

# On Euler Equations in higher order Sobolev spaces

Vitaly Moroz <sup>\*</sup>

## **Abstract**

We consider the integral functional of calculus of variations involving higher order derivatives. It is shown that any local minimum of the functional solves the associated strongly nonlinear elliptic problem in a certain weak sense in spite of the fact that no growth conditions are imposed on the zero order term.

## **1 Introduction**

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be an arbitrary open set,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Consider the functional

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, \dots, D^m u) dx,$$

where  $A(x, \zeta) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{mN} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Carathéodory function of class  $C^1$  in the  $\zeta$ -variable. Under appropriate ellipticity and polynomial growth conditions on  $A$  and its partial derivatives  $A_\alpha$  the functional  $I$  is well-defined, coercive, weakly semicontinuous from below and differentiable on the Sobolev space  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . The derivative of  $I$  is given by

$$I'(u)(w) = \langle \mathcal{A}(u), w \rangle \quad \text{for all } w \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(u) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, u, \dots, D^\alpha u)$$

is the corresponding to  $A$  quasilinear elliptic operator of order  $2m$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the duality between  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  and its conjugate space  $W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$ .

Now let  $f(x, \xi) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Carathéodory function such that

$$\sup_{|\xi| < \tau} |f(x, \xi)| \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \quad \text{for all } \tau \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

Let

$$F(x, \xi) = \int_0^\xi f(x, \tau) d\tau$$

---

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, University of Padova, Via Belzoni 7, 35131 Padova, Italy; *e-mail:* moroz@math.unipd.it

be the primitive of  $f$ . Let us consider the functional

$$J(u) = I(u) + \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \langle \mu, u \rangle,$$

with  $\mu \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$ . Condition (2) implies that

$$\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega) \subseteq Dom(J) = \{u \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) : F(x, u) \in L^1(\Omega)\}.$$

Let  $mp \leq N$ . Then  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \not\subset L^\infty(\Omega)$ . If the *critical growth condition* of the type

$$|f(x, \xi)| \leq a|\xi|^{p^*-1} + b(x), \quad (3)$$

holds, when  $p^*$  denotes the critical Sobolev exponent, then  $Dom(J) = W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  and  $J$  is differentiable on  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . On the other hand if, for instance,  $\Omega$  is bounded and the primitive  $F$  is bounded from below, then  $J$  admits a minimum point  $u \in Dom(J)$  without any restriction on the growth of  $|f(x, \xi)|$ . It is thus natural to ask whether the Euler equation

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(u), w \rangle + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)w dx = \langle \mu, w \rangle \quad (4)$$

corresponding to the functional  $J$  holds at  $u$  for functions  $w$  from a certain “test” subspace  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . Or one can ask whether  $u$  solves, in a suitable weak sense, the quasilinear elliptic problem

$$\mathcal{A}(u) + f(x, u) = \mu, \quad (5)$$

associated to  $J$  although *no growth conditions* are imposed on  $|f(x, \xi)|$ .

The study of such “strongly nonlinear” problems goes back to earlier works of F. Browder, cf. [6]. The situation when  $f(x, \xi)$  satisfy no a priori growth conditions but only the *sign condition*

$$f(x, \xi) \xi \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

has been considered in [16, 5, 7]. See also [10, 3] for a treatment in the frame of Orlicz–Sobolev spaces and further references therein. The existence of a solution for (5) has been established by using methods of pseudo–monotone operators or degree theory. Such a solution  $u$  satisfies the Euler equation (4) with respect to the test space  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$  and satisfies the property

$$f(x, u) \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x, u) u \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (7)$$

The treatment of the case  $m > 1$  is much more involved, due to the lack of truncation operations in the higher order Sobolev spaces. As it has been pointed out by J. R. L. Webb [16], in this case must rely on the delicate approximation procedure for  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  spaces introduced by L. I. Hedberg [12].

It seems that a variational approach in the study of strongly nonlinear elliptic problems has been initiated by J.–P. Gossez. In [2] it has been shown

that in the case  $m = 1$  the functional  $J$  is differentiable at  $u \in Dom(J)$  with respect to the test space  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$  if the *one sided growth condition* of the type

$$f(x, \xi) \xi \geq -a|\xi|^{p^*} - b(x) \quad (8)$$

holds. In [11] this result in a combination with a certain truncation technique has been applied to the nonlinearities  $f(x, \xi) = f(\xi)$  and  $\mu \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . For this case it has been proved that the solution for (5) exists *without any growth restriction* on  $f(\xi)$ . Such a solution satisfies (7) but in general is not a minimum of  $J$ .

