Target Case Study

Q1.

a. Query-SELECT * EXCEPT(table_catalog, ordinal_position, is_stored, is_updatable,
 is_system_defined, clustering_ordinal_position) FROM
 `dsml-396802.target_case_studies`.INFORMATION_SCHEMA.COLUMNS WHERE table_name =
 'customer';

Qu	ery results								≛ SAVE RESULTS ▼		\$
<	JOB INFORMATION	RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION	ON DETAILS	CHART PREV	EW EX	ECUTION GRAPH			>
Row	table_schema ▼	table_na	me ▼		column_name	•	is_nullable 🔻	•	data_type ▼	is_generated ▼	
1	target_case_studies	custome	er		customer_id		YES		STRING	NEVER	
2	target_case_studies	custome	r		customer_uniq	ue_id	YES		STRING	NEVER	
3	target_case_studies	custome	r		customer_zip_c	code_prefix	YES		INT64	NEVER	
4	target_case_studies	custome	r		customer_city		YES		STRING	NEVER	
5	target_case_studies	custome	r		customer_state	е	YES		STRING	NEVER	

Insights- customer table has usual columns but also a customer_unique_id column, which is a unique identifier number to each customer. It is diff from customer_id which is sequential identifier which is generated each time customer places an order.

b. Query-WITH rangee AS(SELECT MIN(EXTRACT(TIME FROM order_purchase_timestamp))AS orderdate_min, MAX(EXTRACT(TIME FROM order_purchase_timestamp))AS orderdate_max FROM `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders`)SELECT r.orderdate_min,r.orderdate_max,TIME_DIFF(TIME (r.orderdate_max), TIME (r.orderdate_min), HOUR) AS time_range FROM rangee r;



Insights- Items are ordered as early as 12:00 AM morning and as late as 11:59 PM evening And range between them is 23 Hour.

Assumptions- I considered that the que is asking for time difference between minimum and maximum time from order table.

c. Query- SELECT g.geolocation_city,g.geolocation_state,COUNT(DISTINCT
 g.geolocation_city) OVER() AS city_count,COUNT(DISTINCT g.geolocation_state)
 OVER() AS state_count FROM `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.geolocation` g JOIN

`dsml-396802.target_case_studies.customer` c ON g.geolocation_zip_code_prefix = c.customer_zip_code_prefix LIMIT 10;

Insights- Customers have ordered from 5812 Distinct Cities Spanning across 27 States.

JOB II	NFORMATION	RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION DE	TAILS CH	IART PREVIEW	EX	ECUTION GRAPH
Row	geolocation_city ▼		geolocation_st	tate 🔻	city_count ▼	state_cou	unt ▼	
1	aracaju		SE		58	312	27	
2	aracaju		SE		58	312	27	
3	aracaju		SE		58	312	27	
4	aracaju		SE		58	312	27	
5	aracaju		SE		58	312	27	
6	aracaju		SE		58	312	27	
7	aracaju		SE		58	312	27	
8	aracaju		SE		58	312	27	
9	aracaju		SE		58	312	27	

Assumptions- Time period was assumed to be between 2016-2018

Q2.

```
a. Query- WITH simp AS(SELECT EXTRACT(YEAR FROM order_purchase_timestamp) AS
   Year, COUNT(order_purchase_timestamp) AS Number_Of_Orders FROM
   `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders` WHERE EXTRACT(YEAR FROM
   order_purchase_timestamp) = 2016 GROUP BY EXTRACT(YEAR FROM
   order_purchase_timestamp)
   UNION ALL
   SELECT EXTRACT(YEAR FROM order_purchase_timestamp) AS year,
   COUNT(order_purchase_timestamp) AS no_of_orders FROM
   `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders` WHERE EXTRACT(YEAR FROM
   order_purchase_timestamp) = 2017 GROUP BY EXTRACT(YEAR FROM
   order_purchase_timestamp)
   UNION ALL
   SELECT EXTRACT(YEAR FROM order_purchase_timestamp) AS count_2018,
   COUNT(order_purchase_timestamp) AS no_of_orders FROM
   `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders` WHERE EXTRACT(YEAR FROM
   order_purchase_timestamp) = 2018 GROUP BY EXTRACT(YEAR FROM
```

order_purchase_timestamp))

```
SELECT *,ROUND((Number_Of_Orders-LAG(Number_Of_Orders,1) OVER(ORDER BY
Year))/LAG(Number_Of_Orders,1) OVER(ORDER BY Year) * 100,0) AS Percentage FROM
simp s ORDER BY s.Year;
```

Query results

JOB IN	NFORMATION	RESULTS	JS0	N EXECUTION	N DETAILS
Row	Year ▼	Number_Of_	Orders	Percentage ▼	
1	2016	•	329	null	
2	2017		45101	13609.0	
3	2018		54011	20.0	

Insights- Their has been a sudden change in the number of order booked from 2016 to 2017, From 2016 to 2017 their has been 13609% change in number of orders and subsequently 20% in next Year.

