(1)\star h(-1)=g(-1+1)\star h(-1)=g(0)\star h(-1)=1_X\star h(-1)=h(-1).$  Now, assume the proposition holds for some  $n\geq 1.$  Then,  $g(-(n+1))=g(-n+(-1))=g(-n)\star g(-1)=h(-n)\star h(-1)=h(-n+(-1))=h(-(n+1)).$  Hence, by induction, g(-n)=h(-n) for all  $n\geq 1.$  Combining the results from above, we thus conclude g(z)=h(z) for all  $z\in\mathbb{Z}.$  In other words, g=h, which implies i is epic.

Clearly, the inclusion map  $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is not iso.

- f. Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is epic in **Set**. Then, from an earlier result about **Set**, we conclude f is surjective. Now, consider the family of nonempty sets  $\{f^{-1}(b)\}_{b\in B}$ . Each of the sets in the family is nonempty, because f is surjective. Therefore, using the Axiom of Choice, we can choose some element from each nonempty set in the family to construct a function  $g: Y \to X$ , given by g(y) := x if  $x \in f^{-1}(b)$ . In addition, for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $(f \circ g)(y) = f(g(y)) = y = 1_Y(y)$ , which implies  $f \circ g = 1_Y$ . This shows f has a right inverse, thus proving f is split epic.
- g. It isn't always the case that an arrow which is epic is split epic. For example, in the category **Mon**, the inclusion map  $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is epic (as shown in (e) above.) Now, if we assume that it is also split epic, then there exists a monoid homomorphism  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $i \circ g = 1_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . This implies  $(i \circ g)(-1) = 1_{\mathbb{Z}}(-1)$ , which implies i(g(-1)) = -1, which implies g(-1) = -1, which implies g(-1) = -1, which is absurd. We thus conclude the aforesaid inclusion map is not split epic, even though it is epic. And this proves our original claim.
- (2) Suppose  $(P, \leq)$  is a poset. Then, its corresponding category  $\mathbf{C}$  is defined as follows. The objects of  $\mathbf{C}$  are the elements of P, and for all  $x, y \in P$ ,  $x \to y$  iff  $x \leq y$ . The reflexivity of  $\leq$  corresponds to the identity arrows, and transitivity to arrow composition. Note that there is at most one arrow for every pair of objects in the category. Anti-symmetry of  $\leq$  corresponds to the fact that the only isomorphisms in  $\mathbf{C}$  are the identity arrows.

### 2. Some Basic Constructions

Initial and Terminal Objects. An object I in a category  $\mathbb{C}$  is *initial* if, for every object A, there exists a unique arrow  $I \to A$ , which we write  $\iota_A : I \to A$ .

An object T in a category  $\mathbf{C}$  is **terminal** if, for every object A, there exists a unique arrow  $A \to T$ , which we write  $\tau_A : A \to T$ .

Note that initial and terminal objects are dual notions: T is terminal in  $\mathbf{C}$  iff it is initial in  $\mathbf{C}^{op}$ . We sometimes write  $\mathbf{1}$  for the terminal object and  $\mathbf{0}$  for the initial object.

### Exercise 18

Verify the following claims. In each case, identify the canonical arrows.

- (1) In **Set**, the empty set is an initial object while any one-element set  $\{\bullet\}$  is terminal.
- (2) In **Pos**, the poset  $(\emptyset, \emptyset)$  is an initial object while  $(\{\bullet\}, \{(\bullet, \bullet)\})$  is terminal.
- (3) In **Top**, the space  $(\emptyset, \{\emptyset\})$  is an initial object while  $(\{\bullet\}, \{\emptyset, \{\bullet\}\})$  is terminal.
- (4) In  $\mathbf{Vect}_k$ , the one-element space  $\{0\}$  is both initial and terminal.
- (5) In a poset, seen as a category, an initial object is a least element, while a terminal object is a greatest element.

#### SOLUTION.

- (1) In **Set**, for any set (object) A, the function  $(\varnothing, A, \varnothing)$  is the unique function (arrow) from  $\varnothing$  to A. Therefore, the empty set is (the) initial object in **Set**. And, for every set A, the function  $A \to \{\bullet\}$  that maps every element of A to  $\bullet$  is the unique function from A to  $\{\bullet\}$ . This establishes that any one-element set is terminal in **Set**.
- (2) For any poset  $(P, \leq)$ , there exists a unique (empty) monotone function  $(\varnothing, \varnothing) \xrightarrow{(\varnothing, P, \varnothing)} (P, \leq)$ . Hence, the poset  $(\varnothing, \varnothing)$  is an initial object in **Pos**. And, for any poset  $(P, \leq)$ , there exists a unique monotone function  $(P, \leq) \rightarrow (\{\bullet\}, \{(\bullet, \bullet)\})$ , defined by  $x \mapsto \bullet$  for all  $x \in P$ . Hence,  $(\{\bullet\}, \{(\bullet, \bullet)\})$  is terminal in **Pos**.
- (3) For any topological space  $(X, T_X)$ , the unique empty function

