



UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
IN THE EUROPEAN CAPITAL OF CULTURE
CHEMNITZ

Deep Reinforcement Learning


Outlook

Julien Vitay

Professur für Künstliche Intelligenz - Fakultät für Informatik


1 - Summary of DRL

Overview of deep RL methods



Source: <https://github.com/avillemin/RL-Personnal-Notebook>

Overview of deep RL methods



- **Model-free methods** (DQN, A3C, DDPG, PPO, SAC) are able to find optimal policies in complex MDPs by just **sampling** transitions.
- They suffer however from a high **sample complexity**, i.e. they need ridiculous amounts of samples to converge.
- **Model-based methods** (I2A, Dreamer, MuZero) use **learned dynamics** to predict the future and plan the consequences of an action.
- The sample complexity is lower, but learning a good model can be challenging. Inference times can be prohibitive.

**WHENEVER SOMEONE ASKS ME IF
RL WORKS, I TELL THEM IT DOESN'T**


**AND 70% OF THE TIME, I'M
RIGHT**

memegenerator.net


Source: <https://www.alexirpan.com/2018/02/14/rl-hard.html>

Deep RL is still very unstable

- Depending on initialization, deep RL networks may or may not converge (30% of runs converge to a worse policy than a random agent).
- Careful optimization such as TRPO / PPO help, but not completely.
- You never know if failure is your fault (wrong network, bad hyperparameters, bug), or just bad luck.



Source: <https://www.alexirpan.com/2018/02/14/rl-hard.html>



Deep RL lacks generalization to different environments



Jacob Andreas
@jacobandreas · [Follow](#)

X

Deep RL is popular because it's the only area in ML where it's socially acceptable to train on the test set.

9:27 PM · Oct 28, 2017

i

619 Reply Copy link

[Read 13 replies](#)


- As it uses neural networks, deep RL **overfits** its training data, i.e. the environment it is trained on.
- If you change anything to the environment dynamics, you need to retrain from scratch.
- OpenAI Five collects 900 years of game experience per day on Dota 2: it overfits the game, it does not learn how to play.
- Modify the map a little bit and everything is gone.
- But see Meta RL - RL² later.

Classical methods sometimes still work better

- Model Predictive Control (MPC) is able to control Mujoco robots much better than RL through classical optimization techniques (e.g. iterative LQR) while needing much less computations.
- If you have a good physics model, do not use DRL. Reserve it for unknown systems, or when using noisy sensors (images). Genetic algorithms (CMA-ES) sometimes give better results than RL.



You cannot do that with deep RL (yet)



RL libraries

- After an initial phase with many competing frameworks, deep learning converged to **pytorch** and **tensorflow**.
- The situation is not that clear in deep RL. There are still dozens of frameworks, most of them quickly unmaintained.

| RL Platform | Documentation | Code Coverage | Type Hints | Last Update |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|------------|---|
| Stable-Baselines3 | docs passing | 96.00% | ✓ | last update september |
| Ray/RLLib | docs passing | — (1) | ✓ | last update yesterday |
| SpinningUp | docs passing | ✗ | ✗ | last update february 2020 |
| Dopamine | docs passing | ✗ | ✗ | last update may |
| ACME | docs passing | — (1) | ✓ | last update september |
| Sample Factory | — | 78% | ✗ | last update august |
| Tianshou | docs passing | 85% | ✓ | last update september |


RL libraries

- `rllib` is part of the more global ML framework Ray, which also includes Tune for hyperparameter optimization.

It has implementations in both tensorflow and Pytorch.

All major model-free algorithms are implemented (DQN, Rainbow, A3C, DDPG, PPO, SAC), including their distributed variants (Ape-X, IMPALA, TD3) but also model-based algorithms (Dreamer!)

<https://docs.ray.io/en/master/rllib.html>




RL libraries

- [tianshou](#) is a recent addition to the family. The implementation is based on pytorch and is very modular.
Allows for efficient distributed RL.

Algos: DQN+/DDPG/PPO/SAC, imitation learning, offline RL...