In the recent paper [8], M. Degiovanni and S. Zani have considered a strongly nonlinear elliptic problem for  $m = 1$ ,  $p = 2$  and *without any growth restriction* on  $|f(x, \xi)|$  by exploiting the crucial new idea of a variation of the test space for  $J$ . More precisely, it was shown that, for a given point  $u \in Dom(J)$ , one can construct a test space  $\mathcal{W}_u$ , dense in  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , such that  $J$  is differentiable with respect to  $\mathcal{W}_u$ . This allowed to prove that any minimum  $u$  of  $J$  solves the equation (5) in a suitable weak sense. The same approach has been used in [9] in the framework of nonsmooth critical point theory.

The aim of the present paper is to generalize the results of [8] to the higher order situation. The technique we have to use differs considerably from the technique used in [8]. The reason is that there are no obvious truncation operations within the space  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  with  $m > 1$ . Instead of it, use is made of an approximation technique related to the Hedberg's spectral synthesis theorem, cf. [1, Chapter 9]. Our basic result, Theorem 3, asserts that a function in the Sobolev space  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  can be approximated by bounded functions from  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  with compact support located away from the singularities of the given function. This argument allows to construct, for a point  $u \in Dom(J)$ , a subspace of test functions  $\mathcal{W}_u$ , dense in  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , such that  $J$  is differentiable with respect to  $\mathcal{W}_u$ . For a given minimum  $u$  of  $J$  this implies that the Euler equation (4) holds at  $u$  with respect to  $\mathcal{W}_u$ . In general, such a test space  $\mathcal{W}_u$  does not contain  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$  and a minimum  $u$  does not satisfy (7). However it is still possible to identify  $f(x, u)$  with a distribution from  $W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$  and to show that  $u$  solves (5) in  $W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$ . In the following we consider the case  $mp \leq N$ , otherwise the results are trivial. For the potential theoretic notions mentioned in the paper we refer the reader to the monograph of D. R. Adams and L. I. Hedberg [1].

**Acknowledgements.** The author is grateful to Marco Degiovanni for many helpful discussions and to Walter Farkas, Niels Jacob and Massimo Lanza for their remarks and comments. The support of the DAAD – German Academic Exchange Service is greatfully acknowledged.

## 2 An approximation theorem

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be an arbitrary open set,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in (1, N/m]$ . By  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  we denote the Sobolev space of (equivalence classes of) real valued functions

on  $\Omega$  whose distributional derivatives of order up to  $m$  belong to the Lebesgue space  $L^p(\Omega)$ . The norm on  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  is given by the formula

$$\|u\|_{m,p}^p = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^p}^p,$$

with the usual multi-index notation. By  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  we denote the closure in  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  of the space  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$  of all smooth functions with compact support contained in  $\Omega$  and by  $W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$ ,  $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ , the dual space to  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . By  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  we denote the pairing in the duality of  $W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$  with  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . For an open set  $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$  we denote by  $L_c^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$  the space of essentially bounded functions with compact support contained in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ .

Let  $u \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . We can extend  $u \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  by zero on all of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and obtain  $u \in W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . By a theorem of A. P. Calderon  $u \in W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  if and only if  $u$  can be represented as the Bessel potential

$$u = G_m * S, \quad S \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where " $*$ " is the usual convolution and  $G_m$  is the Bessel kernel which can be defined as the inverse Fourier transform of  $\hat{G}_m(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-m/2}$ . Moreover, there is an equivalence of norms, i.e.

$$a^{-1}\|S\|_{L_p} \leq \|u\|_{m,p} \leq a\|S\|_{L_p} \quad (9)$$

for some constant  $a > 0$ . Note, that the Bessel potential  $u = G_m * S$  is everywhere defined on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

To the space  $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  one can associate a set function, called  $(m,p)$ -capacity, in the following way. Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an arbitrary set and

$$W_E = \{u = G_m * S \in W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u \geq 1 \text{ for all } x \in E\}.$$

Then the  $(m,p)$ -capacity can be defined by

$$C_{m,p}(E) = \inf_{W_E} \|u\|_{m,p}^p.$$

If  $W_E = \emptyset$  then  $C_{m,p}(E) = \infty$ .

