Advance Regression House Price Prediction

Question 1 What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

Ans:

Optimal Alpha values as detected by my model is

- Ridge 3.0
- Lasso 100.0

If we increase the alpha value, coefficients tend to become less and less significant, the best values are chosen based on scoring method which is 'lowest mean absolute error', so error terms will increase by changing alpha values.

Applying alpha of 6.0 on ridge model and alpha 200 on Lasso model, gives below Score metrics

| | Metric | Ridge Regression (alpha 3) | Ridge Regression (alpha 6) | Lasso Regression (alpha 100) | Lasso Regression (alpha 200) |
|---|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 0 | R2 Score (Train) | 9.314815e-01 | 9.261018e-01 | 9.244527e-01 | 9.160205e-01 |
| 1 | R2 Score (Test) | 9.119658e-01 | 9.093350e-01 | 9.123151e-01 | 9.068332e-01 |
| 2 | RSS (Train) | 3.254867e+11 | 3.510425e+11 | 3.588761e+11 | 3.989318e+11 |
| 3 | RSS (Test) | 2.034505e+11 | 2.095305e+11 | 2.026434e+11 | 2.153121e+11 |
| 4 | RMSE (Train) | 1.910223e+04 | 1.983798e+04 | 2.005810e+04 | 2.114788e+04 |
| 5 | RMSE (Test) | 2.304783e+04 | 2.338968e+04 | 2.300207e+04 | 2.371018e+04 |

We can see R2 score on both training and test model have reduced slightly in both Ridge and Lasso models using double alpha values.

After doubling the alpha, most important predictor variable still remains as `GrLivArea`, however we can see change in the predictor variables order down the line, and coefficients of all features have definetly changed.

| | | Lasoo_predictors_alpha_100 | | | | |
|-----|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | Feature Name | Coefficient | Absolute Coefficient | | | |
| 14 | GrLivArea | 163767.732454 | 163767.732454 | | | |
| 3 | OverallQual | 68344.702927 | 68344.702927 | | | |
| 11 | TotalBsmtSF | 61115.409910 | 61115.409910 | | | |
| 8 | BsmtFinSF1 | 42700.036245 | 42700.036245 | | | |
| 5 | YearBuilt | 32117.606789 | 32117.606789 | | | |
| 4 | OverallCond | 30908.014078 | 30908.014078 | | | |
| 60 | Neighborhood_NridgHt | 25022.776939 | 25022.776939 | | | |
| 130 | ExterQual_TA | -24609.509546 | 24609.509546 | | | |
| 66 | Neighborhood_StoneBr | 23754.287788 | 23754.287788 | | | |
| 129 | ExterQual_Gd | -21995.772736 | 21995.772736 | | | |

| | Lasoo_predictors_alpha_200 | | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | Feature Name | Coefficient | Absolute Coefficient | | |
| 14 | GrLivArea | 165582.046101 | 165582.046101 | | |
| 3 | OverallQual | 71497.362545 | 71497.362545 | | |
| 11 | TotalBsmtSF | 60637.440024 | 60637.440024 | | |
| 8 | BsmtFinSF1 | 39777.374491 | 39777.374491 | | |
| 60 | Neighborhood_NridgHt | 25290.810040 | 25290.810040 | | |
| 130 | ExterQual_TA | -24015.407662 | 24015.407662 | | |
| 4 | OverallCond | 23972.994385 | 23972.994385 | | |
| 21 | GarageCars | 21897.670116 | 21897.670116 | | |
| 204 | SaleCondition_Partial | 20951.229435 | 20951.229435 | | |
| 5 | YearBuilt | 20821.417388 | 20821.417388 | | |

Question 2 You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?

Ans:

Both models are showing very close R2 scores on test data prediction, however we can see Lasso scores are slightly better. So I will use Lasso model in this scenario.

Lasso has another advantage that it actually reduces coefficients to absolute 0, where as Ridge never eliminates the features (all coefficients are reduced but never to absolute 0 value)

So we can say Lasso model will be less complex due to low number of predictor variables. We would be able to make predictions using fewer variables.

Question 3 After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now

Ans:

Rebuiding the model without best 5 predictors, we get below 5 most important predictor variables

- 1stFlrSF
- 2ndFlrSF
- houseAge
- OverAllCond
- Neighborhood_StoneBr

Best_Predictors_with_all_data

| | Feature Name | Coefficient | Absolute Coefficient |
|-----|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 14 | GrLivArea | 163767.732454 | 163767.732454 |
| 3 | OverallQual | 68344.702927 | 68344.702927 |
| 11 | TotalBsmtSF | 61115.409910 | 61115.409910 |
| 8 | BsmtFinSF1 | 42700.036245 | 42700.036245 |
| 5 | YearBuilt | 32117.606789 | 32117.606789 |
| 4 | OverallCond | 30908.014078 | 30908.014078 |
| 60 | Neighborhood_NridgHt | 25022.776939 | 25022.776939 |
| 130 | ExterQual_TA | -24609.509546 | 24609.509546 |
| 66 | Neighborhood_StoneBr | 23754.287788 | 23754.287788 |
| 129 | ExterQual_Gd | -21995.772736 | 21995.772736 |

Next_best_Predictors_when_best_5_are_missing

| 111 | Feature Name | Coefficient | Absolute Coefficient |
|-----|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 8 | 1stFlrSF | 184786.684071 | 184786.684071 |
| 9 | 2ndFlrSF | 98585.671229 | 98585.671229 |
| 23 | houseAge | -42437.601326 | 42437.601326 |
| 3 | OverallCond | 36080.918671 | 36080.918671 |
| 61 | Neighborhood_StoneBr | 32435.437593 | 32435.437593 |
| 55 | Neighborhood_NridgHt | 31647.026049 | 31647.026049 |
| 125 | ExterQual_TA | -31032.668538 | 31032.668538 |
| 142 | BsmtExposure_Gd | 26834.670833 | 26834.670833 |
| 16 | GarageCars | 26612.162758 | 26612.162758 |
| 124 | ExterQual_Gd | -24657.439454 | 24657.439454 |

Question 4 How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?

Ans:

To make the model robust, we should

- reduce the complexity of model, use less predictor features
- make sure training data scores are as close to test data
- drop more correlated feature, and not relying on lasso only
- have more data available so that outliers treatment can be significant, here I have only dropped 0.5 to 0.95 Inter Quantile range, due to less data, ideally we should use 0.25 & 0.75 quantile range
- Apply regularization
- Apply bias, variance trade off rules