$$(\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}) \xrightarrow{(\varnothing, X, \varnothing)} (X, T_X)$$

is continuous, since for every open set  $T \in T_X$ , its preimage under the aforesaid function is the empty set, which is open. Hence,  $(\emptyset, \{\emptyset\})$  is initial in **Top**.

And, for any topological space  $(X, T_X)$ , the unique function  $(X, T_X) \to (\{\bullet\}, \{\varnothing, \{\bullet\}\})$ , defined by  $x \mapsto \bullet$  for all  $x \in X$ , is continuous, since the preimage of  $\varnothing$  under the aforesaid function is  $\varnothing$ , which is open, and the preimage of  $\{\bullet\}$  is X, which is also open. Hence,  $(\{\bullet\}, \{\varnothing, \{\bullet\}\})$  is terminal in **Top**.

(4) Assuming the ground field is k, for any vector space V, the unique linear map  $\{0\} \to V$ , defined by  $0 \mapsto 0_V$  is a unique arrow from  $\{0\}$  to V in  $\mathbf{Vect}_k$ . Also, the unique linear map  $V \to \{0\}$ , defined by  $v \mapsto 0$  for all  $v \in V$ , is a unique arrow from V to  $\{0\}$  in  $\mathbf{Vect}_k$ . This shows that  $\{0\}$  is both initial and terminal in  $\mathbf{Vect}_k$ .

(5) In a poset  $(P, \leq)$ , seen as a category, if  $\perp$  is an initial object, then there exists a unique arrow  $\perp \to p$  for all  $p \in P$ . This implies  $\perp \leq p$  for all  $p \in P$ , when seen as a set. Hence, an initial object in the category corresponding to  $(P, \leq)$  is a least element in P. Arguing similarly, we conclude that a terminal object in the category corresponding to  $(P, \leq)$  is a greatest element in P.

## Exercise 19

Identify the initial and terminal objects in Rel.

SOLUTION. In **Rel**, the empty set  $\varnothing$  is both the initial object and the terminal object. Indeed, for any set A, the empty relation  $\varnothing$  ( $\subseteq \varnothing \times A$ ) is a unique relation from  $\varnothing$  to A, and the empty relation  $\varnothing$  ( $\subseteq A \times \varnothing$ ) is also a unique relation from A to  $\varnothing$ .

## Exercise 20

Suppose a monoid, viewed as a category, has either an initial or a terminal object. What must the monoid be?

Solution. The category corresponding to a monoid  $(M, \cdot, 1_M)$  contains just a single object. If this object is initial, then all morphisms must be the identity morphism on this initial object, which implies  $M = \{1_M\}$ . The argument is similar if the aforesaid object is terminal, which would again imply  $M = \{1_M\}$ . Thus, in either case, the monoid must be the trivial monoid.

A fundamental fact about initial and terminal objects is that they are unique up to (unique) isomorphism. This is characteristic of all such "universal" definitions. Hence, if initial objects exist in a category, we can speak of the initial object. Similarly for terminal objects.

## Exercise 22

Let C be a category with an initial object 0. For any object A, show the following:

- (1) If  $A \cong \mathbf{0}$ , then A is an initial object.
- (2) If there exists a monomorphism  $f: A \to \mathbf{0}$ , then f is an iso, and hence A is initial.

SOLUTION.

(1) Suppose  $A \cong \mathbf{0}$ . Then, there exists an isomorphism  $f: A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{0}$ . For any object B, there exists a unique morphism  $\iota_B: \mathbf{0} \to B$ , and hence,  $\iota_B \circ f: A \to B$ . This proves the existence of a morphism  $A \to B$  for any object B in the category. We now show that such a morphism is indeed unique. Let  $g, h: A \to B$  be a pair of morphisms for any object B in the category  $\mathbf{C}$ . Then, we have

$$\mathbf{0} \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} A \xrightarrow{g} B$$

and

$$\mathbf{0} \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} A \xrightarrow{h} B.$$

Since **0** is initial, we must have  $g \circ f^{-1} = h \circ f^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $g = g \circ 1_A = g \circ (f^{-1} \circ f) = (g \circ f^{-1}) \circ f = (h \circ f^{-1}) \circ f = h \circ (f^{-1} \circ f) = h \circ 1_A = h$ . This proves uniqueness, and we are done.

