

# Trilogue Tables Editor Steering Committee meeting

15-11-2016

# Agenda

- Approval of the 30/09 Coordination meeting minutes
- Follow up of 30/09 Coordination meeting actions
  - Consensus to the structure of the SC (participants)
  - Joint analysis report
  - Shape of the project
  - Draft roadmap
  - Draft joint Note to the SGs
- AOB
- Next SC meetings scheduling

# Follow up of the actions and status

- Steering Committee Structure – pending (decision needed)
- Joint Analysis report – completed
- Project set up options – pending
- Preparation of roadmap – pending
- Draft joint Note to the SGs – pending (previous points are preconditions)

# Steering Committee composition

Name		Organisation	Role	Presence
ROBSON	Ellen	Dir DLA	Decision maker	Required
EILERTSEN	Steen	Dir ITEC	Decision maker	Required
BLAU	Sarah	HoU CODE	Decision maker	Required
GENSON	Roland	Dir DGF2	Decision maker	Required
LERNHART	Andreas	Dir DQL	Decision maker	Required
SCHILDERS	Dirk	Dir DGA CIS	Decision maker	Required
TANCA	Antonio	HoU GIP	Decision maker	Required
LEBOEUF	Olivier	HoD Projects	Information provider – Alternate to ALARI	Optional
ALARI	Gianluigi	IT PM	Information provider	Optional
KOUKLAKIS	Georgios	IT DM	Information provider	Optional
FEHERPATAKY	Michal	IT Business Analyst	Information provider	Optional
DITTHARD	Jürgen	CODE Administrator	Information provider	Optional
KATHARIOS	Georgios	HoU Legislative planning	Information provider	Optional
EMMES	Oliver	CODE Administrator	Information provider – Alternate to DITTHARD	-
SYKORA	Juraj	HoU DGF2C	Information provider – Alternate to GENSON	Optional
LEJASISAKA	Ieva	HoU DQL	Information provider – Alternate to LERNHART	Optional
VLEMINCKX	Philippe	HoU DGA CIS	Information provider – Alternate to SCHILDERS	Optional
GUICHARD	Jérôme	GIP senior administrator	Information provider – Alternate to TANCA	Optional
DEKEYSER	Wout	HoS DGA CIS	Information provider	Optional
DUDÁS	Előd	DGG2C PM	Information provider	Optional
FEYAERTS	Guido	DGA CIS	Information provider	Optional
SIX	Luc	DGA CIS business liaison officer	Information provider	Optional
GIANNOPOULOS	Nikos	HoUe DGA CIS	Information provider	Optional
KAMUZINZI	Richard	DGF2C Business Architect	Information provider	Optional
MORRIS	Ian	DGA3 coordinator	Information provider	Optional

# Shape of the project

1. DEVELOPMENT OPTIONS					
id	Setup Type	Software at the EP	Software at the CEU	Common Exchange	Pros +
1.1	Separate development	EP developed editor, storage, rendering	CEU developed editor, storage, rendering	EP and CEU will separately develop integration to common platform (e-TrustX, IMMC, IFC)	- Clear budgetary and administrative boundaries - EP can benefit from leveraging of the e-Parliament developed platform (editor, storage and rendering)
1.2	CEU takes LegisWeb editor (LEOS)	EP developed editor, storage, rendering	CEU adopts LegisWeb editor, storage and rendering (LEOS) and integrates to CEU technical environment	EP and CEU will separately develop integration to common platform (e-TrustX, IMMC, IFC)	- Clear budgetary and administrative boundaries - EP can benefit from leveraging of the e-Parliament developed platform (editor, storage and rendering)
1.3	EP develops everything on joint business requirements and functional specifications	EP developed editor, storage, rendering	EP developed editor, CEU adapts and integrates in CEU technical environment for storage and rendering	EP will develop integration to common platform (e-TrustX, IMMC, IFC)	- Synchronisation of delivery - Early discovery of functional issues/gaps - Both parties actively participate in the business requirements and functional specifications - EP can benefit from leveraging of the e-Parliament developed platform (editor, storage, rendering and future transfer platform)
1.4	EP and CEU develop together a common full-stack product (editor, storage, rendering and exchange)	Joint developed editor, storage and rendering	Joint developed editor, storage and rendering	Joint developed integration to common platform (e-TrustX, IMMC, IFC)	- Synchronisation of delivery based on the same product - Early discovery of functional issues/gaps - Both parties actively participate in the business requirements and functional specifications - No specific integration developments needed
1.5	EP and CEU develop together common components (editor and exchange) and separate integration of storage and rendering	Joint developed editors and EP developed storage and rendering	Joint developed editors and CEU developed storage and rendering	Joint developed integration to common platform (e-TrustX, IMMC, IFC)	- Early discovery of functional issues/gaps - Both parties actively participate in the business requirements and functional specifications - EP can benefit from leveraging of the e-Parliament developed platform (storage and rendering)
1.6	EP develops everything (discounted)	EP developed editor, storage, rendering	EP developed editor, storage and rendering on behalf of CEU	EP development and integration on behalf of CEU	- No dependency to CEU project administration and decision making - Early discovery of functional issues/gaps
1.7	CEU develops everything (discounted)	CEU developed editor, storage, rendering on behalf of EP	CEU developed editor, storage and rendering	CEU development and integration on behalf of EP	- No dependency to EP project administration and decision making - Early discovery of functional issues/gaps
2. FINANCING OPTIONS (in addition to own funding secured by involved institutions)					
id	Setup Type	Software at the EP	Software at the CEU	Common Exchange	Pros +
2.1	Development takes place under (partial) direct ISA financing	Same as 1.3, 1.4, 1.45	Same as 1.3, 1.4, 1.5	Same as 1.3, 1.4, 1.5	Same as 1.3.1., 4, 1.5
2.2	Development takes place under (partial) indirect ISA financing	To be considered	COM developed editor, CEU adapts and integrates in CEU technical environment for storage and rendering; or Joint developed editors and CEU developed storage and rendering	Joint developed integration to common platform (e-TrustX, IMMC, IFC)	Clear budgetary benefits, Synchronisation benefits between 4CT and the Common drafting platform initiatives, this leading to better resource allocation

# AOB

# Next SC meetings scheduling

- Regular SC meetings, bi-monthly (following session week)
  - 2017, January 23-27
  - 2017, March 20-24
  - 2017, May 22-24 (holiday 25-26) or  
2017, May 29-June 2 (mini-session 31/5 & 1/6)
  - 2017, July 10-14
  - 2017, September 18-22
  - 2017, November 20-24
- Extraordinary SC meetings
  - When deemed necessary

# Thank you...