## **CyberSecurity Data Sources**

## **Classification of Cybersecurity Data Sources**

Data Type	Description	Examples	Advantages	Limitations
Static (Offline) Data	Pre-collected and labeled datasets stored in files. Used for training ML models.	KDD Cup 1999, NSL- KDD, CICIDS2017, UNSW-NB15	Easy to access, standardized, labeled	May not represent the latest attack patterns
Dynamic (Live) Data	Real-time data captured from active networks or simulated environments.	Captured via Wireshark, Zeek, or network sensors	Reflects real- world, current threats	Hard to label, privacy risks, high setup cost

## **Overview of Commonly Used Datasets**

Dataset	Year	Source	<b>Key Features</b>	Suitable For
KDD Cup 1999	1999	DARPA Intrusion Detection Evaluation	41 features, labeled attacks	Basic intrusion detection research
NSL-KDD	2009	University of New Brunswick	Improved KDD dataset with reduced redundancy	Educational use, ML benchmarks
UNSW-NB15	2015	Australian Centre for Cyber Security	49 features, modern attacks	Deep learning- based IDS

CICIDS2017	2017	Canadian Institute for Cybersecurity	80+ features, realistic network traffic	Realistic enterprise-level intrusion detection
TON_IoT	2020	UNSW Canberra	IoT device traffic, telemetry & attacks	IoT security AI models

## Static vs Live Data: In-depth Comparison

Criteria	Static Dataset	Live Data Capture
Data Collection	Already available	Requires sensors, packet capture tools
Cost	Free / Open source	Expensive setup
Data Labeling	Pre-labeled	Manual or semi-automated
Privacy Risk	None	Possible data exposure
AI Compatibility	Directly usable for supervised ML	Requires preprocessing
Best Use	Training & validation	Real-time testing & monitoring