

Journal Homepage: - www.journalijar.com INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (HAR) (SON 1200-120) (S

Article DOI:10.21474/IJAR01/4650 **DOI URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/4650

RESEARCH ARTICLE

PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS AS A RANKING TOOL - A CASE OF WORLD UNIVERSITIES.

Simbarashe Muzamhindo, Yusheng Kong and Takuriramunashe Famba.

Jiangsu University School of Finance and Economics No. 301 Xuefu Road, Zhenjiang 212013, P.R. China.

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 19 April 2017 Final Accepted: 24 May 2017

Published: June 2017

Kev words:-

Principal Component Analysis (PCA), University Ranking, Quality of University.

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate the application of Principal Component Analysis (PCA) as a ranking tool. Given the increasing international attention on education quality, ranking of universities has become an important indicator of institutional quality. Despite the increase in ranking methodologies over the years, concern still remains over the validity and reliability of the ranking tools and methodologies. The controversy surrounding methodologies and tools remains unresolved. To conduct this demonstration, first standardized QS variables were obtained. Second, PCA analysis was applied on the variables to obtain quality levels which then informed the ranks. We compare PCA ranks against QS ranks and the results reveal that different methodologies result in different ranks. Although based on same variables, but PCA attaches weights to variables not as predetermined but as a result. By demonstrating application of Principal Component Analysis (PCA) as a ranking tool, this paper broadens the methodological scope as academics seek consensus on the best way to define and measure university quality, which translates to university ranks.

.....

Copy Right, IJAR, 2017,. All rights reserved.

Introduction:-

The increasing global competition makes university ranking an important subject. Consequent of the competitive environment, there has been an increasing demand for information about the quality and effectiveness of institutions of learning (Coates, 2007). Quality has become a crucial element for various stakeholders, including students as they choose institutions for their future enrollments (Altbach, 2012, Hou et al., 2012). (Berbegal-Mirabent and Ribeiro-Soriano, 2015) affirms that assessing university quality has become a key issue among stakeholders. In assessing quality (Buela-Casal et al., 2007) emphasize the need for rigorous comparisons of global institutions. Hence we find that the quality of a university is often portrayed through its position when ranked against other universities (Shin, 2011). Whether a university is good or not, it is often depicted with respect to its position when ranked against other universities. Accordingly, certain variables become very important in determining a university's position when ranked against other universities. Such ranking enables universities to benchmark their performance against other universities thus allowing them to make strategic decisions which address the identified gaps hence in turn improve on their competitiveness.

.....

Some university ranking procedures continue to face controversy and criticism (Coates, 2007, Shin et al., 2011, Shin, 2011). For example, in China, there has been regular ranking of universities, mainly spearheaded by Wu

Shulian. However, Wu Shulian ranking has suffered much criticism and controversies over the years. In 2009 the credibility of Wu Shulian came under the limelight following reports of fee-for-ranking scandals¹.

According to our knowledge, whilst there are several ranking methods in greater parts of the world (Coates, 2007), there seems to be little evidence to suggest the application of Principal Components Analysis (PCA) as a university ranking methodology. Therefore, this paper seeks to demonstrate the application of PCA as a ranking tool, in this case we rank world universities. Building upon variables and secondary data available from the QS World University Rankings (QSWUR), we demonstrate a PCA ranking process for universities in greater parts of the world. Our aim is not to disqualify QS world Rankings but to demonstrate the use of PCA as a ranking tool. We conclude by comparing our results with QS world rankings results.

Ranking of Universities.

As previously highlighted that rankings are useful tools for decision making by stakeholders (Altbach, 2012, Hou et al., 2012), this has seen the emergence of university ranking systems across different parts of the world. "A global educational phenomenon triggered the start of ranking systems' development and their proliferation all around the world" (Lukman et al., 2010). Globally, there exists more than 30 national university rankings (Saisana et al., 2011). It should be noted that different procedures may produce different ranks for the same institution due to methodological differences (Alma et al., 2016). The methodological differences stem from selection of indicators, assigned weights, data collection and analytical methods. (Alma et al., 2016)

It remains a challenge to define quality because of the diverse and relative nature of most quality indicators. (Olcay and Bulu, 2016) argue that despite the challenge of defining quality, a ranking system provides a reflection of a university's quality level in a measure that is often easy to understand by various stakeholders at different levels, hence the growing interest in rankings over the decades.

Whilst there has been an increase in the number of ranking procedures, (Huang, 2011) argues that data validity and reliability are indispensable for trustworthy rankings. In this paper, we use QS World University Ranking (QSWUR) variables. QSWUR is the first international rankings to be independently audited and approved by the IREG Observatory on Academic Rankings and Excellence making it one of the leading world university ranking institution. Drawing from QS World University ranking, we establish academic reputation, employer reputation, student-to-faculty ratio, citations per faculty, international faculty ratio and international student ratio as our variables of interest. These variables are explained in the following section.

Data Analysis:-

Variables:-

In this demonstration, we adopt the six performance indicator variables according to the QS World university rankings. According to QSWUR, these six performance variables cover the aspects of research, teaching, employability and internationalisation². The variables are explained below.

Academic Reputation:-This is measured through a global survey, in which academics identify institutions which they believe are currently conducting the best work within their respective academic fields. Regional weights are applied to counter any discrepancies in response rates. Higher values of academic reputation indicate better performance.

Employer reputation:-Just like academic reputation, employer reputation is also based on a global survey. Here, employers identify universities which they perceive to be producing the best graduates. Unique to QSWUR, this variable aims to provide the level to which universities are viewed in the job market as reputable. Higher values indicate better performance.

Student-to-faculty ratio:-This represents a measure of the number of academic staff employed relative to the number of students enrolled. This measure provides information on how a university is best equipped to provide small class sizes and a good level of individual supervision. Lower values indicate better performance.

¹http://chinadigitaltimes.net/2009/05/universities-in-fee-for-ranking-scandal/

²http://www.topuniversities.com/qs-world-university-rankings/methodology

Citations per faculty:-This variable measures a university's research impact by counting total citations in relation to the number of academic faculty members of the university. Scopus, a large database for citations and abstracts provides such citation counts. Higher values indicate better performance.

International faculty ratio:-This reflects an institution's success in attracting academics from other countries as a measure of internationalisation. Higher values indicate better performance.

International student ratio:-This reflects an institution's success in attracting academics from other countries as a measure of internationalisation. Higher values indicate better performance.

In this demonstration, we use the above collection of variables to reflect university performance and consequently rank the universities via PCA. Whilst these variables are quality indicators, unlike QSWUR which assigns weights to these variables, this paper is interested in their joint contribution to quality in a multivariate sense. We do so by applying PCA, that is, investigating correlations between variables and further obtaining an overall measure by collapsing these correlated variables. We explain PCA in the section that follows.

Principal Component Analysis:-

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a nonparametric variable reduction technique which seeks to collapse a set of correlated variables into fewer uncorrelated variables as linear combinations of the original values. The extracted fewer variables should account for most of the variation occurring in the originally observed variables such that they can be used to provide summarised measures of quality.

Given a random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_p)^t$ consisting of p random variables, having covariance matrix Σ and eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs (λ_1, e_1) , (λ_2, e_2) ..., (λ_p, e_p) , where $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge ... \ge \lambda_p \ge 0$, the i^{th} principal component, say L_i , is defined as $L_i = e_i^t X_1 = e_{i1} X_1 + e_{12} X + \cdots + e_{ip} X_p$ for i = 1, 2, ..., p where $(e_{i1}, e_{i2}, ..., e_{ip})$ are the components of eigenvector e_i^t . This presents principal components as linear combinations of the original random variables.

Further, it can be shown that:-

- 1. If $Y_i = a_i^t X = a_{i1} X_1 + a_{i2} X_2 + \dots + a_{ip} X_p$ is any other linear combination of these original variables, then for the first principal component, $Var(L_1) = \lambda_1 \ge Var(Y_i)$. From this, we observe that that the principal components L_i can be used to capture the important signals aggregately contained in the original variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p .
- 2. $Cov(L_i, L_i) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Here we observe that this can be done without redundancy.
- 3. $\Sigma_{i=1}^{p} Var(X_i) = \Sigma_{i=1}^{p} Var(L_i)$, thus providing a means of identifying the contribution of each principal component since;

Total Variance =
$$Var(X_1) + Var(X_2) + \dots + Var(X_P)$$

= $Var(L_1) + Var(L_2) + \dots + Var(L_P)$
= $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n$

 $=\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_p$ In the event that the first few principal components capture a significant fraction of the total variance, then the new variables can replace the original variables without much loss of information. Standardization is required where variables are measured on different scales. However, in this study our data is standardized since scores for all variables are expressed as a percentage.

Ranking Universities Using First Principal Component Analysis:-

PCA is widely used in various research or statistical sectors. On ranking, (Manage & Scariano, 2013) demonstrated the use of PCA in ranking batsmen and bowlers in the Indian Cricket Premier League.

