

Instructor:

Eleni Drinea
CSOR W4246–Fall, 2021

Student:

Vishweshwar Tyagi
vt2353@columbia.edu

Homework 3 Theoretical (110 points)

Out: Monday, November 8, 2021

Due: 11:59pm, Monday, November 22, 2021

Homework Problems

1. (30 points) A flow network with demands is a directed capacitated graph with potentially multiple sources and sinks, which may have incoming and outgoing edges respectively. In particular, each node $v \in V$ has an integer demand $d(v)$; if $d(v) > 0$, v is a sink, while if $d(v) < 0$, it is a source. Let S be the set of source nodes and T the set of sink nodes.

A circulation with demands is a function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ that satisfies

- (a) capacity constraints : For each $e \in E$, $0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e)$
- (b) demand constraints: For each $v \in V$, $f^{\text{in}}(v) - f^{\text{out}}(v) = d(v)$.

We are now concerned with a decision problem rather than a maximization one: is there a circulation f with demands that meets both capacity and demand conditions?

- (i) (8 points) Derive a necessary condition for a feasible circulation with demands to exist.

Solution: For a feasible circulation f to exist, we must have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \sum_{e \in E} f_e - \sum_{e \in E} f_e \\
 &= \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{e \text{ into } v} f_e - \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{e \text{ out of } v} f_e \\
 &= \sum_{v \in V} \left[\sum_{e \text{ into } v} f_e - \sum_{e \text{ out of } v} f_e \right] \\
 &= \sum_{v \in V} d(v) \\
 &= \sum_{v: d(v) < 0} -|d(v)| + \sum_{v: d(v) > 0} |d(v)|
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{v: d(v) < 0} |d(v)| &= \sum_{v: d(v) > 0} |d(v)| \\
 \rightarrow \sum_{s \in S} |d(s)| &= \sum_{t \in T} |d(t)|
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- (ii) (25 points) Reduce the problem of finding a feasible circulation with demands to max-flow.

Solution: We are given a network $G = (V, E, c, d)$ with source node s and sink node t , capacity constraints c and demand constraints d . In order to reduce the problem of finding a feasible circulation with demands to max-flow, let us first transform given network $G = (V, E, c, d)$ to a modified version of it without the demand constraints, call it $G' = (V', E', c')$, with modified capacity constraints c' and new set of source node S' and new set of sink node T'

Transformation:

(a) Add a super source node s' and a super sink node t' to the network.

Hence, $V' = V \cup \{s', t'\}$, $S' = \{s'\}$ and $T' = \{t'\}$

(b) Set $c'(e) = c(e) \quad \forall e \in E$

(c) Add edge (s', s) and set $c'(s', s) = |d(s)| \quad \forall s \in S$

(d) Add edge (t, t') and set $c'(t, t') = |d(t)| \quad \forall t \in T$.

Hence, $E' = E \cup \{(s', s) \mid s \in S\} \cup \{(t, t') \mid t \in T\}$

This transformation clearly runs in $O(|V| + |E|)$ time which is polynomial. This is because we can identify the set of source nodes (S) and the set of sink nodes (T) using their demand values in $O(|V|)$ time. Next, we can introduce super source s' and add edges outgoing from s' to s with capacity $|d(s)|$ for all $s \in S$ in $O(|V|)$ time. Again, we can add super sink node t' and add edges outgoing from each $t \in T$ to t' with capacity $|d(t)|$ in $O(|V|)$ time. We can also define the new capacity constraints in $O(|V| + |E|)$ time.

Reduced problem (Claim): There exists f , a feasible circulation in G if and only if the size of max-flow in G' is $\sum_{s \in S} |d(s)|$, or equivalently $\sum_{t \in T} |d(t)|$

Inputs for the problems: The input for the problem of finding if a feasible circulation exists is $G = (V, E, c, d)$ with capacity constraints c and demands d . The input for the problem that checks if the size of max-flow equals $\sum_{s \in S} |d(s)|$ is $G = (V', E', c')$ with capacity constraints c' and source node s' , sink node t' .

We now argue the equivalence of these two problems.

Equivalence To establish equivalence, we must show that

(I) if f is a feasible circulation in G , then the size of the max-flow in G' is $\sum_{s \in S} |d(s)|$

(II) if the size of the max-flow in G' is $\sum_{s \in S} |d(s)|$ then there exists a feasible circulation f in G .

