The Condorcet Jury Theorem

The Condorcet Jury Theorem is a political science and probability theory concept named after the French mathematician and philosopher Marquis de Condorcet. The theorem explores the relationship between the accuracy of individual decision-makers (voters or jurors) and the accuracy of the collective decision made by the group.

The theorem assumes that:

- Each individual in the group has a probability p of making the correct decision (independently of the others), where 0.5 likely than not to make the correct decision.
- The individuals make their decisions independently of each other.

Under these conditions, the Condorcet Jury Theorem states that:

As the group size (number of individuals) increases, the probability that the group makes the correct decision approaches 1 (certainty). If each individual's probability of making the correct decision is above 0.5, then adding more individuals to the group will improve the group's decision-making accuracy. Conversely, if each individual's probability of making the correct decision is below 0.5, then adding more individuals to the group will reduce the group's decision-making accuracy. In simpler terms, the theorem suggests that, under the specified conditions, the "wisdom of the crowd" prevails. A larger group of individuals, each with a better-than-random chance of making the correct decision, will collectively make better decisions than any single individual or a smaller group. However, if the individual's probability of making the correct decision is below 0.5, the group's collective decision-making would worsen with additional members.

The Condorcet Jury Theorem has applications in various fields, such as political science, economics, and decision-making theory. It provides a basis for understanding how collective decision-making can lead to more accurate outcomes, given that certain conditions are met.

```
In [151]:
            1 # Review of for loops
            2 print("Count to 10")
            3 for i in range(10):
            4
                  print(i)
            5
              print("Iterate over values in a list")
            7
              for item in [5, 'hello', 3.13, True, [1,2,3]]:
                  print(item)
          Count to 10
          1
          2
          3
          4
          5
          6
          7
          8
          Iterate over values in a list
          5
          hello
          3.13
          True
          [1, 2, 3]
In [150]:
           1 | # Review of list comprehension
            3 [x**2 for x in range(10)]
              [len(word) for word in "to be or not to be that is the question"
Out[150]: [2, 2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 4, 2, 3, 8]
In [114]:
            1 # Review of random number generation
            2
              import random as rnd
            3
            4 rnd.seed(3.14159)
            5 [rnd.randrange(1,5) for _ in range(5)]
            6 [rnd.choice(['a', 'b', 'c']) for _ in range(5)]
             [rnd.uniform(.2, .3) for _ in range(5)]
            8 [rnd.gauss(0, 1) for _ in range(5)]
Out[114]: [-0.6239279552210337,
           0.255883175412692,
           0.19679498371365348,
           0.012348240098453199,
           0.301546769068397]
```

```
1 # Review of counter library
In [124]:
            2 | dna = "agctagctagcatgcatcgatagtcgatctattctaatatattcggcggcgattatcd
            3 counts = Counter(dna)
              print(cnt)
            5
              print("Most common: ", counts.most common(1)[0][0])
          Counter({'t': 18, 'a': 16, 'g': 15, 'c': 13})
          Most common: t
In [135]:
            1 # Create 11 "jurors" with verdict accuracy in range (0.5, x)
            2 \mid n = 11
            3 \text{ max accuracy} = 0.667
              jury = [rnd.uniform(.5, max_accuracy) for _ in range(n)]
              jury
Out[135]: [0.5386514461914312,
           0.6490018875549747,
           0.6509485369268001,
           0.6264403149307383,
           0.6006604526422817,
           0.6456462101085015,
           0.5836102701615514,
           0.5656482996136852,
           0.6288250691054713,
           0.5792069752540076,
           0.51149370166210271
In [136]:
            1 # Create a series of outcomes (0=innocent or 1=guilty) to be deci
            2 \mid n \text{ outcomes} = 100000
            3
              outcomes = [rnd.choice([0,1]) for in range(n outcomes)]
In [137]:
            1 # for each outcome, and each juror makes a verdict decision
            2 # In this model, we don't require unanimity. Instead, the jurors
              # The majority vote is the decision of the jury.
              verdicts = []
            5
              for out in outcomes:
                   verdict = []
            6
            7
                   for juror in jury:
                       if rnd.random() < juror:</pre>
            8
            9
                           verdict.append(out)
           10
                       else:
                           verdict.append(1-out)
           11
                   verdicts.append(Counter(verdict).most common(1)[0][0])
           12
           13
```

```
1 # Compute the jury verdict accuracy for each
In [138]:
            2
            3
              correct = 0
            4
              for i in range(len(outcomes)):
            5
                  if outcomes[i] == verdicts[i]:
            6
                      correct += 1
            7
              print(correct / len(outcomes))
            8
          0.7494
 In [ ]:
            1
```