In this paper we apply PCA to rank world universities. First, university scores for the variables identified in 3.1 were obtained from QS datasets³. We limit our analysis to 398 universities that have a full set of values. Some universities had missing values on some of the variables hence their exclusion from the analysis. For standardization, these

³http://www.iu.qs.com/

values were already converted into percentage scores by QS. Respective values for the variables discussed in 3.1 were arranged into a $(6x1)^t$ column vector of the form (Academic reputation, Employer reputation, Student to faculty ratio, Citations per faculty, International Faculty ratio, International students ratio) for each of the 398 universities and we refer to these as the quality vectors. In this study, we choose to use the following proxies;-

Proxy	Variable
AR	Academic Reputation
ER	Employer Reputation
SFR	Student to Faculty Ratio
CPF	Citations per faculty
IFR	International Faculty Ratio
ISR	International Students Ratio

By using SPSS statistical software, a 6X6 correlation matrix is obtained to reflect the inherent correlation structure of these variables. Table 2 presents the correlation structure.

Table 2: Correlation Matrix

		AR	ER	SFR	CPF	IFR	ISR
Correlation	AR	1.000	.693	.193	.353	.092	.150
	ER	.693	1.000	.145	.192	.218	.298
	SFR	.193	.145	1.000	056	.008	.091
	CPF	.353	.192	056	1.000	.235	.227
	IFR	.092	.218	.008	.235	1.000	.662
	ISR	.150	.298	.091	.227	.662	1.000

We observe in Table 2 that the variables are correlated to each other thus making it plausible to apply the non parametric PCA analysis. Further, in Table 3 we present the ordered eigenvalues and percentage of variability which is attributed to each.

Table 3:- Total Variance Explained

Component		Initial Eigenval	ues	Extracti	on Sums of Squar	ed Loadings						
	Total	% of	Cumulative %	Total	% of	Cumulative %						
		Variance			Variance							
1	2.288	38.137	38.137	2.288	38.137	38.137						
2	22.448	60.585										
3	1.030	17.167	77.752	1.030	17.167	77.752						
4	.749	12.478	90.230									
5	.332	5.541	95.771									
6	6 .254 4.229 100.000											
	Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.											

The results in Table 3 show that about 78% of total variation is explained by the first 3 principal components. Also, the first 3 components are the only ones whose values are greater than one. Accordingly, we provide the eigenvalue eigenvector pairs for these 3 variables and present them in Table 4 below.

Table 4:- Component Matrix^a

		Component	
	1	2	3
AR	.711	.582	145
ER	.754	.415	005
SFR	.221	.344	.793
CPF	.534	029	563
IFR	.632	645	.128
ISR	.692	549	.218
Extraction	n Method: Principal Compo	nent Analysis, A. 3 componer	nts extracted.

		Component			Coefficients	
	1	2	3	1/√λ1	2/√λ2	3/√λ3
AR	0.711	0.582	-0.145	0.470047331	0.501463337	-0.14287275
ER	0.754	0.415	-0.005	0.498474947	0.357572655	-0.00492665
SFR	0.221	0.344	-0.793	0.146104726	0.296397574	-0.78136612
CPF	0.534	-0.029	-0.563	0.353031328	-0.024987	-0.55474038
IFR	0.632	-0.645	0.128	0.417820	-0.555745	0.12612215
ISR	0.692	-0.549	0.218	0.457486291	-0.473030	0.21480178

In table 5 we proceed to calculate the coefficients of the principal components which we identified in Table 5. **Table 1:-** Variable coefficients.

Therefore, according to results in Error! Reference source not found., we establish the following linear equations;

```
L_1 = 0.470AR + 0.498ER + 0.146SFR + 0.353CPF + 0.418IFR + 0.457ISR
```

 $L_2 = 0.501AR + 0.358ER + 0.296SFR - 0.024CPF - 0.556IFR - 0.473ISR$

 $L_3 = -0.143AR - 0.005ER - 0.781SFR - 0.554CPF + 0.126IFR + 0.215ISR$

Further, for each university we calculate an L value being the total of L_1 , L_2 , and L_3 multiplied by the respective percentages of variation given in **Error! Reference source not found.**, where;

 $L = 0.38137L_1 + 0.22448L_2 + 0.17167L_3$. Also represented as;

L = [0.38137 (0.470AR + 0.498ER + 0.146SFR + 0.353CPF + 0.418IFR + 0.457ISR)]

- + [0.22448(0.501AR + 0.358ER + 0.296SFR 0.024CPF 0.556IFR 0.473ISR)]
- + [0.17167(-0.143AR 0.005ER 0.781SFR 0.554CPF + 0.126IFR + 0.215ISR)]

We conclude the analysis by ranking universities according to their respective L values. The higher the L value, the better the rank. The ranking results are presented in Appendix A.

Results and Discussion:-

In Appendix A we provide PCA ranks against QS ranks. This paper attributes the differences between PCA ranking and QS ranking to the differences in their respective methodologies. As stated earlier on, "ranking systems produce different ranks for the same institution due to methodological differences which stem from selection of indicators (variables), weights, data collection and analysis" (Alma et al., 2016).

Unlike in QS (and other similar methodologies) where the weights of the variables are predetermined⁴, PCA, by first extracting principal components, it establishes a relative scale of weights, not as predetermined but as a result. PCA thus establishes an objective performance scale for ranking. Where weights are pre-determined, the choice of weights is often subjective and arbitrary, with little or no theoretical or empirical basis, hence small changes in the variable weights often alter the results without any tangible change between institutions.

Conclusion:-

Using the case of world universities, this paper has demonstrated how to apply Principal component analysis as a ranking tool. This paper sought not to disqualify other methodologies, but rather, to demonstrate PCA as a valuable ranking methodology. Although re-ranking was performed in this paper, such was only limited to comparing the PCA ranks against the QS ranks only for illustrative purposes. In this paper we therefore conclude that, by considering principal components which account for greatest variations, PCA provides an objective methodology for ranking. We consider PCA to be robust since it does not establish weights a priori, instead, the ranking is based on the principal components which maximize the explanation of the variances.

⁴ AR 40%; ER 10%; SFR 20%; CPF 20%; IFR 5%; ISR 5%.

References:-

- 1. ALMA, B., COŞKUN, E. & ÖVENDIRELI, E. 2016. University Ranking Systems and Proposal of a Theoretical Framework for Ranking of Turkish Universities: A Case of Management Departments. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 235, 128-138.
- 2. ALTBACH, P. G. 2012. The Globalization of College and University Rankings. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 44, 26-31.
- 3. BERBEGAL-MIRABENT, J. & RIBEIRO-SORIANO, D. E. 2015. Behind league tables and ranking systems: A critical perspective of how university quality is measured. *Journal of Service Theory and Practice*, 25, 242-266.
- BUELA-CASAL, G., GUTIÉRREZ-MARTÍNEZ, O., BERMÚDEZ-SÁNCHEZ, M. P. & VADILLO-MUÑOZ, O. 2007. Comparative study of international academic rankings of universities. *Scientometrics*, 71, 349-365.
- 5. COATES, H. 2007. Universities on the Catwalk: Models for Performance Ranking in Australia. *Higher Education Management and Policy*, 19.
- 6. HOU, A. Y.-C., MORSE, R. & YUEH-JEN, E. S. 2012. Is there a gap between students' preference and university presidents' concern over college ranking indicators?: a case study of "College Navigator in Taiwan". *Higher Education*, 64, 767-787.
- 7. HUANG, M.-H. 2011. A comparison of three major academic rankings for world universities: From a research evaluation perspective. *Journal of Library and Information studies*, 9, 1-25.
- 8. LUKMAN, R., KRAJNC, D. & GLAVIČ, P. 2010. University ranking using research, educational and environmental indicators. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 18, 619-628.
- 9. OLCAY, G. A. & BULU, M. 2016. Is measuring the knowledge creation of universities possible?: A review of university rankings. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*.
- 10. SAISANA, M., D'HOMBRES, B. & SALTELLI, A. 2011. Rickety numbers: Volatility of university rankings and policy implications. *Research Policy*, 40, 165-177.
- 11. SHIN, J. C. 2011. Organizational effectiveness and university rankings. University Rankings. Springer.
- 12. SHIN, J. C., TOUTKOUSHIAN, R. K. & TEICHLER, U. 2011. *University rankings: Theoretical basis, methodology and impacts on global higher education*, Springer Science & Business Media.

