

1 DEFECTRA: ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT  
2 AND CLASSIFICATION

3 A Special Problem Proposal  
4 Presented to  
5 the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics  
6 College of Arts and Sciences  
7 University of the Philippines Visayas  
8 Miag-ao, Iloilo

9 In Partial Fulfillment  
10 of the Requirements for the Degree of  
11 Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

12 BELEBER, Benz Vrianne  
13 CATALAN, Perserose  
14 SENCIL, Kristian Lyle

15 Francis DIMZON  
16 Adviser  
17 Jumar CADONDON  
18 Co-Adviser

19 December 9, 2024

# Contents

21	<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
22	1.1 Overview . . . . .	1
23	1.2 Problem Statement . . . . .	2
24	1.3 Research Objectives . . . . .	2
25	1.3.1 General Objective . . . . .	2
26	1.3.2 Specific Objectives . . . . .	2
27	1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research . . . . .	3
28	1.5 Significance of the Research . . . . .	4
29	<b>2 Review of Related Literature</b>	<b>5</b>
30	2.1 Related Literature . . . . .	5
31	2.1.1 Deep Learning . . . . .	5
32	2.1.2 YOLOv5 . . . . .	5
33	2.1.3 Image and Video Processing . . . . .	6
34	2.1.4 Stereo Vision . . . . .	6
35	2.2 Related Studies . . . . .	6
36	2.2.1 Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies	
37	in VANET Using Deep Learning . . . . .	6

38	2.2.2	Smartphones as Sensors for Road Surface Monitoring . . .	7
39	2.2.3	Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches	7
40	2.2.4	Road Surface Quality Monitoring Using Machine Learning	
41		Algorithms . . . . .	8
42	2.2.5	Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-	
43		Based Segmentation and Detection . . . . .	8
44	2.2.6	Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing smart-	
45		phones and artificial intelligence . . . . .	9
46	2.2.7	Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved	
47		Ride Quality . . . . .	9
48	2.3	Chapter Summary . . . . .	10
49	<b>3</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>11</b>
50	3.1	<b>Research Activities</b> . . . . .	11
51	3.1.1	<b>Data Collection</b> . . . . .	11
52	3.1.2	<b>Algorithm Selection</b> . . . . .	12
53	3.1.3	<b>Design, Testing, and Experimentation</b> . . . . .	12
54	3.1.4	<b>Challenges and Limitations</b> . . . . .	14
55	3.2	Calendar of Activities . . . . .	15
56		<b>References</b>	<b>16</b>
57	<b>A</b>	<b>Resource Persons</b>	<b>17</b>

# 58 List of Tables

59	2.1	Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using	
60		Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision . . . . .	10
61	3.1	Timetable of Activities for 2024 . . . . .	15
62	3.2	Timetable of Activities for 2025 . . . . .	15

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Overview

According to the National Road Length by Classification, Surface Type, and Condition of the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), as of October 2022 approximately 98.97

In an interview with the Road Board of DPWH Region 6 it was stated that road condition assessments are mostly done manually with heavy reliance on engineering judgment. In addition, manual assessment of roads is also time consuming which leaves maintenance operations to wait for lengthy assessments (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16 September 2024). In a study conducted by Ramos, Dacanay, and Bronuela-Ambrocio (2022), it was found that the Philippines' current method of manual pavement surveying is considered as a gap since it takes an average of 2-3 months to cover a 250 km road as opposed to a 1 day duration in the Australian Road Research Board for the same road length. Ramos et al. (2022) recommended that to significantly improve efficiency of surveying methods and data gathering processes, automated survey tools are to be employed. It was also added that use of such automated surveying tools can also guarantee the safety of road surveyors (Ramos et al., 2022).

If the process of assessment on the severity of road defects can be automated then the whole process of assessing the quality of roads can be hastened up which can also enable maintenance operations to commence as soon as possible if necessary. If not automated, the delay of assessments will continue and roads that are supposedly needing maintenance may not be properly maintained which can affect the general public that is utilizing public roads daily.