(I) Suppose f is feasible in G . We define $g : E' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by letting

$$(e) \ g(s', s) = |d(s)| \ (= c'(s', s)) \ \forall s \in S$$

$$(f) \ g(t, t') = |d(t)| \ (= c'(t, t')) \ \forall t \in T$$

$$(g) \ g(e) = f(e) \ \forall e \in E$$

Note that we can clearly define this mapping and let it run on G' in $O(|V| + |E|)$ time, which is polynomial. If we can show that g is max-flow on G' of size $\sum_{s \in S} |d(s)|$, we'll be done.

Because f is feasible in G , we have

$$\forall e \in E \quad 0 \leq f(e) (= g(e)) \leq c(e) (= c'(e)) \text{ and,} \quad (2)$$

$$\forall u \in V \setminus (S \cup T) \quad g^{\text{in}}(u) = f^{\text{in}}(u) = f^{\text{out}}(u) = g^{\text{out}}(u) \quad (3)$$

Because $\forall s \in S, \ f^{\text{out}}(s) - f^{\text{in}}(s) = |d(s)|$, from (e), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall s \in S \quad & f^{\text{out}}(s) - (f^{\text{in}}(s) + |d(s)|) = |d(s)| - |d(s)| \\ \rightarrow \forall s \in S \quad & g^{\text{out}}(s) - g^{\text{in}}(s) = 0 \\ \rightarrow \forall s \in S \quad & g^{\text{in}}(s) = g^{\text{out}}(s) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Similarly, because $\forall t \in T, \ f^{\text{in}}(t) - f^{\text{out}}(t) = |d(t)|$, from (f), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t \in T \quad & f^{\text{in}}(t) - (f^{\text{out}}(t) + |d(t)|) = |d(t)| - |d(t)| \\ \rightarrow \forall t \in T \quad & g^{\text{in}}(t) = g^{\text{out}}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Ofcourse,

$$\forall (s', s) \in E' \quad 0 \leq f(s', s) (= |d(s)|) \leq c'(s', s) \text{ and} \quad (6)$$

$$\forall (t, t') \in E' \quad 0 \leq f(t, t') (= |d(t)|) \leq c'(t, t') \quad (7)$$

Therefore, using (2) – (7), we have $g : E' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall e \in E' \quad & 0 \leq g(e) \leq c'(e) \\ \forall u \in V' \setminus \{s', t'\} \quad & g^{\text{in}}(u) = g^{\text{out}}(u) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, g is a flow in G' and clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} |g| &= \sum_{e \text{ out of } s'} g(e) \\ &= \sum_{s \in S} |d(s)| \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

(8) proves that we found a flow g in G' of size $\sum_{s \in S} |d(s)|$. It is easy to see that this is also the maximum size of a flow in G' because $(\{s'\}, V' \setminus \{s'\})$ defines a cut of size

$$\sum_{e \text{ out of } s'} c'(e) = \sum_{s \in S} |d(s)|.$$

(II) Suppose $\exists g$ a max-flow in G' of size $\sum_{s \in S} |d(s)| (= \sum_{t \in T} |d(t)|)$.

This is possible only if

$$\begin{aligned} g(s', s) &= |d(s)| \quad \forall s \in S \text{ because } c'(s', s) = |d(s)|, \text{ and the fact that } |g| = \sum_{s \in S} g(s', s) \\ g(t, t') &= |d(t)| \quad \forall t \in T \text{ because } c'(t, t') = |d(t)|, \text{ and the fact that } |g| = \sum_{t \in T} g(t, t') \end{aligned}$$

We will alter g to get a feasible circulation f in G . To get this f , we simply remove the flow on edges $(s', s) \forall s \in S$ and $(t, t') \forall t \in T$. If we can show that f is feasible in G , we'll be done.

$f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is such that $f(e) = g(e) \quad \forall e \in E$

Clearly,

$$\forall e \in E \quad 0 \leq g(e) (= f(e)) \leq c'(e) (= c(e)) \quad (9)$$

and because g is a flow in G' ,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall u \in V \setminus (S \cup T) \quad f^{\text{in}}(u) &= g^{\text{in}}(u) = g^{\text{out}}(u) = g^{\text{in}}(u) \\ \rightarrow \forall u \in V \setminus (S \cup T) \quad f^{\text{in}}(u) - f^{\text{out}}(u) &= 0 = d(u) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Also, $\forall s \in S$ and $\forall t \in T$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g^{\text{in}}(s) - g^{\text{out}}(s) = (f^{\text{in}}(s) + g(s', s)) - f^{\text{out}}(s) = (f^{\text{in}}(s) + |d(s)|) - f^{\text{out}}(s) \\ 0 &= g^{\text{in}}(t) - g^{\text{out}}(t) = f^{\text{in}}(t) - (f^{\text{out}}(t) + g(t, t')) = f^{\text{in}}(t) - (f^{\text{out}}(t) + |d(t)|) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\forall s \in S$ and $\forall t \in T$

