FeedbackFruits   Peer Review

Review export of

Milestone 1 - Thesis Design

Reviews written by

Danny de Vries

Joey Litecia

Egbert van der Kleij

On 'UvA_Master-IS_D-de-Vries_14495643_Thesis_Design.pdf'
Submitted by Danny de Vries
Submitted on 23 Feb 2024, 18:32

Downloaded at 23 May 2024, 20:51

Review for Danny de Vries

Accessibility consideration

This file does not fully conform with all applicable guidelines for accessible digital documents

OPEN ALTERNATIVE

Research Problem
Rubric criterion

	Poor 0 points	Acceptable 1 point	Good 2 points	Excellent 3 points
Self				
Group average				
Average received				
Class average				

Received ratings

> Excellent - The scientific relevance of the research is argued for and it is clear where new research could be beneficial. The overview is a thematic comparison instead of a list of article summaries.
> Danny de Vries
>
> Good
> Joey Litecia, Egbert van der Kleij

5 comments

Danny de Vries
27-02-2024 13:56:34

Wij van Wc-eend adviseren Wc-eend.

Joey Litecia
27-02-2024 19:04:59
@page 1

The research problem is clearly outlined. A little bit more specificity in what type of technology would make it even better

Joey Litecia
27-02-2024 19:14:31
@page 1

This question should be related to usability so "How can these air quality measures best be displayed/arranged for the user's understanding"

Egbert van der Kleij
29-02-2024 17:17:01   Suggestion
@page 1

This is really lengthy. I would consider splitting it up into multiple sentences

Egbert van der Kleij
29-02-2024 17:19:17

Overall, very good. The introduction is captivating and clear. I understand what's about to be researched.

Research Question
Rubric criterion

	Poor 0 points	Acceptable 1 point	Good 2 points	Excellent 3 points

Self

Group average

Average received

Class average

Received ratings

Excellent - The research question fits perfectly to the topic chosen and is open, concise and sufficiently scoped. It has a clear connection to the posed problem statement.
Danny de Vries

Good
Joey Litecia, Egbert van der Kleij

2 comments

Danny de Vries
27-02-2024 13:56:40

Wij van Wc-eend adviseren Wc-eend.

Egbert van der Kleij
29-02-2024 17:20:27

I feel like the main research question is a little long, although it being decently understandable

Methodology

Rubric criterion

	Poor 0 points	Acceptable 1 point	Good 2 points	Excellent 3 points
Self				
Group average				
Average received				
Class average				

Received ratings

Excellent - The research set-up is realistic and suits the research question. The description of the data takes into account potential problems with bias and generalizability.
Danny de Vries, Joey Litecia

Good
Egbert van der Kleij

3 comments

Danny de Vries
27-02-2024 13:56:46

Wij van Wc-eend adviseren Wc-eend.

Joey Litecia
27-02-2024 19:23:08

The methodology seems to be complete and relevant to the question at hand, however, the workload from all the different methods may exceed the amount of time that we have for the theses.

Egbert van der Kleij
29-02-2024 17:32:15

Detailed methodology. I feel like most areas are clear, includin risks posed and backup plan. I do think that the elicitation study might be a little expanded on, as it plays a major role (2 of the 4 subquestions)

Writing style

Rubric criterion

	Poor 0 points	Acceptable 1 point	Good 2 points	Excellent 3 points
Self				
Group average				
Average received				
Class average				

Received ratings

> Excellent - The writing style is undoubtedly scientific. Language use is concise, clear and highly informative. No informal language is used. Correct references are used.
> Danny de Vries
>
> Good
> Joey Litecia, Egbert van der Kleij

3 comments

Danny de Vries
27-02-2024 13:57:01

Wij van Wc-eend adviseren Wc-eend.

Joey Litecia
27-02-2024 19:16:31

The overall writing is concise and clear to understand. Perhaps specifying certain things more can be a point of improvement.

Egbert van der Kleij
29-02-2024 17:27:29

Some sentences are a little lengthy, reaching 5-6 lines. But that's my only comment, i really like the writing style. It is to the point, easy to understand while still being academic.