Let  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function. We say that  $x \in \Omega$  is a *singular point* of  $u$  if  $u$  is essentially unbounded in any neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  in  $\Omega$ . The set of all singular points of  $u$  is called the *singular set* of  $u$  and is denoted by  $Sing(u)$ . From the definition it is clear that  $Sing(u)$  is a closed subset of  $\Omega$  and  $u \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega \setminus Sing(u))$ . It is easy to construct the function  $u \in L^p(\Omega)$  such that  $Sing(u) = \Omega$ . However for the functions from the Sobolev space  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  we have the following result.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $u \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . Then  $C_{m,p}(Sing(u)) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $u \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . Then, by [1, Proposition 6.1.2], the Bessel potential  $\tilde{u} = G_m * S$  is an  $(m,p)$ -quasicontinuous representative of  $u$ . This means that

$$\tilde{u} = u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega$$

and that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an open set  $G_\varepsilon \subset \Omega$  such that  $C_{m,p}(G_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  and the restriction of  $u$  to the complement of  $G_\varepsilon$  is a continuous function in the induced topology.

Clearly,  $Sing(u) \subset G_\varepsilon$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, by the outer capacity property [1, Proposition 2.3.5],

$$C_{m,p}(Sing(u)) \leq \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} C_{m,p}(G_\varepsilon) = 0,$$

which proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 1** For a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $mp \leq N$  it is easily seen that  $mes(E) = 0$  implies  $C_{m,p}(E) = 0$ . More precisely, for a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $mp < N$  it is known that  $C_{m,p}(K) = 0$  if and only if  $\dim(K) \leq N - mp$  where  $\dim$  stands for a Hausdorff dimension of a set  $K$ . For  $mp = N$  a description of sets of zero  $(m, p)$ -capacity can be given in terms of the so-called logarithmic Hausdorff measure, cf. [1, p.134–139] for details.

In the next theorem, for a relatively closed subset  $E \subset \Omega$ , by  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) = W_0^{m,p}(\Omega \setminus E)$  we mean that each function  $u \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  can be approximated in  $\|\cdot\|_{m,p}$  norm by functions from  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$ .

**Theorem 2** *Let  $E \subset \Omega$  be a relatively closed subset. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $C_{m,p}(E) = 0$ ;
  - (b)  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) = W_0^{m,p}(\Omega \setminus E)$ ;
  - (c) for all  $v \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  there exists a sequence  $(w_n) \subset W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$  such that
    - i)  $|w_n(x)| \leq |v(x)|$  and  $w_n(x)v(x) \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ;
    - ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v - w_n\|_{m,p} = 0$ .
- In particular,  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$  is a dense linear subspace of  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** We first prove the implication  $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ . Let  $v \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  and  $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$  be an approximating sequence converging to  $v$  in the  $\|\cdot\|_{m,p}$  norm. Set

$$E_n = E \cap Supp(v_n).$$

Clearly  $E_n \subset \Omega$  is a compact set. By the monotonicity of the capacity [1, Proposition 2.3.4] we have  $C_{m,p}(E_n) = 0$ .

By [1, Theorem 9.9.1], there exists a neighborhood  $V_{E_n} \subset \Omega$  of  $E_n$  and a function  $\omega_n \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$  such that

$$0 \leq \omega_n \leq 1, \quad \omega_n = 1 \text{ on } V_{E_n} \quad \|\omega_n v_n\|_{m,p} \leq 1/n.$$

We set

$$w_n = (1 - \omega_n)v_n.$$

Then  $(w_n) \subset \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v - w_n\|_{m,p} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|v - v_n\|_{m,p} + \|\omega_n v_n\|_{m,p}) = 0,$$

which proves the statement.

We now prove the implication  $(a) \Rightarrow (c)$ . Let  $v \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . By the spectral synthesis theorem of L. Hedberg [1, Theorem 9.1.3], for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a function  $\eta_n \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$  such that

$$0 \leq \eta_n \leq 1, \quad \|v - \eta_n v\|_{m,p} \leq 1/n.$$

We set

$$E_n = \text{Sing}(\eta_n v) \cup (E \cap \text{Supp}(\eta_n v)).$$

Clearly  $E_n \subset \Omega$  is a compact set. By Theorem 1 we have

$$C_{m,p}(\text{Sing}(\eta_n v)) = 0.$$

Then  $C_{m,p}(E_n) = 0$  by the subadditivity [1, Proposition 2.3.6] and the monotonicity of the capacity.