## 88 1.2 Problem Statement

89 Roads support almost every aspect of daily life, from providing a way to transport  
90 goods and services to allowing people to stay connected with their communities.  
91 However, road defects such as cracks and potholes damage roads over time, and  
92 they can increase accident risks and affect the overall transportation. The current  
93 way of inspecting the roads for maintenance is often slow as it is done manually,  
94 which makes it harder to detect and fix defects early. The delay in addressing  
95 these problems can lead to even worse road conditions (J. Chua, Personal Inter-  
96 view. 16 September 2024). There are several research studies into automated  
97 road defect classification that have advanced in recent years but most of them  
98 focus on identifying the types of defects rather than assessing their severity or  
99 characteristics like depth. Without reliable data on the depth of the defect, road  
100 maintenance authorities may underestimate the severity of certain defects. To ad-  
101 dress these challenges, advancements are needed across various areas. An effective  
102 solution should not only detect and classify road defects but also measure their  
103 severity to better prioritize repairs. Failing to address this problem will require  
104 more extensive repairs for damaged roads, which raises the cost and strains the  
105 budget. Additionally, road maintenance would still be slow and cause disruptions  
106 in daily activities. Using an automated system that accurately detects, classifies,  
107 and assess the severity of road defects by incorporating depth are necessary to  
108 efficiently monitor road quality.

## 109 1.3 Research Objectives

### 110 1.3.1 General Objective

111 This special problem aims to develop an automated system that will accurately  
112 detect and assess the severity of potholes on road surfaces by using image ana-  
113 lysis, depth measurement technologies, and a combination of machine learning and  
114 computer vision techniques. The system will focus on measuring the depth of pot-  
115 holes to assess their severity, enabling faster and more accurate road maintenance  
116 decisions.

### 117 1.3.2 Specific Objectives

118 Specifically, this special problem aims:

- 119 1. To collect high-quality images of road surfaces that capture potholes includ-  
120 ing their depth in various lighting and weather conditions.
- 121 2. To develop and train a machine learning model to detect and assess the  
122 severity of potholes from images.
- 123 3. To measure the accuracy of the system by comparing the depth measure-  
124 ments against ground truth data collected from actual road inspections
- 125 4. To develop a prototype system that can detect and measure road potholes  
126 from image input, analyze their depth, and assess their severity.

## 127 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

128 This system will focus solely on detecting and assessing the severity of potholes  
129 through image analysis and depth measurement technologies. The scope includes  
130 the collection of pothole images using cameras and depth-sensing tools under  
131 various lighting and weather conditions, ensuring the data captures real-world  
132 variations. High-quality and diverse image datasets will be crucial for training  
133 the model to accurately assess pothole severity based on depth.

134 Depth-sensing tools, such as stereo cameras, will be used to record the depth  
135 of potholes specifically. The system will not address other road defects like cracks  
136 or other surface deformations; therefore, it will detect and analyze only potholes.  
137 Additionally, only accessible potholes will be measured, meaning those that are  
138 filled with water or obscured by debris may not be accurately assessed.

139 The machine learning model developed will focus exclusively on detecting pot-  
140 holes and assessing their severity through depth measurement. The accuracy of  
141 the model's depth measurements will be evaluated by comparing them against  
142 data collected from actual field inspections. However, this comparison will be  
143 limited to selected sample sites, as collecting field data over a large area can be  
144 time-consuming and resource-intensive.

145 Environmental factors such as lighting, road surface texture, and weather con-  
146 ditions may impact the model's performance. The accuracy and reliability of the  
147 model will depend on the quality and variety of the training dataset. Its ability  
148 to generalize to unseen pothole images will need to be carefully validated.

## 149 1.5 Significance of the Research

150 This special problem aims to be significant to the following:

151 *Computer Science Community.* This system can contribute to advancements  
152 in computer vision and machine learning by using both visual and depth data to  
153 assess the severity of road defects. It introduces a more comprehensive approach  
154 compared to the usual image-only or manual inspection methods. This combina-  
155 tion can be applied to other fields that need both visual and depth analysis like  
156 medical imaging.

157 *Concerned Government Agencies.* This system offers a valuable tool for road  
158 safety and maintenance. Not only can this detect and classify anomalies, it can  
159 also assess the defect's severity which allows them to prioritize repairs, optimal  
160 project expenditures, and better overall road safety and quality.