Appendix:-

Table 2:- Ranking (**based on unrounded L value). (*rounded off to 1 d.p)

2 66 %	ne 2 Ranking (based on unrounded	_ ,	<i>)</i> • (10•	******		0 1 00	۲/					
P	Institute	S O	R A	Ħ	SF	C	IF	SI	<i>L</i> 1	L2	L3	L
1	ETH ZURICH (SWISS FEDERAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY)	9	99.9	99	78.6	98.8	100	98	229.193	4.4323	-97.2325	71.7
2	MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (MIT)	1	100	100	100	100	100	95.5	232.1435	12.3285	- 115.167 5	71. 5
3	IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON	∞	99 .9	10	99	79	10	10	226.9372	10.6099	-102.806	71. 3
4	UCL (UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON)	7	99.9	99.8	98.6	88	95.5	99.9	227.6863	12.5012	- 107.031 8	71. 3
5	UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE	3=	100	100	100	93.7	96.2	96.6	228.8339	14.0722	- 111.919 6	71. 2
6	UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD	6	100	100	100	88.9	97.8	96.6	227.8083	13.2978	- 109.058 8	71. 1
7	THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE	42	99	99	39	80	80	97	209.1532	4.6363	-59.2974	70. 6
8	NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE (NUS)	12	10 0	10	92	78	10	92	222.2876	12.1523	-98.578	70. 6

9	THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY	45	99 .5	99	39	72	99	89	210.3133	-2.3007	-54.1756	70. 4
10	THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES (UNSW)	46	96 .9	99	36	80	10	92	212.8621	-6.389	-54.5726	70. 4
11	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY (UCB)	26	100	99.9	46.9	99.9	96.8	79.3	215.5648	6.0193	-77.5267	70. 3
12	AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY (ANU)	19	99	94	89	89	10	96	221.4327	0.6045	-84.6628	70. 0
13	LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL SCIENCE (LSE)	35	93.8	100	65	77.6	100	100	218.2688	-2.7286	-73.5688	70. 0
14	UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH	21	99 .7	98	85	71	93	97	217.0124	10.4962	-88.1983	70. 0
15	UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG (HKU)	30	99 .5	97	84	56	10	98	214.3413	6.1417	-78.1012	69. 7
16	THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER	33	99 .3	99	79	61	83	97	209.3092	14.9536	-79.5555	69. 5
17	HARVARD UNIVERSITY	2	100	100	98.6	100	99.9	76	222.9858	21.1932	- 118.279 2	69. 5
18	NANYANG TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY (NTU)	13	95.3	97.5	94.2	86.5	100	94	222.3917	8.3955	- 102.796 6	69. 1
19	STANFORD UNIVERSITY	3=	100	100	99.5	99.9	97.6	72.8	220.6581	24.2544	- 119.904 5	69. 0
20	MONASH UNIVERSITY	67	94 .5	96	26	67	98	93	204.1932	-10.7326	-39.4021	68. 7
21	KING'S COLLEGE LONDON (KCL)	19	95 .2	95	89	77	95	98	217.7397	7.2868	-94.1066	68. 5
22	UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO	34	99 9	95	82	57	97	90	208.7032	10.6496	-79.3694	68. 4
23	THE UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK	48	90 .4	99	62	99	93	98	208.5676	-0.5251	-66.0178	68. 1
24	MCGILL UNIVERSITY	24	99 .1	94	80	70	88	92	209.4761	13.0262	-85.7809	68. 1
25	THE HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (HKUST)	28	94.3	91.8	65.8	89.4	100	97	217.3314		-81.4063	68. 0
26	ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE (EPFL)	14	89.1	93.6	93.6	99.3	100	100	224.7083	0.5703	107.223 1	67. 4
27	UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO	10	99.9	96.3	93.8	91.5	73.4	81.6	208.8771	30.6869	- 111.923 6	67. 3
28	CORNELL UNIVERSITY	17	99.9	96.7	73.9	97.1	90.2	66	208.0409	22.8433	- 100.723 3	67. 2
29	CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (CALTECH)	5	99.8	89.6	100	100	90.2	85.2	218.0668	18.8258	- 118.536 2	67. 0
30	YALE UNIVERSITY	15	10 0	10	10	71	89	65	204.0806	32.8229	- 106.990	66. 8
_								_				

QUEENSLAND (UQ)												3	
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY	31		46	.6	91	41	84	99	82	205.4584	-3.3854	-63.1216	66. 8
WINVERSITY OF BRISTOL Sq. - 9 9 1 2 9 8 8 2 201.282 16.9537 -85.8264	32		22	0	99	10	67	33	89	189.5848	52.8538	-106.55	65. 9
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH So So So So So So So	33	UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL	37	92	98	79	69	86	81	201.282	16.9537	-85.8264	65. 8
## THE UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND ## PRINCETON UNIVERSITY ## UNIVERSITY OF ## PENNSYLVANIA ## PEKING UNIVERSITY ## P	34		50	69	95	47	73	85	63	191.9781	18.9632	-67.9102	65. 8
Carnegie	35	THE UNIVERSITY OF	82	92 7	93	27	58	85	88	190.8159	-2.5857	-37.6351	65. 7
UNIVERSITY OF	36	PRINCETON UNIVERSITY	11	100	98.5	92.9	100	47.2	68.2	195.8134	51.9596	122.137	65. 4
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES (UCLA)	37		18	8 8	97	10	08	52	66	190.5061	51.1218	-116.328	64. 2
## THE UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM 1.6256 -64.1872 -	38	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES	27	100	99.7	74.2	89.6	57.4	49	185.4988	50.514	104.619	64. 1
NOTTINGHAM	39	DURHAM UNIVERSITY	61	75 4	98	48	90	91	88	202.1255	-7.4131	-69.0056	63. 6
## ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE PARISTECH ## ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE PARISTECH ## UNIVERSITY OF UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM ## NEW YORK UNIVERSITY OF CECHNOLOGY ## PARISTECH ## UNIVERSITY OF CECHNOLOGY ## PARISTECH ## UNIVERSITY OF CECHNOLOGY ## PARISTECH ## PARISTECH ## UNIVERSITY OF CECHNOLOGY ## PARISTECH ## PARISTECH ## UNIVERSITY OF CECHNOLOGY ## PARISTECH ## PARISTECH ## PARISTECH ## UNIVERSITY OF CECHNOLOGY ## PARISTECH ## PARISTECH ## PARISTECH ## UNIVERSITY OF CECHNOLOGY ## PARISTECH #	40		70	90	96	61	61	87	87	193.3345	1.6256	-64.1872	63. 1
PARISTECH DINIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM NEW YORK UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG (CUHK) TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY Solution	41	PEKING UNIVERSITY	41	99	99	73	70	50	45	174.0692	56.2295	-94.9399	62. 7
BIRMINGHAM	42		40	73 5	99	99	75	96	88	206.0072	4.679	-99.2728	62. 6
Color Carnegie Mellon Carnegie Mellon Carnegie Mellon Carnegie Michigan Michiga	43		76	82 4	94	60	57	85	83	188.825	4.9888	-62.6869	62. 4
TECHNOLOGY THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG (CUHK) TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY Solve S	44	(NYU)	53	98	97	94	35	15	82	165.5062	64.3917	-88.8369	62. 3
6 OF HONG KONG (CUHK) 2 4 5 6 2 3 3 175.3387 65.7477 - 115.940 3 4 TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY 5 - 9 9 8 4 4 3 175.3387 65.7477 - 115.940 3 4 FUDAN UNIVERSITY 5 - 9 9 1 8 4 4 174.4214 45.6457 -89.4919 4 UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN 3 9 9 1 8 4 5 4 180.7911 48.4849 - 107.737 6 5 CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY 8 - 8 3 3 9 9 177.6948 23.577 -71.7926 5 TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN 8 9 8 9 3 5 169.2836 46.039 -83.191 5 JOHNS UNIVERSITY 1 8 9 9 3 5 9 17.200.0229 15.3644 -	45	TECHNOLOGY	64	.9	89	31	96	93	71	194.0017	-4.3809		62. 1
115.940 3 3 3 3 3 4 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	46	OF HONG KONG (CUHK)	51	95 4	85	69	61	97	63	189.1795	12.9773	-76.08	62. 0
## UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN ## UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN ## UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN ## UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN ## UNIVERSITY ## ## ## UNIVERSITY ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	47	TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY	25							175.3387	65.7477		61. 7
CARNEGIE MELLON S - 8 8 - 35 9 9 177.6948 23.577 -71.7926	48	FUDAN UNIVERSITY	51	94	96	91	81	52	49	174.4214	45.6457	-89.4919	61. 4
UNIVERSITY 5 TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN 5 JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY 6 97 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	49	UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN	30=	99.9	91.4	81.3	84.5	65.4	42.2	180.7911	48.4849	107.737	61.
MÜNCHEN	50		62	88	83	35	99	20	99	177.6948	23.577	-71.7926	60. 7
UNIVERSITY 5 7 4 8 5 5 5 6 6 1 111.924 6 6 6 1 111.924 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	51	MÜNCHEN	60	90	98	90	36	53	65	169.2836	46.039	-83.191	60. 6
AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN Solve Color C	52		16	97.2	74	100	84.9	96.6	71.2	200.0229	15.3644	111.924	60. 5
ν LUND UNIVERSITY 2 2 2 8 8 2 2 2 181.0214 9.873 -64.6029	53		59	97	75	22	98	62	70	179.521	12.6609	-63.2165	60. 5
	54		70	3	84	58	58	83	72	181.0214	9.873	-64.6029	60. 2

