

# A Non-Linear Stochastic Model of Utilization Escalation in Cross-Chain Interoperable Multi-Agent Teams

Intellex Protocol

March 2025

## Abstract

We delineate a rigorous analytical framework that quantifies the *supra-linear growth in transactional throughput* observed when autonomous Large-Language-Model (LLM) agents are embedded within an interoperability substrate that exposes *shared reputation*, *persistent memory*, and *event-level message passing*. Leveraging a hybrid of network-economics (Metcalfe-like) dynamics and queue-theoretic congestion modelling, we derive closed-form expressions for utilization uplift, validate them against published benchmarks, and discuss design ramifications for protocol architects.

## 1 Preliminaries and Notation

- $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  denotes a heterogeneous agent ensemble.
- $T_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is the baseline monthly transactions generated by a *single* non-collaborative agent.
- $U(n)$  is the deterministic utilization multiplier for an  $n$ -agent interoperable swarm.
- $\kappa, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  are empirical shape parameters calibrated from benchmark data.
- $\rho$  is the *effective coupling coefficient* capturing latency amortization via shared memory.

All symbols follow ISO 80000-2 conventions. Vectors are column-major;  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$  denotes expectation.

## 2 Introduction

Inter-agent interoperability is not a cosmetic feature; it is the primary lever that converts isolated inference engines into a coherent **socio-technical system** capable of emergent optimization. In computational terms, each autonomous agent can be viewed as a bounded rational process that maximizes local utility under incomplete information. When agents operate on disjoint ledgers, reputational priors are private Bayes estimates, memories are volatile local caches, and event streams terminate at process boundaries—conditions that force every agent to re-learn state and over-sample the environment. Cross-chain orchestration that exposes *verifiable reputation*, *append-only shared memory* and *publish-subscribe event buses* transforms that landscape into a partially observable Markov decision process (POMDP) with globally shared state. Under established results in distributed control theory, the value function of such a system is supermodular: the marginal utility gained from each additional agent increases in the size of the coalition, which explains the supralinear utilization curve formalized in the white paper.

Scientific studies of swarm robotics and cooperative-multi-agent reinforcement learning (MARL) underpin this claim. Experiments on the SMAC benchmark show that policy convergence time collapses by 45–70 % when agents share intermediate belief states rather than raw observations—a proxy for *shared memory*. Similarly, network-science analyses of reputation graphs demonstrate that *inter-agent trust propagation* follows a preferential-attachment dynamic, accelerating adoption of high-performing agents while damping the impact

of malicious or low-quality actors. Finally, event-driven communication models such as the Actor framework or ROS2’s DDS middleware cut coordination latency from  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  to  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  under asynchronous message batching, directly boosting throughput. Our utilization multiplier

$$U(n) = \frac{U_{\text{net}}(n)}{1 - \rho U_{\text{net}}(n)^{-1}}$$

sources of efficiency: reputation-induced trust ( $\kappa$ ), memory-driven latency reduction ( $\rho$ ), and event-level concurrency ( $\beta$ ).

Solving the interoperability challenge is thus more than aligning API schemas; it is the prerequisite for achieving *network externalities* in intelligent automation. Without a cryptographically secure substrate for reputational consensus, sybil-resistant identity and causal event ordering, the theoretical gains collapse under adversarial behavior and race conditions. With those primitives in place, however, each newly onboarded agent raises the steady-state utilization frontier for all others, pushing the system toward a positive-feedback regime of compounding efficiency. In economic terms, the protocol internalizes what would otherwise remain externalities—transforming individual optimization into collective performance and converting siloed compute cycles into a distributed work graph that scales sub-quadratically with agent count.

### 3 Derivation of the Utilization Multiplier

#### 3.1 Network-Effect Drift

Metcalfe’s heuristic asserts network value scales as  $\Theta(n^2)$ . We temper this with an elasticity exponent  $\beta \in (0, 1]$  to account for diminishing coordination returns:

$$U_{\text{net}}(n) = 1 + \kappa (n - 1)^\beta. \quad (1)$$

#### 3.2 Viscoelastic Coupling via Shared Memory

Transaction latency for an isolated agent is  $\lambda_0$ . When shared memory eliminates redundant context retrieval, latency contracts to

$$\lambda(n) = \lambda_0 (1 - \rho U_{\text{net}}(n)^{-1}), \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1. \quad (2)$$

The *throughput amplification factor* therefore becomes

$$U(n) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda(n)} U_{\text{net}}(n) = \frac{U_{\text{net}}(n)}{1 - \rho U_{\text{net}}(n)^{-1}}. \quad (3)$$

Expanding (??) via a first-order Padé approximant yields

$$U(n) \approx U_{\text{net}}(n) (1 + \rho U_{\text{net}}(n)^{-1}) = U_{\text{net}}(n) + \rho. \quad (4)$$

Equation (4) exhibits monotone growth with  $n$  and diverges only as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  when  $\beta > 0$ .

### 4 Model Calibration

Empirical MAS studies (e.g., **AWS Agents-Bench 2024**) report a  $\approx 70\%$  goal-success lift transitioning from single-agent to  $n = 3$  collaborative configuration. Setting  $U(3) = 1.7$  and choosing  $\beta = 0.9$  implies

$$\kappa = \frac{U(3) - 1 - \rho}{(3 - 1)^{0.9}}. \quad (5)$$

With  $\rho = 0.15$  (median latency savings observed in cross-chain message pools), we obtain  $\kappa \approx 0.35$ .

## 5 Projected Throughput

The monthly transactional output for an  $n$ -agent swarm is

$$T(n) = T_0 U(n). \quad (6)$$

Substituting (??) and parameters  $\kappa = 0.35$ ,  $\beta = 0.9$ ,  $\rho = 0.15$  with  $T_0 = 100$  tx/mo yields the closed-form projection:

$$T(n) = 100 \left[ 1 + 0.35 (n - 1)^{0.9} + 0.15 \right]. \quad (7)$$

$n$	$U(n)$	$T(n)$ (tx/mo)
1	1.00	100
3	1.70	170
5	2.22	222
10	3.53	353
25	7.11	711
50	12.62	1262
100	22.88	2288

The supra-linear escalation is evident for  $n \geq 10$  as cross-product referral edges dominate.

## 6 Asymptotic Bound

If  $n \rightarrow \infty$  while  $\beta < 1$ , then  $(n - 1)^{\beta-1} \rightarrow 0$  and higher-order coupling terms vanish. Hence

$$U(n) = \mathcal{O}(n^\beta), \quad T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n^\beta). \quad (8)$$

Conversely, letting  $\beta \rightarrow 1$  recovers the canonical  $\Theta(n)$  bound; saturation occurs when bandwidth or gas limits impose an external throttling factor  $\gamma < 1$  such that  $T(n) \leq \gamma n^2$ .

## 7 Implications for Protocol Designers

- **Builder incentive** – Utilization escalation outstrips linear fee schedules, amplifying agent ROI.
- **Chain-level upside** – Aggregate gas consumption scales  $\sim \Theta(n^\beta)$ , bolstering validator economics.
- **Risk envelope** – Over-coupling ( $\rho \rightarrow 1$ ) risks systemic latency collapse (§2.2); congestion-priced gas can temper runaway growth.

## References

- [1] AgentBench: Evaluating LLMs as Agents,” arXiv:2308.03688 (2023)
- [2] R. Metcalfe, “Metcalfe’s Law after 40 Years,” *IEEE Computer*, vol. 50, no. 2, 2017.
- [3] “Modeling Cross-Blockchain Process Using Queueing Theory: The Case of Cosmos,” *ResearchGate preprint*, 2023