

# Lead Scoring Case Study

## Business Objective:

An education company named X Education sells online courses to industry professionals.

Currently company's conversion rate is low (30%). The Company needs your help to identify **Hot Leads** for a more **focused marketing and conversion strategy**. Thus, aiming for a **target lead conversion rate of 80%**

## Objective:

To build a **logistic regression** model and assign lead score which can be used to predict lead conversion

## Approach:

### 1. Understanding Past Data

- We first understood past data to identify data structure, main variables etc.

### 2. Initial Data Cleaning

- Identified columns with high percentage of **null and select values**. We **dropped the columns which have more than 30% null values**

### 3. Exploratory Data Analysis

- **Identified and handled outliers** in Numerical Columns. We removed top 1% outliers in - TotalVisits and Page Views Per Visit
- Some columns had values with **negligible frequency**. We **grouped them together** in other category to avoid too many features
- Identified **not-important columns** which do not add value. Example Do Not Call has 100% No values.
- **Checking Data imbalance**, the data has 38% records as Converted. Thus data is imbalanced

### 4. Feature Engineering and Data Preparation

- **For Numerical Variables** – we have done **Standardization** that they are on similar scale and not impact model coefficients
- **For Categorical Variables** – we have created dummy variables **using `pd.get_dummies()`**
- We have created two sets of Data – Training Data (70%) and Test Data (30%). This will help us **evaluate the model performance on unseen data**

### 5. Building Logistic Regression Model

- We have used **RFE to pick the top 20 significant variables**.
- We did **multiple iterations to refine** using model statistics – Pvalue and VIF. We have removed variables suing below criteria
  - o **Pvalue more than 5%** - means variable is not significant
  - o **VIF value more than 5** – means variable shows multi-collinearity
- Finally , after 9 iterations we arrived at final model

## 6. Identifying the correct value of Probability threshold

- Basis **ROC curve** we got a rough idea on Probability cutoff
- Plotting Accuracy, Sensitivity and Specificity we arrived at **probability cutoff of 0.35** which give best balance among - Accuracy, Sensitivity and Specificity

## 7. Making Predictions

- Use used final model (9<sup>th</sup> iteration) to make predictions on training and test data and used 0.35 cutoff to map Converted leads

## 8. Model Evaluation

- We created Confusion matrix on training and test predictions
- Training Accuracy: Accuracy = 80.6% ,      Sensitivity = 80.6% ,      Specificity = 80.5%
- Training Accuracy: Accuracy = 80.6% ,      Sensitivity = 79.6% ,      Specificity = 81.2%
- All these were found to meet the 80% standard set on accuracy

## 9. Conclusion and Recommendations:

### Top Features which determine higher conversion are

- Lead Source\_Welingak Website      (Coefficient = 6.48)
- Lead Source\_Reference      (Coefficient = 4.08)
- What is your current occupation\_Working Professional      (Coefficient = 2.22)
- Basis availability of resources we should focus on these variables to maximize conversion.