Assumption- This query was written assuming that que is asking for order booked rather than order confirmed.

```
b. Query-WITH c1 AS(SELECT FORMAT_DATETIME('%B', order_purchase_timestamp) AS
monthly, COUNT(order_id) AS order_count FROM
  `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders` WHERE EXTRACT(YEAR FROM
  order_purchase_timestamp) = 2017 GROUP BY FORMAT_DATETIME('%B',
  order_purchase_timestamp))
```

SELECT * FROM c1 ORDER BY c1.order_count DESC

Query results

JOB II	NFORMATION	RESULTS	JSON	EXECUTION
Row	monthly ~		order_count	•
1	November		7	7544
2	December		5	673
3	October		4	631
4	August		4	331
5	September		4	285
6	July		4	026
7	May		3	3700
8	June		3	245
9	March		2	2682
10	April		2	2404

Insights- Observation clearly states that number of orders booked slowly increases through SUMMER and Peaks during WINTER i.e. NOVEMBER. After that it slowly tapers off.

Assumption- Seasonality trend data is taken only from 2017 as similar trend would be seen for 2018 as well.

c. Query-WITH tbl AS (SELECT CASE

```
WHEN EXTRACT(HOUR FROM order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 00 AND 06 THEN 'Dawn'
```

WHEN EXTRACT(HOUR FROM order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 07 AND 12 THEN Morning'

WHEN EXTRACT(HOUR FROM order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 13 AND 18 THEN 'Afternoon'

ELSE 'Night'

END AS Time_of_Day,o.order_id FROM `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.customer` c
JOIN `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders` o ON c.customer_id=o.customer_id)

SELECT Time_of_Day, COUNT(order_id) AS Num_of_Orders FROM tbl GROUP BY Time_of_Day

Row	Time_of_Day ▼	Num_of_Orders ▼
1	Morning	27733
2	Dawn	5242
3	Afternoon	38135
4	Night	28331

Insights- Brazilian Customers mostly orders during 'Afternoon' followed by Night and Morning with Dawn being last.

-----xxxxxxxx------

Q3.

```
a. Query- WITH tbl AS(SELECT c.customer_state,EXTRACT(MONTH FROM
    o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS
    month,FORMAT_DATETIME('%B',o.order_purchase_timestamp)AS
    monthly,COUNT(o.order_id) AS num_order FROM
    `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders` o JOIN
    `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.customer` c ON o.customer_id=c.customer_id
    GROUP BY c.customer_state,month,monthly ORDER BY c.customer_state,month)
```

SELECT customer_state, monthly, num_order, ROUND((num_order-LAG(num_order, 1) OVER(PARTITION BY customer_state ORDER BY month))/LAG(num_order, 1) OVER(PARTITION BY customer_state ORDER BY month)*100,0) AS Month_on_Month_Change FROM tbl ORDER BY customer_state, month LIMIT 10

Row	customer_state ▼	monthly •	num_order ▼	Month_on_Month_Ch
1	AC	January	8	nuli
2	AC	February	6	-25.0
3	AC	March	4	-33.0
4	AC	April	9	125.0
5	AC	May	10	11.0
6	AC	June	7	-30.0
7	AC	July	9	29.0
8	AC	August	7	-22.0
9	AC	September	5	-29.0
10	AC	October	6	20.0

b. Query- SELECT customer_state, COUNT(customer_unique_id) AS Customer_Count FROM `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.customer` GROUP BY customer_state ORDER BY Customer_Count DESC LIMIT 10

Row	customer_state	•	Customer_Count ▼
1	SP		41746
2	RJ		12852
3	MG		11635
4	RS		5466
5	PR		5045
6	SC		3637
7	BA		3380
8	DF		2140
9	ES		2033
10	GO		2020

Insights- Majority of customers are staying in States like 'SP', 'RJ', 'MG'.

