

Analysis of Irreversible Phenomena via τ -Manifold: A Formal τ -Analysis Approach

Vitor Sbizera Simões

November 2025

1 Introduction

Irreversibility is a fundamental topic in both pure and applied sciences, including mathematics, mathematical physics, and complex systems. Despite extensive efforts by leading researchers, traditional methods often rely on approximations or computationally intensive algorithms. Consequently, many irreversible phenomena — such as entropy evolution or complex economic fluctuations — remain only partially understood. In this preprint I will introduce a novel formalism for treating irreversibility not merely as a unavoidable loss of information, but as a structured domain — a τ -manifold, systematically designed to contain and control information dissipation.

To formalize an irreversible process, we introduce a unit τ satisfying:

$$\sqrt{\tau} = -1$$

Squaring both sides yields $\tau = 1$, however, the original negative sign is lost in the squaring process, indicating an intrinsic loss of information. This property exemplifies the irreversible nature encoded in τ and motivates its use as a foundational unit within the τ -manifold.

2 The τ -Sphere

To follow the chronological sequence of the theory, for an advance on mathematical abstraction I shall introduce the idea of time-current. The time is one of the most logical and direct ideals to comprehend irreversibility, in the sight that, a change in some parameter in some point in a given time position, may affect future events chaotically.

The interpretation of time-current is directly connected with the definition of circulation - described as follows:

Once a perturbation at t_0 is done, the time current takes the path towards a point t ; the path walked by the current passes along a circumference, which represents geometrically the τ -space. According to the degree of reversibility of the analyzed system, the current scapes by an angle ξ compared with the original route, and such an angle describes the degree of irreversibility of the system. By convention, because the degree of irreversibility is not periodic, the angle ξ is denoted to be hyperbolic, $-\infty < \xi < \infty$, such that, when $\xi = 0$, there is absence of deviation, and when $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ the complete irreversibility happens (in hyperbolic coordinates it's similar to affirm orthogonality).

Definition I: The τ -sphere - S_τ , is a remarkable artifice to interpret the τ -space geometry: It consists of poles representing the supremum of $\sup(S_\tau)$:

$$\sup(S_\tau) = \begin{cases} \pm i, \text{ latitudinally} \\ \pm 1, \text{ longitudinally} \end{cases}$$

The previously seen circulation consists in a sub circumference of S_τ . Inside the sphere the pure τ domain is evident; the center of the sphere is the τ -unit, far from a distance \aleph of the vertexes (that changes according to the chosen direction).

Theorem I: Let $\sqrt{\tau} = -1$, then:

- 1) $\tau^{\frac{1}{4}} = i$
- 2) $\tau^2 \neq 1$, otherwise, information dispersion occurs.

Therefore, rational powers of the τ -unit are represented as complex numbers, but the same is not true for real numbers ■

3 Mathematical Framework

This section will be entirely dedicated to analyzing what these simple properties of S_τ imply in a more systematic mathematical framework.

The current situation requires an introduction of operators; their function in τ -analysis is extremely important and provides a direct and clear interpretation and application using the concepts explored hitherto.

Let : $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the called τ -inversion operator, which diverse meanings depending the analyzed situations – I shall present some of them in detail further.

For every operator, there must be a function in which the same can be applied:

Remark: We are going to use Dirac notation for inner products; bra's $\langle |$ and ket's $| \rangle$, due to many similarities our approach has with Quantum Mechanics.

Let $q = z + \tau w; z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, be a τ -variable – this is, a well-defined variable inside S_τ . Then, let $f_\tau(q)$ be a function $f_\tau : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. The following process will be, with caution, to adopt a similar approach to what is done in Complex Analysis and derive precisely the two kinds of τ -functions:

1. τ -trivial functions
2. τ -analytic functions

For a well behaved τ -function $f_\tau : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ in which is integrable; differentiable by each one of its components (z, w) ; continuous under the period of integration we define a τ -integral of f_τ as follows:

Where f_τ is identified as a field, and S_τ as a path in which the field acts.

3.1 Definition of τ -trivial functions

Given $f_\tau(q) = (z, w) + (z, w)$:

- By Stokes Theorem we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \oint u(z, w) + \tau v(z, w) dz + \tau dw \\ &= \oint (\nabla \times f_\tau) dz dw = \oint \left(\frac{\partial v(z, w)}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial u(z, w)}{\partial w} \right) dz dw \end{aligned}$$

- τ -trivial functions are those in which $\frac{\partial v(z, w)}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial u(z, w)}{\partial w}$, such that the τ -integral is zero.