161 *Field Engineers.* In the scorching heat, field engineers are no longer required  
162 to be on foot unless it requires its engineering judgement when surveying a road  
163 segment. It can hasten the overall assessment process.

164 *Future Researchers.* The special problem can serve as a baseline and guide of  
165 researchers with the aim to pursue special problems similar or related to this.



## Chapter 2

# Review of Related Literature

## 2.1 Related Literature

This section of the chapter presents related literature that is considered essential for the development of this special problem.

### 2.1.1 Deep Learning

Kelleher (2019) states that deep learning is inclined on making large-scale neural networks geared towards creating data-driven decisions. Furthermore, it was also argued that deep learning is oriented towards large-scale, complex data.

### 2.1.2 YOLOv5

According to Solawetz (2024), YOLOv5 is a model from a family of computer vision models used for object detection. YOLOv5 is reported to perform comparably to state-of-the-art techniques. It is designed to extract features from raw input images, used primarily in training object detection models alongside various data augmentation techniques.

### 181 **2.1.3 Image and Video Processing**

182 Kumar (2024) defines image processing as a process of turning an image into its  
183 digital form and extracting data from it through certain functions and operations.  
184 Usual processes are considered to treat images as 2D signals wherein different  
185 processing methods utilize these signals. Like image processing, Riches Resources  
186 (2020) defines video processing as being able to extract information and data from  
187 video footage through signal processing methods. However, in video processing  
188 due to the diversity of video formats, compression and decompression methods  
189 are often expected to be performed on videos before processing methods to either  
190 increase or decrease bitrate.

### 191 **2.1.4 Stereo Vision**

192 MathWorks (n.d.) defines stereo vision as a process of utilizing multiple 2D per-  
193 spectives in order to extract information in 3D. In addition, most uses of stereo  
194 vision involve estimating an objects distance from an observer or camera. The 3D  
195 information is stated to be extracted with stereo pairs or pair of images through es-  
196 timation of relative depth of points in a scene which are then represented through  
197 a stereo map that is made through the matching of the pair's corresponding points.

## 198 **2.2 Related Studies**

199 This section of the chapter presents related studies conducted by other researchers  
200 wherein the methodology and technologies used may serve as basis in the devel-  
201 opment of this special problem.

### 202 **2.2.1 Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anoma-** 203 **lies in VANET Using Deep Learning**

204 In the study of Bibi et al. (2021) it was noted that identification of active road  
205 defects are critical in maintaining smooth and safe flow of traffic. Detection and  
206 subsequent repair of such defects in roads are crucial in keeping vehicles using  
207 such roads away from mechanical failures. The study also emphasized the growth  
208 in use of autonomous vehicles in research data gathering which is what the re-  
209 searchers utilized in data gathering procedures. With the presence of autonomous

210 vehicles, this allowed the researchers to use a combination of sensors and deep  
211 neural networks in deploying artificial intelligence. The study aimed to allow au-  
212 tonomous vehicles to avoid critical road defects that can possibly lead to dangerous  
213 situations. Researchers used Resnet-18 and VGG-11 in automatic detection and  
214 classification of road defects. Researchers concluded that the trained model was  
215 able to perform better than other techniques for road defect detection (Bibi et al.,  
216 2021). The study is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models  
217 in training artificial intelligence for road defect detection and classification. How-  
218 ever, the study lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects which is  
219 crucial in automating manual procedures of road surveying in the Philippines.

### 220 **2.2.2 Smartphones as Sensors for Road Surface Monitor-** 221 **ing**

222 In their study, Chapman, Li, and Sattar (2018) noted the rise of sensing capabil-  
223 ities of smartphones which they utilized in monitoring road surface to detect and  
224 identify anomalies. The researchers considered different approaches in detecting  
225 road surface anomalies using smartphone sensors. One of which are threshold-  
226 based approaches which was determined to be quite difficult due to several factors  
227 that are affecting the process of determining the interval length of a window func-  
228 tion in spectral analysis (Chapman et al., 2018). The researchers also utilized  
229 a machine learning approach adapted from another study. It was stated that k-  
230 means was used in classifying sensor data and in training the SVM algorithm. Due  
231 to the requirement of training a supervised algorithm using a labeled sample data  
232 was required before classifying data from sensors, the approach was considered  
233 to be impractical for real-time situations (Chapman et al., 2018). In addition,  
234 Chapman et al. (2018) also noted various challenges when utilizing smartphones  
235 as sensors for data gathering such as sensors being dependent on the device's  
236 placement and orientation, smoothness of captured data, and the speed of the  
237 vehicle it is being mounted on. Lastly, it was also concluded that the accuracy  
238 and performance of using smartphone sensors is challenging to compare due to  
239 the limited data sets and reported algorithms.

