

For all Beta related questions, you should make use of the [Beta documentation](#), the [Beta Instruction Summary](#), and the [Beta Diagram](#).

Beta ISA: 1

4/4 points (ungraded)

In this problem, you will consider a number of plausible hardware faults in an otherwise working Beta processor. Each of the faults involves changing a particular output of the control logic to some new (incorrect) constant value. In each case, you are to evaluate the impact of the fault on each of the following Beta instructions:

I1: ST(R0, 0x100, R1)
 I2: JMP(LP, R31)
 I3: BEQ(R31, .+4, R0)
 I4: SUB(R1, R0, R0)

For each of the following faults, identify which (if any) of the above instructions will fail to work properly – that is, if the fault might effect the processor state (register and PC values) after the execution of the instruction. Be careful: some of these are tricky!

1. ALUFN stuck at code for "-" (32-bit SUBTRACT)

Which instruction(s) fail? Select all that apply.

☒ I1

☐ I2

☐ I3

☐ I4

☐ None



2. RA2SEL stuck at 1

Which instruction(s) fail? Select all that apply.

☐ I1

☐ I2

☐ I3

☐ I4

☒ None



3. WERF stuck at 0

Which instruction(s) fail? Select all that apply.

☐ I1

☐ I2

☒ I3

☒ I4

☐ None



4. BSEL stuck at code 0

Which instruction(s) fail? Select all that apply.

☒ I1

☐ I2

☐ I3

☐ I4

☐ None


Beta ISA: 2

40 points possible (ungraded)

Marketing has asked for the following instructions to be added to an Extended Beta instruction set, for implementation on a Beta as implemented in Lecture and in the lab.

```

CLEAR2(Rx, Ry)           // Clear two registers
    Reg[Rx] ← 0
    Reg[Ry] ← 0
    PC ← PC + 4

NOR(Rx, Ry, Rz)          // Bitwise NOR: Rz[i] = NOR(Rx[i], Ry[i])
    Reg[Rz] ← bitwise NOR of Reg[Rx], Reg[Ry]
    PC ← PC + 4

LDRADR(C, Rx)           // Load Relative Address
    Reg[Rx] ← PC+4+4*SEXT(C)
    PC ← PC + 4

LDINCR(Rx, C, Ry)       // Load Incremented
    EA ← Reg[Rx]+SEXT(C)
    Reg[Ry] = Mem[EA] + 1
  
```

The Marketing people don't care about details of instruction coding (e.g., which fields are used to encode Rx and Ry in the above descriptions), but want to know which if any of the above can be implemented as a **single instruction** in the **existing Beta simply by changing the control ROM**.

Your job is to decide which of the above instructions can be implemented on the existing Beta, making appropriate choices for Rx and Ry, and to specify control signals that implement those instructions.

For each instruction select the appropriate values for the control signals in the table below. Select "None" for all entries in a row if the instruction cannot be implemented using the existing Beta datapath. Select "--" to indicate a "don't care" value for a control signal.

Instr	ALUFN	WERF	BSEL	WDSEL	MOE	MWR	RA2SEL
CLEAR2	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>
NOR	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>
LDRADR	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>
LDINCR	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>	<input type="button" value="Select an option"/>

Beta ISA: 3

1/1 point (ungraded)

You modify a working BETA by connecting the **JT** input to the PCSEL MUX to the constant 0, rather than to its proper logic. Which instructions, if any, are effected by this change?

