# Deep Reinforcement Learning

Dynamic Programming

Julien Vitay

Professur für Künstliche Intelligenz - Fakultät für Informatik

Dynamic Programming (DP)

evaluation
$V \rightarrow V^\pi$

π V

$\pi \rightarrow \text{greedy}(V)$

improvement

$\pi^* \rightleftharpoons V^*$

- Dynamic Programming (DP) iterates over two steps:

  1. **Policy evaluation**

     - For a given policy π, the value of all states $V^\pi(s)$ or all state-action pairs $Q^\pi(s, a)$ is calculated based on the Bellman equations:

$$V^\pi(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s, a) \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma V^\pi(s') \right]$$

  2. **Policy improvement**

     - From the current estimated values $V^\pi(s)$ or $Q^\pi(s, a)$, a new **better** policy π is derived.

$$\pi' \leftarrow \text{Greedy}(V^\pi)$$

- After enough iterations, the policy converges to the **optimal policy** (if the states are Markov).
- Two main algorithms: **policy iteration** and **value iteration**.

1 - Policy iteration

Policy evaluation

- Bellman equation for the state s and a fixed policy π:

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[r(s,a,s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s') \right]$$

- Let's note $\mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{ss'}$ the transition probability between s and s' (dependent on the policy π) and $\mathcal{R}^{\pi}_s$ the expected reward in s (also dependent):

$$\mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{ss'} = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a)\, p(s'|s,a)$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\pi}_s = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a)\, r(s,a,s')$$

- The Bellman equation becomes $V^{\pi}(s) = \mathcal{R}^{\pi}_s + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{P}^{\pi}_{ss'} V^{\pi}(s')$

- As we have a fixed policy during the evaluation (MRP), the Bellman equation is simplified.

Policy evaluation

- Let's now put the Bellman equations in a matrix-vector form.

$$V^\pi(s) = \mathcal{R}_s^\pi + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^\pi V^\pi(s')$$

- We first define the **vector of state values** $\mathbf{V}^\pi$:

$$\mathbf{V}^\pi = \begin{bmatrix} V^\pi(s_1) \\ V^\pi(s_2) \\ \vdots \\ V^\pi(s_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

- and the **vector of expected reward** $\mathbf{R}^\pi$:

$$\mathbf{R}^\pi = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}^\pi(s_1) \\ \mathcal{R}^\pi(s_2) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{R}^\pi(s_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

- The **state transition matrix** $\mathcal{P}^\pi$ is defined as:

$$\mathcal{P}^\pi = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{s_1 s_1}^\pi & \mathcal{P}_{s_1 s_2}^\pi & \cdots & \mathcal{P}_{s_1 s_n}^\pi \\ \mathcal{P}_{s_2 s_1}^\pi & \mathcal{P}_{s_2 s_2}^\pi & \cdots & \mathcal{P}_{s_2 s_n}^\pi \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{P}_{s_n s_1}^\pi & \mathcal{P}_{s_n s_2}^\pi & \cdots & \mathcal{P}_{s_n s_n}^\pi \end{bmatrix}$$

Policy evaluation

- You can simply check that:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} V^\pi(s_1) \\ V^\pi(s_2) \\ \vdots \\ V^\pi(s_n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}^\pi(s_1) \\ \mathcal{R}^\pi(s_2) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{R}^\pi(s_n) \end{bmatrix} + \gamma \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}^\pi_{s_1 s_1} & \mathcal{P}^\pi_{s_1 s_2} & \cdots & \mathcal{P}^\pi_{s_1 s_n} \\ \mathcal{P}^\pi_{s_2 s_1} & \mathcal{P}^\pi_{s_2 s_2} & \cdots & \mathcal{P}^\pi_{s_2 s_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{P}^\pi_{s_n s_1} & \mathcal{P}^\pi_{s_n s_2} & \cdots & \mathcal{P}^\pi_{s_n s_n} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} V^\pi(s_1) \\ V^\pi(s_2) \\ \vdots \\ V^\pi(s_n) \end{bmatrix}
$$

leads to the same equations as:

$$
V^\pi(s) = \mathbf{R}^\pi_s + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{P}^\pi_{ss'} \, V^\pi(s')
$$

for all states s.