### 240 **2.2.3 Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning** 241 **Approaches**

242 The study of Guo, Luo, and Lu (2020) aimed to utilize deep learning models in  
243 classifying road anomalies. The researchers used three deep learning approaches  
244 namely Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent

245 Neural Network from data collected through the sensors in the vehicle’s suspension  
246 system. In comparing the performance of the three deep learning approaches, the  
247 researchers fixed some hyperparameters. Results revealed that the RNN model  
248 was the most stable among the three and in the case of the CNN and DFN  
249 models, the researchers suggested the use of wheel speed signals to ensure accuracy.  
250 And lastly, the researchers concluded that the RNN model was best due to high  
251 prediction performance with small set parameters (Guo et al., 2020).

#### 252 **2.2.4 Road Surface Quality Monitoring Using Machine Learn-** 253 **ing Algorithms**

254 The study of Bansal et al. (2021) aimed to utilize machine learning algorithms in  
255 classifying road defects as well as predict their locations. Another implication of  
256 the study was to provide useful information to commuters and maintenance data  
257 for authorities regarding road conditions. The researchers gathered data using  
258 various methods such as smartphone GPS, gyroscopes, and accelerometers. Bansal  
259 et al. (2021) also argued that early existing road monitoring models are unable  
260 to predict locations of road defects and are dependent on fixed roads and static  
261 vehicle speed. Neural and deep neural networks were utilized in the classification  
262 of anomalies which was concluded by the researchers to yield accurate results and  
263 are applicable on a larger scale of data (Bansal et al., 2021). The study of Bansal  
264 et al. (2021) can be considered as an effective method in gathering data about  
265 road conditions. However, it was stated in the study that relevant authorities will  
266 be provided with maintenance operation and there is no presence of any severity  
267 assessment in the study. This may cause confusion due to a lack of assessment on  
268 what is the road condition that will require extensive maintenance or repair.

#### 269 **2.2.5 Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-** 270 **Based Segmentation and Detection**

271 In the study of Ha, Kim, and Kim (2022), it was argued that the detection, clas-  
272 sification, and severity assessment of road cracks should be automated due to the  
273 bottleneck it causes during the entire process of surveying. For the study, the  
274 researchers utilized SqueezeNet, U-Net, and MobileNet-SSD models for crack clas-  
275 sification and severity assessment. Furthermore, the researchers also employed  
276 separate U-nets for linear and area cracking cases. For crack detection, the re-  
277 searchers followed the process of pre-processing, detection, classification. Dur-  
278 ing preprocessing images were smoothed out using image processing techniques.  
279 The researchers also utilized YOLOv5 object detection models for classification of

280 pavement cracking wherein the YOLOv51 model recorded the highest accuracy.  
281 The researchers however stated images used for the study are only 2D images  
282 which may have allowed higher accuracy rates. Furthermore, the researchers sug-  
283 gest incorporating depth information in the models to further enhance results.

### 284 **2.2.6 Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing** 285 **smartphones and artificial intelligence**

286 The study of Christodoulou, Dimitrio, and Kyriakou (2016) presented what is con-  
287 sidered as a low-cost technology which was the use of Artificial Neural Networks  
288 in training a model for road anomaly detection from data gathered by smart-  
289 phone sensors. The researchers were able to collect case study data using two-  
290 dimensional indicators of the smartphone’s roll and pitch values. In the study’s  
291 discussion, the data collected displayed some complexity due to acceleration and  
292 vehicle speed which lead to detected anomalies being not as conclusive as planned.  
293 The researchers also added that the plots are unable to show parameters that could  
294 verify the data’s correctness and accuracy. Despite the setbacks, the researchers  
295 still fed the data into the Artificial Neural Network that was expected to produce  
296 two outputs which were “no defect” and “defect.” The method still yielded above  
297 90% accuracy but due to the limited number of possible outcomes in the data  
298 processing the researchers still needed to test the methodology with larger data  
299 sets and roads with higher volumes of anomalies.

