

# Notes for High-Dimensional Probability

Vishwak Srinivasan

## Contents

<b>1 Preliminaries</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 Example on approximate Caratheodory's Theorem . . . . .	2
1.1.1 Auxiliary Lemmata . . . . .	3
1.2 Quantities and Inequalities associated with RVs . . . . .	4
1.3 Basic Limit Theorems . . . . .	6
<b>2 Concentration inequalities</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 Basic Gaussian Inequalities . . . . .	8
2.1.1 Auxiliary Lemmata . . . . .	9
2.2 Hoeffding's inequality . . . . .	9

# 1 Preliminaries

## 1.1 Example on approximate Caratheodory's Theorem

First, we begin by discussing Caratheodory's Theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** (Caratheodory's Theorem). *Consider a convex set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ . Any point  $x \in S$  can be represented as a convex combination of at most  $p + 1$  distinct points from  $S$ .*

*Remark.* This result is a popular result in convex analysis, and is tight. The tight lower bound is achieved by a simplex in  $p$  dimensions, which corresponds to  $p + 1$  vertices.

Now, we seek an approximation of the above theorem like so: given  $k$  points  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset S$ , is it possible to approximate a point  $x \in S$ ? We answer this in the affirmative below:

**Theorem 1.2** (Approx. Caratheodory's Theorem). *Given  $x \in S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ , where  $S$  is convex, there exists a set of  $k$  points  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k \in S$ , such that the following holds:*

$$\left\| x - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right\|_2 \leq \frac{\text{diam}(S)}{\sqrt{k}}$$

where  $\text{diam}(S) = \sup_{s, t \in S} \|s - t\|_2$ .

*Proof.* By the fact that  $x \in S$ , we know that we can write  $x$  as a convex combination of a subset  $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m$  that satisfy  $\text{CONV}(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m) = S$ , where  $m \leq p + 1$ . Let the coefficients be  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^m$  where  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$  and  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for all  $i \in [m]$ .

Consider a random variable  $Z$  that takes  $m$  different values from the set  $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m$  with probability  $\lambda_i$ . Note that  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = x$ , since  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = \sum_{i=1}^m \Pr(Z = z_i) z_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i z_i = x$ .

We know that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and independent random variables  $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^k$  that satisfy  $\mathbb{E}[Z_i] = x$  for all  $i \in [k]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| x - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i \right\|_2^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (x - Z_i) \right\|_2^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k (x - Z_i) \right\|_2^2 \right] \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E} [\|x - Z_i\|_2^2] \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{i=1}^k \text{diam}(S)^2 = \frac{\text{diam}(S)^2}{k} \end{aligned}$$

Step (i) holds true due to Lemma 1.1. Step (ii) follows from the fact that  $Z_i, x \in S$  which implies that  $\|Z_i - x\|_2 \leq \text{diam}(S)$  followed by the fact that  $\mathbb{E}[c] = c$  for constant  $c$ .

Therefore, there exists a realization of  $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^k$ , that satisfies:

$$\left\| x - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i \right\|_2 \leq \frac{\text{diam}(S)}{\sqrt{k}}$$

□

*Remark.* First note the dimension independence in the result. Secondly, in the special case where  $S$  consists of elements with bounded norms i.e.,  $\|x\|_2 \leq B$  for all  $x \in S$ , the diameter of the set is bounded by  $2B$  by an application of the triangle inequality. Finally, note that if we have  $k \rightarrow \infty$  samples from the set, then our approximation is going to be perfect.

The method used to prove Theorem 1.1 is called Maurey's Empirical Method.

### 1.1.1 Auxiliary Lemmata

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be a set of independent zero-mean random variables. The following holds true:*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k X_i \right\|_2^2 \right] = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E} [\|X_i\|_2^2]$$

*Proof.* First note that:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k X_i \right\|_2^2 &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k X_i, \sum_{j=1}^k X_j \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k X_i^T X_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \|X_i\|_2^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k X_i^T X_j \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectations on both sides:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k X_i \right\|_2^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \|X_i\|_2^2 \right] + 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k X_i^T X_j \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E} [\|X_i\|_2^2] + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k \mathbb{E} [X_i^T X_j] \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X_i$ s are independent,  $\mathbb{E} [X_i^T X_j] = \mathbb{E} [X_i]^T \mathbb{E} [X_j] = 0$ , and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** *For all integers  $m \in [1, n]$ , we have the following series of inequalities:*

$$\left( \frac{n}{m} \right)^m \leq \binom{n}{m} \leq \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{k} \leq \left( \frac{en}{m} \right)^m$$

*Proof.* First inequality:

$$\binom{n}{m} m^m = \frac{n!}{(n-m)! \cdot m!} m^m \geq \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} \geq n^m \Rightarrow \binom{n}{m} \geq \left( \frac{n}{m} \right)^m$$

Second inequality:

$$\binom{n}{m} \leq \binom{n}{m} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{k}$$

Third inequality:

$$\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^m \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^k \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^k = \left(1 + \frac{m}{n}\right)^n \leq e^m \Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{k} \leq \left(\frac{en}{m}\right)^m$$