Q4.

a. Query-

```
WITH mom_sales AS (

SELECT

EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS monthly,

FORMAT_DATETIME('%B', o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS Month,

ROUND(SUM(CASE WHEN EXTRACT(YEAR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) = 2017 THEN

p.payment_value ELSE 0 END ),0) AS payment_2017,

ROUND(SUM(CASE WHEN EXTRACT(YEAR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) = 2018 THEN

p.payment_value ELSE 0 END ),0) AS payment_2018 FROM

`dsml-396802.target_case_studies.payments` p JOIN

`dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders` o ON p.order_id = o.order_id WHERE
```

```
EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 1 AND 8 GROUP BY monthly, Month ORDER BY monthly)
```

SELECT Month,payment_2017,payment_2018,ROUND((payment_2018-payment_2017)/payment_2017
* 100,2) AS per_change FROM mom_sales

Row	Month ▼	payment_2017 ▼	payment_2018 ▼	per_change ▼
1	January	138488.0	1115004.0	705.13
2	February	291908.0	992463.0	239.99
3	March	449864.0	1159652.0	157.78
4	April	417788.0	1160785.0	177.84
5	May	592919.0	1153982.0	94.63
6	June	511276.0	1023880.0	100.26
7	July	592383.0	1066541.0	80.04
8	August	674396.0	1022425.0	51.61

Insights- Sales comparison we can see that sales are higher compared to 2017. Sales are in higher 7 Digit Mark in 2018.

b. Query-

```
SELECT
    c.customer_state,
    ROUND(SUM(p.payment_value),2) AS Total_Value,
    ROUND(AVG(p.payment_value),2) AS Avg_Value
FROM `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.customer`c JOIN
`dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders` o

ON c.customer_id = o.customer_id

JOIN `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.payments` p

ON o.order_id = p.order_id

GROUP BY c.customer_state

ORDER BY Total_Value DESC

LIMIT 10;
```

Row	customer_state ▼	Total_Value ▼	Avg_Value ▼
1	SP	5998226.96	137.5
2	RJ	2144379.69	158.53
3	MG	1872257.26	154.71
4	RS	890898.54	157.18
5	PR	811156.38	154.15
6	SC	623086.43	165.98
7	BA	616645.82	170.82
8	DF	355141.08	161.13
9	GO	350092.31	165.76
10	ES	325967.55	154.71

Assumptions- Total & Avg value are considered and added for all the years.

```
c. Query- SELECT
    c.customer_state,
    ROUND(SUM(p.freight_value),2) AS Total_Value,
    ROUND(AVG(p.freight_value),2) AS Avg_Value

FROM `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.customer`c JOIN
    `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders` o

ON c.customer_id = o.customer_id

JOIN `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.order_item` p

ON o.order_id = p.order_id

GROUP BY c.customer_state

ORDER BY Total_Value DESC

LIMIT 10
```

Row	customer_state ▼	Total_Value ▼	Avg_Value ▼
1	SP	718723.07	15.15
2	RJ	305589.31	20.96
3	MG	270853.46	20.63
4	RS	135522.74	21.74
5	PR	117851.68	20.53
6	BA	100156.68	26.36
7	SC	89660.26	21.47
8	PE	59449.66	32.92
9	GO	53114.98	22.77
10	DF	50625.5	21.04

Insights- Where the avg freight is less than avg freight value of other states, that state ships more orders. As can be seen in total freight value. Although other factors such as size of population might also be a reason for this.

Q5.

a. Query:

SELECT

order_id,

DATE_DIFF(order_delivered_customer_date,order_purchase_timestamp,DAY) AS
Delivery_Time,

 $\label{limit} {\tt DATE_DIFF} (order_delivered_customer_date, order_estimated_delivery_date, DAY) \ \ {\tt ASE} \\ {\tt Estimated_Time_Diff}$

FROM `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders`

LIMIT 10

Row	order_id ▼	Delivery_Time ▼	Estimated_Time_Diff
1	c158e9806f85a33877bdfd4f60	23	-9
2	b60b53ad0bb7dacacf2989fe2	12	5
3	c830f223aae08493ebecb52f2	12	-12
4	a8aa2cd070eeac7e4368cae3d	7	-1
5	813c55ce9b6baa8f879e064fbf	12	-9
6	44558a1547e448b41c48c4087	1	-5
7	036b791897847cdb8e39df794	6	0
8	1aba60c04110bdd421b250ea3	21	-7
9	0312ecf90786def87f98aa19e0	7	0
10	635c894d068ac37e6e03dc54e	30	-1

Insights- Majority Of Orders are Reaching Early as concluded from further analysis by comparing the number of orders reaching before and after. About 6500 Orders reached late and more than 85000 orders reached on time.