- The Bellman equations for all states s can therefore be written with a matrix-vector notation as:

$$
\mathbf{V}^\pi = \mathbf{R}^\pi + \gamma \, \mathcal{P}^\pi \, \mathbf{V}^\pi
$$

Policy evaluation

- The Bellman equations for all states s is:

$$\mathbf{V}^{\pi} = \mathbf{R}^{\pi} + \gamma \, \mathcal{P}^{\pi} \, \mathbf{V}^{\pi}$$

- If we know $\mathcal{P}^{\pi}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{\pi}$ (dynamics of the MDP for the policy π), we can simply obtain the state values:

$$(\mathbb{I} - \gamma \, \mathcal{P}^{\pi}) \times \mathbf{V}^{\pi} = \mathbf{R}^{\pi}$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity matrix, what gives:

$$\mathbf{V}^{\pi} = (\mathbb{I} - \gamma \, \mathcal{P}^{\pi})^{-1} \times \mathbf{R}^{\pi}$$

- Done!
- **But**, if we have n states, the matrix $\mathcal{P}^{\pi}$ has n^2 elements.
- Inverting $\mathbb{I} - \gamma \, \mathcal{P}^{\pi}$ requires at least $\mathcal{O}(n^{2.37})$ operations.
- Forget it if you have more than a thousand states ($1000^{2.37} \approx 13$ million operations).
- In **dynamic programming**, we will use **iterative methods** to estimate $\mathbf{V}^{\pi}$.

Iterative policy evaluation

- The idea of **iterative policy evaluation** (IPE) is to consider a sequence of consecutive state-value functions which should converge from initially wrong estimates $V_0(s)$ towards the real state-value function $V^\pi(s)$.

$$V_0 \to V_1 \to V_2 \to \ldots \to V_k \to V_{k+1} \to \ldots \to V^\pi$$

- The value function at step $k+1$ $V_{k+1}(s)$ is computed using the previous estimates $V_k(s)$ and the Bellman equation transformed into an **update rule**.

- In vector notation:

$$\mathbf{V}_{k+1} = \mathbf{R}^\pi + \gamma\, \mathcal{P}^\pi\, \mathbf{V}_k$$

Source: David Silver.
http://www0.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/d.silver/web/Teaching.html

Iterative policy evaluation

- Let's start with dummy (e.g. random) initial estimates $V_0(s)$ for the value of every state s.

- We can obtain new estimates $V_1(s)$ which are slightly less wrong by applying once the **Bellman operator**:

$$V_1(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s, a) \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma V_0(s') \right] \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

- Based on these estimates $V_1(s)$, we can obtain even better estimates $V_2(s)$ by applying again the Bellman operator:

$$V_2(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s, a) \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma V_1(s') \right] \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

- Generally, state-value function estimates are improved iteratively through:

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s, a) \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s') \right] \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

- $V_\infty = V^\pi$ is a fixed point of this update rule because of the uniqueness of the solution to the Bellman equation.

Bellman operator

- The **Bellman operator** $\mathcal{T}^\pi$ is a mapping between two vector spaces:

$$\mathcal{T}^\pi(\mathbf{V}) = \mathbf{R}^\pi + \gamma\, \mathcal{P}^\pi\, \mathbf{V}$$

- If you apply repeatedly the Bellman operator on any initial vector $\mathbf{V}_0$, it converges towards the solution of the Bellman equations $\mathbf{V}^\pi$.

- Mathematically speaking, $\mathcal{T}^\pi$ is a γ-contraction, i.e. it makes value functions closer by at least γ:

$$||\mathcal{T}^\pi(\mathbf{V}) - \mathcal{T}^\pi(\mathbf{U})||_\infty \leq \gamma\, ||\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{U}||_\infty$$

- The **contraction mapping theorem** ensures that $\mathcal{T}^\pi$ converges to an unique fixed point:

  - Existence and uniqueness of the solution of the Bellman equations.

