STUDY OF AUTOMATION TESTING TOOLS

COMPARISON OF THREE MOST USED AUTOMATION TESTING TOOLS

VIVEK KHAJURIA

Sr. No.	CRITERIA	WATIR	SELENIUM	WET
1	Proprietary/Open Source	Open Source	Open Source	Open Source
2	Objects Recognition – is the task of finding a given object in a video sequence.		Good object recognition.	Supported
3	Supported main Application	Browser	Browser	Browser
4	Browsers Supported	IE, Firefox, Recently on Safari.	IE , FF, Safari, Chrome and Opera	IE
5	Recording and Playback	Watir Recorder ++ is available, But it's very simple recorder. Playback is stable.	Advanced Recorder: Selenium IDE	Supported
6	Operating System	Windows (IE, FF), Linux (FF), Mac (Safari, FF).	Windows(All Browsers), Linux(FF,Opera,Chorme), Mac(FF,Safari,Chrome,Opera)	Windows(IE)
7	Test Results Reports	Watir has no reporting facilities.	Reporting is supported through the test runner and various logs, screen shots can also be captured.	Results are displayed in a easily readable HTML format
8	Programming Language	Ruby	C#, Java, Ruby, Python, Pearl and PHP.	WET scripts are written using Ruby
9	Testing Frameworks	Rspec, Cucumber, Test/Unit, WatirGrid	Bromine, Junit, Nunit, Rspec(Ruby), Test/Unit(Ruby), TestNG(Java), unittest(Pvthon)	

10	Sharing of scripts.	Not in built, but can easily use something like TortoiseSVN which is free an easy to use.	Scripts can be saved in the native language or exported in a supported language for inclusion into test frameworks such as JUnit or NUnit. Version control can be done using any source control tool such as SVN.	Not Supported
11	Speed of Execution	Generally fast. Watir speed varied a lot according to various network topologies.	Speed of execution via the Selenium	Medium, however the time needed for recording may vary from application to another
12	Datapools for Data dynamicity	Supported through a third party driver.	Supported through Junit	Supported , data table supported using either Excel spreadsheet or XML
13	DB Access	Supported through a third party driver.	Supported through Junit	Supported through a third party driver.

Watir	Selenium	WET
Pros:	Pros:	Pros:
It's a Ruby library	Build in standard library. Multi	It's a Ruby library
	browser, OS & language support	
Multi browser (& OS)	Install server-side or as FF add-on	Support IE
support		
Has a rich API	Has its own IDE called as Selenium	Has a 'Simple' class (for
	IDE	non-tech users)
Has a 'Simple' class (for non-	Record and playback tests	Runs on top of Watir.
tech users)		
		Has a rich API
Cons:	Cons:	Cons:

Every browser requires a	Have to learn Selenese (Unless you	Supports for only one
different library	write tests in another language which	browser.
,	it supports. Then you just have to use	
	the API reference which is	
	straightforward)	
Very basic recorder that	Components are difficult to set-up.	Supports only one OS.
requires a lot of editing		
which is time consuming.		
Recommend to use on IE: IE	Selenium does not natively support	Hardly to deal with
developer toolbar, Web	features required by testers such as	JavaScript's error
metrics	iteration or data driven testing and	
	there is difficulty testing Flash that	
	has to be overcome by changing	
	Action scripts	
	Online documents are not overly	Recording may take too
	helpful as they assume knowledge	much time
	and omit kev details.	
		Objects implemented
		using JavaScript are not
		recognized by the tool.
		Interactive test
		debugging not supported

CONLCUSION

I Chose Selenium Because -

- 1. Selenium supports all major browsers which we needed for automation of Ooma setup web
- 2.Selenium supports more & major operating systems
- 3. There is wide Selenium community support available
- 4. Support multiple test frameworks.
- 5. Provides incredible control over testing of AJAX web applications .
- 6. Selenium supports methods with x, y offsets.