Instruction(s) effected (select all that apply):

☐ BNE

☒ JMP

☐ ADD

☐ ST

☐ None


✓ Correct (1/1 point)

Beta ISA: 4

2/3 points (ungraded)

For the Beta instruction sequence shown below, indicate the values of the specified quantities after the sequence has been executed.

```

.=0
CMOVE(0x6000, SP)
PUSH(SP)
HALT()

```

Value left in SP (HEX): 0x

✓ Answer: 6004

Value pushed onto stack (HEX): 0x

Answer: 6004

Value of WDESEL control signal during CMOVE execution: 0x:

✓ Answer: 1

Explanation

This program is tricky because it uses the stack pointer, SP register. The **CMOVE** instruction sets $SP = 0 \times 6000$. The **PUSH(SP)** then performs two operations. The first is that it increments the SP to point to the next location on the stack, so it sets $SP = 0 \times 6000 + 4 = 0 \times 6004$. It then pushes the value that is in register SP into that location in the stack. Since SP has already been incremented to 0×6004 , that is the value that gets stored on the stack.

The WDESEL control signal = 1 in when executing the **CMOVE** instruction because the constant that is to be stored is passed through input B of the ALU and therefore, the ALU output must be fed to the register file as the data to be written.

Beta ISA: 5

11 points possible (ungraded)

Many problems, like sorting, require swapping data in two memory locations. The following assembly code swaps the contents of two words in memory, with addresses stored in R0 and R1:

```

LD(R0, 0, R2)
LD(R1, 0, R3)
ST(R2, 0, R1)
ST(R3, 0, R0)

```

- Suppose we add a SWAP instruction to the Beta. SWAP swaps the contents of a register and a memory location:

```

SWAP(Ra, literal, Rc)    // Swap register contents with memory
EA ← Reg[Ra] + SEXT(literal)
tmp ← Mem[EA]
Mem[EA] ← Reg[Rc]
Reg[Rc] ← tmp
PC ← PC + 4

```

Which of the following pieces of code is a valid rewrite of the code above?



```

LD(R1, 0, R2)
SWAP(R1, 0, R2)
ST(R2, 0, R0)

```

☐

LD(R0, 0, R2)
SWAP(R1, 0, R2)
ST(R2, 0, R0)

☐

LD(R0, 0, R2)
SWAP(R1, 0, R2)
ST(R2, 0, R1)

☐

SWAP(R0, 0, R1)

2. Can we implement the SWAP instruction using the unpipelined Beta datapath, by simply changing the control ROM? Recall that, in the unpipelined datapath, the data memory has combinational reads, and writes are clocked, happening at the end of the cycle. Also assume that the memory still returns valid data when WR=1. Either fill in the control signals below, or select NONE for all cases if SWAP cannot be implemented.

Instr	ALUFN	WERF	BSEL	WDSEL	MOE	MWR	RA2SEL
SWAP	<div>Select an option ▾</div>	<div>Select an option ▾</div>	<div>Select an option ▾</div>	<div>Select an option ▾</div>	<div>Select an option ▾</div>	<div>Select an option ▾</div>	<div>Select an option ▾</div>

Submit

Beta ISA: 6

4 points possible (ungraded)

A "stuck-at" fault happens when a signal is shorted to VDD or GND and is permanently a logic 1 or logic 0. For each of the following stuck-at faults there is a list of instruction opcodes – please select the opcodes whose execution might be affected by the indicated fault.

1. RA2SEL stuck-at logic "0"

☐ ADD

☐ ADDC

☐ LD

☐ ST

☐ JMP

☐ BEQ

☐ BNE

☐ LDR

☐ Illop

2. BSEL stuck-at logic "1"

☐ ADD

☐ ADDC

☐ LD

☐ ST☐ JMP☐ BEQ☐ BNE☐ LDR☐ Illop

3. WDSEL[1] (high-order bit of WDSEL mux select) stuck-at logic "0"

☐ ADD☐ ADDC☐ LD☐ ST☐ JMP☐ BEQ☐ BNE☐ LDR☐ Illop

4. WERF stuck-at logic "1"

☐ ADD☐ ADDC☐ LD☐ ST☐ JMP☐ BEQ☐ BNE☐ LDR☐ Illop

Submit

Beta ISA: 7

6 points possible (ungraded)

Your summer internship involves adding new instructions to the Beta processor. You're given a list of proposed new Beta instructions, each of which is to perform a given operation during the **single clock cycle** in which the instruction executes. You decide to sort the proposals into four classes:

- **Macro**: those instructions that can be implemented on a stock Beta, simply by defining an appropriate macro;
- **CTL**: those that can be implemented on a stock Beta, by defining an appropriate macro and making appropriate changes to the control ROM;
- **HW**: those instructions that require hardware changes beyond reprogramming the control ROM.
- **None**: The instruction as described can't be implemented, even with hardware changes.