<https://github.com/thu-ml/tianshou>



2 - Inverse RL - learning the reward function

RL maximizes the reward function you give it



- RL is an optimization method: it maximizes the reward function that you provide it.
- If you do not design the reward function correctly, the agent may not do what you expect.
- In the Coast runners game, turbos provide small rewards but respawn very fast: it is more optimal to collect them repeatedly than to try to finish the race.


Reward functions need careful engineering



- Defining the reward function that does what you want becomes an art.
- RL algorithms work better with dense rewards than sparse ones. It is tempting to introduce intermediary rewards.
- You end up covering so many special cases that it becomes unusable:
 - Go as fast as you can but not in a curve, except if you are on a closed circuit but not if it rains...
- In the OpenAI **Lego stacking** paper, it was perhaps harder to define the reward function than to implement DDPG.

$$r(b_z^{(1)}, s^P, s^{B1}, s^{B2}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{stack}(b_z^{(1)}, s^P, s^{B1}, s^{B2}) \\ 0.25 & \text{if } \neg \text{stack}(b_z^{(1)}, s^P, s^{B1}, s^{B2}) \wedge \text{grasp}(b_z^{(1)}, s^P, s^{B1}, s^{B2}) \\ 0.125 & \text{if } \neg (\text{stack}(b_z^{(1)}, s^P, s^{B1}, s^{B2}) \vee \text{grasp}(b_z^{(1)}, s^P, s^{B1}, s^{B2})) \wedge \text{reach}(b_z^{(1)}, s^P, s^{B1}, s^{B2}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Inverse Reinforcement Learning



- The goal of **inverse RL** is to learn from **demonstrations** (e.g. from humans) which reward function is maximized.
- This is not **imitation learning**, where you try to learn and reproduce actions.
- The goal is to find a **parametrized representation** of the reward function:

$$\hat{r}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \varphi_i(s)$$


- When the reward function has been learned, you can train a RL algorithm to find the optimal policy.

<http://www.miubiq.cs.titech.ac.jp/modeling-risk-anticipation-and-defensive-driving-on-residential-roads-using-inverse-reinforcement-learning/>

3 - Intrinsic motivation and curiosity

Intrinsic motivation and curiosity


- One fundamental problem of RL is its dependence on the **reward function**.



- When rewards are **sparse**, the agent does not learn much (but see successor representations) unless its random exploration policy makes it discover rewards.
- The reward function is **handmade**, what is difficult in realistic complex problems.

Credit: <https://vimeo.com/felixsteger>

- Human learning does not (only) rely on maximizing rewards or achieving goals.
- Especially infants discover the world by **playing**, i.e. interacting with the environment out of **curiosity**.
 - What happens if I do that? Oh, that's fun.
- This called **intrinsic motivation**: we are motivated by understanding the world, not only by getting rewards.
- Rewards are internally generated.



Intrinsic motivation and curiosity

- What is **intrinsically** rewarding / motivating / fun? Mostly what has **unexpected** consequences.
 - If you can predict what is going to happen, it becomes boring.
 - If you cannot predict, you can become **curious** and try to **explore** that action.

Beginning of the game is a **familiar state**



Easy to predict s_{t+1} → IR will be low

Found a **new room**



Hard to predict s_{t+1} → IR will be high

Source: <https://medium.com/data-from-the-trenches/curiosity-driven-learning-through-next-state-prediction-f7f4e2f592fa>

- The **intrinsic reward** (IR) of an action is defined as the sensory prediction error:

$$\text{IR}(s_t, a_t, s_{t+1}) = \|f(s_t, a_t) - s_{t+1}\|$$

where $f(s_t, a_t)$ is a **forward model** predicting the sensory consequences of an action.


- An agent maximizing the IR will tend to visit unknown / poorly predicted states (**exploration**).

Intrinsic motivation and curiosity

- Is it a good idea to predict frames directly?
- Frames are highly dimensional and there will always be a remaining error.
- Moreover, they can be noisy and unpredictable, without being particularly interesting.

The importance of a good feature space

It's hard to predict the pixels directly




Move left



s_{t+1}

Needs to predict (248*248)
61504 pixels!



Predicted s_{t+1}




Source: Giphy

Source: <https://medium.com/data-from-the-trenches/curiosity-driven-learning-through-next-state-prediction-f7f4e2f592fa>

- What can we do? As usual, predict in a latent space!

