Literature on real-time AI-empowered echocardiography

Miguel Xochicale

January 11, 2022

Contents

1	Introduction			
	1.1	Image Quality Assessment	2	
	1.2		2	
	1.3	Auto-encoders	2	
	1.4	Segmentation	2	
	1.5	Contrastive Learning		
	1.6	AI-guided US imaging		
	1.7	Others	:	
	1.8	Annotation tools	4	
2	Spatiotemporal Features			
	2.1	LSTM	4	
	2.2	3D US		
	2.3	transformers		
3	datasets			
	3.1	2D echochardiography	4	
	3.2	3D echochardiography		
4	Met	hods and materials	5	

1 Introduction

In the last decades the use of echocardiography is a crucial clinical approach in Intensive Care Units (ICU) because of the advances of smaller US clinical devices, US image quality and its real-time capabilities to access cardiac anatomy [7, 25, 21, 3]. However, despite the previous advances there is still challenges on finding standard views from experienced sonograpehrs that sometimes such quantifications are qualitative and subjective [7]. Similarly, automatic quantification of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) is still challenging at the point of care due to variation of protocols, skills levels [8] and the nature of proving feedback on real-time [15].

1.1 Image Quality Assessment

[12] considers chamber clarity, depth gain, on-axis attributes, apical foreshoredness.

1.2 Clustering techniques

Zhang et al. mentioned that 23 view classes from 7168 individually labeled videos that ware classified with a 13-layer CNN to then viewed with the use of t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding [27]. Kusunose et al. mentioned that other authors have reached an acciracy of 91-94 for 15-view classification while their work mentioned a 98.1 accuracy for five-prederminted views [11].

1.3 Auto-encoders

Laumer et al. proposed a novel autoencoder-based framework to learn human interpretable representation of cardiac cycles from cardiac ultrasound data [13],

Ouyang et al. presented echo-dynamic dataset as the first annotated medical video dataset with 10,036 videos. Additionally, authors reported the use of three CNN arquitectures varing filters in each layer to assess ejection fraction to near-expert performance. It is worthwhile to note that authors got best performance with mean absolute error of 5.44% using clip length of 16 and frame rate of 4. Such error is near-expert perfonace as they can get 4-5% for skilled echochardiographers in cotrolled settings [18].

Ghorbani et al. applied convolutional neural networks of cardiac ultrasound to identify local structures, estimate cardiac function and predict pathologies. Their deep learning model, EchoNet, can identify up to 10 cardiac biometrics which results in decreasing repetitive task in the clinical flow, provide interpretation to less experienced cardiologist, and predict phenotipes. This work can predict age, sex, weight and height from echocardiogram images. Authors mention that the increase of date does not improve model training. The homogenisation of cadiac views prior to model training improved training speed and computational time [9]

1.4 Segmentation

With the challenges of limited sampling of cardiac cycles and the considerable interobserver variability, Ouyang et al. presented a CNN model with residual connections and spatiotemporal convolutions that surpase human performance of segmentaion of left ventricle, estimation of ejection fraction and assessment of cardiomyophaty. Their model reached Dice similarity coefficient of 0.92, predicts ejection fraction with mean absolute error of 4.1% and clasify heart failure based on reduced ejection fraction [19].

1.5 Contrastive Learning

Methods on Contrastive Learning apparently address the challenge of required labelled data to identify pathologies in the images of dectect certain cardiac views. Recently, Chartsias et al. use contrastive learning to train imbalanced cardiac datasets and they compared a naive baseline model to achieve a F1 score of up to 26% [4] Saeed et al. recently investigated contrastive pretraining to improve the DeepLabV3 and UNET segmentation networks of cardiac structers in ultrasound imaging. Authors showed comparable results

with state-of-the-art fully supervised algorithms and presents better results compared to EchoNet-Dynamic and CAMUS [16]

1.6 AI-guided US imaging

Near-human quantification of LV and EF has been investated, however Asch et al. pointed out that buoundary identification is prone to errors when low quality images or artifacts are used Asch et al. pointed out that data and materials were not publicly available and they made use of AutoEF by captionhealth co. Authors used a databes of 50000 echocardiography datasets over a period of 10 years of varios clinical US syustems. The training datasets included multiople views of 2 and 4-chamber views and LV EF values where clininias use conventional methods (biplane Simpson technique) [1].

Asch et al. [2].