For each of the following descriptions, identify which of the above categories the instruction falls into.

1. **ADD37(r)** which adds 37 to the contents of specified register r.

☐ Macro

☐ CTL

☐ Hardware

☐ None

2. **ZERO2(rx,ry)** which sets the contents of both registers rx and ry to zero.

☐ Macro

☐ CTL

☐ Hardware

☐ None

3. **GETPC(rx)** which sets the contents of register rx to the address of the following instruction.

☐ Macro

☐ CTL

☐ Hardware

☐ None

4. **GETADR(loc, rx)** which sets the contents of register rx to the address of a nearby location tagged loc.

☐ Macro

☐ CTL

☐ Hardware

☐ None

5. **ISMEMZERO(rx,ry)** which sets the contents of register ry to the 1 if the main memory location whose address is in register rx contains zero, else ry is set to 0.

☐ Macro

☐ CTL

☐ Hardware

☐ None

6. **BITCLEAR(rx,ry)** which clears (sets to zero) the bits of register ry for which the corresponding bits of rx have the value 1; other bits of ry are left unchanged.

☐ Macro

☐ CTL

☐ Hardware

☐ None

Submit

Beta ISA: 8

10 points possible (ungraded)
Marketing has asked for the following instruction to be added to an Extended Beta instruction set, for implementation on an unpipelined Beta.

```
BGT(Rx, Ry, C )
EA ← PC+4+4*SEXT(C)
If Reg[Rx] > Reg[Ry] then PC ← EA
else PC ← PC + 4
```

The Marketing people don't care about details of instruction coding (e.g., which fields are used to encode Rx and Ry in the above descriptions), but want to know if BGT can be implemented as a **single instruction** in the **existing Beta simply by changing the control ROM**. If so, fill in the appropriate values for the control signals in the table below. Otherwise, select NONE for each control signal. Use "-" to indicate a "don't care" value for a control signal.

Instr	ALUFN	WERF	BSEL	WDSEL	MOE	MWR	RA2SEL	PC
BGT	<div>Select an option</div>	<div>Select an option</div>	<div>Select an option</div>	<div>Select an option</div>	<div>Select an option</div>	<div>Select an option</div>	<div>Select an option</div>	<div>S</div>

Submit

Discussion

Topic: 13. Building the Beta / Tutorial: Beta ISA

Hide Discussion

Add a Post

Show all posts

by recent activity

Beta ISA 6 part C

"WDSEL[1] (high-order bit of WDSEL mux select) stuck-at logic "0": makes it impossible to set WDSEL = 2 which is required for any type of load operation. So LD...

5

NOR in Beta ISA : 2

I am not able to understand the explanation provided for NOR function to be implemented with ALU. I am just clueless as to what is it trying to say in the explanati...

5

Tip for entering NONEs en masse

(This probably doesn't work on every OS/browser, but it works in Chrome on Windows) Click the first field, then hit the END key, and keep alternating TAB and EN...

2

☒ TP 7.D - Beta ISA - GETADR(lox, Rx) - Why not 'macro'?

I might be misunderstanding something, but I believe this instruction: GETADR(loc, rx) can be replaced by a single macro: CMOVE(loc, rx) given that **loc** is simply...

3

[STAFF] MOE when using ST

Hi, Control logic table says MOE should be 0 when using ST. Why MOE should be 0 and no "Don't Care". If WDSEL is "Don't Care" and WERF is 0, MOW could be...

2