Now, by [1, Theorem 9.9.1], there exists a neighborhood  $V_{E_n} \subset \Omega$  of  $E_n$  and a function  $\omega_n \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  such that

$$0 \leq \omega_n \leq 1, \quad \omega_n = 1 \text{ on } V_{E_n} \quad \|\omega_n(\eta_n v)\|_{m,p} \leq 1/n.$$

We set

$$w_n = (1 - \omega_n)(\eta_n v).$$

Then

$$\text{Supp}(w_n) \subseteq \text{Supp}(\eta_n v) \setminus E_n.$$

By the compactness of  $\text{Supp}(w_n)$  and by the construction of the set  $E_n$ , it follows that the function  $w_n$  is essentially bounded and that  $w_n \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$ . Furthermore

$$0 \leq (1 - \omega_n)\eta_n \leq 1.$$

Hence the statement (i) holds. Finally,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v - w_n\|_{m,p} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|v - \eta_n v\|_{m,p} + \|\omega_n(\eta_n v)\|_{m,p}) = 0,$$

which proves (ii) and the implication  $(a) \Rightarrow (c)$ .

We now prove the implication  $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$ . Assume that  $C_{m,p}(E) > 0$ . Then by the property of capacitable sets, see [1, p.28], there exists a compact set  $K \subset E \subseteq \Omega$  such that

$$0 < C_{m,p}(K) \leq C_{m,p}(E).$$

We set

$$W_{K,\Omega} = W_K \cap W_0^{m,p}(\Omega),$$

and we note that  $W_{K,\Omega} \neq \emptyset$  if  $W_K \neq \emptyset$ . Indeed, let  $u \in W_K$  and  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  be a cut-off function such that  $\eta = 1$  on  $K$  and  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ . Then  $\eta u \in W_{K,\Omega}$ . Moreover, it is clear that  $W_{K,\Omega} \subseteq W_K$  and hence

$$\inf_{W_{K,\Omega}} \|u\|_{m,p}^p \geq C_{m,p}(K) > 0.$$

Now let  $w \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$  and  $v \in W_{K,\Omega}$  be represented as Bessel potentials

$$w = G_m * T, \quad v = G_m * S.$$

Then

$$w - v \in W_{K,\Omega}$$

and

$$\|v - w\|_{m,p}^p \geq C_{m,p}(K) > 0. \quad (10)$$

Since  $w$  is an arbitrary element in  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$ , inequality (10) proves the statement.

The implication  $(b) \Rightarrow (a)$  can be treated in a similar way using the “smooth” version of  $(m,p)$ -capacity, cf. [1, Proposition 2.3.13].  $\square$

**Remark 2** The equivalence between  $(a)$  and  $(b)$  is known at least in part (cf. [14, Theorem 2.43] for the case  $m = 1$ ). The direct proof of the implication  $(a) \Rightarrow (c)$  (without using the spectral synthesis theorem arguments) for the case  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  and empty “exceptional” set  $E$  has been given by L. Hedberg in [12, Lemma 5.2]. See also Theorem 3.4.1 of [1] and comments therein. The proof for an arbitrary open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $E = \emptyset$  must rely on the spectral synthesis theorem, proved by L. Hedberg in 1981 (see a survey of L. Hedberg [13] and [1, Chapter 9] for a discussion). The usefulness of such results in the study of higher order strongly nonlinear elliptic problems has been pointed out by J. R. L. Webb in [16]. See also [5, 7, 10, 3, 2] for further related results and applications.

Our basic result from the point of view of applications is the following version of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $u \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . Then for every  $v \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  there exists a sequence  $(w_n) \subset W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus Sing(u))$  such that:*

- i)  $|w_n(x)| \leq |v(x)|$  and  $w_n(x)v(x) \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ;
- ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v - w_n\|_{m,p} = 0$ .

In particular  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus Sing(u))$  is a dense linear subspace of  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 1 we already know that  $C_{m,p}(Sing(u)) = 0$ . Since  $Sing(u)$  is a relatively closed subset of  $\Omega$  the result follows from Theorem 2 by setting  $E = Sing(u)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3** For  $m = 1$  and  $p = 2$  Theorem 3 (in slightly different terms) has been proved by M. Degiovanni and S. Zani in [8, Theorem 2.3]. Their proof is based on the truncation properties of functions from  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , an argument which provides a simple direct construction of an approximating sequence  $(w_n)$ .