			1		1		1		T	1	1	
55	LUDWIG-MAXIMILIANS- UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN	75	98 .1	91	49	56	57	39	161.1471	44.6982	-69.0742	59. 6
56	UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS	87	81 .7	90	57	55	75	73	176.8719	12.211	-62.541	59. 5
57	SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY (SNU)	36	99.2	96.6	84.2	79	30.2	33.2	162.707	74.8144	- 113.251 6	59. 4
58	ECOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE, PARIS (ENS PARIS)	23	89.6	78.9	91.9	100	55.6	82.6	191.1106	27.9548	- 115.616 6	59. 3
59	UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM	55	95 .1	88	54	. 84	70	37	172.8264	36.4327	-86.2587	59. 3
60	THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO	39	100	99.9	96.3	64.9	22.4	25.9	154.9192	88.1063	- 117.573 5	58. 7
61	THE UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD	80	79	79	99	59	81	95	184.8094	-3.9286	-65.4678	58. 4
62	UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW	62	.5	68	64	64	86	94	186.739	-7.1619	-67.5826	58. 0
63	PURDUE UNIVERSITY	89	81	77	38	73	94	78	182.9932	-11.4943	-53.7633	58. 0
64	THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE	11	75 2	76	42	53	93	98	182.7721	-22.364	-40.9749	57. 6
65	TRINITY COLLEGE DUBLIN (TCD)	78	82 5	73	60	63	95	84	185.3352	-9.0725	-64.2154	57. 6
66	KYOTO UNIVERSITY	38	.9	96	94	71	17	21	151.2581	90.7513	-121.028	57. 3
67	UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES	12	95 .7	98	61	2.	30	38	134.2925	66.5803	-51.7392	57. 3
68	DUKE UNIVERSITY	29	96.4	84.6	99.8	85.4	15.2	57.4	164.7412	70.473	- 125.207 4	57. 2
69	SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY	70	88	95	47	83	60	17	159.4847	48.6337	-85.0781	57. 1
70	KATHOLIEKE UNIVERSITEIT LEUVEN	82	92 .4	76	15	92	80	41	168.8042	11.7044	-57.5866	57. 1
71	UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN	77	98 .5	85	20	97	26	27	150.0415	56.2561	-75.6528	56. 9
72	RUPRECHT-KARLS- UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG	66	95 .5	80	77	43	49	59	159.5167	42.8821	-79.6018	56. 8
73	UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL	11	79 .5	75	32	62	85	80	173.7659	-10.1506	-43.4674	56. 5
74	NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY	32	94	81.1	92.3	96.4	29.9	51.7	168.1979	60.0565	- 124.456 5	56. 3
75	RHEINISCH-WESTFÄLISCHE TECHNISCHE HOCHSCHULE AACHEN	145	78.5	97.6	30.8	53	31.4	50.7	145.0007	40.6746	-50.2734	55. 8
76	HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN	12	96	76	23	41	55	42	144.4605	30.8166	-39.704	55. 2
77	GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (GEORGIA TECH)	84	81.8	78.2	44.5	89.9	6.5	86.9	158.0516	35.2741	-77.145	55. 0
78	THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA	98	79 .3	70	35	76	99	65	175.9367	-12.9725	-54.6758	54. 8
ļ	(ESTERN			<u> </u>		<u> </u>						

	(UWA)											
	`											
79	UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA	96	79 .5	68	56	56	90	82	175.431	-9.5672	-58.0944	54. 8
80	UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 1 PANTHÉON-SORBONNE	24	81 .1	90	4.	6.	24	64	125.7456	30.4252	-2.2748	54. 4
81	UNIVERSITY OF BATH	15	51 .3	89	37	89	90	90	177.2181	-25.9347	-44.38	54. 1
82	BOSTON UNIVERSITY	91	78 .5	85	62	71	11	73	152.0613	45.7884	-82.6793	54. 1
83	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO (UCSD)	44	98	71.3	66.8	94.5	31.3	42.4	157.1389	54.6702	- 105.834 5	54. 0
84	UNIVERSITY OF ST ANDREWS	68	65 .9	68	79	84	96	99	192.3322	-22.1748	-84.6266	53. 8
85	UNIVERSITÄT WIEN	15	82 .5	57	37	25	94	93	164.1756	-24.4151	-22.9644	53. 2
86	UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN	69	.1	77	99	30	82	37	157.58	37.8155	-89.7559	53. 2
87	KAIST - KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	43	89.4	86.6	78.5	100	28.9	17.3	151.8921	72.3769	- 122.564 8	53. 1
88	BROWN UNIVERSITY	49	81.9	71.2	81.7	96.6	49.4	66.2	170.8812	29.6073	- 108.934 4	53. 1
89	UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO (USP)	14	95	94	35	33	10	6.	116.5384	82.0333	-57.4618	53. 0
90	UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO	15	61	79	14	88	78	75	168.9867	-18.0707	-43.7	52. 9
91	UNIVERSITY OF ZURICH	85	78 .1	72	90	36	10	62	169.2846	5.9577	-76.3316	52. 8
92	FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN	11	93	62	19	61	60	54	149.6647	14.3085	-43.6897	52. 8
93	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON	81	72 .9	64	76	63	89	92	179.2008	-12.6686	-74.6646	52. 7
94	PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE CHILE	17	90 .7	98	38	14	19	8	113.756	77.2293	-47.0033	52. 7
95	KIT, KARLSRUHER INSTITUT FÜR TECHNOLOGIE	93	64	96.2	84.7	65.8	57.1	48.6	159.6592	35.2602	-94.5933	52. 6
96	KTH, ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	92	64 .1	88	67	80	86	46	169.4961	11.9915	-86.517	52. 5
97	UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SYDNEY (UTS)	218	48.6	85.9	22.4	42.3	95.6	93.2	166.3757	-36.5212	-16.2243	52. 5
98	UNIVERSITY OF YORK	10	67 .7	70	51	76	87	81	174.7581	-14.3688	-64.2784	52. 4
99	NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY (NTU)	70	98	75	46	85	18	23	138.8327	66.2002	-90.6679	52. 2
100	TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	56	86.2	84.5	87.2	80.4	23.8	32.1	148.3255	68.9027	- 115.493 6	52. 2
10 1	LANCASTER UNIVERSITY	12	59 .1	69	45	82	91	95	179.8609	-30.3747	-57.5012	51. 9

□ UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SORBONNE (PARIS IV) □ UNIVERSITÉ CATHOLIQUE DE LOUVAIN (UCL) □ UNIVERSITA DA LOUVAIN (UCL) □ UNIVERSITA CATHOLIQUE DE LOUVAIN (UCL) □ UNIVERSITA DA NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO (UNAM) □ UNIVERSITY OF EASTMUS UNIVERSITY □ UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS (UCD) □ UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS (UCD) □ CITTY UNIVERSITY □ COSAKA UNIVERSITY □ COSAKA UNIVERSITY □ UNI													
SORBONNE (PARIS IV)	10 2	UPPSALA UNIVERSITY	10	85 .7	65	47	2 .	28	75	148.9842	27.1479	-64.9815	51. 8
DE LOUVAIN (UCL)	10 3		22	86	78	11	7.	31	52	120.7217	32.412	-10.5928	51. 5
\$\frac{\text{S}}{\text{AUTÓNOMA}} \text{DE MÉXICO} \(\frac{\text{S}}{\text{C}}\) \(\frac{\text{S}}{\text{C}}\) \(\frac{\text{S}}{\text{C}}\) \(\frac{\text{S}}{\text{C}}\) \(\text{S}\) \(\	10 4	_	14	76 .5	72	55	26	78	65	152.2919	5.1463	-45.0913	51. 5
CALIFORNIA, DAVIS (UCD)	105	AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO	160	95.9		49.4		14.7		108.0111	85.7801	-53.0676	51. 3
CALIFORNIA, DAVIS (UCD) CALIFORNIA, DAVIS (UC	10 6		12	55 .1	90	65	67	69	65	162.9624	8.443	-74.0577	51. 3
CARDIFF UNIVERSITY	10 7		85	85	62	35	93	65	46	158.4276	14.7233	-73.9638	51. 0
□ POLITECNICO DI MILANO □ 10	10 8		57	72 .7	50	81	93	10	95	189.743	-24.1501	-93.2461	50. 9
□ OSAKA UNIVERSITY □ UNIVERSIT	10	CARDIFF UNIVERSITY	12	× 53	73	96	53	71	85	164.3691	-3.7145	-64.0062	50. 9
The hong kong polytechnic university The hong kong polytechnic university The hong kong state university The hong kong polytechnic university The hong kong The hong kong kong The	11	POLITECNICO DI MILANO	18	64 7	89	12	66	38	48	138.6754	22.5714	-41.409	50. 8
WISCONSIN-MADISON 4 1.	111	OSAKA UNIVERSITY	58	92.5	80.9	86.8	69.2	15.9	19.8	136.5584	81.1309	113.499	50. 8
UNIVERSITY	112		54	97.4	62.1	85.7	66.9	51.6	36.1	150.8982	49.0259		50. 7
□ POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	3		10	.7 .7	73	34	86	13	45	138.4508	48.314	-75.4293	50. 7
□ STATE UNIVERSITY □ STATE UNIVERSITY OF EXETER	11		11	69 1	59	47	70	99	82	172.8877	-25.8209	-55.8015	50. 6
UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE	11 5		10	2	82	99	· .∞	13	56	129.4015	66.6987	-81.2154	50. 4
□ UNIVERSITY OF □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	11	UNIVERSITY OF EXETER	16	52	76	4.	99	85	87	168.1384	-23.5517	-50.0187	50. 2
GRONINGEN - GRONINGEN - TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN - SUNGKYUNKWAN - GRONINGEN - TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT - TECHNIS	11 7	UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE	20	.6	92	18	16	12	13	105.7545	67.6248	-31.8893	50. 0
BERLIN SUNGKYUNKWAN SUNGKYUN	111 8		10	69 .1	58	81	48	93	94	172.4852	-18.0713	-68.0835	50. 0
	9		17	70	82	39	36	39	56	135.246	26.9158	-44.5797	50. 0
UNIVERSITY	12 0	SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY	11	.9	99	86	43	20	27	130.9003	70.6077	-93.8636	49. 7
	12 1		15	62	72	39	63	90	63	160.4522	-13.3819	-49.9927	49. 6
	12	KOREA UNIVERSITY	10	78	84	83	42	21	39	133.0234	62.73	-89.0894	49. 5
	12	RMIT UNIVERSITY	27	5	85	23	23	10	80	153.8836	-34.6752	-8.1212	49. 5
	12 4	YONSEI UNIVERSITY	10	79 .4	86	83	40	14	35	129.1142	69.8941	-90.1091	49. 5
	12 5	-	10	63	57	72	57	96	99	175.01	-28.3155	-64.2315	49. 4
ο - WASEDA UNIVERSITY Θ Θ Θ Θ Θ Θ Θ Θ Θ	12 6		21	73	85	36	10	35	40	119.1	39.1349	-32.1387	48. 7
UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL - 58.0171	12 7	UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL	15	55	69	96	50	76	95	163.551	-16.6822	-58.0171	48. 7