b. Query:

```
q1.geolocation_state,
q1.AVG_Value

FROM(SELECT
g.geolocation_state,
ROUND(AVG(p.freight_value),2) AS AVG_Value,

FROM `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.sellers` s JOIN
`dsml-396802.target_case_studies.order_item` p

ON s.seller_id = p.seller_id JOIN
`dsml-396802.target_case_studies.geolocation` g

ON s.seller_zip_code_prefix = g.geolocation_zip_code_prefix
```

```
GROUP BY g.geolocation_state
ORDER BY AVG_Value DESC
LIMIT 5) q1
UNION ALL
SELECT
 q2.geolocation_state,
 q2.AVG_Value
FROM(SELECT
 g.geolocation_state,
 ROUND(AVG(p.freight_value),2) AS AVG_Value
FROM `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.sellers` s JOIN
`dsml-396802.target_case_studies.order_item` p
ON s.seller_id = p.seller_id JOIN
`dsml-396802.target_case_studies.geolocation` g
ON s.seller_zip_code_prefix = g.geolocation_zip_code_prefix
GROUP BY g.geolocation_state
ORDER BY AVG_Value ASC
LIMIT 5) q2
```

Row	geolocation_state ▼	AVG_Value ▼	
ROW	geolocation_state •	AvG_value •	
1	CE	54	.44
2	RO	50	.32
3	PI	36	.94
4	PB	34	.69
5	AC	32	.84
6	RN	15	5.93
7	SP	18	3.44
8	RJ	18	3.93
9	DF	18	3.99
10	PR	22	2.11

Ps. Top 5 are states with highest Avg freight value and Bottom 5 are states with lowest freight value.

```
c. Query:
   SELECT customer_state, Avg_Delivery_Time
   FROM(SELECT
     c.customer_state,
   ROUND(AVG(DATE_DIFF(DATE(o.order_delivered_customer_date), DATE(o.order_purchase
   _timestamp), DAY)),2) AS Avg_Delivery_Time
   FROM `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders` o JOIN
   `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.customer` c
   ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id
   WHERE o.order_status = 'delivered' AND EXTRACT(YEAR FROM
   o.order_purchase_timestamp) = 2018
   GROUP BY c.customer_state
   ORDER BY Avg_Delivery_Time DESC
   LIMIT 5) T1
   UNION ALL
   SELECT customer_state,Avg_Delivery_Time
   FROM(SELECT
     c.customer_state,
   ROUND(AVG(DATE_DIFF(DATE(o.order_delivered_customer_date), DATE(o.order_purchase
   _timestamp), DAY)),2) AS Avg_Delivery_Time
   FROM `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders` o JOIN
   `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.customer` c
   ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id
   WHERE o.order_status = 'delivered' AND EXTRACT(YEAR FROM
   o.order_purchase_timestamp) = 2018
```

GROUP BY c.customer_state

ORDER BY Avg_Delivery_Time ASC

LIMIT 5) T2

Row	customer_state ▼	Avg_Delivery_Time
1	SP	8.22
2	PR	11.53
3	MG	11.79
4	DF	12.37
5	SC	14.51
6	RR	28.05
7	AM	27.13
8	AP	25.97
9	PA	25.41
10	AL	23.59

 $\mbox{P.S.-}$ Top 5 are states with lowest delivery time and Bottom 5 are states with highest delivery time.

Insights- 'SP' The state with the highest freight and payment value is also the state with lowest delivery time.

Assumption- Data of 2018 is considered for this query as data of a year should give more accurate data, instead of aggregation of avg_delivery_time of all years.

d. Query-

WITH T1 AS(SELECT

c.customer_state,

 $\label{eq:round} ROUND(AVG(DATE_DIFF(DATE(o.order_delivered_customer_date), DATE(o.order_purchase _timestamp), DAY)), \begin{subarray}{c} AS & Avg_Delivery_Time, \end{subarray}$

ROUND(AVG(DATE_DIFF(DATE(o.order_estimated_delivery_date), DATE(o.order_purchase
_timestamp), DAY)),2) AS Avg_Est_Time

```
FROM `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.orders` o JOIN
  `dsml-396802.target_case_studies.customer` c

ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id

WHERE o.order_status = 'delivered' AND EXTRACT(YEAR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) = 2018

GROUP BY c.customer_state

ORDER BY Avg_Delivery_Time DESC)

SELECT customer_state

FROM T1

WHERE T1.Avg_Delivery_Time < T1.Avg_Est_Time

LIMIT 5</pre>
```

Row	customer_state ▼
1	RR
2	AM
3	AP
4	PA
5	AL

Insights- 'RR' the state with highest Delivery Time, Coincidently is also the state where delivery time is less when compared to est. delivery time.

Assumptions- Here also we are taking data of the year 2018, as values are accurate and doesn't get mix with values of previous years.