□

## 1.2 Quantities and Inequalities associated with RVs

- Expectation:  $\mathbb{E}[X]$
- Variance:  $\text{Var}[X] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]$
- MGF:  $M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$
- $p^{th}$  moment:  $\mathbb{E}[X^p]$  and  $p^{th}$  absolute moment:  $\mathbb{E}[|X|^p]$
- $L^p$  norm:  $\|X\|_{L^p} = \sqrt[p]{\mathbb{E}[|X|^p]}$
- $L^\infty$  norm:  $\|X\|_{L^\infty} = \text{ess sup } |X|$ , where  $\text{ess sup } |X|$  denotes the supremum over all set with measure not 0. Also note that:  $\text{ess sup } |X| \leq \sup |X|$ .
- Covariance:  $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])]$
- CDF:  $F_X(t) = \Pr(X \leq t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

For a convex function  $f$  and any random variable  $X$ , we have by *Jensen's inequality* that:

$$f(\mathbb{E}[X]) \leq \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$$

Consequently, for a concave function  $f$  and any random variable  $X$ , we have:

$$f(\mathbb{E}[X]) \geq \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$$

As a special case, consider  $f(x) : x^{q/p}$  where  $q > p$ . Note that  $f$  is convex. Therefore:

$$(\mathbb{E}[|X|^p])^{q/p} \leq \mathbb{E}[|X|^q] \Rightarrow \|X\|_{L^p} \leq \|X\|_{L^q}$$

Another inequality is the *Cauchy-Schwarz inequality*, which states that for any two RVs  $X$  and  $Y$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[|XY|] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2]} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]} = \|X\|_{L^2} \|Y\|_{L^2}$$

We also have *Holder's inequality* which generalizes *Cauchy-Schwarz* to dual norms as:

$$\mathbb{E}[|XY|] \leq \|X\|_{L^p} \|Y\|_{L^q} \quad ; \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

The following lemma characterizes the expectation as a quantity involving only tails:

**Lemma 1.3.** *Consider a non-negative random variable  $X$ . The expectation of this random variable can be written as:*

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^\infty \Pr(X > t) dt$$

*Proof.* For any  $x \geq 0$ , we have that:

$$x = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{t < x\}} dt = \int_0^x 1 dt + \int_x^\infty 0 dt$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} X = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{t < X\}} dt &\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{t < X\}} dt\right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{t < x\}} \Pr(X = x) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty \Pr(X = x) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \Pr(X > t) dt \end{aligned}$$

□

A simple generalization for real-valued random variables from the proof of Lemma 1.3 is as follows:

**Corollary 1.1.** *Consider a real valued random variable  $X$ . The expectation of this random variable can be written as:*

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^\infty \Pr(X > t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^0 \Pr(X < t) dt$$

An application of Lemma 1.3 is to use it to bound the  $p^{th}$  absolute moments via tails:

**Corollary 1.2.** *For any random variable  $X$ :*

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|^p] = \int_0^\infty p t^{p-1} \Pr(|X| > t) dt$$

Classical inequalities: Markov and Chebyshev's:

**Lemma 1.4** (Markov's Inequality). *Consider a non-negative random variable  $X$ . Then the tails of  $X$  can be bounded as:*

$$\Pr(X > t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{t}$$

*Proof.* Note that:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[X \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{X > t\}}] + \mathbb{E}[X \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{X \leq t\}}] \geq \mathbb{E}[X \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{X > t\}}] \geq t \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{X > t\}}] = t \Pr(X > t) \Rightarrow \Pr(X > t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{t}$$

□

**Corollary 1.3** (Chebyshev's Inequality). *Consider a random variable  $X$ . Then the probability of deviation from the expectation of  $X$  can be bounded as:*

$$\Pr(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| > t) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{t^2}$$

*Proof.* Take  $Y = |X - \mathbb{E}[X]|$  as the random variable as apply Markov's inequality:

$$\Pr(Y > t) = \Pr(Y^2 > t^2) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]}{t^2}$$

□

*Remark.* Note that one can achieve better dependence on  $t$  by using higher moments - provided they exist:

$$\Pr(Y > t) = \Pr(Y^{2k} > t^{2k}) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^{2k}]}{t^{2k}}$$

### 1.3 Basic Limit Theorems

**Theorem 1.3** (Strong Law of Large Numbers). *Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a sequence of identically and independently distributed random variables with mean  $\mu$ . The quantity  $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  satisfies:*

$$\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Here  $\xrightarrow{a.s.}$  denotes *almost sure convergence*, which is:

$$\Pr \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{X}_n = \mu \right) = 1$$

There is a *weak law of large numbers*, which can be derived from Chebyshev's Inequality, for distributions with bounded variance. It is stated below:

**Corollary 1.4** (Weak Law of Large Numbers). *Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a sequence of identically and independently distributed random variables with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 < \infty$ . The quantity  $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  satisfies:*

$$\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$$

where  $\xrightarrow{p}$  denotes convergence in probability, which is;

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) = 0$$

*Proof.* First note  $\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_n] = \mu$ , and hence  $\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ .

