10/28/25, 4:06 AM OneNote

Transactions & Atomicity

Tuesday, October 28, 2025 2:09 AM

Transactions in MongoDB

MongoDB supports multi-document ACID transactions since v4.0 (for replica sets) and v4.2 (for sharded clusters).

Key Points:

- Single-document operations are always atomic.
- Multi-document transactions ensure ACID guarantees: Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability.
- · Transactions are created using sessions in MongoDB drivers:

```
Example (Java/MongoDB):
ClientSession session = mongoClient.startSession();
try {
    session.startTransaction();

    collection1.insertOne(session, doc1);
    collection2.updateOne(session, filter, update);

    session.commitTransaction();
} catch (Exception e) {
    session.abortTransaction();
} finally {
    session.close();
```

Isolation: MongoDB transactions provide snapshot isolation, meaning each transaction sees
a consistent snapshot of the data at its start.

Handling Concurrent Requests (Two Users Hitting Same Request)

MongoDB uses document-level concurrency:

- · Only one operation can modify a single document at a time.
- If two users try to update the same document, the first write wins, the second write may fail if
 you rely on conditional updates (updateOne with a filter on a version or timestamp).

Patterns for handling concurrency:

- a) Optimistic Concurrency Control (OCC)
- Add a version field to the document.Update only if version matches:

```
    If update count = 0 → retry or abort.
```

- b) Pessimistic Locking (Limited in MongoDB)MongoDB does not support row-level locks like SQL.
- You can emulate locks with a "lock" document:

```
{ "_id": "resource_lock", "lockedBy": "instance1", "timestamp": 12345678 }
```

- · Use findOneAndUpdate with a filter to acquire lock atomically.
- Release after operation.

);

Multiple Instances Writing to DB

When multiple app instances write:

- MongoDB replica sets handle replication; writes go to the primary.
- To prevent race conditions, use atomic operations (\$set, \$inc, \$push, findOneAndUpdate).
- For distributed locks, you can implement a lock collection (like Redis locks) or use MongoDB's findOneAndUpdate with a TTL index for auto-expiry.

Comparison with Spring Transactions + ShedLock

Aspect	MongoDB	Spring + RDB + ShedLock
Transaction	Session-based; multi-	Method-level @Transactional
Scope	document ACID	

10/28/25, 4:06 AM OneNote

Isolation	Snapshot isolation	Read Committed / Repeatable Read / Serializable
Concurrent Writes	Use OCC / locks at document level	Database handles row-level locks; @Transactional ensures atomicity
Distributed Jobs / Locks	Implement manual lock collection or TTL-based lock	ShedLock library ensures only one node runs a scheduled job in a cluster
Locking Mechanism	Atomic updates, optionally explicit locks	Database row-level locking or explicit ShedLock table row

Key takeaway:

- MongoDB relies more on atomic operations and optimistic concurrency, while Spring + RDB relies on DB locks and transaction isolation.
- For distributed systems with multiple nodes, ShedLock is a neat abstraction; in MongoDB, you
 implement a lock collection or use Redis/Zookeeper to prevent double execution.

Example: Distributed Lock in MongoDB

- \$setOnInsert + upsert ensures only one instance acquires lock.
- · Release lock after job completes by deleting the document.

Summary / Practical Advice

- MongoDB transactions are ACID but scoped by session; prefer atomic single-document updates where possible.
- 2. Concurrent writes → use optimistic concurrency or atomic operations.
- 3. Multiple instances → handle with atomic updates + lock collection for distributed locks.
- 4. **Spring analogy**: transactions are like Mongo sessions; ShedLock is like a distributed lock collection in MongoDB.

10/28/25, 4:06 AM OneNote