### 300 **2.2.7 Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for** 301 **Improved Ride Quality**

302 In the study of Ramaiah and Kundu (2021) it was stated that stereo vision has  
303 been earning attention due to its reliable obstacle detection and recognition. Fur-  
304 thermore, the study also discussed that such technology would be useful in improv-  
305 ing ride quality in automated vehicles by integrating it in a predictive suspension  
306 control system. The proposed study was to develop a novel stereo vision based  
307 pothole detection system which also calculates the depth accurately. However,  
308 the study focused on improving ride quality by using the 3D information from  
309 detected potholes in controlling the damping coefficient of the suspension system.  
310 Overall, the pothole detection system was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is  
311 able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm. The researchers concluded  
312 that such system can be utilized in commercial applications. However, it is also  
313 worth noting that despite the system being able to detect potholes and measure  
314 its depth, the overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

## 2.3 Chapter Summary

The reviewed literature involved various techniques and approaches in road anomaly detection and classification. These approaches are discussed and summarized below along with their limitations and research gaps.

Study	Technology/ Techniques Used	Key Findings	Limitations
Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies in VANET Using Deep Learning	Resnet-18 and VGG-11	Trained model is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training artificial intelligence for road defect detection and classification.	Lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects.
Smartphones as sensors for Road surface monitoring	Machine Learning, Smartphones	Approach was considered impractical for real-life applications.	Sensors are dependent on device's placement and orientation, smoothness of data, and speed of vehicle it is mounted on. Accuracy of results is difficult to compare.
Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches	Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent Neural Network	Identified that RNN was the best deep learning approach due to high prediction performance.	Data collection is considered too difficult and complicated to execute due to sensors being mounted on an integral part of the vehicle.
Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-Based Segmentation and Detection	SqueezeNet, U-Net, YOLOv5, and MobileNet-SSD models	YOLOv51 model recorded the highest accuracy.	Only 2D images are used for the study which may have allowed higher accuracy rates, and the study also lacked depth information.
Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved Ride Quality	Pair of stereo images captured by a stereo camera	System was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm.	Overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

Table 2.1: Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision

## Chapter 3

# Methodology

This chapter outlines the systematic approach that will be taken to address the problem of classifying and assessing road defects using artificial intelligence. The methodology will be divided into key phases: data collection, algorithm selection, design, testing and experimentation, and challenges and limitations. Each phase will play a crucial role in accurately classifying and assessing road defects. Each phase will be essential for accurately classifying and assessing road defects.

### 3.1 Research Activities

#### 3.1.1 Data Collection

The researchers conducted initial inquiries to understand the problem domain and existing road maintenance practices. This phase included consulting the engineers under the Road Maintenance Department of the government agency Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). An interview with Engr. Jane Chua provided a comprehensive overview of the DPWH's road maintenance manual, which was crucial in aligning this project with existing standards. This collaboration with DPWH provided insights into road pothole classification standards, ensuring that the collected data will align with industry standards. The researchers will also manually annotate the pilot dataset based on these standards, ensuring local relevance.

### 339    **3.1.2    Algorithm Selection**

340    Potential solutions, algorithms, and system architectures were discussed by the re-  
341    searchers and the special problem adviser in this phase. These sessions, conducted  
342    in class and virtually via Zoom, helped narrow down the overview of the system,  
343    leading to the selection of the main architecture YOLOv5 for pothole detection  
344    and Epipolar Spatio-Temporal Networks (ESTN) for depth estimation.

### 345    **Pothole Detection**

346    YOLOv5 was selected due to its high accuracy and ability to process images in  
347    real-time, making it suitable for detecting road defects in dynamic environments.  
348    Its architecture is optimized for speed and performance, which is crucial for large-  
349    scale deployment in road inspections.

### 350    **Severity Assessment**

351    The Multi-view Depth Estimation using Epipolar Spatio-Temporal Networks was  
352    selected due to the high cost and limited accessibility of LiDAR technology. By  
353    applying epipolar geometry and temporal consistency across sequential frames,  
354    this approach provides an accurate depth estimation from standard video footage  
355    (Long et al., 2021).