### 3 Brezis–Browder type result

Let  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function. Let  $E$  be a relatively closed subset of  $\Omega$  of  $(m, p)$ -capacity zero. Let  $T \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega \setminus E)$ . Consider a linear functional

$$l_T(w) = \int_{\Omega} T(x)w(x) dx.$$

Clearly  $l_T$  is defined on  $L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$ . Suppose

$$\sup \{|l_T(w)| : w \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus E), \|w\|_{m,p} \leq 1\} < +\infty. \quad (11)$$

Then  $l_T$  is a bounded linear functional on  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$ . By Theorem 2 we know that  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$  is a dense linear subspace of  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . Hence there exists a unique continuous extension of  $l_T$  to  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , which does not depend on the choice of the “exceptional” set  $E$  and on the corresponding initial domain  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$ . In this way one can identify  $T$  with  $l_T$  and to consider  $T$  as an element of  $W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$ . We shall write

$$T \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega) \cap L^1_{loc}(\Omega \setminus E)$$

if (11) holds. The following result gives an extension of the celebrated theorem of Brezis and Browder.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $E$  be a relatively closed subset of  $\Omega$  of  $(m, p)$ -capacity zero and  $T \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega) \cap L^1_{loc}(\Omega \setminus E)$ . Let  $v \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\phi \in L^1(\Omega)$  be such that*

$$T(x)v(x) \geq \phi(x) \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (12)$$

*Then  $Tv \in L^1(\Omega)$  and*

$$\langle T, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} Tv dx.$$

**Proof.** Let  $(w_n) \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$  be the approximating sequence for  $v$  constructed in Theorem 2, c). Up to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$w_n(x) \rightarrow v(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Since  $T \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega \setminus E)$ , it follows that  $Tw_n \in L^1(\Omega)$  and that

$$\langle T, w_n \rangle = \int_{\Omega} Tw_n dx.$$

By Theorem 2, ii)  $(w_n)$  is converging to  $v$  in  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T, w_n \rangle = \langle T, v \rangle.$$

On the other hand, by (12) and Fatou’s lemma it follows that  $Tv \in L^1(\Omega)$  and then

$$-\infty < \int_{\Omega} Tv dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} Tw_n dx \leq \langle T, v \rangle.$$

By Theorem 2, b–i) and by the dominated convergence theorem we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} T w_n \, dx = \int_{\Omega} T v,$$

which proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 4** For the case  $E = \emptyset$  the theorem has been proved by H. Brezis and F. Browder in [4] for the case  $m = 1$  and in [5] for  $m > 1$ . For further related results and applications to nonlinear differential equations we refer to [7, 10, 3, 2]. A version of the theorem with a nonempty “exceptional” set  $E$  and with  $m = 1$ ,  $p = 2$  has been proved by M. Degiovanni and S. Zani [8, Theorem 2.8].

## 4 The Euler equation for $J$

Throughout this section we assume that a Carathéodory function  $f(x, \xi)$  satisfies the condition

$$\sup_{|\xi| < \tau} |f(x, \xi)| \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \quad \text{for all } \tau \geq 0. \quad (13)$$

Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5** *Let  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function. Then*

$$f(x, u) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega \setminus Sing(u)).$$

**Proof.** Assume that  $\Omega \setminus Sing(u) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $K \subset (\Omega \setminus Sing(u))$  be a compact set. From the definition of singular set  $Sing(u)$ , it follows that  $u|_K \in L^\infty(K)$ . Let  $a = \|u|_K\|_{L_\infty}$ . Then (13) implies that

$$|f(x, u)|_{|K} \leq \sup_{|\xi| \leq a} |f(x, \xi)| \in L^1(K).$$

Hence  $f(x, u) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega \setminus Sing(u))$  by the arbitrariness of  $K$ .  $\square$

We now turn back to the functional

$$J(u) = I(u) + \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \, dx - \langle \mu, u \rangle,$$

where

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, \dots, D^m u) \, dx.$$

We assume that  $\mu \in W^{-m, p'}(\Omega)$  and that the function  $A(x, \zeta)$  satisfies the standard  $(m, p)$ -ellipticity and polynomial growth conditions, cf. [15]. Then the functional  $I$  is differentiable on the Sobolev space  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  with the derivative given by (1) and

$$Dom(J) = \{u \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) : F(x, u) \in L^1(\Omega)\}.$$