τ -trivial functions led to instantaneous understanding about the rotation the field f_τ enforces – the rotation is zero, therefore the angle ξ has an absence of deviation, then, τ -trivial functions represent the called irreversibility static functions.

3.2 Definition of τ -analytic functions

Let $q = z + \tau w; f_\tau(q) = f_\tau(q(z, w))$, then:

Analyzing a specific case – when $w = 0 \Rightarrow q = z \in \mathbb{C}$ – we are led to the following corollary:

Corollary I: The case $w = 0$ implies $q = z$, then $f_\tau(q(z, w)) = f_\tau(q(z, 0)) = f_\tau(q(z))$, however, $q = z$, therefore, $f_\tau(q(z, 0)) \mapsto f(z)$ – implying the absence of the influence of S_τ . Such an absence implies a remarkable simplification to the standard τ -integral:

- Therefore, such an integral is on the complex domain – which is clearly reversible. This concludes that the complex space \mathbb{C} is a proper subset of the τ -space T : Given $q \in T$; $q = z + \tau w$; $w = 0$ implies $q = z$; z is a variable in the complex space.

Thus, $z \in \mathbb{C}$; $z = q(z, w = 0) \in T \Rightarrow \mathbb{C} \subset T \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a proper subset of T .

Now, the context requires the introduction of the reversibility operator (): Such an operator takes a function in the complex manifold $f(z) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and maps the latter in the τ -manifold $\bar{\cdot} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T$. Symmetrically, ${}^{-1}$ is defined as being a mapping of T onto $C - {}^{-1} : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

The junction of and ${}^{-1}$ forms the operator $= {}^{-1}$. Applying the new definitions to the latter analyzed case $w = 0$: ${}^{-1}f_\tau(q(z, 0)) = f_\tau(q(z, 0)) = \bar{f}_\tau(q(z, 0))$; \bar{f} is called the identity eigenvalue. In such a case the irreversible component of the τ -variable, w , is zero, so then, $f_\tau(q(z, 0)) \mapsto f(z)$, and behaves statically with respect to dissipation of information.

For generalize the operator approach for an arbitrary well-behaved endofunction f_τ is crucial the addition of the variable w – which carries the irreversibility. Then, the influence of the reversibility operator under a potentially irreversible τ -function requires a scale of measurement of the dissipation – an eigenvalue labeled io , such that:

$$|f_\tau(q(z, w)) = \text{io}|f_\tau(q(z, w))$$

Such a relation is well known in linear algebra – the eigenvalue relation. τ -functions that respect the eigenvalue relation (those which are eigenfunctions of io) are said to be τ -analytic.

The reversibility operators can be recognized as homomorphisms betwixt \mathbb{C} and T ; and this relation, assuming that $\bar{\cdot}$ consists in a mapping, is explored in *Theorem II*:

Theorem II (Structural Reversibility Condition: Let $\bar{\cdot} : \mathbb{C}^1 \rightarrow T^1$ a mapping from \mathbb{C}^1 onto T^1 – then $\bar{\cdot}$ is an anti-homomorphism from \mathbb{C} to T and ${}^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from T to \mathbb{C} , and, since the eigenvalue $\text{io} = 1$, then $\mathbb{C}^1 \cong T^1$

Remark: The notation $A \cong B$ for two sets denotes “A is isomorphic to B”

$$\rightarrow \text{Let } f_\tau(q) : \mathbb{C}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1; g_\tau : \mathbb{C}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1 \Rightarrow {}^{-1}|f_\tau(q(z, w))> = f(z); {}^{-1}g_\tau(q(z, w)) =$$

$g(z) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} f_\tau \\ g_\tau \end{cases} = \text{io} \begin{cases} f_\tau \\ g_\tau \end{cases} \Rightarrow = \hat{I}, \text{ otherwise :} \\ =^{-1} : \mathbb{T}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\blacksquare 1}, \text{ i.e., } : \mathbb{T}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\blacksquare 1}, \text{ unless } \text{io} = 1 \text{ is the unique case in which } \mathbb{T}^1 \cong \mathbb{T}^{\blacksquare 1}, \text{ which proves the statement two.} \end{cases}$