(2) Suppose  $A \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{0}$  is a monomorphism. We claim the unique arrow  $\mathbf{0} \xrightarrow{\iota_A} A$  is the inverse of f. To that end, we show  $\iota_A$  is both a left and a right inverse of f. Indeed,  $f \circ \iota_A : \mathbf{0} \to \mathbf{0}$ , and since  $\mathbf{0}$  is initial, we must have  $f \circ \iota_A = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{0}}$ , which implies  $\iota_A$  is a right inverse of f. Now, note  $\iota_A \circ f : A \to A$  and  $\mathbf{1}_A : A \to A$ . Also,  $f \circ (\iota_A \circ f) = (f \circ \iota_A) \circ f = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{0}} \circ f = f = f \circ \mathbf{1}_A$ , and since f is left cancellative, we have  $\iota_A \circ f = \mathbf{1}_A$ , which shows  $\iota_A$  is a left inverse of f. Thus, f has both a left inverse and a right inverse, implying it is iso, and hence, using the result obtained in (1) above, we conclude A is an initial object in  $\mathbf{C}$ .

**Products and Coproducts.** We can express a general notion of product that is meaningful in any category, such that, if a product exists, it is characterized uniquely up to unique isomorphism. Given a particular mathematical context (*i.e.* a category), we can then verify if a product exists in that category. The concrete construction appropriate to the context will enter only into the proof of *existence*; all of the useful *properties* of a product follow from the general definition.

## Exercise 24

Verify  $\mathbf{Pair}(A, B)$  is a category, where A and B are arbitrary objects in some category.

SOLUTION. Let A and B be some arbitrary objects in some category  $\mathbf{C}$ . Now, given morphisms  $f:(P,p_1,p_2)\to (Q,q_1,q_2)$  and  $g:(Q,q_1,q_2)\to (R,r_1,r_2)$  in  $\mathbf{Pair}(A,B)$ , it is easy to check that  $g\circ f:P\to R$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Also, we have

$$q_1 \circ f = p_1, \, q_2 \circ f = p_2$$

and

$$r_1 \circ g = q_1, \, r_2 \circ g = q_2$$

So,  $r_1 \circ (g \circ f) = (r_1 \circ g) \circ f = q_1 \circ f = p_1$ , and,  $r_2 \circ (g \circ f) = (r_2 \circ g) \circ f = q_2 \circ f = p_2$ , which implies  $g \circ f : (P, p_1, p_2) \to (R, r_1, r_2)$  in  $\mathbf{Pair}(A, B)$ . Associativity of morphisms in  $\mathbf{Pair}(A, B)$  follows directly from the associativity of morphisms in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Finally, for all  $(P, p_1, p_2)$  in  $\mathbf{Pair}(A, B)$ , the identity morphism  $1_P : P \to P$  is the identity morphism for  $(P, p_1, p_2)$ , since  $p_1 \circ 1_P = p_1$  and  $p_2 \circ 1_P = p_2$ . And, this proves that  $\mathbf{Pair}(A, B)$  is indeed a category.

We say  $(A \times B, \pi_1, \pi_2)$  is a **product** of A and B if it is terminal in  $\mathbf{Pair}(A, B)$ . Products are specified by triples  $A \overset{\pi_1}{\longleftrightarrow} A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_2}$ , where  $pi_i$ 's are called projections. For economy (and if projections are obvious), we say  $A \times B$  is the product of A and B. We say a category  $\mathbf{C}$  has **(binary) products** if each pair of objects A, B has a product in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Since, products are terminal objects, they are unique up to (unique) isomorphism.

Unpacking the uniqueness condition from  $\mathbf{Pair}(A, B)$  back to  $\mathbf{C}$ , we obtain the following more concise definition of products that we use in practice.

(**Equivalent definition of product**) Let A, B be objects in a category  $\mathbf{C}$ . A product of A and B is an object  $A \times B$  together with a pair of arrows  $A \xleftarrow{\pi_1} A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_2} B$  such that for every triple  $A \xleftarrow{f} C \xrightarrow{g} B$ , there exists a *unique* morphism

$$\langle f, g \rangle : C \to A \times B$$

such that the corresponding diagram commutes. That is,

$$\pi_1 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = f$$
  
 $\pi_2 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = g$ 

We call  $\langle f, g \rangle$  the pairing of f and g.