Intrinsic curiosity module (ICM)

- The intrinsic curiosity module (ICM) learns to provide an intrinsic reward for a transition (s_t, a_t, s_{t+1}) by comparing the predicted latent representation $\hat{\phi}(s_{t+1})$ (using a **forward model**) to its “true” latent representation $\phi(s_{t+1})$.
- The feature representation $\phi(s_t)$ is trained using an **inverse model** predicting the action leading from s_t to s_{t+1} .



Intrinsic motivation and curiosity



Curiosity Driven Exploration by Self-Supervised Prediction

↗
Share

Curiosity Driven Exploration by Self-Supervised Prediction




ICML 2017

Deepak Pathak, Pulkit Agrawal, Alexei Efros, Trevor Darrell
UC Berkeley

Watch on YouTube

Intrinsic motivation and curiosity




Additional readings

- Oudeyer P-Y, Gottlieb J, Lopes M. (2016). Chapter 11 - Intrinsic motivation, curiosity, and learning: Theory and applications in educational technologies In: Studer B, Knecht S, editors. Progress in Brain Research, Motivation. Elsevier. pp. 257–284. doi:10.1016/bs.pbr.2016.05.005
- Pathak D, Agrawal P, Efros AA, Darrell T. (2017). Curiosity-driven Exploration by Self-supervised Prediction. arXiv:170505363.
- Burda Y, Edwards H, Pathak D, Storkey A, Darrell T, Efros AA. (2018). Large-Scale Study of Curiosity-Driven Learning. arXiv:180804355.
- Aubret A, Matignon L, Hassas S. (2019). A survey on intrinsic motivation in reinforcement learning. arXiv:190806976.

4 - Hierarchical RL - learning different action levels

Hierarchical RL - learning different action levels


- In all previous RL methods, the action space is fixed.
- When you read a recipe, the actions are “Cut carrots”, “Boil water”, etc.
- But how do you perform these **high-level actions**? Break them into subtasks iteratively until you arrive to muscle activations.
- But it is not possible to learn to cook a boeuf bourguignon using muscle activations as actions.




Source: <https://thegradient.pub/the-promise-of-hierarchical-reinforcement-learning/>

Meta-Learning Shared Hierarchies


- Sub-policies (**options**) can be trained to solve simple tasks (going left, right, etc).
- A **meta-learner** or controller then learns to call each sub-policy when needed, at a much lower frequency.



Meta-Learning Shared Hierarchies



Meta-Learning Shared Hierarchies





Hierarchical Reinforcement Learning

- **MLSH:** Frans, K., Ho, J., Chen, X., Abbeel, P., and Schulman, J. (2017). Meta Learning Shared Hierarchies. arXiv:1710.09767.
- **FUN:** Vezhnevets, A. S., Osindero, S., Schaul, T., Heess, N., Jaderberg, M., Silver, D., et al. (2017). FeUDal Networks for Hierarchical Reinforcement Learning. arXiv:1703.01161 .
- **Option-Critic architecture:** Bacon, P.-L., Harb, J., and Precup, D. (2016). The Option-Critic Architecture. arXiv:1609.05140.
- **HIRO:** Nachum, O., Gu, S., Lee, H., and Levine, S. (2018). Data-Efficient Hierarchical Reinforcement Learning. arXiv:1805.08296.
- **HAC:** Levy, A., Konidaris, G., Platt, R., and Saenko, K. (2019). Learning Multi-Level Hierarchies with Hindsight. arXiv:1712.00948.
- **Spinal-cortical:** Heess, N., Wayne, G., Tassa, Y., Lillicrap, T., Riedmiller, M., and Silver, D. (2016). Learning and Transfer of Modulated Locomotor Controllers. arXiv:1610.05182.

5 - Meta Reinforcement learning - RL²

Meta RL: Learning to learn



- **Meta learning** is the ability to reuse skills acquired on a set of tasks to quickly acquire new (similar) ones (generalization).