- $\mathbb{T}^{\blacksquare 1}$ is called the stable subset of \mathbb{T} , and such a relation shall be explained in the next section. ; Since returns to a different set of the which $^{-1}$ has departed; and as Corollary I affirms that \mathbb{C} is a proper subset of \mathbb{T} , then:

1. $^{-1} : \mathbb{T}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1$
2. $: \mathbb{C}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\blacksquare 1}$

Which proves statement one, and completes the proof

- Below is an schematic representation of Theorem II:

Remark: $f_\tau(q)$ is an endofunction in \mathbb{T}^1 , while $f_\tau(q^\blacksquare)$ is an endofunction in $\mathbb{T}^{\blacksquare 1}$.

Corollary II: For a well-behaved endofunction $f_\tau(q(z, w))$, its stable form, $f_\tau^\blacksquare(q(z, w))$, under a τ -integration is equivalent to the complex integration of $f_\tau^\blacksquare(q(z, 0)) = f^\blacksquare(z)$:

By Corollary I:

4 Degrees of Freedom of Irreversibility Multiple τ -Dimensions

A diversity of observable phenomena – most of them, have a complex system of dependencies of variables – and therefore a more entangled chain of irreversible factors; that when blended, transforms a predictable system into pseudo-chaotic or even chaotic systems.

The main goal of this section is to develop a higher understanding of pseudo-chaotic systems, and how the latter can be broken out into isolated and simpler systems, that yet connect with each other.

Pseudo-chaotic systems appear chaotic only because **repeated anti-homomorphisms** distort a reversible structure.

4.1 Anti-homomorphism and the codification of irreversibility

Definition II: Let ; $^{-1}$ represent an anti-homomorphism and homomorphism, respectively (as proved in *Theorem II*); $: \mathbb{C}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^1; ^{-1} : \mathbb{T}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1$; $= ^{-1}$ and a τ -function $f_\tau(q) = (z, w) + (z, w)$. To analyze the nth degree of freedom of irreversibility of $f_\tau(q)$ there is a necessity of extend the τ -space from \mathbb{T}^1 to \mathbb{T}^n . Then, each component of f_τ takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\sigma=1}^n |e_\sigma\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n |e_j\rangle \end{aligned}$$

; the previously defined eigenvalue relation takes the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} =_{\text{IO}} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Such a definition led us to comprehend why *Theorem II* limited the degree of freedom of to $n = 1$ – for $n > 1$ it is necessary to analyze each eigenvalue component by itself, with respect to its respective n .

4.2 τ -holomorphic functions and the Dispersion Derivative

The cartesian representation of a τ -function with n degrees of freedom is not trivial – in the sight that $3n$ dimensions would be necessary to graph the latter. For this subtopic of *Section 3*, we will follow strictly the derivation made by Cauchy of the conditions for a holomorphic function, however, extending it to τ -functions.

In order to keep the clearness and the geometric interpretation of τ -functions, the approach to be used will have much in common with the complex analysis:

- Let $q^\square \in \mathbb{T}^n; q^\square = \sum_{m=1}^n \chi_m^\square |e_m\rangle + \sum_{l=1}^n \psi_l^\square |e_l\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\sigma=1}^n {}_\sigma |e_\sigma\rangle \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n {}_j |e_j\rangle \\
\partial &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_m^\square} \sum_{j=1}^n {}_j |e_j\rangle d\chi_m^\square + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \sum_{j=1}^n {}_j |e_j\rangle d\psi_l^\square \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \chi_m^\square} |e_j\rangle d\chi_m^\square + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \psi_l^\square} |e_j\rangle d\psi_l^\square \\
\partial &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_m^\square} \sum_{\sigma=1}^n {}_\sigma |e_\sigma\rangle d\chi_m^\square + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \sum_{\sigma=1}^n {}_\sigma |e_\sigma\rangle d\psi_l^\square \\
&= \sum_{\sigma=1}^n \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \chi_m^\square} |e_\sigma\rangle d\chi_m^\square + \sum_{\sigma=1}^n \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \psi_l^\square} |e_\sigma\rangle d\psi_l^\square \\
\Rightarrow \partial_j &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \chi_m^\square} & \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} d\chi_m^\square \\ d\psi_l^\square \end{bmatrix} \\
\Rightarrow \partial_\sigma &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \chi_m^\square} & \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} d\chi_m^\square \\ d\psi_l^\square \end{bmatrix} \\
\therefore \begin{bmatrix} \partial_j \\ \partial_\sigma \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \chi_m^\square} & \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \\ \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \chi_m^\square} & \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} d\chi_m^\square \\ d\psi_l^\square \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

- \check{K} is the matrix of the derivatives of the components of $\partial_j; \partial \nmid \sigma$ – called the Jacobian Transition Matrix.