$$f^{\text{in}}(s) - f^{\text{out}}(s) = -|d(s)| = d(s) \quad (11)$$

$$f^{\text{in}}(t) - f^{\text{out}}(t) = |d(t)| = d(t) \quad (12)$$

Hence, from (9) – (12), f is feasible circulation in G and we're done.

2. (55 points)

- (a) (30 points) Given an unlimited supply of coins of denominations c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n , you wish to make change for a value v ; that is, you wish to find a set of coins whose total value is v . This might not be possible: for instance, if the denominations are 5 and 10, then we can make change for $15 = 10 + 5$ but not for 11.

Design an $O(nv)$ algorithm to determine whether it is possible to make change for v using coins of denominations c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n . If the answer is yes, also output a way to make change for v .

Solution: Since we'll be working with 0-indexed arrays, let us denote the coins by $c_0, c_1 \dots c_{n-1}$ and assume that $n \geq 1$, which means that we're given atleast one coin. We will also assume that $v \geq 0$ where $v = 0$ means that there is no money to change. We will use Dynamic Programming in order to reduce the given problem to smaller sub-problems and efficiently solve them.

Sub-problem: We define $t(j)$ for $0 \leq j < (v + 1)$ to denote whether or not it possible to change the value j with infinite supply of coins $c_0, c_1 \dots c_{n-1}$. That is, for $0 \leq j < (v + 1)$, we define

$$t(j) = \begin{cases} \text{True} & \text{if (infinite supply of) } c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \text{ can change the value } j \\ \text{False} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Then, we'll be able to change v using an infinite supply of $c_0, c_1 \dots c_{n-1}$ if and only if $t(v) = \text{True}$

Boundary Condition: Since we can always change the value 0, we have,

$$t(0) = \text{True} \quad (2)$$

Recurrence: Suppose, for $1 \leq j < (v + 1)$, we are given infinite supply of the coins $c_0, c_1 \dots c_{n-1}$ and we are asked to change the value j .

Clearly, this is possible if and only if it is possible to change (using infinite supply of the coins) atleast one of $j - c_k$ where $k \in \{0, 1 \dots (n - 1)\}$ such that $c_k \leq j$.

That is, to be able to change j , we should be able to choose atleast one coin c_k such that $c_k \leq j$ and that it is possible to change $j - c_k$ again using the infinite supply of the coins. If no such c_k exists, then it is not possible to change j . This is summarized on the next page:

Let $S_j = \{c_i \mid 0 \leq i < n, c_i \leq j\}$, then, for $1 \leq j < (v + 1)$, we have,

$$t(j) = \begin{cases} \text{OR}_{c_i \in S_j} t(j - c_i) & \text{if } |S_j| > 0 \\ \text{False} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where OR is logical OR and $\text{OR}_{c_i \in S_j} t(j - c_i)$ evaluates to True if atleast one of $t(j - c_i)$ for $c_i \in S_j$ is True, otherwise False.

Now, in order to present a valid sequence of coins that sum to j , for $1 \leq j < v + 1$ we define k_j to be the smallest index $0 \leq k_j < n$ such that $c_{k_j} \in S_j$ and it is possible to change $j - c_{k_j}$ using infinite supply of the coins. If such an index does not exist, we set $k_j = -1$. Then, we also define,

$$p(j) = \begin{cases} c_{k_j} & \text{if } k_j \neq -1 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Basically, $p(j)$ for $1 \leq j < n$ keeps track of the earliest coin among ordered sequence $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$ that can be used to change j successfully. If there is no such coin, we keep $p(j) = -1$. We will populate p along with t , both in left to right direction

pseudocode The following procedure takes the input coins into c and the value in v . It returns False if v cannot be changed using an infinite supply of the coins, otherwise it returns True and along with it a queue that contains a valid sequence of coins that sum up to v .

