

Solution for Homework №2

Homework

PSV 2020/21

Author: *Jakub Bednarz*

Semantic types Let us start with the trivial types:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{Num}| &= \mathbb{Z} \\ |\mathbf{Var}| &= \mathbf{Var} \sqcup \{\mathbf{var}\} \end{aligned}$$

We introduce $\{\mathbf{var}\}$ into the semantic domain of variables (as a “formal” variable) in order to simplify certain operations. Now, we need to define environment and memory types:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Store} &= \mathbf{Loc} \rightarrow |\mathbf{Num}| \\ \mathbf{Setter} &= |\mathbf{Num}| \rightarrow (\mathbf{Store} \rightarrow \mathbf{Store}) \\ \mathbf{Env} &= |\mathbf{Var}| \rightarrow \mathbf{Loc} \times \mathbf{Setter} \end{aligned}$$

where **Setter** is the type of the anonymous procedure in `var x_1 set to x_2 by S` . The other types are standard:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{Expr}| &= \mathbf{Env} \times \mathbf{Store} \rightarrow |\mathbf{Num}| \\ |\mathbf{Decl}| &= \mathbf{Env} \times \mathbf{Store} \rightarrow \mathbf{Env} \times \mathbf{Store} \\ |\mathbf{Stmt}| &= \mathbf{Env} \times \mathbf{Store} \rightarrow \mathbf{Store} \end{aligned}$$

Evaluation functions As for the evaluations, we have $\mathcal{N}[\cdot]$, $\mathcal{V}[\cdot]$, $\mathcal{E}[\cdot]$, $\mathcal{D}[\cdot]$ and $\mathcal{S}[\cdot]$ for **Num**, **Var**, **Expr**, **Decl** and **Stmt** respectively. Let us now define them:

1. $\mathcal{N}[\cdot] : \mathbf{Num} \rightarrow |\mathbf{Num}|$:

$$\mathcal{N}[n] = n$$

2. $\mathcal{V}[\cdot] : \mathbf{Var} \rightarrow |\mathbf{Var}|$:

$$\mathcal{V}[x] = x$$

Given the nature of this evaluation, we will use it implicitly in the other definitions.

3. $\mathcal{E}[\cdot] : \mathbf{Expr} \rightarrow |\mathbf{Expr}|$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[n](\rho, \mu) &= \mathcal{N}[n] \\ \mathcal{E}[x](\rho, \mu) &= \mathbf{let} \ (\ell_x, \mathbf{set}_x) = \rho(\mathcal{V}[x]) \\ &\quad \mathbf{in} \ \mu(\ell_x) \\ \mathcal{E}[e_1 \star e_2](\rho, \mu) &= \mathcal{E}[e_1](\rho, \mu) \star \mathcal{E}[e_2](\rho, \mu), \quad \star \in \{+, -, *\} \end{aligned}$$

4. $\mathcal{D}[\cdot] : \mathbf{Decl} \rightarrow |\mathbf{Decl}|$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}[\text{var } x_1 \text{ set to } x_2 \text{ by } S](\rho, \mu) &= \text{newvar}(\mathcal{V}[x_1], \text{setter}(\mathcal{V}[x_1], \mathcal{V}[x_2], S, \rho), 0)(\rho, \mu) \\ \mathcal{D}[d_1; d_2] &= \mathcal{D}[d_2] \circ \mathcal{D}[d_1] \\ \mathcal{D}[\epsilon] &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{Env} \times \mathbf{Store}}\end{aligned}$$

Aside from **setter**, whose implementation is more involved, we have introduced an auxiliary function:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{newvar}(x, \text{set}_x, \text{init}_x)(\rho, \mu) &= \text{let } \ell_x = \text{alloc}(\mu) \\ &\quad \text{in } (\rho[x \leftarrow (\ell_x, \text{set}_x)], \mu[\ell_x \leftarrow \text{init}_x])\end{aligned}$$

which introduces (or overwrites) a variable $x : |\mathbf{Var}|$ with a given setter $\text{set}_x : \mathbf{Setter}$ and an initial value $\text{init}_x : |\mathbf{Num}|$.

5. $\mathcal{S}[\cdot] : \mathbf{Stmt} \rightarrow |\mathbf{Stmt}|$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}[x := e] &= \text{proxysset}(\mathcal{V}[x], e) \\ \mathcal{S}[\text{var } := e] &= \text{proxysset}(\text{var}, e) \\ \mathcal{S}[S_1; S_2](\rho, \mu) &= \mathcal{S}[S_2](\rho, \mathcal{S}[S_1](\rho, \mu)) \\ \mathcal{S}[\text{if } e = 0 \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2] &= \langle \text{default} \rangle \\ \mathcal{S}[\text{while } e \neq 0 \text{ do } S] &= \langle \text{default} \rangle \\ \mathcal{S}[\text{begin } d; S \text{ end}] &= \mathcal{S}[S] \circ \mathcal{D}[d]\end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{proxysset}(x, e)(\rho, \mu) &= \text{let } (\ell_x, \text{set}_x) = \rho(x) \\ &\quad \text{in } \text{set}_x(\mathcal{E}[e](\rho, \mu))(\mu)\end{aligned}$$

is a “variable” (including **var**) assignment using setter.

Setter All that is left is implementing **setter**; this is the place where adding **var** to $|\mathbf{Var}|$ will pay off. Given the recursive nature of the procedure, we will want to implement first a version of the procedure which “takes itself”. Mathematically, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{setter}_0(x_1, x_2, S, \rho)(\text{recur})(\mu)(n) &= \mathcal{S}[S](\rho_3, \mu_3) \\ \text{newvar}(x_1, \text{recur}, 0)(\rho_2, \mu_2) &= (\rho_3, \mu_3) \\ \text{newvar}(x_2, \text{truesetter}(\rho_1, \mathcal{V}[x_2]), n) &= (\rho_2, \mu_2) \\ \text{newvar}(\text{var}, \text{truesetter}(\rho, \mathcal{V}[x_1]), 0)(\rho, \mu) &= (\rho_2, \mu_2)\end{aligned}$$

In words, $\text{setter}_0(x_1, x_2, S, \rho) : \mathbf{Setter} \rightarrow \mathbf{Setter}$ is the “recurrent” version, in which we:

- set **var** pseudovvariable to set x_1 directly, and assigns 0 to it (though it’s inaccessible);
- set x_2 to direct write, and assigns n to it (this is the formal parameter of the anonymous procedure);
- set x_1 to **recur**, and also assigns it the value 0;
- execute S in this new state/environment, creating new state.

With that, we can write:

$$\text{setter}(x_1, x_2, S, \rho) = \text{fix}(\text{setter}_0(x_1, x_2, S, \rho))$$