## **CyberSecurity Data Sources**

## **Classification of Cybersecurity Data Sources**

Data Type	Description	Examples	Advantages	Limitations
Static (Offline) Data	Pre-collected and labeled datasets stored in files. Used for training ML models.	KDD Cup 1999, NSL- KDD, CICIDS2017, UNSW-NB15	Easy to access, standardized, labeled	May not represent the latest attack patterns
Dynamic (Live) Data	Real-time data captured from active networks or simulated environments.	Captured via Wireshark, Zeek, or network sensors	Reflects real- world, current threats	Hard to label, privacy risks, high setup cost

## **Overview of Commonly Used Datasets**

Dataset	Year	Source	Key Features	Suitable For
KDD Cup 1999	1999	DARPA Intrusion Detection Evaluation	41 features, labeled attacks	Basic intrusion detection research
NSL-KDD	2009	University of New Brunswick	Improved KDD dataset with reduced redundancy	Educational use, ML benchmarks
UNSW-NB15	2015	Australian Centre for	49 features, modern attacks	Deep learning- based IDS

Cyber Security

CICIDS2017 2017 Canadian 80+ features, Realistic Institute for realistic enterprise-level Cybersecurity network traffic intrusion detection TON IoT 2020 **UNSW** IoT device IoT security AI Canberra traffic, models telemetry & attacks

**Static vs Live Data: In-depth Comparison** 

Criteria Static Dataset Live Data Capture

Data Collection Already available Requires sensors, packet

capture tools

Cost Free / Open source Expensive setup

Data Labeling Pre-labeled Manual or semi-automated

Privacy Risk None Possible data exposure

AI Compatibility Directly usable for

supervised ML

Best Use Training & validation Real-time testing &

monitoring

Requires preprocessing