Source: <https://meta-world.github.io/>

Meta RL: Learning to learn

- Meta RL is based on the idea of **fast and slow** learning:
 - Slow learning is the adaptation of weights in the NN.
 - Fast learning is the adaptation to changes in the environment.
- A simple strategy developed concurrently by (Wang et al. 2016) and (Duan et al. 2016) is to have a model-free algorithm (e.g. A3C) integrate with a LSTM layer not only the current state s_t , but also the previous action a_{t-1} and reward r_t .
- The policy of the agent becomes **memory-guided**: it selects an action depending on what it did before, not only the state.




Meta RL: Learning to learn



- The algorithm is trained on a set of similar MDPs:
 1. Select a MDP \mathcal{M} .
 2. Reset the internal state of the LSTM.
 3. Sample trajectories and adapt the weights.
 4. Repeat 1, 2 and 3.

Meta RL: Learning to learn


- The meta RL can be trained on a multitude of 2-armed bandits, each giving a reward of 1 with probability p and $1 - p$.
- Left is a classical bandit algorithm, right is the meta bandit:



Source: <https://hackernoon.com/learning-policies-for-learning-policies-meta-reinforcement-learning-rl%C2%B2-in-tensorflow-b15b592a2ddf>

- The meta bandit has learned that the best strategy for any 2-armed bandit is to sample both actions randomly at the beginning and then stick to the best one.
- The meta bandit does not learn to solve each problem, it learns **how** to solve them.

Model-Based Meta-Reinforcement Learning for Flight with Suspended Payloads



Additional readings

- **Meta RL:** Wang JX, Kurth-Nelson Z, Tirumala D, Soyer H, Leibo JZ, Munos R, Blundell C, Kumaran D, Botvinick M. (2016). Learning to reinforcement learn. arXiv:161105763.
- **RL²** Duan Y, Schulman J, Chen X, Bartlett PL, Sutskever I, Abbeel P. 2016. RL²: Fast Reinforcement Learning via Slow Reinforcement Learning. arXiv:161102779.
- **MAML:** Finn C, Abbeel P, Levine S. (2017). Model-Agnostic Meta-Learning for Fast Adaptation of Deep Networks. arXiv:170303400.
- **PEARL:** Rakelly K, Zhou A, Quillen D, Finn C, Levine S. (2019). Efficient Off-Policy Meta-Reinforcement Learning via Probabilistic Context Variables. arXiv:190308254.
- **POET:** Wang R, Lehman J, Clune J, Stanley KO. (2019). Paired Open-Ended Trailblazer (POET): Endlessly Generating Increasingly Complex and Diverse Learning Environments and Their Solutions. arXiv:190101753.
- **MetaGenRL:** Kirsch L, van Steenkiste S, Schmidhuber J. (2020). Improving Generalization in Meta Reinforcement Learning using Learned Objectives. arXiv:191004098.
- Botvinick M, Ritter S, Wang JX, Kurth-Nelson Z, Blundell C, Hassabis D. (2019). Reinforcement Learning, Fast and Slow. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 23:408–422. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2019.02.006

Additional resources


- <https://lilianweng.github.io/lil-log/2019/06/23/meta-reinforcement-learning.html>
- <https://hackernoon.com/learning-policies-for-learning-policies-meta-reinforcement-learning-rl%C2%B2-in-tensorflow-b15b592a2ddf>
- <https://towardsdatascience.com/learning-to-learn-more-meta-reinforcement-learning-f0cc92c178c1>
- <https://eng.uber.com/poet-open-ended-deep-learning/>

6 - Offline RL


Offline RL

- Even off-policy algorithms need to interact with the environment: the behavior policy is ϵ -soft around the learned policy.
- Is it possible to learn purely **offline** from recorded transitions using another policy (experts)? Data efficiency.
- This would bring safety: the agent would not explore dangerous actions.

Reinforcement Learning with Online Interactions




Offline Reinforcement Learning




D4RL


- D4RL (<https://sites.google.com/view/d4rl/home>) provides offline data recorded using expert policies to test offline algorithms.



AntMaze




Gym




Flow



Adroit



FrankaKitchen




CARLA

<https://ai.googleblog.com/2020/08/tackling-open-challenges-in-offline.html>

Behavioral cloning

- As no exploration is allowed, the model is limited by the quality of the data: if the acquisition policy is random, there is not much to hope.
- If we have already a good policy, but slow or expensive to compute, we could try to transfer it to a fast neural network.
- If the policy is a human expert, it is called **learning from demonstrations** (lfd) or **imitation learning**.
- The simplest approach to offline RL is **behavioral cloning**: simply supervised learning of (s, a) pairs...




