COMPARISON OF A NOVEL CELL PHONE-BASED REFRACTION TECHNIQUE (NETRA-G) WITH SUBJECTIVE REFRACTION

Moore, Bruce D.
Solaka, Nadine
Pamplona, Vitor Fernando
Schafran, David
Canham, Amy
Raskar, Ramesh
Gaiser, Hilary

Purpose: To assess the performance of a cell phone based refracting device (NETRA-G). This study determines the accuracy of this prototype in determining refractive error in comparison to non-cycloplegic autorefraction (AR) and subjective refraction (SR).

Method: NETRA-G (NET) retrofits a high-resolution mobile phone (Samsung Galaxy S) by adding a pinhole mask, a film and a lens onto the display. The device is bi-ocular with the fellow eye viewing an object at infinity. The subject aligns red and green lines with the translation on screen proportional to refractive error. 13 subjects (mean + SD age 29.79 +/- 11.32) underwent AR, SR, and NET refraction. Subjects refractive error ranged from plano to -7.5D (mean + SD refraction -3.00 +/- 2.21D). Exclusion criteria included amblyopia and any ocular pathology.

Results: For all 13 subjects, the average difference between NET and SR is 0.56 +/- 0.64. The refraction determined by NET is well correlated with that of SR r=0.98 and the two measures were not statistically significantly different from each other (p>0.05). Orthogonal linear regression fit presented a slope of 0.92 and a y-intercept of -0.19D. 61% of the subjects had an error smaller than 0.5D and 23% smaller than 1D. The average difference between NET and AR is 0.56 +/-0.61 (correlated r=0.99).

Conclusions: When compared to SR, NET slightly overestimated the myopic refractive errors and had a small myopic offset of 0.19D. The results shows that NET has potential to be a used as an effective tool for rapidly estimating refractive errors by non-eyecare professionals. Accuracy of this novel technique is expected to increase as technology evolves.

Topic:Optics/refractive error/refractive surgery

Keywords:

Refraction

International Optometry

Instrumentation

Requested Format: Scientific Poster First Choice, Paper Second

Current Resident:No

Consents and Disclosures

Conflict of Interest:

Student Travel Fellowship: Wish To Be Considered