#### Exercise 26

Verify the following claims.

- (1) In **Set**, products are the usual cartesian products.
- (2) In **Pos**, products are cartesian products with the pointwise order.
- (3) In **Top**, products are cartesian products with the product topology.
- (4) In  $\mathbf{Vect}_k$ , products are direct sums.
- (5) In a poset, seen as a category, products are greatest lower bounds.

SOLUTION.

(1) Let A, B be arbitrary sets in **Set**. We claim  $A \times B$  equipped with the canonical projection functions is the cross product of A and B. Indeed, given any  $A \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} C \stackrel{g}{\rightarrow} B$ , we show  $\langle f, g \rangle : C \rightarrow A \times B$ , defined by  $c \mapsto (f(c), g(c))$ ,

is the unique function that makes the following diagram commute:



(Existence) It is easy to check that  $\langle f,g\rangle$  is indeed a function from C to  $A\times B.$ 

(Commutativity) For all  $c \in C$ ,  $(\pi_1 \circ \langle f, g \rangle)(c) = f(c)$  and  $(\pi_2 \circ \langle f, g \rangle)(c) = g(c)$ , which imply the above diagram commutes.

(Uniqueness) Suppose  $h: C \to A \times B$  such that  $\pi_1 \circ h = f$  and  $\pi_2 \circ h = g$ . Then, for all  $c \in C$ ,  $(\pi_1 \circ h)(c) = f(c)$  and  $(\pi_2 \circ h)(c) = g(c)$ , which imply  $\pi_1(h(c)) = f(c)$  and  $\pi_2(h(c)) = g(c)$ , which imply  $h(c) = (f(c), g(c)) = \langle f, g \rangle(c)$ , thus proving  $h = \langle f, g \rangle$ , and thereby, showing the uniqueness of  $\langle f, g \rangle$ .

Hence,  $A \stackrel{\pi_1}{\longleftarrow} A \times B \stackrel{\pi_2}{\longrightarrow} B$  is the cross product of A and B.

(2) Let  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \leq)$  be posets. Let  $(P \times Q, \leq)$  be the cartesian product of P and Q with the pointwise order. That is, for all  $a, c \in P$  and  $b, d \in Q$ ,  $(a, b) \leq (c, d)$  iff  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$ . We claim  $(P \times Q, \leq)$  equipped with the canonical projection functions (which are monotone) is the cross product

of  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \leq)$ . Given any  $(P, \leq) \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} (R, \leq) \stackrel{g}{\rightarrow} (Q, \leq)$ , where f, g are monotone functions, the function  $\langle f, g \rangle : (R, \leq) \rightarrow (P \times Q, \leq)$ , defined by

$$r \mapsto (f(r), g(r))$$

is the unique monotone function that makes the following diagram commute:



(Existence) It is easy to check that  $\langle f, g \rangle$  is indeed a set function from R to  $P \times Q$ . And, for all  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ , if  $r_1 \leq r_2$ , then  $f(r_1) \leq f(r_2)$  and  $g(r_1) \leq g(r_2)$  (since f, g are monotone), which implies  $(f(r_1), g(r_1)) \leq (f(r_2), g(r_2))$ , which implies  $\langle f, g \rangle (r_1) \leq \langle f, g \rangle (r_2)$ , which implies  $\langle f, g \rangle$  is monotone.

(Commutativity) For all  $r \in R$ , we have

$$(\pi_1 \circ \langle f, g \rangle)(r) = f(r),$$
  
 $(\pi_2 \circ \langle f, g \rangle)(r) = g(r).$ 

The above implies that the above diagram does commute.

(Uniqueness) Suppose  $h: (R, \leq) \to (P \times Q, \leq)$  is a monotone function such that  $\pi_1 \circ h = f$  and  $\pi_2 \circ h = g$ . Then, for all  $r \in R$ ,  $\pi_1 \circ h(r) = f(r)$  and  $\pi_2 \circ h(r) = g(r)$ , which imply  $\pi_1(h(r)) = f(r)$  and  $\pi_2(h(r)) = g(r)$ , which implies  $h(r) = \langle f, g \rangle$ , thus showing that  $\langle f, g \rangle$  with the commutativity property is indeed unique.

Hence, we conclude the cartesian product  $(P \times Q, \leq)$  with the pointwise order is the product of any posets  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \leq)$ .