(pseudocode on next page)

```

1 procedure change-value(c=[c[0], c[1], ... c[n-1]], v):
2
3     Initialize boolean array of length (v+1), set all
        elements = False and call it t           // O(v)
4
5     Initialize array of length (v+1), set all
        elements = (-1) and call it p           // O(v)
6
7     // boundary condition
8     t[0] = True
9
10    for j from 1 to v:
11        t[j] = False
12        p[j] = -1
13        for i from 0 to n-1:
14            if c[i] < j+1:
15                if t[j-c[i]] == True:
16                    t[j] = True
17                    p[j] = c[i]
18                    break
19
20
21    if t[v] == False:
22        return False
23
24    Initialize LIFO queue, call it q
25
26    j = v
27    while j != 0:           \\ O(v)
28        Enqueue(q, p[j])
29        j -= p[j]
30
31    return True, q

```

Time-Complexity: Apart from the complexities already mentioned, we have [10 – 18] which runs in $O(nv)$ time since while calculating $t[j]$, we already have the values for $t[j - c[i]]$ for all those coins such that $c[i] \leq j$, which is because of the order in which we fill t (left to right). Hence, the required time complexity is:

$$T(n, v) = O(nv)$$

Extra-Space Complexity: We need to maintain two arrays of $(1, v + 1)$ shape. Thus, $O(v)$ extra space is required.

- (b) (25 points) Consider the following variation of the above problem. You are only allowed to use each denomination at most once. For example, if the denominations are 1, 5 and 10, then you can make change for $6 = 1 + 5$ but not for 20 since you cannot use 10 twice. Design an $O(nv)$ algorithm to determine whether it is possible to make change for v using each denomination c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n at most once.

Solution: Since we'll be working with 0-indexed arrays, let us denote the coins by $c_0, c_1 \dots c_{n-1}$ and assume that $n \geq 1$, which means that we're given atleast one coin. We will also assume that $v \geq 0$ where $v = 0$ means that there is no money to change. We will use Dynamic Programming in order to reduce the given problem to smaller sub-problems and efficiently solve them.

Sub-problem: We define $t(i, j)$ for $0 \leq i < n$ and $0 \leq j < (v + 1)$ to denote whether or not it possible to change the value j with the first $(i + 1)$ coins, which are $c_0, c_1 \dots c_i$ using each atleast once. That is, for $0 \leq i < n$ and $0 \leq j < (v + 1)$, we define

$$t(i, j) = \begin{cases} \text{True} & \text{if } c_0, c_1, \dots c_i \text{ can change (using each atleast once) } j \\ \text{False} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Then, we will be able to change v using the given coins (each atleast once) if and only if $t(n - 1, v) = \text{True}$.

Boundary Condition: Since we can always change the value 0, we have,

$$t(i, 0) = \text{True} \quad \forall 0 \leq i < n \quad (2)$$

Also, it is easy to see that $\forall 1 \leq j < (v + 1)$

$$t(0, j) = \begin{cases} \text{True} & \text{if } j = c_0 \\ \text{False} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

This is because the only value (except for 0) we can change when only given the coin c_0 is c_0 itself provided we're allowed to use it atleast once.

Recurrence: Suppose, for $1 \leq i < n$ and $1 \leq j < (v+1)$, we are given the coins $c_0, c_1 \dots c_i$ and we are asked to change the value j . The possibility of doing so is denoted by $t(i, j)$, as defined above.

Now, there are two mutually exclusive exhaustive cases:

- (1) $c_i < j + 1$
- (2) $c_i > j$

Case (2) is simpler, we will discuss this first. $c_i > j$ means that we can never use the coin c_i to change j . Hence, in this case, we'll be able to change j using the given coins if and only if we can change j using the coins $c_0, c_1, \dots c_{i-1}$. Hence, $t(i, j)$ is same as $t(i-1, j)$ when $c_i > j$

In case (1), we can either decide to use the coin c_i or not use it in order to change j . In case we decide to use it, we'll be able to change j using the given coins if and only if we can change the value $(j - c_i)$ with coins $c_0, c_1 \dots c_{i-1}$. Hence, if we do decide to use c_i , then, $t(i, j)$ will be same as $t(i-1, j - c_i)$.

However, if we decide not to use it, we'll be able to change j with given coins if and only if we can change the value j with coins $c_0, c_1 \dots c_{i-1}$. Hence, in this case, $t(i, j)$ will be same as $t(i-1, j)$.

Now, realize that for case (1), it is sufficient for either of the sub-cases to yield a valid change for j . Therefore, for $1 \leq i < n$ and $1 \leq j < (v+1)$, we have

$$t(i, j) = \begin{cases} t(i-1, j) \text{ OR } t(i-1, j - c_i) & \text{if } c_i < j + 1 \\ t(i-1, j) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where OR is logical OR.