Hong et al. reported the evalition of imagin quality assement to demostrated that AI can recognise nuaces of varing imaing during scanning [10]

Narang et al. reported the adquisiton of 10 echocardiography views of novices users using deep-learning-based software [17]. Narang et al. mentioned that CNN were used with stacks of networks and transffrmations. The AI-guided software consist of three estimates: (1) quality image assement, (2) "6-dimensional geometric distance with postion and orientation between the current probe location and the location anticipated to optimise the image"; and (3) corrective probe manipulation. [17] Authors mention that algorithms do not use trackers, fiducial marks or additional sensors to made guide estimations [17].

Cheema et al. reported the use of AI-enabled guidance to sonoographer which was created from the use of 500000 hand movmentes. Cheema et al. reported that such feature was the first cardiac aotorhisedd by Food and Drug administation in 2020. Authors presented five cases covid-19 intensive care unit (ICU) to illustrate "how desition making affect in patient care" and how the use of AI-enabled provided real-time guidance to acquire desired cardiac UL with the sterting of user's transducer position and hand movevemnt [5].

1.7 Others

Rank-2 non-negative matrix factorization [26] to generate End-Systole and End-Diastole for apical 4 view. Recently Robust Non-negative Matrix Factorization seems to be implement low-computation cost algorithms to automatic segment mitral valve [6].

Leclerc et al. 2019 presented the largest publicy-available and fully-annotated dataset of two and four-chamber adquistion from 500 patients [14]. Non-deep-learning techniques analyse cardiac cycles to analysis end-diastole and end-systolic left ventricular volumes with a mean correlation of 0.95 and absolute mean error of 9.5ml.

Salte et al. classified three standard appical views from data of 200 patients to peformf straing measurements with deep learning arquitectures [20]. Salte et al. made use of the work [28] inception and dense network were used to clasity, recurrent network to detect event timing and u-net-based network for segmentation [20]. Authors compared the results with the commencially available semiautomatic speckle-tracking software (EchoPAC v202), reporting evinde of the comparable GLS measurements to other semiatuomatic methods [20].

1.8 Annotation tools

Recently, Smistad et al. 2021 published the first web-based tool for annoration of medical ultrasound video to do image classification, segmentation, bouding box and landmark annotation [24]. AW tool has been used since 2016 at different projects to perform segmentation of the left ventricle, cardiac view classification, and detection of nerves and blood vesels [24].

2 Spatiotemporal Features

2.1 LSTM

Recently, Smistad et al. 2021 presented the use of LSTM to address the single frame segmentation of end-diastole and end-systole to address segmentation fricklering and reduce tmeporal errors [22]. One of the challenges is architecture design to add ConvLSTMs to which authors experiment at the location at the endocer, decoder, last layer and in bottlenet, to which authors mentiosn that the use of the ConvLSTM layers in the encoder of the temporal NN gave the best results [22]. Authors mention that interpolation of the annorations of the entire cardiac cycle did not captured the complex motion with the use of 7 frames to which they suggest to use advance speckle tracking such as Echo-PWC-Net [28].

2.2 3D US

Smistad et al. 2021 made use of CETUS 3D US LV segmentation dataset and weakly annorated datasets for real-time 3D left ventricle segmentation and estiomation of ejection fraction [23]. Authors presented the impact of pre-training that resulted in an improvement of Dice score. It is imporant to note that VoxelAlasGAN and AtlasNet by Dong el al. presented a better dice score. Smistad et al. 2021 concluded that a limited labelled datasets of 15 patiens demostrate good accuracy and models were able to generalise to new data and ultrasoudn scanners [23].

2.3 transformers

3 datasets

3.1 2D echochardiography

CAMUS and EchoNet-Dynamic

3.2 3D echochardiography

https://www.creatis.insa-lyon.fr/EvaluationPlatform/CETUS/about_database.html https://www.creatis.insa-lyon.fr/EvaluationPlatform/CETUS/index.html