12 8	ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY	11	2	80	34	ر 90	14	20	129.7648	59.2369	-82.391	48.
		-	2 0	0	4	0	4	0	110 1175	25.05.62	0.4.5500	6
12 9	UTRECHT UNIVERSITY	94	83	69	47	28 n	59	17	143.4156	37.0562	-84.5793	48. 5
13	OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY	99	82	57	71	47	80	55	153.0472	10.9736	-72.2065	48. 4
13 1	AARHUS UNIVERSITY	10	74 9	63	43	81	71	47	153.34	9.467	-71.0363	48. 4
13 2	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	13	70 .4	65	42	76	34	74	147.2564	15.0357	-66.0731	48. 2
13	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON	65	95 5	55	54	94	.∞	43	137.3329	56.3465	-98.103	48. 2
13	NEWCASTLE UNIVERSITY	16	49	73	61	55	83	88	163.8372	-20.2694	-57.0804	48. 1
13 5	UNIVERSITY OF READING	15	51	68	51	67	86	89	166.5894	-26.9418	-55.1785	48. 0
13	UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN	13	53 .6	63	65	64	93	94	171.0585	-29.2762	-62.4276	47. 9
13 7	UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES (ULB)	20	56 .4	62	36	31	93	94	156.6448	-36.4079	-22.3008	47. 7
8	UNIVERSITY OF ST GALLEN (HSG)	32	15 .3	95	6.	65	10	96	164.2664	-58.8427	-10.7774	47. 6
13 9	CENTRALESUPÉLEC	15	30 .4	10	98	74	16	94	153.2404	20.8487	-91.2396	47. 5
14 0	TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WIEN	19	47	71	10	90	62	87	157.175	-25.9196	-39.0461	47. 4
14	UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA (UB)	16	.9	74	34	38	5.	27	111.5469	62.989	-54.4725	47. 3
14 2	CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY	13	48	86	71	76	78	44	156.6291	9.9138	-86.3012	47. 1
14 3	LEIDEN UNIVERSITY	95	87 .1	55	43	83	66	21	141.6851	27.242	-79.935	46. 4
144	TOHOKU UNIVERSITY	74	86	72.1	97.1	61.4	16.5	18.4	127.4824	78.2886	- 116.474 2	46. 2
145	UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID (UCM)	226	76.9	79	30.5	13.8	3.5	38.6	103.9126	55.3019	-34.1174	46. 2
14 6	MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY	16	.8	59	24	70	61	55	142.108	1.3012	-48.8555	46. 1
14 7	UNIVERSITY OF GENEVA	89	.8	34	62	98	10	99	176.5109	-38.8488	-72.8447	46. 1
8	CITY UNIVERSITY LONDON	30	29 .6	79	26	37	92	10	155.2623	-48.704	-13.2737	46. 0
14 9	MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY	22	48	63	14	58	10	78	154.8627	-42.7675	-21.6263	45. 7
15	TECNOLÓGICO DE MONTERREY (ITESM)	23	43	93	71	4.0	83	47	135.4455	7.3955	-44.1178	45. 7
15	AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF BEIRUT (AUB)	26	27	92	62	18	98	73	150.0007	-24.5449	-35.2953	45. 6
15	MAASTRICHT UNIVERSITY	16	37	68	71	, 60	94	99	168.9989	-36.834	-61.6971	45. 6
15 3	UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN	17	68 .9	60	36	53	70	52	139.9562	1.8416	-47.836	45. 6

15 4	UNIVERSITY OF OTAGO	17	62 7	53	30	60	10	66	153.9538	-28.6553	-39.4929	45. 5
15 5	OXFORD BROOKES UNIVERSITY	32	39	81	21	22	65	83	135.5997	-21.5819	-8.4846	45. 4
15 6	QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY OF BELFAST	18	49 .9	56	52	50	97	94	160.8139	-39.2681	-43.5657	45. 0
15 7	UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA	29	71 .2	92	14	7.	15	2.	91.4826	63.2646	-23.7585	45. 0
15 8	UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY	20	54 .9	67	31	53	69	64	141.3772	-9.1834	-39.9356	45. 0
15 9	UNIVERSITY OF BASEL	13	54 .5	48	38	96	10	85	170.1091	-42.1196	-60.8796	45. 0
16 0	TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT	24	34 .2	88	17	78	59	59	142.287	-9.3823	-42.1102	44. 9
16 1	UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI	96	83 .7	64	94	36	59	14	130.1634	51.9099	-95.833	44. 8
16 2	TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY	15	76 .3	68	13	89	8.	24	117.9841	48.4156	-65.0835	44. 7
16 3	UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG	24	31	78	31	63	99	69	154.4955	-36.9253	-37.3691	44. 2
16 4	MCMASTER UNIVERSITY	14	61 .7	60	64	53	91	50	148.5963	-4.5021	-66.6969	44. 2
16 5	UNIVERSITI MALAYA (UM)	14	62	56	94	23	80	77	148.5833	-2.6977	-69.0522	44. 2
16 6	UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS (UNICAMP)	19	75 .1	79	31	43	12	7.	103.6423	63.8402	-56.2714	44. 2
16 7	QUEENSLAND UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY (QUT)	26	47 .7	71	21	38	95	54	139.5625	-24.0289	-21.2153	44. 2
16 8	NANJING UNIVERSITY	13	76 .7	63	34	98	38	16	127.0688	40.1282	-78.0403	44. 1
16 9	WESTERN UNIVERSITY	19	50 .3	62	23	78	95	57	152.2164	-27.8498	-45.0216	44. 1
17	SCIENCES PO PARIS	22	36	78	92	4.	64	97	142.6823	-7.8502	-51.6037	43. 8
17 1	UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE (UPMC)	13	72 .3	52	32	89	36	53	135.901	17.1069	-69.7204	43. 7
17	UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA (UNIBO)	20	87 .5	62	16	32	9.	18	98.2818	56.8081	-38.445	43. 6
17	VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF WELLINGTON	22	51 2	58	19	54	10	66	147.0846	-35.8629	-25.9249	43. 6
17 4	UNIVERSIDAD DE LOS ANDES COLOMBIA	28	65 .5	87	25	».	34	4.	97.8066	50.1046	-29.1856	43. 5
17 5	UNIVERSITY OF LAUSANNE	14	53 .2	55	95	38	97	77	156.2808	-17.5139	-74.5167	42. 9
17 6	UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DAUPHINE	36	35 .6	80	27	13	43	86	122.6334	-10.4836	-10.2572	42. 7
177	INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY (IITB)	202	62.3	87.5	27.7	65.6	5	1.5	102.8325	65.6726	-66.37	42. 6
17	LOUGHBOROUGH UNIVERSITY	22	38	69	37	59	79	71	145.1765	-24.349	-42.741	42. 6
17 9	UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE	24	37 .4	69	37	50	85	73	144.9529	-29.211	-35.892	42. 6
18	UNIVERSITY OF CANTERBURY	21	49	57	27	61	99	61	147.0773	-32.508	-36.5196	42. 5
	STRATHCLYDE UNIVERSITY OF						-					_