The conditions for derivable functions in the τ -space is provided by multiplying the τ -variable q_{ml} by its stable differential form dq_{ml}^\square ; (the indexes $m; l$ indicate the m th complex component and the l th τ -component):

Let $q_{ml} = \chi_m |e_m\rangle + \psi_l |e_l\rangle$; $dq_{ml}^\square = d\chi_m^\square |e_m\rangle + d\psi_l^\square |e_l\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow q dq^\square &= (\chi_m |e_m\rangle + \psi_l |e_l\rangle)(d\chi_m^\square |e_m\rangle + d\psi_l^\square |e_l\rangle) \\
&= \chi_m |e_m\rangle d\chi_m^\square |e_m\rangle + \chi_m |e_m\rangle d\psi_l^\square |e_l\rangle + \psi_l |e_l\rangle d\chi_m^\square |e_m\rangle + \psi_l |e_l\rangle d\psi_l^\square |e_l\rangle \\
&= \psi_l |e_l\rangle d\psi_l^\square |e_l\rangle + \chi_m |e_m\rangle d\chi_m^\square |e_m\rangle^2 + (\chi_m d\psi_l^\square |e_l\rangle + \psi_l d\chi_m^\square |e_l\rangle) |e_m\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

- **Remark:** $|e_m\rangle^2 \equiv \tau^2$, which is remained as τ^2 , but treated as an outside element of the τ -vector, as demonstrates the organization of the elements above.

$$\Rightarrow qdq^\square = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_l & \tau^2 \chi_m \\ \chi_m & \psi_l \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} d\chi_m^\square \\ d\psi_l^\square \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_j \\ \partial_\sigma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \chi_m^\square} & \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \\ \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \chi_m^\square} & \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} d\chi_m^\square \\ d\psi_l^\square \end{bmatrix} \\ qdq^\square = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_l & \tau^2 \chi_m \\ \chi_m & \psi_l \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} d\chi_m^\square \\ d\psi_l^\square \end{bmatrix} \end{cases}$$

Definition III: $f_\tau(q)$ is holomorphic iff $q dq^\square \equiv \begin{bmatrix} d\chi_m^\square \\ d\psi_l^\square \end{bmatrix}$

That's clear, because the matrix of the derivatives of f_τ must be \check{K} , then:

$$\begin{aligned} q dq^\square &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} d\chi_m^\square \\ d\psi_l^\square \end{bmatrix} \iff \\ \begin{bmatrix} \psi_l & \tau^2 \chi_m \\ \chi_m & \psi_l \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \chi_m^\square} & \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \\ \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \chi_m^\square} & \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \end{bmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow \psi_l &= \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \chi_m^\square} \\ \Rightarrow \tau^2 \chi_m &= \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \therefore \chi_m = \tau^2 \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \\ \Rightarrow \psi_l &= \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \psi_l^\square} \\ \Rightarrow \chi_m &= \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \chi_m^\square} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \chi_m^\square} = \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \psi_l^\square}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tau^2 \frac{\partial_j}{\partial \psi_l^\square} = \frac{\partial_\sigma}{\partial \chi_m^\square}$$

- Here, one can easily assimilate the conditions for holomorphic τ -functions with the τ -trivial functions' condition, although the same are deduced in a completely distinctive approach. However, there is the necessity to point out that such conditions are independent of each other and are not the same assumption.

4.3 Application: Normalization of f_τ

- The τ -function is a remarkable artifice to decode dispersion of information – highlighted when the latter is in \mathbb{T}^n , then, the dispersion is broken-out in an nth dimension vector field. However, questions such as if any τ -function is allowed, and if which of the latter have a real meaning need to be retorted:

- In the following derivation, notations such as $\xi_\alpha; \xi_\beta$ will represent two different scape-angles from the τ -sphere; $H(q)$ used to denote a well-behaved function in the τ -sphere – which is τ -integrable; τ -analytic and endomorphic. $\alpha; \beta$ are going to be used to represent transformations of the τ -functions depending on $\alpha; \beta$, respectively; $\text{io}_\alpha; \text{io}_\beta$ the eigenvalues.