### 356    **3.1.3    Design, Testing, and Experimentation**

357    This section outlines both the design and testing of the system, as well as the  
358    experimentation process to validate the selected methodologies.

### 359    **Model Design**

360    The system was designed to operate with two core components: YOLOv5 for  
361    pothole detection and ESTN for severity assessment. The model architecture was  
362    chosen based on the real-time processing capabilities and the need for accurate  
363    depth estimation from standard video footage. The design ensures that the system  
364    can detect defects and provide severity assessments in a seamless workflow.



## 365 Data Set

366 The YOLOv5 model was trained using two datasets from Universe Roboflow. One  
367 of the data sets was posted by a user named Eric Tam. It was also stated that  
368 the images from the dataset are sourced from a Crowdsensing-based Road Damage  
369 Detection Challenge from 2022 in Japan. The challenge involves contestants being  
370 required to submit road damage datasets, shortlist their data set, and use the data  
371 set for road damage detection and classification models. The use of this data set  
372 in training models for road damage detection and classification ensures that the  
373 data is viable for training the YOLOv5 model. The dataset contains various road  
374 defects in Japan. Another data set used in training the YOLOv5 model was also  
375 uploaded in Universe Roboflow by a user named Atikur Rahman Chitholian which  
376 was stated to be part of his undergraduate thesis. The dataset is comprised of 665  
377 images with potholes being labeled. It was also stated that the data set can be  
378 utilized in automatically detecting and categorizing potholes found in the streets  
379 of cities. Data preprocessing techniques were applied to both datasets to improve  
380 model accuracy and generalization. These included resizing images to a uniform  
381 size, applying augmentation techniques (flipping, rotation, and color adjustment)  
382 to increase dataset variability, and normalizing pixel values to ensure consistency  
383 across images.

## 384 Performance Metrics

385 The performance of the YOLOv5 model will be evaluated using mean Average  
386 Precision (mAP). mAP is a widely used metric in object detection tasks and is  
387 particularly useful for assessing models that need to detect and classify multiple  
388 object categories. In this case, mAP will provide a comprehensive evaluation of the  
389 model’s ability to detect and classify potholes, offering an aggregated score across  
390 the relevant detection thresholds. This ensures a balanced assessment of both  
391 detection accuracy and classification performance, which is essential for accurately  
392 identifying potholes across varying conditions. The effectiveness of mAP for this  
393 task is well-established in object detection literature (Everingham et al., 2015; Lin  
394 et al., 2014).

395 For the accuracy of depth estimation using the Epipolar Spatio-Temporal Net-  
396 works (ESTN), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) and Mean Absolute Error  
397 (MAE) will be used. RMSE is chosen for its ability to penalize larger errors more  
398 heavily, making it suitable for assessing depth estimation performance where larger  
399 deviations from the ground truth are more significant (Zhang et al., 2018). MAE is  
400 also employed to provide a straightforward measure of average error magnitude,  
401 offering a complementary evaluation of depth estimation without emphasizing

402 larger errors as much (Zhang et al., 2020).

## 403 **Testing and Validation**

404 The testing process will begin with a detailed testing plan that includes both  
405 simulated and real-world testing scenarios. Initially, the model will be tested in  
406 controlled environments to ensure it can detect and assess road defects accurately.  
407 Following this, real-world testing will be conducted using the StereoPi kit on local  
408 roads, specifically at the University of the Philippines Visayas Miagao Campus.  
409 The system’s performance will be validated by comparing its predictions with  
410 ground-truth data collected from manual inspections.

## 411 **Documentation**

412 Throughout the research activities, thorough documentation will be maintained.  
413 This documentation will capture all methods, results, challenges, and adjustments  
414 made during the experimentation phases. It ensures the reproducibility of the  
415 work and provides transparency for future research endeavors.

## 416 **3.1.4 Challenges and Limitations**

### 417 **Availability of Local Datasets**

418 The lack of locally labeled datasets for road defects has posed a challenge in  
419 training accurate models. The majority of available datasets are sourced from  
420 international locations, which may not fully represent the road conditions found  
421 in the study area. To address the lack of locally labeled datasets, the researchers  
422 will create a pilot dataset from local roads within the University of the Philippines  
423 Visayas Miagao Campus. This dataset will be manually annotated according to  
424 DPWH’s classification standards, ensuring local relevance.