			1		_	1			T	T		
18	UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA	12	.1	46	23	97	7.	37	120.816	41.4359	-75.8417	42. 4
18 2	QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY	20	53	67	18	75	78	28	134.0245	-2.5449	-47.8294	42. 3
18	UNIVERSITÄT FRANKFURT AM MAIN	24	64 7	64	33	25	20	53	109.5959	27.6837	-35.9273	41. 8
184	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA (UCSB)	129	84.4	28.9	10.8	100	62.7	44.7	137.5735	-2.5769	-58.5378	41. 8
18 5	VU UNIVERSITY AMSTERDAM	17	ω 62	66	39	66	44	18	119.3768	33.0422	-67.5245	41. 4
18	UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID	18	72 .5	68	51	37	15	18	103.6963	58.1464	-65.9103	41.
18 7	KEIO UNIVERSITY	21	× 65	82	57	15	13		95.3721	67.5376	-59.9066	41.
18	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE (UCI)	16	S 63	40	22	95	52	69	141.128	-11.8488	-57.7749	41.
18 9	UNIVERSITY OF OSLO	13	71	45	61	61	67	45	135.4861	10.0269	-73.9732	41.
19	SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY	22	41	45	9.	88	93	91	155.5645	-57.7243	-30.6487	41. 1
19 1	SAPIENZA - UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA	21	85 7	54	5.	4	2.	17	93.117	53.3992	-37.8362	41. 0
19 2	TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF DENMARK	11	.1	52	95	90	97	74	164.0816	-22.0221	-97.1924	40. 9
193	UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, CHAPEL HILL	79	84.1	45.7	81.7	79.9	38.1	21.2	128.0327	49.5491	- 110.968 5	40. 9
19	INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI (IITD)	17	55 3	81	32	ر 96	3.	3.	108.3958	60.4917	-85.5439	40. 2
19 5	TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DRESDEN	22	49 2	67	15	81	30	42	119.9744	14.467	-51.4783	40. 2
19 6	UNIVERSITY OF GHENT	12	71 .5	54	90	40	55	26	124.0016	37.6636	-90.9536	40. 1
19 7	GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY	21	49	66	58	38	44	54	122.1128	14.6757	-57.2101	40. 0
19	KYUSHU UNIVERSITY	14	69 3	66	98	31	17	24	109.9814	65.3946	-97.4132	39. 9
19 9	UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE	25	43	59	29	49	96	52	135.6558	-27.9901	-33.4213	39. 7
20	VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL (VUB)	19	41 .4	64	95	24	77	69	137.6654	-4.4606	-69.0961	39. 6
201	NAGOYA UNIVERSITY	120	73.7	58	93.7	45.7	19.4	26.1	113.3722	61.1944	- 101.270 7	39. 6
20 2	HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY	13	8	64	85	48	15	18	109.6674	64.9128	-98.1459	39. 5
20 3	UNIVERSITAT AUTÓNOMA DE BARCELONA	19	78	47	29	50	21	31	105.952	36.7225	-53.0725	39. 5
20	UNIVERSITY COLLEGE CORK (UCC)	23	4 4	60	43	47	92	47	134.4784	-18.1924	-44.7699	39. 5
20 5	UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG	13	74	37	59	2 1	58	45	130.2157	12.7317	-75.8351	39. 5
20	UNIVERSITÄT MANNHEIM	39	28 .9	88	25	29	32	50	108.4913	10.7047	-25.3028	39. 4

				,								
20 7	UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, CHICAGO (UIC)	18	59	46	47	59	61	57	130.9174	-2.4653	-58.6017	39. 3
20	UNIVERSITÄT HAMBURG	21	68 9	55	40	29	27	37	104.7303	32.7643	-46.6253	39. 3
20 9	CURTIN UNIVERSITY	28	38	54	30	39	10	72	138.8032	-42.8604	-23.6196	39. 3
21	HERIOT-WATT UNIVERSITY	31	19 .5	63	37	45	96	99	148.0153	-58.7384	-23.6129	39. 2
21	UNIVERSITY OF SUSSEX	18	48	29	39	77	10	96	156.4497	-56.7569	-47.2984	38. 8
21	AALTO UNIVERSITY	13	50	58	92	63	75	38	138.0898	11.7904	-97.1675	38. 6
21	UNIVERSITY OF SURREY	24	34 8	46	47	49	94	97	148.1271	-51.8089	-36.4506	38. 6
214	POHANG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (POSTECH)	87=	56.1	64.9	99.6	100	49.7	10	133.8734	46.0587	- 133.122 2	38. 5
215	EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY	117	50.5	57.3	99.4	69.6	100	26.6	145.3078	5.3841	- 105.378 8	38. 5
21	UNIVERSITÄT INNSBRUCK	29	43 .5	39	32	24	95	98	138.4836	-54.5629	-12.1576	38. 5
21	DEAKIN UNIVERSITY	32	41	54	11	40	98	55	128.9373	-38.3138	-13.2554	38. 3
21	STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY	18	76 .9	50	38	53	6.	21	98.345	53.3152	-65.7739	38. 2
21	UNIVERSITÄT STUTTGART	25	2	62	2 1	23	38	69	117.2054	9.2294	-50.4493	38. 1
22	CHULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY	25	76 3	59	30	14	19	5.	85.2915	54.8476	-39.5258	38. 1
22 1	UNIVERSIDAD DE NAVARRA	26	34 .4	85	, 84	14	19	49	106.7764	37.8536	-65.7026	37. 9
22	WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY	13	.6	40	99	52	42	86	136.732	5.7651	-91.489	37. 7
22 3	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA (UNISA)	28	34	57	37	42	10	61	135.0624	-36.4948	-32.6368	37. 7
224	KING FAHD UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM & MINERALS (KFUPM)	199	37.2	51.7	83.9	39.4	100	73.9	144.9605	-29.5201	-64.4431	37. 6
22 5	NATIONAL TSING HUA UNIVERSITY	15	65 2	49	31	99	39	16	118.6051	27.8403	-81.2274	37. 5
22 6	HANYANG UNIVERSITY	19	ü 4 4	67	94	34	27	53	116.2011	33.3795	-84.7388	37. 3
227	SOAS - SCHOOL OF ORIENTAL AND AFRICAN STUDIES, UNIVERSITY OF LONDON	275=	42.2	36.5	52.2	18.8	100	100	139.7686	-53.6908	-23.3005	37. 3
22 8	ASTON UNIVERSITY	34	23	53	22	51	93	93	140.397	-59.5719	-17.7532	37. 1
22 9	UNIVERSITÄT KÖLN	33	52 .3	67	25	20	26	29	93.3723	29.1146	-29.4277	37. 1
23	EBERHARD KARLS UNIVERSITÄT TÜBINGEN	17	5	51	82	27	47	32	112.1512	32.8801	-76.1013	37. 1
23	UNIVERSITY OF BERN	16	.8 .8	45	46	99	99	43	146.6484	-26.3377	-76.1184	36. 9

											T	
23	AMERICAN UNIVERSITY IN CAIRO	34	36 .8	77	49	6.	93	12	109.7642	2.9423	-33.0485	36. 8
233	UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK	126=	70	38	63.3	82.3	31.2	37	120.0683	30.5874	-93.3453	36. 6
23	INDIANA UNIVERSITY BLOOMINGTON	26	.61	55	31	33	20	32	95.9096	32.5104	-42.6478	36. 6
23 5	CHARLES UNIVERSITY	27	57 .9	55	48	13	18	48	96.4728	30.1604	-41.2367	36. 5
236	DARTMOUTH COLLEGE	158	41.1	65.3	86.4	83.8	6.3	51.6	120.2468	39.6221	- 108.219 6	36. 2
23 7	ROYAL HOLLOWAY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON	23	32 .3	35	36	77	97	98	151.2565	-62.8323	-43.3126	36. 1
23	GRIFFITH UNIVERSITY	32	34	47	21	42	96	69	130.2993	-46.4714	-18.5029	36. 1
23 9	GEORG-AUGUST- UNIVERSITÄT GÖTTINGEN	16	74 .2	35	74	30	44	37	110.1201	28.6959	-72.2608	36. 0
24 0	POLITECNICO DI TORINO	31	38 .8	64	4.	77	2.	53	103.7485	14.8497	-40.0977	36. 0
24 1	WESTFÄLISCHE WILHELMS- UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER	25	49 .7	69	54	31	28	17	97.2123	40.7951	-59.6505	36. 0
242	NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	254=	43.4	52.9	33	56.9	75.9	41.1	122.1548	-12.5567	-45.3664	36. 0
243	UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA	113=	72.1	48.7	62.8	97.9	11.3	3.5	108.19	61.8576	- 111.660 9	36. 0
24	UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA	17	53 .7	49	70	62	53	35	121.1663	17.5092	-83.534	35. 8
24 5	UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE	18	37 .4	39	4.	97	81	73	145.6277	-36.1228	-68.1599	35. 7
24 6	UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG	24	72 .3	27	20	37	42	54	106.0523	1.9353	-30.2439	35. 7
24 7	RICE UNIVERSITY	10	50 .5	20	84	99	86	87	157.0764	-34.0074	-98.7414	35. 3
24 8	UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO	33	25 .4	49	23	53	96	77	134.7674	-54.394	-22.6642	35. 3
24 9	ÉCOLE DES PONTS PARISTECH	31	15 .9	74	93	<u>, 11</u>	27	96	117.777	1.1143	-57.7668	35. 2
25 0	UNIVERSITY OF LEICESTER	23	32 .8	40	64	50	80	97	140.1945	-41.8757	-51.6184	35. 2
25 1	LA TROBE UNIVERSITY	39	30 .9	41	19	29	93	92	129.358	-60.492	-4.3215	35. 0
25 2	BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY	23	56 .4	48	42	45	58	22	107.9579	13.7643	-54.376	34. 9
25 3	TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY	20	58	47	12	98	34	10	107.016	23.5545	-66.6635	34. 7
25 4	BOSTON COLLEGE	28	34 .7	55	27	65	94	27	123.029	-21.4078	-45.1705	34. 4
25 5	AALBORG UNIVERSITY	35	33	57	29	33	69	48	111.8213	-16.2276	-27.5629	34. 3
25 6	UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO AT BOULDER	17	56	51	70	61	40	17	108.6249	35.3156	-88.3219	34. 2
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_	_		_				