**Theorem 6** Let  $u \in \text{Dom}(J) \subseteq W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . Then  $J$  has a directional derivative given by the formula

$$J'(u)(w) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(u + \tau w) - J(u)}{\tau} = \langle \mathcal{A}(u) - \mu, w \rangle + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)w \, dx.$$

along any direction  $w \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u))$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to check only the differentiability of the zero-order term

$$J_F(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \, dx.$$

Let  $u \in \text{Dom}(J)$ ,  $w \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u))$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tau \neq 0$ . By the mean value theorem there exists a measurable function  $\theta_{\tau}(x)$  such that  $0 \leq \theta_{\tau}(x) \leq 1$  and such that

$$\frac{1}{\tau} F(x, u + \tau w) - F(x, u) = f(x, u + \tau \theta_{\tau} w)w.$$

First, we observe that

$$\text{Sing}(u + \tau \theta_{\tau} w) = \text{Sing}(u),$$

because  $\tau \theta_{\tau} w \in L_c^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u))$ . Then Theorem 5 implies that

$$f(x, u + \tau \theta_{\tau} w) \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u)).$$

Hence

$$f(x, u + \tau \theta_{\tau} w)w \in L^1(\Omega).$$

By the dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J'_F(u)(w) &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\tau} (F(x, u + \tau w) - F(x, u)) \, dx = \\ &\quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u + \tau \theta_{\tau} w)w \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)w \, dx \end{aligned}$$

with  $f(x, u)w \in L^1(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5** By arguing as in the proof above one can show that for any  $u \in \text{Dom}(J)$  the following holds:

$$u + \{W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u))\} \subseteq \text{Dom}(J).$$

In particular,  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  is contained in  $\text{Dom}(J)$ .

Our main result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6, generalizes to the higher order situation the result of M. Degiovanni and S. Zani [8, Theorem 3.4], and motivates considerations above.

**Theorem 7** Assume that  $u \in \text{Dom}(J) \subseteq W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  is the local minimum of  $J$ . Then the Euler equation

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(u), w \rangle + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)w \, dx = \langle \mu, w \rangle \quad (14)$$

for  $J$  holds at  $u$  for all  $w \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u))$  and  $u$  solves the equation

$$\mathcal{A}(u) + f(x, u) = \mu \quad \text{in } W^{-m,p'}(\Omega), \quad (15)$$

with

$$f(x, u) \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u)). \quad (16)$$

**Proof.** Since  $u \in \text{Dom}(J)$  is a local minimum for  $J$ , Theorem 6 and the classical Fermat principle immediately implies that the Euler equation (14) holds for all  $w \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u))$ .

Furthermore, by Theorem 5 we know that  $f(x, u) \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u))$  and by (14)

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, u)w \, dx = \langle \mu - \mathcal{A}(u), w \rangle \leq \|\mu - \mathcal{A}(u)\|_{-m,p'}$$

for  $w \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u))$  and  $\|w\|_{m,p} \leq 1$ . Then condition (11) holds and

$$f(x, u) \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u)).$$

Since  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u))$  is a dense linear subspace of  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , Theorem 3 implies that  $u$  solves (15) in  $W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6** Condition (13) may be weakened. Instead of (13) one may assume that for a certain fixed relatively closed set  $E \subset \Omega$  of  $(m, p)$ -capacity zero

$$\sup_{|\xi| < \tau} |f(x, \xi)| \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus E) \quad \text{for all } \tau \geq 0. \quad (17)$$

Then Theorem 7 remains true with the test space  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L_c^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus (E \cup \text{Sing}(u)))$  and with

$$f(x, u) \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus (E \cup \text{Sing}(u)))$$

instead of (16).

**Remark 7** From Theorem 4 it follows that if  $u \in \text{Dom}(J)$  is a local minimum for  $J$  and if  $w \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  is such that

$$f(x, u)w \geq \phi(x) \quad \text{or} \quad f(x, u)w \leq \phi(x)$$

for some  $\phi \in L_1(\Omega)$ , then  $f(x, u)w \in L_1(\Omega)$  and the Euler equation (14) holds for  $w \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . In particular, the integral form of the Euler equation for  $J$  is satisfied “whenever it makes sense”.