### 425 **Data Quality and Variability**

426 Variations in the quality and resolution of the data collected from different sources  
427 may impact the performance of the trained models. In particular, images captured  
428 under varying weather conditions or lighting may affect the accuracy of pothole

429 detection. To address this, the researchers plan to use the StereoPi kit to capture  
 430 images under optimal weather and lighting conditions, such as mid-morning or  
 431 early afternoon on clear days, ensuring consistent image quality for stereo vision  
 432 analysis. The kit’s stereo cameras will be calibrated for uniform resolution and  
 433 focus. Data augmentation techniques will also be applied to simulate varying con-  
 434 ditions, and pre-processing steps like noise reduction and contrast enhancement  
 435 will be used to improve the quality of the captured data. This approach aims  
 436 to minimize the impact of environmental factors on the accuracy of road pothole  
 437 detection and depth estimation.

## 438 3.2 Calendar of Activities

439 Table 1 shows a Gantt chart of the activities. Each bullet represents approximately  
 440 one week’s worth of activity.

Table 3.1: Timetable of Activities for 2024

Activities (2024)	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Pre-proposal Preparation	••••				
Literature Review	•••	•			
Data Collection	••	••			
Algorithm Selection		••			
System Design		•	••	••	
Preliminary Testing				••	•
Documentation and SP Writing	••••	••••	••••	••••	••

Table 3.2: Timetable of Activities for 2025

Activities (2025)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Data Collection	••••					
System Design	•••	••	••			
Model testing	•••	••••	••••			
Results Analysis			••	••••		
Conclusion Formulation				••	•••	
Documentation and SP Writing	••••	••••	••••	••••	••••	••

## References

- Fedkiw, R., Stam, J., & Jensen, H. W. (2001). Visual simulation of smoke. In E. Fiume (Ed.), *Proceedings of siggraph 2001* (pp. 15–22). ACM Press / ACM SIGGRAPH.
- Jobson, D. J., Rahman, Z., & Woodell, G. A. (1995). Retinex image processing: Improved fidelity to direct visual observation. In *Proceedings of the is&t fourth color imaging conference: Color science, systems, and applications* (Vol. 4, pp. 124–125).
- Kartch, D. (2000). *Efficient rendering and compression for full-parallax computer-generated holographic stereograms* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Cornell University.
- Levoy, M., Pulli, K., Curless, B., Rusinkiewicz, S., Koller, D., Pereira, L., ... Fulk, D. (2000). The digital michelangelo project. In K. Akeley (Ed.), *Proceedings of siggraph 2000* (pp. 131–144). New York: ACM Press / ACM SIGGRAPH.
- Park, S. W., Linsen, L., Kreylos, O., Owens, J. D., & Hamann, B. (2006, March/April). Discrete sibson interpolation. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 12(2), 243–253.
- Parke, F. I., & Waters, K. (1996). *Computer facial animation*. A. K. Peters.
- Pellacini, F., Vidimče, K., Lefohn, A., Mohr, A., Leone, M., & Warren, J. (2005, August). Lpics: a hybrid hardware-accelerated relighting engine for computer cinematography. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, 24(3), 464–470.
- Sako, Y., & Fujimura, K. (2000). Shape similarity by homotropic deformation. *The Visual Computer*, 16(1), 47–61.
- Yee, Y. L. H. (2000). *Spatiotemporal sensitivitiy and visual attention for efficient rendering of dynamic environments* (Unpublished master’s thesis). Cornell University.

## 442 **Appendix A**

### 443 **Resource Persons**

#### 444 **Prof. Jumar Cadondon**

445 Assistant Professor

446 Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics

447 University of the Philippines Visayas

448 jgcadondon@up.edu.ph

#### 449 **Engr. Jane Chua**

450 Engineer

451 DPWH Region 6

452 chua.jane@dpwh.gov.ph

453

#### 454 **Engr. Marilou Zamora**

455 Chief

456 Planning and Design

457 DPWH Region 6

458 zamora.marilou@dpwh.gov.ph ....