## **Project Proposal**

# **Image Classification for Manufacturing**

#### **Core Contribution**

Development of an EfficientNetB0 based classification system for detecting three specific manufacturing defects (scratches, cracks, and color variations) in metal surfaces, targeting 95% accuracy through enhanced feature extraction.

#### Introduction

Manufacturing quality control is a critical aspect of modern industrial processes. Visual inspection has traditionally been performed by human operators, which can be time-consuming, subjective, and prone to errors due to fatigue. Automated image classification using deep learning presents an opportunity to enhance quality control processes by providing consistent, rapid, and accurate defect detection in manufacturing lines.

## **Need of the Project**

Manufacturing defects can lead to significant financial losses, customer dissatisfaction, and potential safety issues. While manual inspection remains common in many industries, it faces several challenges including;

- Inconsistency in quality assessment across different inspectors
- Human fatigue affecting detection accuracy during long shifts
- Increasing production speeds requiring faster inspection
- Subtle defects (specifically scratches, cracks, and color variations) that may be difficult for human eyes to detect consistently

An automated image classification system using deep learning can address these challenges by providing the following;

- Consistent quality assessment criteria
- Real-time detection capabilities
- High accuracy in identifying subtle defects
- Scalable solution for high-volume production lines

# **Objective**

To develop a deep learning-based image classification system that can:

- 1. Accurately classify metal surface defects into four categories (normal, scratches, cracks, color variations)
- 2. Achieve >95% classification accuracy on the MVTec metal surface defect dataset

## Methodology

### i) Understanding Previous Research

- Review of published literature on deep learning applications in manufacturing
- Analysis of current state-of-the-art methods in industrial image classification
- Study of various deep learning architectures suitable for defect detection

## ii) Data Collection and Preprocessing

**Dataset: MVTec Metal Surface Defect Dataset** 

- 1200 metal surface images (300 per class)
- Image resolution: 1024x1024 pixels
- Pre-labeled and validated by industry experts
- Preprocessing steps:
  - Resize to 224x224 pixels
  - Normalization (mean=[0.485, 0.456, 0.406], std=[0.229, 0.224, 0.225])
  - Data augmentation: rotation (±15°), brightness (±10%), contrast (±10%)

#### iii) Model Development and Implementation

#### **Primary Model:**

- EfficientNetB0 architecture:
  - Input size: 224x224x3
  - Pre-trained on ImageNet
  - Modified final layer for 4-class classification
  - Batch size: 32
  - Learning rate: 0.001 with cosine annealing

#### **Baseline Comparison Models:**

- 1. Traditional ML Approach:
  - SVM with HOG features (Current industry standard with ~85% accuracy)
- 2. Alternative Deep Learning:
  - ResNet50 (Benchmark from Wang et al., 2017 with 91% accuracy)

### iv) Evaluation Strategy

- Implement k-fold cross-validation (k=5)
- Utilize metrics including:
  - Accuracy
  - Precision
  - Recall
  - F1-score
  - Confusion matrix
- Compare against published benchmark: 91% accuracy (Wang et al., 2017)

#### v) Experimental Design

- Split dataset into 70% training, 15% validation, and 15% testing
- Implement early stopping (patience=10)
- Perform hyperparameter optimization using grid search:
  - Learning rates: [0.1, 0.01, 0.001]
  - Batch sizes: [16, 32, 64]
  - Optimizers: [Adam, SGD with momentum]
- Conduct multiple training runs to ensure result consistency

## **Expected Results**

### We expect to achieve >95% accuracy based on:

- 1. EfficientNetB0's proven efficiency on similar tasks (93% accuracy on metal surface defects Chen et al., 2022)
- 2. High-quality, balanced dataset with expert validation
- 3. Enhanced feature extraction through optimized preprocessing and data augmentation

### References

- 1. Weimer, D., Scholz-Reiter, B., & Shpitalni, M. (2016). Design of deep convolutional neural network architectures for automated feature extraction in industrial inspection. CIRP Annals, 65(1), 417-420.
- 2. Wang, T., Chen, Y., Qiao, M., & Snoussi, H. (2017). A fast and robust convolutional neural network-based defect detection model in product quality control. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 94(9), 3465-3471.
- 3. MVTec Software GmbH. (2019). MVTec Anomaly Detection Dataset. <a href="https://www.mvtec.com/company/research/datasets/mvtec-ad">https://www.mvtec.com/company/research/datasets/mvtec-ad</a>
- 4. Chen, Y., et al. (2022). "EfficientNet applications in industrial defect detection." IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 18(4), 2456-2467.