Using the Brezis–Browder type Theorem 4, one can show that under the one-sided growth conditions of the type (8) the Euler equation (14) is satisfied with respect to the functions from the test space  $L_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 8** Assume that  $u \in \text{Dom}(J) \subseteq W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  is a local minimum for  $J$  and that

$$f(x, \xi) \xi \geq -a|\xi|^{p^*} - b(x), \quad (18)$$

where  $p^* = \frac{pN}{N-mp}$  if  $mp < N$  or  $p^*$  is any positive number if  $mp = N$  and  $a > 0$ ,  $b \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then the Euler equation (14) holds at  $u$  for all  $w \in L_c^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $u$  solves (15) in  $W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$  with

$$f(x, u) \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x, u)u \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (19)$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 7, we already know that

$$f(x, u) \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(u)).$$

Also  $C_{m,p}(\text{Sing}(u)) = 0$  by Theorem 1. From condition (18), we deduce that

$$f(x, u)u \geq -a|u|^{p^*} - b(x) \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Then all of the assumptions of Theorem 4 hold and hence  $f(x, u)u \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Accordingly  $f(x, u) \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ . Since  $u$  is a local minimum for  $J$ , then (14) holds for all  $w \in L_c^\infty(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

## ERRATUM

Theorem 1 is false. As a consequence, Theorem 3, Theorem 7 and Theorem 8 remain true only if one assumes in addition that  $C_{m,p}(Sing(u)) = 0$ .

The problem with our argument is in the erroneous choice of defining the singular set  $Sing(u)$  on p. 96. To overcome the difficulty one can modify the definition as follows. Let  $u \in W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be represented as the Bessel potential  $u = G_m * S$ ,  $S \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then one can define the singular set of the function  $u$  as  $Sing(u) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : G_m * |S| = +\infty\} \cap Supp(u)$ . With this definition all of the results of the paper remain true. However some statements and proofs require modification, the only essential one is in the proof of Theorem 2 on p. 97. Here, instead of spectral synthesis theorems, one can rely on smooth truncation techniques similar to those on p. 62–68 in [1]. The details will appear elsewhere.

We wish to thank Lars Hedberg for pointing out the error and further discussions.

## References

- [1] Adams, D. R. and Hedberg, L. I.: *Function Spaces and Potential Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [2] Anane, A. and Gossez, J.-P.: ‘Strongly nonlinear elliptic problems near resonance: A variational approach’, *Comm. Part. Diff. Eq.* **15** (1990), 1141–1159.
- [3] Benkirane, A. and Gossez, J.-P.: ‘An Approximation Theorem in Higher Order Orlicz–Sobolev Spaces and Applications’, *Studia Math.* **92** (1989), 231–255.
- [4] Brezis, H. and Browder, F.: ‘Sur une proprieté des espaces de Sobolev’, *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Ser. A* **287** (1978), 113–115.
- [5] Brezis, H. and Browder, F.: ‘Some properties of higher order Sobolev spaces’, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **61**(IX) (1982), 245–259.
- [6] Browder, F.: ‘Existence theory for boundary value problems for quasilinear elliptic systems with strongly nonlinear lower order terms’, In: *Partial Differential Equations*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **23** (1973), 269–286.
- [7] Browder, F.: ‘Degree theory for nonlinear mappings’, In: *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **45** Vol. I (1986), 203–226.
- [8] Degiovanni M. and Zani, S.: ‘Euler equations involving nonlinearities without growth conditions’, *Potential Anal.* **5** (1996), 505–512.
- [9] Degiovanni, M. and Zani, S.: ‘Multiple solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with one sided growth conditions’, *Quad. Sem. Mat. Brescia* **7** (1998), 22 pp.
- [10] Gossez, J.-P.: ‘A strongly nonlinear elliptic problem in Orlicz–Sobolev spaces’, In: *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **45** Vol. I (1986), 455–462.
- [11] De Figueiredo, D. and Gossez, J.-P.: ‘A semilinear elliptic problem without growth condition’, *C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Ser. I* **308** (1989), 277–280.
- [12] Hedberg, L. I.: ‘Two approximation problems in function spaces’, *Ark. Mat.* **16** (1978), 51–81.
- [13] Hedberg, L. I.: ‘Approximation in Sobolev spaces and nonlinear potential theory’, In: *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **45** Vol. I (1986), 473–480.
- [14] Heinonen J., Kilpeläinen T. and Martio, O.: *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [15] Skrypnik, I. V.: *Methods for analysis of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1994.
- [16] Webb, J. R. L.: ‘Boundary value problems for strongly nonlinear elliptic equations’, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **21**(2) (1980), 123–132.