Dave2 : NVIDIA's self-driving car



Distribution shift



- The main problem in offline RL is the **distribution shift**: what if the trained policy assigns a non-zero probability to a (s, a) pair that is **outside** the training data?
- Most offline RL methods are **conservative** methods, which try to learn policies staying close to the known distribution of the data. Examples:
 - Batch-Constrained deep Q-learning (model-free), MOREL (model-based)...



Source: <https://kensinhm.tistory.com/37>



Decision transformer

- Transformers are the new SotA method to transform sequences into sequences.
- Why not sequences of states into sequences of actions?
- The **decision transformer** takes complete offline trajectories as inputs ($s, a, r, s\dots$) and predicts autoregressively the next action.



Source: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.01345>

Transformers as World models



Additional readings

- Levine, S., Kumar, A., Tucker, G., & Fu, J. (2020). Offline Reinforcement Learning: Tutorial, Review, and Perspectives on Open Problems. ArXiv:2005.01643 [Cs, Stat]. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.01643>
- Kidambi, R., Rajeswaran, A., Netrapalli, P., & Joachims, T. (2021). MOrEL: Model-Based Offline Reinforcement Learning. ArXiv:2005.05951 [Cs, Stat]. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.05951>
- Fujimoto, S., Meger, D., & Precup, D. (2019). Off-Policy Deep Reinforcement Learning without Exploration. Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, 2052–2062. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v97/fujimoto19a.html>
- Chen, L., Lu, K., Rajeswaran, A., Lee, K., Grover, A., Laskin, M., Abbeel, P., Srinivas, A., & Mordatch, I. (2021). Decision Transformer: Reinforcement Learning via Sequence Modeling. ArXiv:2106.01345 [Cs]. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2106.01345>
- Micheli, V., Alonso, E., & Fleuret, F. (2022). Transformers are Sample Efficient World Models (arXiv:2209.00588). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2209.00588>

References

- Arora, S., and Doshi, P. (2019). A Survey of Inverse Reinforcement Learning: Challenges, Methods and Progress. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1806.06877>.
- Barto, A. G. (2013). “Intrinsic Motivation and Reinforcement Learning,” in *Intrinsically Motivated Learning in Natural and Artificial Systems*, eds. G. Baldassarre and M. Mirolli (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer), 17–47. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-32375-1_2.
- Belkhale, S., Li, R., Kahn, G., McAllister, R., Calandra, R., and Levine, S. (2021). Model-Based Meta-Reinforcement Learning for Flight with Suspended Payloads. *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, 1–1. doi:10.1109/LRA.2021.3057046.
- Bojarski, M., Del Testa, D., Dworakowski, D., Firner, B., Flepp, B., Goyal, P., et al. (2016). End to End Learning for Self-Driving Cars. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.07316>.
- Burda, Y., Edwards, H., Pathak, D., Storkey, A., Darrell, T., and Efros, A. A. (2018). Large-Scale Study of Curiosity-Driven Learning. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1808.04355>.
- Duan, Y., Schulman, J., Chen, X., Bartlett, P. L., Sutskever, I., and Abbeel, P. (2016). RL\$^2\$: Fast Reinforcement Learning via Slow Reinforcement Learning. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1611.02779>.
- Frans, K., Ho, J., Chen, X., Abbeel, P., and Schulman, J. (2017). Meta Learning Shared Hierarchies. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1710.09767>.
- Micheli, V., Alonso, E., and Fleuret, F. (2022). Transformers are Sample Efficient World Models. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2209.00588.
- Pathak, D., Agrawal, P., Efros, A. A., and Darrell, T. (2017). Curiosity-driven Exploration by Self-supervised Prediction. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.05363>.
- Popov, I., Heess, N., Lillicrap, T., Hafner, R., Barth-Maron, G., Vecerik, M., et al. (2017). Data-efficient Deep Reinforcement Learning for Dexterous Manipulation. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1704.03073>.