- Given two functions $H_\alpha(q); H_\beta(q)$ in hyperbolic coordinates - $H(\mathbf{R}, \xi_\alpha); H(\mathbf{R}, \xi_\beta)$, their inner product is defined as follows:

$$\langle \text{io}_\alpha H(\mathbf{R}, \xi_\alpha) | \text{io}_\beta H(\mathbf{R}, \xi_\beta) \rangle = \text{io}_\alpha \text{io}_\beta \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

; $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Kronecker Delta, defined as follows: $\delta_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha = \beta \\ 0, & \alpha \neq \beta \end{cases}$

Therefore, the inner product is just different of zero when the angles are equal. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{io}_\alpha H(\mathbf{R}, \xi_\alpha) | \text{io}_\beta H(\mathbf{R}, \xi_\beta) \rangle &= \iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} \overline{(\text{io}_\alpha H(\mathbf{R}, \xi_\alpha))} (\text{io}_\beta H(\mathbf{R}, \xi_\beta)) d\mathbf{R} d\xi = \text{io}_\alpha \text{io}_\beta \delta_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\Rightarrow \iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} \overline{(\text{io}_\alpha H(\mathbf{R}, \xi_\alpha))} (\text{io}_\beta H(\mathbf{R}, \xi_\beta)) d\mathbf{R} d\xi =_{eigenvalue} \iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} \overline{(\alpha H(\mathbf{R}, \xi_\alpha))} (\beta H(\mathbf{R}, \xi_\beta)) d\mathbf{R} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

Theorem IV: If H_α^\square and H_β^\square are the stable form of H_α and H_β , respectively, and are homomorphisms and anti-homomorphisms, respectively ($\alpha^{-1}; \beta^{-1} : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n; \alpha, \beta : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$) – (as proved in *Theorem II*), then the stable function of the product of H_α and H_β is also stable.

- If α^{-1} and β are homomorphisms and anti-homomorphisms, respectively, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{-1} |\zeta \Phi\rangle &= \alpha^{-1} |\zeta\rangle^{-1} |\Phi\rangle \\ ; |\zeta \Phi\rangle &= |\Phi\rangle |\zeta\rangle \end{aligned}$$

For any two τ -functions $\zeta; \Phi \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

$$\Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} |\zeta \Phi\rangle = |\zeta\rangle^{-1} |\Phi\rangle = \alpha^{-1} |\Phi\rangle^{-1} |\zeta\rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow |\zeta\Phi\rangle = |\Phi\rangle |\zeta\rangle$$

- Applying $\mathbb{H}_\alpha; \mathbb{H}_\beta$:

$$|\mathbb{H}_\alpha \mathbb{H}_\beta\rangle = |\mathbb{H}_\beta\rangle |\mathbb{H}_\alpha\rangle = \mathbb{H}_\alpha^\square \mathbb{H}_\beta^\square, \text{ which is stable.}$$

This completes our proof, and provides the necessary information to proceed with the main derivation ■

- Applying *Theorem IV* to the double integral:

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} \overline{(\alpha \mathbb{H}(\mathbf{x}, \xi_\alpha))} (\beta \mathbb{H}(\mathbf{x}, \xi_\beta)) d\mathbf{x} d\xi = \iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} \overline{(\mathbb{H}^\square(\mathbf{x}, \xi_\beta))} (\mathbb{H}^\square(\mathbf{x}, \xi_\alpha)) d\mathbf{x} d\xi$$

- By *Corollary I; Corollary II*:

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} \overline{(\mathbb{H}^\square(\mathbf{x}, \xi_\beta))} (\mathbb{H}^\square(\mathbf{x}, \xi_\alpha)) d\mathbf{x} d\xi = \iint_{\Gamma \in \mathbb{C}^2} \overline{(\mathbb{H}^\square(\mathbf{x}, \xi_\beta))} (\mathbb{H}^\square(\mathbf{x}, \xi_\alpha)) d\mathbf{x} d\xi = \mathbf{i}\omega_\alpha \mathbf{i}\omega_\beta \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

