Name - NetID

Homework 4

Homework Instructions

For questions that require code, please create a code chunk directly below the question and type your code there. Your knitted pdf will show both your code and your output. You are encouraged to knit your file as you work to check that your coding and formatting is done so appropriately.

For written responses or multiple choice questions, please bold your (selected) answer.

Grading Details

All questions will be graded full credit (1 point), half credit (0.5 point) or no credit (0 points).

Full credit responses should have the correct response and appropriate code (if applicable). Half credit responses will have a reasonable attempt (typically no more than one small error or oversight), and no credit responses will be either non-attempts or attempts with significant errors.

Exercise 1

Consider a random variable X that has a t distribution with 7 degrees of freedom. Calculate P[X > 1.3]. Hint: By default pt() considers area under the curve to the left of the given value.

```
#solution
1 - pt(1.3, df = 7)
```

[1] 0.1173839

```
#or alternatively
pt(1.3, df = 7, lower.tail = FALSE)
```

```
## [1] 0.1173839
```

Exercise 2

Consider a random variable Y that has a t distribution with 9 degrees of freedom. Find c such that P[Y > c] = 0.025.

```
#solution
qt(1 - 0.025, df = 9)
```

[1] 2.262157

```
#or alternatively
qt(0.025, df = 9, lower.tail = FALSE)
```

```
## [1] 2.262157
```

Exercise 3

For this Exercise, use the built-in trees dataset in R. Fit a simple linear regression model with Girth as the response and Height as the predictor. Extract the p-value for testing $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ vs $H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$?

Hint: Section 8.6 of the book offers several examples of how to extract different elements from a linear model summary. That might be helpful to reference.

```
#solution
tree_model = lm(Girth ~ Height, data = trees)
summary(tree_model)$coefficients["Height", "Pr(>|t|)"]
```

[1] 0.002757815

```
#or alternatively
summary(tree_model)$coefficients[2, 4]
```

```
## [1] 0.002757815
```

Exercise 4

Continue using the Tree model you just created. Create a 90% confidence interval for β_1 ?. Then calculate the total width of that interval.

Hint: Remember to specify the confidence level.

```
#solution
tree_model = lm(Girth ~ Height, data = trees)
ci_beta_1 = confint(tree_model, parm = "Height", level = 0.90)
ci_beta_1[2] - ci_beta_1[1]
```

```
## [1] 0.2656018
```

Exercise 5

Calculate a 95% confidence interval for the mean tree girth of a tree that is 79 feet tall.

Report only the lower and upper bound of your interval.

Hint: Use brackets to extract only those elements.

```
#solution
tree_model = lm(Girth ~ Height, data = trees)
predict(tree_model, newdata = data.frame(Height = 79), interval = "confidence")[2:3]
```

```
## [1] 12.90480 15.12646
```

Exercise 6

For the next several exercises, use the faithful dataset, which is built into R.

Suppose we would like to predict the duration of an eruption of the Old Faithful geyser in Yellowstone National Park based on the waiting time before an eruption. Fit a simple linear model in R that accomplishes this task.

What is the value of $SE[\hat{\beta}_1]$?

Hint: Section 8.6 of the book offers several examples of how to extract different elements from a linear model summary. That might be helpful to reference.

```
#solution
faithful_model = lm(eruptions ~ waiting, data = faithful)
summary(faithful_model)$coefficients["waiting", "Std. Error"]
```

[1] 0.002218541

```
#or alternatively
summary(faithful_model)$coefficients[2, 2]
```

[1] 0.002218541

Exercise 7

What is the value of the test statistic for testing $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ vs $H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$?

```
#solution
faithful_model = lm(eruptions ~ waiting, data = faithful)
summary(faithful_model)$coefficients["waiting", "t value"]
```

[1] 34.08904

```
#or alternatively
summary(faithful_model)$coefficients[2,3]
```

[1] 34.08904

Exercise 8

Take a look at your linear model summary from the faithful data.

Test $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ vs $H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$ with $\alpha = 0.01$. What decision do you make?

- Fail to reject H_0
- Reject H₀
- Reject H_1
- Not enough information

Exercise 9

Now let's take a look at the intercept. Calculate and report a 90% confidence interval for β_0 .

```
#solution
faithful_model = lm(eruptions ~ waiting, data = faithful)
confint(faithful_model, parm = "(Intercept)", level = 0.90)
```

```
## 5 % 95 %
## (Intercept) -2.138335 -1.609697
```

Exercise 10

Create a 99% **prediction** interval for a new obersation's eruption duration for a waiting time of 72 minutes.

Output only the **lower** and **upper** bound of your interval.

Hint: Use brackets at the end of the argument.

```
## [1] 2.280781 4.861612
```

Exercise 11

Consider a 90% confidence interval for the mean response and a 90% prediction interval, both at the same x value. Which interval is narrower?

- Confidence interval
- Prediction interval
- No enough information, it depends on the value of x

Exercise 12

Suppose you test $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ vs $H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$ with $\alpha = 0.01$ and fail to reject H_0 . Which statement best describes our finding?

- There is no relationship between the response and the predictor.
- If β_1 really equals 0, then we would observe a $\hat{\beta}_1$ value as extreme as we did more than 1% of the time.
- We have strong evidence that β_1 is not equal to 0.
- The probability that $\beta_1 = 0$ is very high.