4 Methods and materials

References

- [1] F. M. Asch, N. Poilvert, T. Abraham, M. Jankowski, J. Cleve, M. Adams, N. Romano, H. Hong, V. Mor-Avi, R. P. Martin, and R. M. Lang. Automated echocardiographic quantification of left ventricular ejection fraction without volume measurements using a machine learning algorithm mimicking a human expert. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging*, 12(9):e009303, 2019. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.119.009303. URL https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.119.009303.
- [2] F. M. Asch, V. Mor-Avi, D. Rubenson, S. Goldstein, M. Saric, I. Mikati, S. Surette, A. Chaudhry, N. Poilvert, H. Hong, R. Horowitz, D. Park, J. L. Diaz-Gomez, B. Boesch, S. Nikravan, R. B. Liu, C. Philips, J. D. Thomas, R. P. Martin, and R. M. Lang. Deep learning-based automated echocardiographic quantification of left ventricular ejection fraction: A point-of-care solution. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging*, 14(6):e012293, 2021. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.120.012293. URL https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.120.012293.
- [3] S. J. Campbell, R. Bechara, and S. Islam. Point-of-care ultrasound in the intensive care unit. *Clinics in Chest Medicine*, 39(1):79–97, 2018. ISSN 0272-5231. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2017.11.005. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0272523117301168. Interventional Pulmonology: An Update.
- [4] A. Chartsias, S. Gao, A. Mumith, J. Oliveira, K. Bhatia, B. Kainz, and A. Beqiri. Contrastive learning for view classification of echocardiograms. In J. A. Noble, S. Aylward, A. Grimwood, Z. Min, S.-L. Lee, and Y. Hu, editors, *Simplifying Medical Ultrasound*, pages 149–158, Cham, 2021. Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-030-87583-1.
- [5] B. S. Cheema, J. Walter, A. Narang, and J. D. Thomas. Artificial intelligence—enabled pocus in the covid-19 icu: A new spin on cardiac ultrasound. *JACC: Case Reports*, 3(2):258–263, 2021. ISSN 2666-0849. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccas.2020.12.013. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666084920314637.
- [6] Y. Dukler, Y. Ge, Y. Qian, S. Yamamoto, B. Yuan, L. Zhao, A. L. Bertozzi, B. Hunter, R. Llerena, and J. T. Yen. Automatic valve segmentation in cardiac ultrasound time series data. In E. D. Angelini and B. A. Landman, editors, *Medical Imaging 2018: Image Processing*, volume 10574, pages 493 504. International Society for Optics and Photonics, SPIE, 2018. URL https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2293255.
- [7] H. Feigenbaum. Evolution of echocardiography. *Circulation*, 93(7):1321-1327, 1996. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.93.7.1321. URL https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/01.CIR.93.7.1321.
- [8] L. C. Field, G. J. Guldan, and A. C. Finley. Echocardiography in the intensive care unit. Seminars in Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia, 15(1-2):25-39, 2011. doi: 10.1177/1089253211411734. URL https://doi.org/10.1177/1089253211411734. PMID: 21719547.

- [9] A. Ghorbani, D. Ouyang, A. Abid, B. He, J. H. Chen, R. A. Harrington, D. H. Liang, E. A. Ashley, and J. Y. Zou. Deep learning interpretation of echocardiograms. npj Digital Medicine, 3(1):10, Jan 2020. ISSN 2398-6352. doi: 10.1038/s41746-019-0216-8. URL https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-019-0216-8.
- [10] H. Hong, S. Surette, A. K. Chaudhry, N. Parajuli, C. Cadieu, R. Martin, and J. Thomas. Ai-guided echocardiography system matches the image quality assessment ability of cardiac sonographers. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 77(18 Supplement 1):3240–3240, 2021. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(21)04594-0.
- [11] K. Kusunose. Steps to use artificial intelligence in echocardiography. *Journal of Echocardiography*, 19(1):21–27, Mar 2021. ISSN 1880-344X. doi: 10.1007/s12574-020-00496-4. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s12574-020-00496-4.
- [12] R. B. Labs, M. Zolgharni, and J. P. Loo. Echocardiographic image quality assessment using deep neural networks. In B. W. Papież, M. Yaqub, J. Jiao, A. I. L. Namburete, and J. A. Noble, editors, *Medical Image Understanding and Analysis*, pages 488–502, Cham, 2021. Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-030-80432-9.
- [13] F. Laumer, G. Fringeli, A. Dubatovka, L. Manduchi, and J. M. Buhmann. Deepheartbeat: Latent trajectory learning of cardiac cycles using cardiac ultrasounds. In E. Alsentzer, M. B. A. McDermott, F. Falck, S. K. Sarkar, S. Roy, and S. L. Hyland, editors, *Proceedings of the Machine Learning for Health NeurIPS Workshop*, volume 136 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 194–212. PMLR, 11 Dec 2020. URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v136/laumer20a.html.
- [14] S. Leclerc, E. Smistad, J. Pedrosa, A. Østvik, F. Cervenansky, F. Espinosa, T. Espeland, E. A. R. Berg, P.-M. Jodoin, T. Grenier, C. Lartizien, J. D'hooge, L. Lovstakken, and O. Bernard. Deep learning for segmentation using an open large-scale dataset in 2d echocardiography. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 38(9):2198–2210, 2019. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2019.2900516.
- [15] X. Liu, Y. Fan, S. Li, M. Chen, M. Li, W. K. Hau, H. Zhang, L. Xu, and A. P.-W. Lee. Deep learning-based automated left ventricular ejection fraction assessment using 2-d echocardiography. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, 321(2):H390-H399, 2021. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00416.2020. URL https://doi. org/10.1152/ajpheart.00416.2020. PMID: 34170197.
- [16] S. Mohamed, R. Muhtaseb, and Y. Mohammad. Is contrastive learning suitable for left ventricular segmentation in echocardiographic images?, 2021.
- [17] A. Narang, R. Bae, H. Hong, Y. Thomas, S. Surette, C. Cadieu, A. Chaudhry, R. P. Martin, P. M. McCarthy, D. S. Rubenson, S. Goldstein, S. H. Little, R. M. Lang, N. J. Weissman, and J. D. Thomas. Utility of a Deep-Learning Algorithm to Guide Novices to Acquire Echocardiograms for Limited Diagnostic Use. *JAMA Cardiology*, 6(6):624–632, 06 2021. ISSN 2380-6583. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2021.0185. URL https://doi.org/10.1001/jamacardio.2021.0185.
- [18] D. Ouyang, B. He, A. Ghorbani, L. P. Matt, A. E. A., L. D. H., and Z. J. Y. Echonetdynamic: a large new cardiac motion video data resource for medical machine learing, 2019.