T	UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW	T		Ι_	Ι.			T	82.9971	40.0617	-37.8963	34.
25 7		34	50 .9	2	40	10	17	22				1
258	INSTITUT NATIONAL DES SCIENCES APPLIQUÉES DE LYON (INSA)	387=	16.8	68.7	59.5	20.4	41	84.1	113.5685	-12.4415	-37.2695	34. 1
25 9	BRUNEL UNIVERSITY	33	21 .9	40	29	56	95	98	139.7007	-67.1358	-23.822	34. 1
260	WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY IN ST. LOUIS	110=	60.4	33.3	99.9	76.7	20.8	58.7	122.1522	30.5815	- 114.076 1	33. 9
26 1	UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA	18	65 .3	44	89	48	17	23	98.188	46.6198	-82.2272	33. 8
26 2	UNIVERSITY OF ESSEX	30	32	28	26	55	98	99	139.0677	-69.2699	-21.8158	33. 7
26 3	UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME	19	42 .2	48	50	. 84	83	23	126.5258	-6.2437	-76.6559	33. 7
264	RHEINISCHE FRIEDRICH- WILHELMS-UNIVERSITÄT BONN	210	67.1	39.4	60.3	29	13.4	39.1	93.6689	38.9304	-62.8577	33. 7
26 5	UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA	28	46 .7	34	13	63	75	49	117.5344	-26.7745	-32.4207	33. 2
26 6	UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID	31	8	63	62	11	36	29	93.1545	27.5265	-49.9686	33. 1
26 7	RADBOUD UNIVERSITY NIJMEGEN	17	47	42	60	85	52	38	121.4571	6.9966	-86.0182	33. 1
26 8	AMERICAN UNIVERSITY	37	34 .9	70	43	21	12	38	87.8959	29.833	-41.3275	33. 1
269	TECHNION - ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	198	54.2	42.4	33.6	87.5	60	11.1	112.535	11.5687	-72.7327	33. 0
27	UNIVERSITY OF EAST ANGLIA (UEA)	23	36 .7	28	35	78	71	85	133.3581	-42.8807	-49.7503	32. 7
27 1	TILBURG UNIVERSITY	29	31	53	24	72	78	30	117.4318	-17.5778	-47.7843	32. 6
27 2	WUHAN UNIVERSITY	27	49 .9	45	29	50	64	20	104.5237	2.978	-45.9987	32. 6
27	UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG	24	52 .8	45	53	38	35	33	98.8099	21.8769	-59.3487	32. 4
274	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE (UCR)	265=	32.5	45.3	21	87.1	89	36.1	125.3464	-29.9338	-50.5529	32. 4
275	INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS (IITM)	254=	41.4	66.9	31.1	82.3	7.9	4	91.4969	45.6376	-74.2826	32. 4
27 6	UNIVERSITY OF INDONESIA	35	50 .5	61	43	î.	38	5.	80.0449	35.895	-36.4751	32. 3
27 7	MASSEY UNIVERSITY	33	38 .7	41	37	25	95	48	114.9581	-31.1224	-26.654	32. 3
27 8	ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY	24	60	33	12	75	%	39	95.2222	20.2996	-50.4705	32. 2
27 9	HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM	14	.9	33	82	59	52	12	108.351	33.7501	-97.8983	32. 1
28	NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND, GALWAY (NUIG)	27	.4	45	72	25	89	53	119.8329	-20.6032	-52.7972	32. 0

	GEORGE WASHINGTON			1.			1	1.	94.6509	13.6072	-41.9354	32.
28 1	UNIVERSITY	32	41	50	38	34	22	49		13.0072	-41.9334	0
28 2	NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY	36	23	49	37	47	35	88	112.8772	-22.6012	-35.6729	31. 9
28 3	UNIVERSITY OF TURKU	23	41	65	92	24	33	12	94.1611	46.5033	-85.2089	31. 7
284	UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH	133=	58.2	29	93.8	67.6	64.6	33	121.4374	14.156	- 103.941 2	31. 6
28 5	UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO	30	61	43	17	39	15	12	79.0022	36.1011	-39.6902	31. 4
28 6	UNIVERSITY OF ANTWERP	20	43	40	95	27	65	51	114.9042	3.2468	-76.7336	31. 4
287	UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS, AMHERST	243=	53.7	42.6	23.8	76.9	23.3	16.8	94.4913	26.4525	-62.5347	31. 2
28 8	UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND	33	41	43	27	36	88	24	106.2591	-16.9534	-32.0616	31. 2
28 9	UNIVERSITY OF KENT	36	27	32	40	31	94	89	126.0118	-58.5211	-21.7811	31. 2
29 0	KING SAUD UNIVERSITY (KSU)	23	42	45	90	15	97	24	113.185	-1.9429	-67.5106	31. 1
29 1	DUBLIN CITY UNIVERSITY (DCU)	35	24	49	48	33	84	58	116.7697	-31.7447	-36.5274	31. 1
29 2	KING ABDUL AZIZ UNIVERSITY (KAU)	30	34	35	70	10	96	69	120.3316	-36.2203	-38.6068	31. 1
29 3	UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA	21	52 1	45	79	32	17	32	92.365	40.201	-78.3698	30. 8
29 4	UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA	21	61	30	31	71	25	24	95.3091	23.2784	-64.7774	30. 5
29 5	KYUNG HEE UNIVERSITY	29	34	60	72	28	15	39	90.8955	32.3658	-67.0275	30. 4
296	INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR (IITK)	271=	45.4	59.7	36.4	66.5	2	1.9	81.5618	51.2857	-71.3996	30. 4
29 7	LINKÖPING UNIVERSITY	28	32 5	60	72	33	37	26	95.8112	25.3553	-70.0833	30. 2
29 8	BIRKBECK COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OF LONDON	29	30	16	23	76	93	97	135.9898	-72.4478	-31.6416	30. 2
299	INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR (IITKGP)	286=	37.2	61.7	19.9	91.3	5.9	1.2	86.3595	40.577	-70.7488	29. 9
30 0	UNIVERSITAT POMPEU FABRA	29	42 .4	31	30	51	80	39	110.0544	-23.4758	-39.6349	29. 9
30 1	UNIVERSITI KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA (Lukman et al.)	31	49	34	50	14	72	33	98.2411	-5.1505	-37.6317	29. 8
30 2	UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA (USM)	28	50 2	41	44	36	29	27	88.5504	22.4641	-52.6343	29. 8
30 3	LAVAL UNIVERSITY	32	37 6	39	39	38	67	38	103.0584	-12.2791	-40.7703	29. 5
30	UNIVERSIDADE NOVA DE LISBOA	35	35 7	51	48	27	39	32	90.4073	12.7096	-45.9989	29. 4
30 5	ECOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE DE LYON	18	58 3	26	10	22	53	38	103.1426	19.7073	-83.711	29. 4

30 6	NATIONAL CHIAO TUNG UNIVERSITY	18	37	27	2	96	49	63	124.1786	-11.5634	-89.9074	29. 3
30 7	BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV	25	31	29	49	67	85	60	123.5152	-36.4908	-56.4387	29. 2
30	UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA (UPM)	33	43	33	48	20	44	57	95.9854	-4.4517	-37.5698	29. 2
30 9	NATIONAL CHENG KUNG UNIVERSITY	22	49 .7	35	51	68	19	32	95.885	24.7088	-75.8343	29. 1
31	HONG KONG BAPTIST UNIVERSITY (HKBU)	28	28	19	52	55	98	90	132.5416	-62.0697	-44.019	29. 1
31	NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS	37	33	42	21	59	:-	9.	76.7549	38.9935	-52.2665	29. 1
31	SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY	30	45	42	24	58	43	13	90.4511	13.4	-49.6325	29. 0
3 31	UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA (UTM)	30	32	35	77	12	74	66	110.0644	-20.8014	-49.197	28. 9
4	STELLENBOSCH UNIVERSITY	30	39 .9	37	11	81	57	20	101.2204	-6.4182	-48.4377	28. 8
31	UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA	36	59 .4	38	12	30	7.	11	67.8969	36.7734	-31.3438	28. 8
31	UNIVERSITÄT ERLANGEN- NÜRNBERG	29	38	39	4, 1	98	30	26	98.0231	2.7396	-54.3528	28. 7
31	UNIVERSITY OF LIEGE	26	32	36	48	73	23	66	106.0835	-2.436	-66.4911	28. 5
8	UNIVERSIDAD AUSTRAL	30	36	65	92	2.	.∞	15	74.6353	57.1707	-74.8472	28. 4
319	RUTGERS - THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY, NEW BRUNSWICK	269=	52.7	31.7	38.8	51.3	28.8	27.8	89.0723	18.8427	-56.8118	28. 4
32	DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY	27	37	15	28	72	99	63	125.2496	-54.5946	-41.4298	28. 4
32	NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY	30	36	38	31	65	33	41	96.7715	0.9663	-52.6163	28. 1
32	TONGJI UNIVERSITY	34	37	46	35	45	48	14	89.0059	10.3591	-48.5635	27. 9
32	UNIVERSITÉ JOSEPH FOURIER - GRENOBLE 1	23	52 .9	23	39	71	17	44	94.9003	14.0465	-66.528	27. 9
32	UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT - PARIS 7	26	.8	5.	17	56	38	47	93.7922	-4.9788	-39.3182	27. 9
325	UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA	299=	43	42.9	62.1	30.2	13.9	35.9	83.5179	29.8489	-62.1245	27. 9
32 6	UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN	18	47	27	98	59	78	21	112.4637	3.7114	-92.4609	27. 9
32 7	SAINT-PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY	25	49	46	97	4.7	5.	26	76.6268	54.4353	-79.1894	27. 8
32 8	UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO	32	.6	32	33	14	13	5.	65.3832	44.4462	-41.3784	27. 8
32 9	UNIVERSITY OF PORTO	30	49	39	21	61	<u>.</u> ∞	14	77.8604	32.689	-54.2814	27. 7
33	UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD 11	24	46	26	, 66	46	37	48	98.9473	7.6073	-69.1147	27. 6
33	UNIVERSITY OF TASMANIA	37	29 .4	20	28	42	85	79	114.7073	-55.3882	-22.0892	27. 5
					•	•	•	•		•		