Order of filling: We will fill t in our algorithm which is $n \times (v+1)$ matrix. After defining $t[0 : n, 0]$ and $t[0, 0 : v+1]$ using the boundary conditions in (2) and (3), we will start filling $t[1 : n, 1 : v+1]$ in the order: $t[1, 1], t[1, 2] \dots t[1, v], t[2, 1], t[2, 2], \dots t[2, v], \dots t[n-1, 1], t[n-1, 2], \dots t[n-1, v]$, that is, left to right and moving top to bottom.

pseudocode

The following procedure takes coins input in the array c and value input in v and returns True if it is possible to change v using the given coins, else False.

```
1 procedure change-value(c=[c[0], c[1], ... c[n-1]], v):
2
3     Initialize 2D boolean array of size (n, v+1), set all
        elements = False and call it t                // O(nv)
4
5     // boundary condition
6     for i from 0 to n-1:                            // O(n)
7         t[i, 0] = True
8
9     for j from 1 to v:                                // O(v)
10        if j == c[0]:
11            t[0, j] = True
12        else:
13            t[0, j] = False
14
15    for i from 1 to n-1:
16        for j from 1 to v:
17            if c[i] < j+1:
18                t[i, j] = t[i-1, j] || t[i-1, j-c[i]]
19            else:
20                t[i, j] = t[i-1, j]
21
22    return t[n-1, v]
```

Time-Complexity Apart from the time-complexities already mentioned in pseudocode, we note that Equation (4) is constant time since we already know $t(i-1, j)$ and $t(i-1, j-c_i)$ (whenever $c_i \leq j$) due the order in which we fill t . Hence, [15 – 20] is $O(nv)$. Therefore, the time-complexity is given by:

$$T(n, v) = O(nv)$$

Extra-Space We need to store 2D array t of size $(n, v+1)$, hence, $O(nv)$ extra space is required.

Note that our recurrence only uses entries from the $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$ row when filling $t(i, j)$ for $1 \leq i < n$ and $1 \leq j < v+1$. Using this observation, we can further optimize extra-space using the following pseudocode.

```

1 procedure change-value-2(c=[c[0], c[1], ... c[n-1]], v):
2
3     Initialize 2D boolean array of size (2, v+1), set all
        elements = False and call it t                // O(nv)
4
5     // boundary condition
6     for i from 0 to 1:                                // O(n)
7         t[i, 0] = True
8
9     for j from 1 to v:                                // O(v)
10        if j == c[0]:
11            t[0, j] = True
12        else:
13            t[0, j] = False
14
15    for i from 1 to n-1:
16        for j from 1 to v:
17            if c[i] < j+1:
18                t[i%2, j] =
                    t[(i-1)%2, j] || t[(i-1)%2, j-c[i]]
19            else:
20                t[i%2, j] = t[(i-1)%2, j]
21
22    return t[(n-1)%2, v]

```

Here, the recurrence we're using is same as earlier, it is just that we are optimizing on space. Time-complexity still remains the same, $O(nv)$. We only need a $(2, v+1)$ shape array, so optimized extra-space is $O(v)$.

3. (22 points) Similarly to a flow network with demands, we can define a flow network with supplies where each node $v \in V$ now has an integer supply s_v , so that if $s_v > 0$, v is a source and if $s_v < 0$, it is a sink, and the supply constraint for every $v \in V$ is $f^{\text{out}}(v) - f^{\text{in}}(v) = s_v$. In a min-cost flow problem, the input is a flow network with supplies where each edge $(i, j) \in E$ also has a cost a_{ij} , that is, sending one unit of flow on (i, j) costs a_{ij} . Given a flow network with supplies and costs, the goal is to find a feasible flow $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, that is, a flow satisfying edge capacity constraints and node supplies, that minimizes the total cost of the flow. Show that the max flow problem can be formulated as a min-cost flow problem.

Solution: Suppose that we're given a network $G = (V, E, c)$ with source node s and sink node t , capacity constraints c to be solved for max-flow. We perform the following transformation to get a modified network $G' = (V', E', c', S, a)$ with capacity constraints c' , supply constraints S , and cost a

Transformation:

- (a) Set $V' = V$
- (b) Add edge (t, s) , i.e, $E' = E \cup \{(t, s)\}$
- (c) Set $c'(e) = c(e) \ \forall e \in E$ and $c'(t, s) = \infty$. This defines the capacity constraint on G' .
- (d) Set $a(t, s) = -1$ and $a(e) = 0 \ \forall e \in E$. This defines the cost of sending unit flow along each edge in G' .
- (e) Set $S(u) = 0 \ \forall u \in V$

Clearly, this transformation can be done in $O(|V| + |E|)$ which is polynomial running time. This is because, in each step, we're either working through the set of nodes in V or the edges in E .