Backup diagram of IPE

- Iterative Policy Evaluation relies on **full backups**: it backs up the value of ALL possible successive states into the new value of a state.

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s,a) \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[r(s,a,s') + \gamma V_k(s') \right] \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

- **Backup diagram:** which other values do you need to know in order to update one value?

- The backups are **synchronous**: all states are backed up in parallel.

$$\mathbf{V}_{k+1} = \mathbf{R}^\pi + \gamma \, \mathcal{P}^\pi \, \mathbf{V}_k$$

- The termination of iterative policy evaluation has to be controlled by hand, as the convergence of the algorithm is only at the limit.

- It is good practice to look at the variations on the values of the different states, and stop the iteration when this variation falls below a predefined threshold.

Iterative policy evaluation

- For a fixed policy π, initialize $V(s) = 0 \; \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$.

- **while** not converged:

  - **for** all states s:

    - $V_{\text{target}}(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s, a) \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma \, V(s') \right]$

  - $\delta = 0$

  - **for** all states s:

    - $\delta = \max(\delta, |V(s) - V_{\text{target}}(s)|)$
    - $V(s) = V_{\text{target}}(s)$

  - **if** $\delta < \delta_{\text{threshold}}$:

    - converged = True

Dynamic Programming (DP)

evaluation

$V \to V^{\pi}$

π V

$\pi \to \text{greedy}(V)$

improvement

$\pi^* \longrightarrow V^*$

- Dynamic Programming (DP) iterates over two steps:

  1. **Policy evaluation**

     - For a given policy π, the value of all states $V^{\pi}(s)$ or all state-action pairs $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$ is calculated based on the Bellman equations:

     $$V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s, a) \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s') \right]$$

  2. **Policy improvement**

     - From the current estimated values $V^{\pi}(s)$ or $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$, a new **better** policy π is derived.

Policy improvement

- For each state s, we would like to know if we should deterministically choose an action $a \neq \pi(s)$ or not in order to improve the policy.

- The value of an action a in the state s for the policy π is given by:

$$Q^\pi(s,a) = \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[r(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V^\pi(s') \right]$$

- If the Q-value of an action a is higher than the one currently selected by the **deterministic** policy:

$$Q^\pi(s,a) > Q^\pi(s,\pi(s)) = V^\pi(s)$$

then it is better to select a once in s and thereafter follow π.

- If there is no better action, we keep the previous policy for this state.

- This corresponds to a **greedy** action selection over the Q-values, defining a **deterministic** policy $\pi(s)$:

$$\pi(s) \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_a Q^\pi(s,a) = \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a) \left[r(s,a,s') + \gamma \, V^\pi(s') \right]$$

Policy improvement

- After the policy improvement, the Q-value of each deterministic action $\pi(s)$ has increased or stayed the same.

$$\mathrm{argmax}_a Q^\pi(s,a) = \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a)\left[r(s,a,s') + \gamma\, V^\pi(s')\right] \geq Q^\pi(s, \pi(s))$$

- This defines an **improved** policy π', where all states and actions have a higher value than previously.

- **Greedy action selection** over the state value function implements policy improvement:

$$\pi' \leftarrow \mathrm{Greedy}(V^\pi)$$

Greedy policy improvement:

- **for** each state $s \in \mathcal{S}$:

  - $\pi(s) \leftarrow \mathrm{argmax}_a \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s,a)\left[r(s,a,s') + \gamma\, V^\pi(s')\right]$

Policy iteration

- Once a policy π has been improved using V^π to yield a better policy π', we can then compute $V^{\pi'}$ and improve it again to yield an even better policy π''.