- And for $\beta = \alpha$:

$$\iint_{\Gamma \in \mathbb{C}^2} \overline{(\mathbb{H}^\square(\mathbf{x}, \xi_\beta))} (\mathbb{H}^\square(\mathbf{x}, \xi_\alpha)) d\mathbf{x} d\xi = \iint_{\Gamma \in \mathbb{C}^2} |\mathbb{H}^\square(\mathbf{x}, \xi_\alpha)|^2 d\mathbf{x} d\xi = \mathbf{i}\omega_\alpha^2$$

- The index α can be removed without any loss of generality:

$$\therefore \iint_{\Gamma \in \mathbb{C}^2} |\mathbb{H}^\square(\mathbf{x}, \xi)|^2 d\mathbf{x} d\xi = \mathbf{i}\omega^2$$

5 The Foundations of the τ -Line Integral Algorithm

In this section we are going to derive the result that intersects the intuition with the mathematical formalism of the geometry of S_τ .

For such a realization, the formal treatment of the already introduced τ -integral is required – this section will provide enough formalization of the latter until it reaches the domain of Complex Analysis, where the concepts become auto explainable – based on the solid mathematical axioms that already exist.

- **Remark:** For this section, the brief simplification of the degrees of freedom of irreversibility will be adopted $(\mathbb{T}^1; \mathbb{C}^1)$ – and farther transcribed to tensor formalism in $(\mathbb{T}^n; \mathbb{C}^n)$.

5.1 Spherical Hyperbolic Coordinates:

The τ -integral, conceptually, is a field over S_τ ; S_τ is regarded as the path in which the field is acting on. The following representation indicates the τ -sphere in hyperbolic coordinates, indicating the $\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{C}; \mathbb{R}$ axes:

- For a τ -variable $q \in \mathbb{T}^1$; $q = z + \tau w$, the transformation of coordinates takes the form:

$$\begin{cases} z = \Re \cosh \xi \\ w = \Re \sinh \xi \end{cases}$$

- $|q| = \sqrt{z^2 - w^2} = \Re$
- R is the projection of \Re onto the Argand-Gauss plane – the radius of the complex numbers in its polar-form; associated with the angle φ , likewise in Complex Analysis.
- S_τ can be partitioned into three inner circumferences to be analyzed: $S_\tau = \{\{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{C}}\}, \{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}}\}, \{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}}\}\}$; a remarkable circumference betwixt the partitions of S_τ is $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}}$ – because the same is framework of Complex Analysis.

Therefore, it is clear the necessity to find the Jacobian of such a transformation of variables:

- The Jacobian Matrix for one irreversible dimension takes the form:

$$J(\Re, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \Re} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial \Re} \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial \xi} \end{bmatrix}$$

- It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} J(\Re, \xi) &= \begin{bmatrix} \cosh \xi & \sinh \xi \\ \Re \sinh \xi & \Re \cosh \xi \end{bmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow \det J(\Re, \xi) &= \begin{vmatrix} \cosh \xi & \sinh \xi \\ \Re \sinh \xi & \Re \cosh \xi \end{vmatrix} = \Re^2 \end{aligned}$$

Historical Context I: In order to compute the area related to a period of a specific partition of S_τ – for purposes of exemplification the period $z = [0, 1]; w = [0, i]$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{C}}$, forming a triangle, would be methodologic to:

1. Calculate the area of the triangle, which would result in a null area.
2. Use **standard integration:**

Let ε be a variable in the τ -space, however, assuming that the standard integration applies to it:

$$\int_0^1 f(q) dq = i \int_0^1 q dq = i \frac{q^2}{2} \Big|_0^1 = \frac{i}{2}$$

- However, the area of the triangle calculated by *base * height* and by using integration doesn't match, therefore, what was concluded is that neither of the algorithms for calculating such an area were valid – then – a new algorithm shall be developed, the called (and already commented) τ -integral. At the beginning of the development of novel algorithms for calculating areas inside the τ -sphere, option (1) or (2) were thoughtful to be correct, which wasn't proved to be true.

5.2 The τ -integral algorithm and the extension to a desirable space $\{S\}$

The algorithm for τ -integration is not closed – it depends strictly of the **space** where it is being realized. A brief introduction to τ -kind spaces will be provided in the following section, however, to find a most complete theoretical derivation, the reader has to guide himself to *Section [X]*.

- **Definition IV:** A space $\{S\}$ with an attached variable s - which ranges over it, for τ -algebra is the path in which a function $f(\kappa)$ in a generic space $\{\mathcal{G}\}$ acts as a field. For all considered spaces $\{S\}$ there must be an operation that matches $f(\kappa)$ with the space desirable variable – and such an operation is the inner product.

