product quality over different modes of platforms

Wang Fangda

Oct 19, 2015



Outline

- introduction
 - platform modes
 - network externality
 - quality and quantity
- 2 models
 - a benchmark model
 - complete information
 - incomplete information
- alternative explanations
 - search theoretic models
 - morphism of platforms
 - further issues



introduction

- bazaar v.s. supermarket. note: even inside the supermarket, there are some booths operated directly by the supplier
- taobao v.s. jd, amazon(self-owned and self-support)
- night club v.s. dating website
- operation systems
- game consoles e.g. steam(by valve)
- live stream platforms

the distinction of two prototypes of platforms

- marketplace/two-sided platforms
- reseller/merchant
- hybrid mode
- "who hold the residual claim?"

network externality

one-sided:

•

$$u_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i f(n) - p_i$$

 two-sided: Armstrong(2006, Rand); Tirole and Rachet(2003,2006, Rand)

$$u^{i} = (b^{i} - a^{i})N^{j} + B^{i} - A^{i}$$

$$u^{j} = (b^{j} - a^{j})N^{i} + B^{j} - A^{j}$$

• multi-sided: Weyl(2010, AER)

trade-off between quality and quantity

- Hagiu(2011), HBS working paper: average quality
- one-sided platform: club case

•

$$U(\theta, q) = V(\overline{q}) + \alpha(\overline{q}) N - P - \theta c(q)$$

• two-sided platform: men and women case

$$U_{M}\left(\theta_{M},q\right) = \alpha_{M}N_{W} - P_{M} - \theta_{M}c\left(q\right)$$

$$U_W(\theta_W) = V_W(\overline{q_M}) + \alpha_W(\overline{q_M}) N_M - P_W - \theta_W$$

settings

- monopolistic platform: consumers can only choose whether go to that platform.
- consumers equal the continuum of [0,1], which designates their preference over quality i
- products variety equals [0,1], each kind of product has two quality/brand: $A_h = \chi$, and $A_l = 1$, where $\chi > 1$
- each brand is monopolized by a specific supplier, with
- unit cost c_h and c_l normalize $c_l = 0$, $c_h > 0$, and $c_h \sim f(c)$
- for each kind of product, consumer i has unit demand, and the utility function is:
- $u_i = \int_0^1 \max(iA_j p_j, 0) dj$, where A_j and p_j is the quality and price available at that platform



reseller/merchant

- monopolistic reseller/merchant
- ullet platform could purchase products with unit price a_h and a_l
- and sells to consumer with price p_h and p_l
- the unit selling cost is c_0 , $0 < c_0 < 1$
- the fixed cost per brand is f

marketplace/two-sided platform

- monopolistic marketplace/two-sided platform
- marketplace sets an entry fee per brand, which exceeds its fixed cost f
- once paid the entry fee, supplier could selling products directly to consumers, with unit selling cost c_0

timing of games

- 1. Reseller makes take-it-or-leave-it offer to suppliers; suppliers decide whether or not to accept
- 1'. Marketplace sets an entry fee, suppliers decide whether or not to join
- 2. Reseller labels prices on existing products
- 2'. Suppliers post prices on their products
- 3. consumer makes purchase decision
- 3'. consumer makes purchase decision

reseller's profit maximization problem

- reseller knows the exact realization of cost of every high quality product, c_{jh}
- then it could make the offer $(a_{jh}, a_{jl}) = (c_{jh}, 0)$ to the supplier. (note the reseller do not necessarily behave like this, see below)
- four situations:
- i) only hold high quality
- ii) only hold low quality
- iii) hold both high and low quality
- iv) hold none



quality decision of the reseller

- i) h only: $max\pi_h = (1 \frac{p_h}{\chi})(p_h c c_0) f \Rightarrow$ $p_h = \frac{1}{2}(\chi + c + c_0) \Rightarrow \pi_h = \pi_h(c)$
- ii) I only: $max\pi_l = (1-p_l)(p_l-c_0)-f \Rightarrow p_l = \frac{1}{2}(1+c_0) \Rightarrow \pi_l = \pi_l$
- iii) h and l: $max\pi_{hl} = (\frac{p_h p_l}{\chi 1} p_l)(p_l c_0) + (1 \frac{p_h p_l}{\chi 1})(p_h c c_0) 2f \Rightarrow p'_h = p'_h(c) \ p'_l = p'_l(c) \Rightarrow \pi_{hl} = \pi_{hl}(c)$
- \bullet iv) none: $\pi_0=0$
- according to the realization of $c_{jh} = f(c)$, reseller would choose different strategy.



marketplace's profit maximization problem

- marketplace also knows the exact realization of cost of every high quality product, c_{jh}, so brand-specific entry fee is possible
- backward induction
- supplier's profit maximization problem
- 1) h only
- 2) I only
- 3) h and l
- 4) none

reseller's tradeoff

- reseller doesn't know the realization of c_{jh} , only the distribution f(c) is available
- reseller now can only treat all high quality suppliers ex ante identical
- lemon market

marketplace's tradeoff

- marktetplace doesn't know the realization of c_{jh} , only the distribution f(c) is available
- so brand-specific entry fee for high quality supplier is not possible now
- just like the Laffer curve
- leverage entry fee

what about the worse situation?

- platforms may not even distinguish different quality!
- or the cost of examination is relatively so high
- but some consumers know and value high quality

search and reputation

- directed search
- Shimer and Shi(2001), JPE
- Chen and Huang(2012), CESifo
- repeated purchase, reputation and dynamic games

size and functions of platforms

- platform size matters
- chain store and specialized markets
- platforms in platforms
- supplier and platform: industrial monopolist vs local monopolist
- supplier collusion

optimal mode and welfare

- monopoly and competition of platforms
- platform or merchant or hybrid?
- consumer welfare and social welfare