33	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK	36	29 .7	34	47	31	73	54	104.6889	-26.4937	-37.7967	27. 5
33	AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY	27	47 .6	44	92	· :-	29	19	79.9388	41.2896	-71.7167	27. 4
33	UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA (UNIPD)	30	.3	30	29	29	3.	10	66.4881	44.3165	-46.0741	27. 4
33 5	UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA	31	31 .7	24	12	81	84	44	113.2622	-41.3604	-39.1125	27. 2
336	EMORY UNIVERSITY	165	41.8	24.5	85.1	89.6	45	45.2	115.3668	6.3524	- 106.813 4	27. 1
33 7	BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY	38	19 .1	40	33	63	22	75	100.3017	-15.451	-45.4082	27. 0
338	VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE (VIRGINIA TECH)	338=	38.7	42	36.4	48.5	24.2	25.3	83.2176	18.613	-52.5528	26. 9
33	UNIVERSITY OF DUNDEE	26	34 .5	16	79	32	84	79	118.8642	-38.6165	-57.142	26. 9
34	UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA	36	44	36	28	37	26	25	78.8789	15.7803	-40.6031	26. 7
34	UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTPELLIER	37	45 .2	30	17	45	12	40	78.5028	11.8333	-35.3068	26. 5
34	BILKENT UNIVERSITY	39	34 .7	44	30	37	59	9.	85.2872	4.0493	-40.3577	26. 5
343	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ (UCSC)	269=	40.1	30	17.1	93	75.8	4.2	102.7164	-10.4717	-60.3076	26. 5
34	UNIVERSITÉ AIX- MARSEILLE	36	58 .3	19	25	22	7.	44	72.0823	17.8199	-30.384	26. 3
34 5	LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER	36	29 .2	41	2.	91	14	32	88.2549	4.0929	-48.3961	26. 3
34 6	UNIVERSITÄT BREMEN	35	39 .5	33	53	21	42	34	84.5624	7.1164	-46.6868	25. 8
34 7	JAMES COOK UNIVERSITY (JCU)	38	22 .6	31	35	48	91	45	107.7024	-40.4245	-36.64	25. 7
34	UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER	19	38 .9	15	99	59	35	77	112.2803	-3.4315	-95.2659	25. 7
34	NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY	31	35 .1	43	89	9.	%	45	79.0489	33.0611	-69.3685	25. 7
35	BAUMAN MOSCOW STATE TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY	33	29 .7	65	99	· :-	:-	9	66.3607	62.6409	-80.9786	25. 5
35	UNIVERSITÄT KONSTANZ	31	42 .9	22	45	35	59	35	91.6506	-7.8208	-46.0377	25. 3
35 2	UNIVERSITY AT BUFFALO SUNY	33	28 .8	13	17	78	70	72	112.701	-51.0467	-36.577	25. 2
35	JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ	37	36 .4	33	49	25	37	34	81.5142	7.096	-45.5784	24. 9
35	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY	36	39 .1	28	32	40	67	18	88.2053	-7.7212	-41.2112	24. 8
35 5	NANKAI UNIVERSITY	27	40	37	43	75	13	9.	80.2879	32.0241	-77.4629	24. 5
35 6	NATIONAL TAIWAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY	37	40	24	53	16	18	56	78.4458	7.4932	-41.9711	24. 4
35 7	XI'AN JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY	33	33 .1	46	56	45	15	12	74.6295	34.6059	-69.8316	24. 2

									1	1		
8	UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG	38	41	24	9.	63	16	33	77.2234	5.9927	-39.3787	24. 0
35 9	TUFTS UNIVERSITY	25	27 .6	30	64	80	30	45	99.2158	3.5512	-85.0713	24. 0
36 0	UNIVERSITY OF STIRLING	38	17 .9	21	36	62	71	67	107.1046	-46.0669	-41.655	23. 4
36	UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DESCARTES	38	37	21	31	45	16	46	77.4411	3.7778	-43.0693	23.
36	HARBIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	29	29 .2	36	49	78	23	18	84.7941	18.6932	-79.4427	22. 9
36	MAHIDOL UNIVERSITY	29	49	32	85	7.	13	9	63.9116	49.3055	-74.1412	22. 7
36	SWANSEA UNIVERSITY	40	.8	18	46	41	63	71	100.169	-39.0871	-39.2785	22. 7
36 5	IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY	35	36 .4	21	20	71	19	34	80.1341	3.0063	-50.8591	22. 5
366	NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	260	34.8	27.6	74.7	58.2	24.4	30.4	85.6436	20.0844	-86.0875	22. 4
367	MOSCOW STATE INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS – MGIMO UNIVERSITY	397=	20.2	47.9	97.6	1	4.9	43.2	69.7414	32.976	-70.0023	22. 0
36	LINCOLN UNIVERSITY	37		16	70	38	81	88	112.1762	-55.6158	-49.0823	21. 9
36	KOBE UNIVERSITY	36	38	34	65	21	12	14	63.64	36.8325	-63.9378	21.
37	UNIVERSITY OF IOWA	31	40	17	52	51	11	39	76.2132	15.5441	-65.2537	21.
37	CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY	21	29 .1	12	97	65	36	65	102.4719	-4.7462	-98.2319	21.
37	STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY	37	26 .4	11	50	49	13	84	87.2336	-16.0862	-50.8027	20. 9
37	RENSSELAER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE	34	19 .3	25	27	98	11	49	87.6957	-5.3175	-66.4253	20. 8
37	UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT	39	23	19	29	99	84	23	94.6212	-32.5114	-47.0872	20. 7
37	UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI	28	30	24	94	41	5.	49	80.0613	24.0749	-89.5695	20.
376	VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY	216=	46.6	15.8	100	43.4	5.8	28.4	75.0938	40.9034	- 102.049 6	20. 3
37 7	TAMPERE UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY	35	16 .7	33	89	24	72	25	88.4513	-6.2434	-71.3183	20. 1
37	EWHA WOMANS UNIVERSITY	35	30	32	78	25	14	24	67.4491	29.9129	-72.9506	19. 9
37	UMEÅ UNIVERSITY	31	31	27	84	30	35	10	70.9962	25.0344	-80.1627	18. 9
38	BEIHANG UNIVERSITY	38	24 .4	37	51	58	2.	6	62.2767	35.2748	-74.9118	18. 8
38	UNIVERSITY OF TARTU	40	32 .5	26	67	19	22	14	61.4174	25.8568	-62.3416	18. 5
38	UNIVERSITÉ PAUL SABATIER TOULOUSE III	39	42	12	61	10	19	29	60.0761	19.3712	-51.6767	18. 4
	•		_									

38	UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ	31	31 .2	23	3 88	25	40	12	70.7257	21.1653	-80.397	17. 9
38	UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII AT M•NOA	32	28 .5	11	67	46	64	26	84.4945	-11.2055	-68.7202	17. 9
38 5	UNIVERSITY OF OULU	35	30 .2	21	61	43	42	7.	70.0312	12.7021	-69.3	17. 7
38 6	UNIVERSITY OF EASTERN FINLAND	34	26 .8	21	77	36	46	15	73.9552	10.1723	-75.2533	17. 6
38 7	UNIVERSITÄT JENA	38	30	19	70	29	10	34	64.4596	19.561	-66.6335	17. 5
8	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH	36	23	16	45	89	29	28	76.0084	-0.6799	-66.6005	17. 4
38	NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY	37	32 .7	15	29	69	24	9.	66.2774	11.1819	-61.2612	17. 3
39	ECOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE DE CACHAN	29	28	15	91	43	21	33	74.0163	17.7628	-90.0325	16. 8
39	L.N. GUMILYOV EURASIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY	37	29 .7	27	99	1.	27	.8	58.2588	35.1249	-77.9309	16. 7
39	NATIONAL CENTRAL UNIVERSITY	39	30	16	43	54	19	16	63.5365	14.2321	-62.5141	16. 7
39	UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS	39	23 .6	17	76	32	23	26	64.6253	14.3612	-72.5858	15. 4
39	UNIVERSITÄT ULM	33	14	15	79	59	48	34	82.9293	-8.5543	-83.4981	15. 4
39 5	HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY	34	.8	10	88	23	13	15	54.9227	31.5509	-81.9297	14. 0
396	NATIONAL YANG MING UNIVERSITY	338=	18.6	16.1	99.4	45.8	9.6	11.5	56.7079	32.6285	- 102.062 8	11. 4
39 7	TOKYO MEDICAL AND DENTAL UNIVERSITY	39	16 .5	10	10	34	5.	16	49.4394	29.81	-95.224	9.2
398	YESHIVA UNIVERSITY	38	4	8.9	97.8	64.3	1.7	18.5	52.454	22.9001	- 108.428 8	6.5