The inner product betwixt the attached variable s ranging over $\{S\}$, and the function $f(\kappa)$, describes (is equal to) a well-defined function inside the desirable space $\{S\} - f(s)$.

$$f(s) = \langle s | f(\kappa) \rangle$$

- **Theorem V:** The function in a desired space $\{S\}$ is obtained from the inner product betwixt a generic invariant function $f(\kappa)$ in $\{\mathcal{G}\}$ with the attached variable $s \in S$.

- Let the reversibility operators ${}_{s; s}^{-1}$ be defined as linear maps from the generic space onto $\{S\}$ and the inverse, respectively. ${}_{s; s}^{-1} : \{\mathcal{G}\} \rightarrow \{S\}; {}_s : \{S\} \rightarrow \{\mathcal{G}\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{s; s}^{-1} |f(\kappa)\rangle &= f(s) \\ ;_s |f(s)\rangle &= f(\kappa) \\ \Rightarrow {}_{s; s}^{-1} |f(\kappa)\rangle &= \langle s|f(\kappa)\rangle \Rightarrow {}_{s; s}^{-1} |f(\kappa)\rangle = {}_s |\langle s|f(\kappa)\rangle\rangle \end{aligned}$$

- Applying *Corollary II* for the space $\{S\}$ (unknown if reversible or not):

$$\Rightarrow {}_{s; s}^{-1} |f(\kappa)\rangle = {}_s |\langle s|f(\kappa)\rangle\rangle = |f^\blacksquare(\kappa)\rangle$$

- Therefore, if $|f^\blacksquare(\kappa)\rangle$ is a stable function (reversible) in the generic space, then, ${}_s |\langle s|f(\kappa)\rangle\rangle$ is stable too, and therefore reversible.

But if ${}_s |\langle s|f(\kappa)\rangle\rangle$ is stable, and is defined in the generic space, then, $\langle s|f(\kappa)\rangle$ must be a function (whether reversible or not) inside $\{S\} \blacksquare$

Remark: If such a function in the space $\{S\}$ is reversible, then, its irreversible variable (similar to w , in τ -functions) is null, and therefore, by *Theorem II*, $\{S\} \cong \{\mathcal{G}\}$.

The information obtained in *Definition IV* and *Theorem V* are enough to obtain a concise algorithm for calculating *some integrals in a desirable space $\$S\}$ – **under conditions**:

1. $\forall \kappa \in \{\mathcal{G}\}, \kappa_{cd}$ is the tensor generic variable, which has two elements $|e_c\rangle; |e_d\rangle \in \{S\}; |e_d\rangle \in \{\mathcal{G}\}$.

Analogous in \mathbb{T} (as previously seen): $\forall q \in \mathbb{T}^n, q_{ml}$ is the tensorial τ -variable, which has two elements $|e_m\rangle; |e_l\rangle; |e_m\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n; |e_l\rangle \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

2. $\{S\}$ is a proper subset of $\{\mathcal{G}\}$

Analogous in \mathbb{T} (as previously seen): By *Corollary I* – \mathbb{C}^n is a proper subset of \mathbb{T}^n

- Given $\kappa_{cd} \in \mathcal{G}; \kappa_{cd} = \rho_c |e_c\rangle + \lambda_d |e_d\rangle$ for any two elements ρ, λ belonging to the proper subset of $\{\mathcal{G}\}$ – i.e., $\rho, \lambda \in \{S\}; |e_c\rangle \in \{S\}; |e_d\rangle \in \{\mathcal{G}\}$:

$$\int_{\{S\}} {}_s |\langle s|f(\kappa)\rangle\rangle ds = \int_{\{S\}} f^\blacksquare(\kappa)d\kappa = \int_{\{S\}} f^\blacksquare(\kappa(\rho, \lambda))d\kappa$$

- By *Corollary I*:

$$\int_{\{S\}} f^\blacksquare(\kappa(\rho, \lambda))d\kappa = \int_{\{S\}} f^\blacksquare(\kappa(\rho, \lambda = 0))d\kappa = \int_{\{S\}} f^\blacksquare(\kappa(\rho))d\kappa = \int_{\{S\}} f^\blacksquare(\rho)d\rho$$

5.3 The generalized transformation