Natural Projection and Lift: Let f be feasible flow in G' , then $f|_G : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is the projection of f defined as

$$f|_G(e) = f(e) \ \forall e \in E$$

Clearly, $0 \leq f|_G(e) (= f(e)) \leq c(e) (= c'(e)) \ \forall e \in E$

Note that, we have $S(u) = 0 \ \forall u \in V$. This means that, $\forall u \in V$, $f^{\text{in}}(u) = f^{\text{out}}(u)$. Hence,

$$f|_G^{\text{in}}(u) = f^{\text{in}}(u) = f^{\text{out}}(u) = f|_G^{\text{out}}(u) \ \forall u \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$$

Hence, $f|_G$ defines a flow on G and can be obtained in $O(|E|)$ polynomial time.

For a feasible flow f in G' , when we speak of f in G , we will mean $f|_G$.

Now, suppose f is a flow in G . We define the lift of f on G' , denoted as $f|^{G'} : E' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ as,

$$f|^{G'}(e) = \begin{cases} f(e) & \text{if } e \in E \\ |f| & \text{if } e = (t, s) \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $0 \leq f|^{G'}(e) \leq c'(e) \ \forall e \in E'$. Also in G' , $S(u) = 0 \ \forall u \in V' \setminus \{s, t\}$ because f is a flow in G and for each node except for the source and sink, flow that enters this node equals the flow that exits this node.

Moreover, $S(s) = f^{G' \text{ out}}(s) - f^{G' \text{ in}}(s) = f^{\text{out}}(s) - |f| = 0$

and similarly, $S(t) = f^{G' \text{ out}}(t) - f^{G' \text{ in}}(t) = |f| - f^{\text{in}}(t) = 0$

Therefore, $S(u) = 0 \ \forall u \in V$ and all supply constraints of G' are satisfied.

Thus, $f^{G'}$ is feasible in G' and can also be obtained in $O(|E|)$ time.

For a flow f in G , when we speak of f in G' , we will mean $f^{G'}$.

Reduced problem (Claim): f is max-flow in G if and only if f is min-cost flow in G' .

Inputs for the problems: The input for the problem that finds max-flow is $G = (V, E, c)$ with source node s and sink node t , capacity constraints c . The input for the problem that evaluates min-cost flow in is $G' = (V', E', c', S, a)$ with capacity constraints c' , supply constraints S , and cost a .

Equivalence: To prove equivalence, we will argue that

(I) If f is min-cost flow in G' then f is max-flow in G

(II) If f is max-flow in G , then f is min-cost flow in G'

In case of (I), we know that $a(t, s) = -1$ and $a(e) = 0 \ \forall e \in E$. This ensures that the min-cost flow will try to send as much flow from t to s via (t, s) because (t, s) is the only negative cost edge and this is possible due to the infinite capacity of this edge. Hence, f has maximum possible flow travelling through (t, s) in G' , i.e, maximum-flow travels from t and enters s . Now, since $f^{\text{in}}(s) = f^{\text{out}}(s)$ and $f^{\text{in}}(t) = f^{\text{out}}(t)$ due to the supply constraints, the same flow will exit s and enter t through the network G , but this flow is precisely given by $f|_G$. Thus, f is max-flow in G .

In case of (II), suppose that f is max-flow in G . We know any flow g in G' will be min-cost if and only if maximum possible flow travels via (t, s) , again because (t, s) is the only negative edge and this is possible because of its infinite capacity. This is precisely what happens for $f^{G'}$ that has $f^{G'}(t, s) = |f|$, the maximum possible flow that can travel (t, s) . Note that $|f|$ is the maximum possible flow that can travel (t, s) because if there exists a feasible flow g in G' with $g(t, s) > |f|$, then $g|_G$ will have more flow in G than f which is not possible. Hence, f is min-cost flow in G' .

Hence, we have argued the reduction correctly.

Remarks:

- $[x - y]$ or $[x, y]$ refers to code lines starting at x and ending at y for referenced procedure
- $\{x, y, z\}$ refers to code lines x , y and z for referenced procedure and so forth.
- Unless mentioned otherwise, 0-indexing has been used for most data structures.
- Algorithms studied in lectures have been used directly.