- [19] D. Ouyang, B. He, A. Ghorbani, N. Yuan, J. Ebinger, C. P. Langlotz, P. A. Heidenreich, R. A. Harrington, D. H. Liang, E. A. Ashley, and J. Y. Zou. Videobased ai for beat-to-beat assessment of cardiac function. *Nature*, 580(7802):252–256, Apr 2020. ISSN 1476-4687. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2145-8. URL https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2145-8.
- [20] I. M. Salte, A. Østvik, E. Smistad, D. Melichova, T. M. Nguyen, S. Karlsen, H. Brunvand, K. H. Haugaa, T. Edvardsen, L. Lovstakken, and B. Grenne. Artificial intelligence for automatic measurement of left ventricular strain in echocardiography. *JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging*, 2021. ISSN 1936-878X. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2021.04.018. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1936878X21003636.
- [21] S. Singh and A. Goyal. The origin of echocardiography: a tribute to inge edler. Texas Heart Institute journal, 34(4):431–438, 2007. ISSN 0730-2347. URL https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18172524.
- [22] E. Smistad, I. M. Salte, H. Dalen, and L. Lovstakken. Real-time temporal coherent left ventricle segmentation using convolutional lstms. In 2021 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS), pages 1–4, 2021. doi: 10.1109/IUS52206.2021.9593668.
- [23] E. Smistad, E. N. Steinsland, and L. Løvstakken. Real-time 3d left ventricle segmentation and ejection fraction using deep learning. In 2021 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS), pages 1–3, 2021. doi: 10.1109/IUS52206.2021.9593301.
- [24] E. Smistad, A. Østvik, and L. Løvstakken. Annotation web an open-source web-based annotation tool for ultrasound images. In 2021 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS), pages 1–4, 2021. doi: 10.1109/IUS52206.2021.9593336.
- [25] A. Vieillard-Baron, M. Slama, B. Cholley, G. Janvier, and P. Vignon. Echocardiography in the intensive care unit: from evolution to revolution? *Intensive Care Medicine*, 34(2):243–249, Feb 2008. ISSN 1432-1238. doi: 10.1007/s00134-007-0923-5. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-007-0923-5.
- [26] B. Yuan, S. R. Chitturi, G. Iyer, N. Li, X. Xu, R. Zhan, R. Llerena, J. T. Yen, and A. L. Bertozzi. Machine learning for cardiac ultrasound time series data. In A. Krol and B. Gimi, editors, Medical Imaging 2017: Biomedical Applications in Molecular, Structural, and Functional Imaging, volume 10137, pages 617 624. International Society for Optics and Photonics, SPIE, 2017. URL https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2254704.
- [27] J. Zhang, S. Gajjala, P. Agrawal, G. H. Tison, L. A. Hallock, L. Beussink-Nelson, M. H. Lassen, E. Fan, M. A. Aras, C. Jordan, K. E. Fleischmann, M. Melisko, A. Qasim, S. J. Shah, R. Bajcsy, and R. C. Deo. Fully automated echocardiogram interpretation in clinical practice. *Circulation*, 138(16):1623-1635, 2018. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.034338. URL https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.034338.
- [28] A. Østvik, I. M. Salte, E. Smistad, T. M. Nguyen, D. Melichova, H. Brunvand, K. Haugaa, T. Edvardsen, B. Grenne, and L. Lovstakken. Myocardial function imaging in echocardiography using deep learning. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 40(5):1340–1351, 2021. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2021.3054566.