- The algorithm **policy iteration** successively uses **policy evaluation** and **policy improvement** to find the optimal policy.

$$\pi_0 \xrightarrow{E} V^{\pi_0} \xrightarrow{I} \pi_1 \xrightarrow{E} V^{\pi^1} \xrightarrow{I} \dots \xrightarrow{I} \pi^* \xrightarrow{E} V^*$$

- The **optimal policy** being deterministic, policy improvement can be greedy over the state values.

- If the policy does not change after policy improvement, the optimal policy has been found.

Policy iteration

- Initialize a deterministic policy $\pi(s)$ and set $V(s) = 0 \ \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$.

- **while** π is not optimal:

  - **while** not converged: *# Policy evaluation*

    - **for** all states s:

      - $V_{\text{target}}(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s, a) \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma V(s') \right]$

    - **for** all states s:

      - $V(s) = V_{\text{target}}(s)$

  - **for** each state $s \in \mathcal{S}$: *# Policy improvement*

    - $\pi(s) \leftarrow \text{argmax}_a \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s') \right]$

  - **if** π has not changed: **break**

2 - Value iteration

Value iteration

- One drawback of **policy iteration** is that it uses a full policy evaluation, which can be computationally exhaustive as the convergence of V_k is only at the limit and the number of states can be huge.

- The idea of **value iteration** is to interleave policy evaluation and policy improvement, so that the policy is improved after EACH iteration of policy evaluation, not after complete convergence.

- As policy improvement returns a deterministic greedy policy, updating of the value of a state is then simpler:

$$V_{k+1}(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a)[r(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')]$$

- Note that this is equivalent to turning the **Bellman optimality equation** into an update rule.

- Value iteration converges to V^*, faster than policy iteration, and should be stopped when the values do not change much anymore.

Value iteration

- Initialize a deterministic policy $\pi(s)$ and set $V(s) = 0 \; \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$.

- **while** not converged:

  - **for** all states s:

    - $V_{\text{target}}(s) = \max_a \; \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma \, V(s') \right]$

  - $\delta = 0$

  - **for** all states s:

    - $\delta = \max(\delta, |V(s) - V_{\text{target}}(s)|)$
    - $V(s) = V_{\text{target}}(s)$

  - **if** $\delta < \delta_{\text{threshold}}$:

    - converged = True

Comparison of Policy- and Value-iteration

Full policy-evaluation backup

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \pi(s, a) \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma \, V_k(s') \right]$$

Full value-iteration backup

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma \, V_k(s') \right]$$

Asynchronous dynamic programming

- Synchronous DP requires exhaustive sweeps of the entire state set (**synchronous backups**).

  - **while** not converged:

    - **for** all states s:

      - $V_{\mathrm{target}}(s) = \max_a \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma V(s') \right]$

    - **for** all states s:

      - $V(s) = V_{\mathrm{target}}(s)$

- Asynchronous DP updates instead each state independently and asynchronously (**in-place**):

  - **while** not converged:

    - Pick a state s randomly (or following a heuristic).

    - Update the value of this state.

$$V(s) = \max_a \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} p(s'|s, a) \left[r(s, a, s') + \gamma V(s') \right]$$

- We must still ensure that all states are visited, but their frequency and order is irrelevant.

Efficiency of Dynamic Programming

- Policy-iteration and value-iteration consist of alternations between policy evaluation and policy improvement, although at different frequencies.

- This principle is called **Generalized Policy Iteration** (GPI).

- Finding an optimal policy is polynomial in the number of states and actions: $\mathcal{O}(n^2\, m)$ (n is the number of states, m the number of actions).

- However, the number of states is often astronomical, e.g., often growing exponentially with the number of state variables (what Bellman called **"the curse of dimensionality"**).

- In practice, classical DP can only be applied to problems with a few millions of states.

Curse of dimensionality

Source: https://medium.com/diogo-menezes-borges/give-me-the-antidote-for-the-curse-of-dimensionality-b14bce4bf4d2

- If one variable can be represented by 5 discrete values:

  - 2 variables necessitate 25 states,

  - 3 variables need 125 states, and so on…

- The number of states explodes exponentially with the number of dimensions of the problem.