- (3)
- (4)
- (5) In a poset  $(P, \leq)$ , seen as a category, the product  $a \times b$  of two elements  $a, b \in P$  is an element in P satisfying  $a \times b \leq a$  and  $a \times b \leq b$ , such that for all elements  $c \in P$ , if  $c \leq a$  and  $c \leq b$ , then  $c \leq a \times b$ . This is precisely the definition of the *greatest lower bound* of any two elements  $a, b \in P$ , seen as a set. Therefore, products are greatest lower bounds in posets.

The following proposition shows that the uniqueness of the pairing arrow can be specified purely equationally by the equation:

$$\forall h: C \to A \times B. \ h = \langle \pi_1 \circ h, \pi_2 \circ h \rangle$$

Proposition 27. For any triple  $A \xleftarrow{\pi_1} A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_2} B$ , the following statements are equivalent:

(I) For any triple  $A \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} C \stackrel{g}{\rightarrow} B$ , there exists a unique morphism  $\langle f, g \rangle : C \rightarrow A \times B$  such that  $\pi_1 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = f$  and  $\pi_2 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = g$ .

(II) For any triple  $A \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} C \stackrel{g}{\rightarrow} B$ , there exists a morphism  $\langle f, g \rangle : C \rightarrow A \times B$  such that  $\pi_1 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = f$  and  $\pi_2 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = g$ , and moreover, for any  $h : C \rightarrow A \times B$ ,  $h = \langle \pi_1 \circ h, \pi_2 \circ h \rangle$ .

PROOF. ((I)  $\Longrightarrow$  (II)) Suppose (I) holds. Assume  $A \xleftarrow{f} C \xrightarrow{g} B$ . Then, by (I), there exists a (unique) morphism  $\langle f, g \rangle : C \to A \times B$  such that  $\pi_1 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = f$  and  $\pi_2 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = g$ . Now, let  $h : C \to A \times B$ . Note  $A \xleftarrow{\pi_1 \circ h} C \xrightarrow{\pi_2 \circ h} B$ . Thus, by (I), there exists a unique morphism  $\langle \pi_1 \circ h, \pi_2 \circ h \rangle : C \to A \times B$  such that

$$\pi_1 \circ \langle \pi_1 \circ h, \pi_2 \circ h \rangle = \pi_1 \circ h, \pi_2 \circ \langle \pi_1 \circ h, \pi_2 \circ h \rangle = \pi_2 \circ h.$$

The above implies  $h = \langle \pi_1 \circ h, \pi_2 \circ h \rangle$ . This proves (II).

((II)  $\Longrightarrow$  (I)) Suppose (II) holds. Assume  $A \stackrel{f}{\hookleftarrow} C \stackrel{g}{\to} B$ . Then, by (II), there exists a morphism  $\langle f, g \rangle : C \to A \times B$  such that  $\pi_1 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = f$  and  $\pi_2 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = g$ . We claim such a morphism is unique. So, suppose  $h : C \to A \times B$  such that  $\pi_1 \circ h = f$  and  $\pi_2 \circ h = g$ . Then, by (II), we have  $h = \langle \pi_1 \circ h, \pi_2 \circ h \rangle$ , which implies  $h = \langle f, g \rangle$ . This proves (I), and our proof is complete.

Cartesian product of morphisms. Given  $f_1: A_1 \to B_1$  and  $f_2: A_2 \to B_2$ , we define the *cartesian product of morphisms*  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  by

$$f_1 \times f_2 := \langle f_1 \circ \pi_1, f_2 \circ \pi_2 \rangle : A_1 \times A_2 \to B_1 \times B_2.$$

The following proposition provides some useful properties of products.

PROPOSITION 28. For any  $f: A \to B$ ,  $g: A \to C$ ,  $h: A' \to A$ , and any  $p: B \to B'$ ,  $q: C \to C'$ , the following hold:

- (1)  $\langle f, g \rangle \circ h = \langle f \circ h, g \circ h \rangle$
- (2)  $(p \times q) \circ \langle f, g \rangle = \langle p \circ f, q \circ g \rangle$ .

Proof.

- (1) Note  $\langle f, h \rangle \circ h : A' \to B \times C$ . Therefore, by (II) of Proposition 27,  $\langle f, h \rangle \circ h = \langle \pi_1 \circ (\langle f, g \rangle \circ h), \pi_2 \circ (\langle f, g \rangle \circ h) \rangle = \langle f \circ h, f \circ g \rangle$ .
- (2)  $(p \times q) \circ \langle f, g \rangle = \langle p \circ \pi_1, q \circ \pi_2 \rangle \circ \langle f, g \rangle = \langle p \circ \pi_1 \circ \langle f, g \rangle, q \circ \pi_2 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = \langle p \circ f, q \circ g \rangle.$