By Chebyshev's inequality, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ :

$$\Pr(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) = 0 \quad (\because \text{Sandwich theorem})$$

□

*Remark.* This weak result is *weak* because  $\xrightarrow{a.s.}$  implies  $\xrightarrow{p}$ .

Next, we state a result that gives the asymptotic distribution of  $\bar{X}_n$ .

**Theorem 1.4** (Central Limit Theorem). *Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a sequence of identically and independently distributed random variables with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 < \infty$ . Define  $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then:*

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

While this result states that the deviation between the sample mean and population mean is 0 in the limit, we can give some non asymptotic guarantees on the deviation as follows:

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a sequence of identically and independently distributed random variables with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 < \infty$ . We have that:*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu \right| \right] = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

*Proof.* By Jensen's inequality:

$$\mathbb{E}[|Z|] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[Z^2]}$$

(Note that this also follows from the fact that  $\|Z\|_{L^1} \leq \|Z\|_{L^2}$ )

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu\right|\right] &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu\right)^2\right]} \\ &= \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)\right)^2\right]} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)\right)^2\right]} \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mu)^2]} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}} = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where Step (i) follows from Lemma 1.1 for 1-D random variables. □

A special case of the Central Limit Theorem is to provide approximate distributions for binomial distributions. Recall that the binomial distribution  $\text{Bin}(n, p)$  is the sum of  $n$  independent Bernoulli distribution with parameter  $p$ . Therefore, we get that:

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} = \frac{n\bar{X}_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} = \frac{B_{n,p} - np}{\sqrt{n}\sqrt{p(1-p)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $X_i \sim \text{Ber}(p)$ ,  $i \in [n]$  and  $B_{n,p} \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ . This means that  $B_{n,p} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(np, np(1-p))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

However, there is a better limit theorem in the regime where  $p \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $np = \lambda > 0$ . This is the Poisson Limit Theorem:

**Theorem 1.5** (Poisson Limit Theorem). *Consider  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  to be  $n$  independent Bernoulli variables with parameters  $p_i$ . Then, for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\max_{i \in [n]} p_i \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = \lambda > 0$ , we have that:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{d} \text{Poi}(\lambda)$$

*Remark.* In the special case when all  $p_i$ s are equal, we obtain the same result with  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $p \rightarrow 0$  and  $np = \lambda > 0$  as described informally earlier.

## 2 Concentration inequalities

### 2.1 Basic Gaussian Inequalities

**Lemma 2.1** (Mill's inequalities). *Let  $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . We have the following lower and upper bounds for the tail  $\Pr(g > t)$ ,  $t > 0$  as follows:*

$$\left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t^3}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} \leq \Pr(g > t) \leq \frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}$$

*Proof.* First, the upper bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(g > t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_t^\infty e^{-x^2/2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_t^\infty t e^{-x^2/2} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_t^\infty x e^{-x^2/2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t^2/2}^\infty y e^{-y} dy \quad \left(\because y = \frac{x^2}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

Second, the lower bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(g > t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_t^\infty e^{-x^2/2} dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_t^\infty \left(1 - \frac{3}{x^4}\right) e^{-x^2/2} dx \quad \left(\because 1 - \frac{3}{x^4} \leq 1 \ \forall \ x > 0\right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t^3}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

□

*Remark.* Note that one can get tail bounds for  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  by simply reparameterising the integrals as:

$$\left(\frac{\sigma}{t} - \frac{\sigma}{t^3}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} \leq \Pr(g > t) \leq \frac{\sigma}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}$$

The Central Limit Theorem (Theorem 1.4) states that averages tend in distribution to a Gaussian. But what can be said about the distribution function itself? The following theorem gives this result:

**Theorem 2.1** (Berry-Esseen CLT). *Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a sequence of identically and independently distributed random variables with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 < \infty$ . Define  $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and  $\bar{Z}_n = \sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma}$ . Then:*

$$|\Pr(\bar{Z}_n > t) - \Pr(g > t)| \leq \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where  $\rho = \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X_i - \mu|^3]}{\sigma^3}$ ,  $i \in [n]$  and  $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

*Remark.* This theorem basically states that the error of approximation scales as  $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ , which is bad, since we can't always leverage the normal approximation from the central limit theorem always.



### 2.1.1 Auxiliary Lemmata

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . For  $t \geq 1$ , we have that:*

$$\mathbb{E} [g^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{g > t\}}] = \frac{t}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} + \Pr(g > t) \leq \left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [g^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{g > t\}}] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{x > t\}} e^{-x^2/2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_t^{\infty} x^2 e^{-x^2/2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( (x \cdot e^{-x}) \Big|_t^{\infty} + \int_t^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2} dx \right) \quad \left( \because \text{int. by parts with } f(x) = x, g(x) = x e^{-x^2/2} \right) \\ &= \frac{t}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} + \Pr(g > t) \\ &\leq \frac{t}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} + \frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} \\ &= \left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2.2 Hoeffding's inequality