Cybersecurity Risk Assessment for Online Banking Platform

1. Overview

This report aimed to identify, assess, and prioritize cybersecurity risks associated with the launch of the institution's new online banking platform. The goal is to safeguard customer data, protect digital assets, and ensure compliance with financial industry regulations (e.g., GLBA, FFIEC, PCI DSS, NIST).

2. Risk Assessment Methodology

We applied the NIST Risk Management Framework (RMF) and used a qualitative risk matrix approach to rate risks based on:

Likelihood (Low, Medium, High)

Impact (Low, Medium, High)

The combined rating helps determine risk priority.

3. Identified Cybersecurity Risks

| Risk ID | Risk Description | Threat Source | Asset Affected |
|---------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| R1 | Unauthorized | External attacker | Customer |
| | access via | | accounts, sensitive |
| | compromised | | data |
| | credentials | | |
| R2 | Exploitation of | Hacker, script | Platform integrity, |
| | application | kiddie | data confidentiality |
| | vulnerabilities (e.g. | | |
| | injection attacks, | | |
| | XSS) | | |
| R3 | Distributed denial | Botnet | Platform |
| | of service (DDoS) | | availability |
| | attack | | |
| R4 | Insecure API | Third party vendor | Data flow security, |
| | inetgration with | | platform trust |
| | third party services | | |
| R5 | Phishing and social | Social engineer | Customer login |
| | engineering attacks | | credentials |

| | targeting customers | | | | |
|----|---|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| R6 | Insider (misuse accidental k | threats or oreach) | Internal employee | Data leakage, | fraud |
| R7 | Inadequate encryption in transit or | for data | Technical flaw | Regulatory compliance, theft | non- data |

4. Risk Analysis & Prioritization

| Risk ID | Likelihood | Impact | Risk level |
|---------|------------|--------|------------|
| R1 | High | High | Critical |
| R2 | Medium | High | High |
| R3 | Medium | Medium | Medium |
| R4 | Medium | High | High |
| R5 | High | Medium | High |
| R6 | Low | High | Medium |
| R7 | Low | High | Medidum |

Top Priority Risks: R1, R2, R4, R5

5. Mitigation Strategies

Based on FFIEC guidelines, NIST SP 800-53, and industry standards, here are the proposed mitigation strategies for the top risks:

R1: Unauthorized Access

Mitigation Measures:

- Enforced Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) for all users
- Implemented adaptive access controls (geo-fencing, device ID)
- Enabled real-time fraud detection & account lockout thresholds
- Conducted regular credential stuffing simulations

R2: Application Vulnerabilities

Mitigation Measures:

- Adopted Secure SDLC practices with OWASP ASVS integration
- Performed regular code reviews and dynamic testing (DAST)
- Conducted quarterly penetration testing
- Enforced WAF (Web Application Firewall) with real-time threat intelligence

R4: Insecure APIs

Mitigation Measures:

- Applied OAuth 2.0 with strong API authentication
- Used API gateways to control and monitor access
- Encrypted API traffic using TLS 1.3
- Validated inputs and throttle requests

R5: Phishing Attacks

Mitigation Measures:

- Launched customer education campaigns on phishing
- Used DMARC, DKIM, and SPF to authenticate outbound emails
- Deployed email filtering and anomaly detection
- Added transaction verification alerts (SMS/Push)

6. Summary of Risk Management Process

| Step | Activity |
|------------|--|
| Identify | Reviewed platform architecture, integration points and |
| | past incident data |
| Assess | Used NIST based criteria to evaluate risk likelihood and |
| | impact |
| Prioritize | Mapped risks using a qualitative matrix |
| Respond | Proposed layered security controls aligned to regulatory |
| | guidance |
| Monitor | Recommended continuous monitoring using SIEM and |
| | automated compliance tools |

7. Recommendations Summary

| Priority | Action | Timeline |
|----------|----------------------------|----------------|
| High | Implement MFA & | Immediate |
| | anomaly detection | |
| High | Conduct full app & API | Within 30 days |
| | security audit | |
| High | Launch phishing | Within 45 days |
| | awareness program | |
| Medium | Evaluate insider access & | Ongoing |
| | logging | |
| Medium | Update encryption policies | Within 60 days |

Conclusion

The new online banking platform introduces powerful customer benefits, but it also expands the institution's cyber risk surface. My assessment identified the most critical cybersecurity risks, and my recommendations ensure that confidentiality, integrity, and availability are maintained. These measures also support compliance with key financial regulations and reduce legal exposure.