

1 ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT AND
2 CLASSIFICATION

3 A Special Problem
4 Presented to
5 the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
6 College of Arts and Sciences
7 University of the Philippines Visayas
8 Miag-ao, Iloilo

9 In Partial Fulfillment
10 of the Requirements for the Degree of
11 Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

12 BELEBER, Benz Vrianne
13 CATALAN, Perserose
14 SENCIL, Kristian Lyle

15 Francis DIMZON, Ph.D.
16 Adviser

17 June 2, 2025

18

Approval Sheet

19

The Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics, College of Arts and
Sciences, University of the Philippines Visayas

20

certifies that this is the approved version of the following special problem:

21

**ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT AND
CLASSIFICATION**

22

23

Approved by:**Name****Signature****Date**

Francis D. Dimzon, Ph.D.

(Adviser)

Ara Abigail E. Ambita

25

(Panel Member)

Jumar G. Cadondon, Ph.D.

(Panel Member)

Kent Christian A. Castor

(Division Chair)

26 Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
27 College of Arts and Sciences
28 University of the Philippines Visayas

29 **Declaration**

30 We, BENZ VRIANNE BELEBER, PERSEROSE CATALAN, and KRISTIAN
31 LYLE SENCIL, hereby certify that this Special Problem has been written by us
32 and is the record of work carried out by us. Any significant borrowings have been
33 properly acknowledged and referred.

Name

Signature

Date

Benz Vrianne Beleber _____

(Student)

Perserose Catalan _____

(Student)

Kristian Lyle Sencil _____

(Student)

Dedication

36 This Special Problem is dedicated to the researchers' families, whose unwa-
37 vering love, patience, and support have been the foundation of their academic
38 journey.

39 To their parents, for their endless sacrifices.

40 To their mentors and teachers, for believing in them and guiding them with
41 wisdom.

42 And to all those who inspired them to keep going even in the most challenging
43 moments — this work is for them.

44

Acknowledgment

45 The researchers would like to express their heartfelt gratitude to the individuals,
46 institutions, and organizations who made the completion of this Special
47 Problem possible:

48 To their adviser, Dr. Francis Dimzon, for his expert guidance, valuable insights,
49 for teaching Computer Vision topics, and unwavering support throughout
50 the research process.

51 To Prof. Jumar Cadondon, for lending his time and expertise during the early
52 stages of this Special Problem, especially in providing assistance with his drone.

53 To Sir Cris Beleber, for his unwavering support from the initial conceptualization
54 of this project to out consultations with the DPWH. His assistance was
55 instrumental in the successful completion of this study.

56 To the Komsai faculty and staff, for providing a nurturing and intellectually
57 stimulating environment.

58 To the university personnel who ensured the researchers' safety throughout
59 the data collection process.

60 To the Department of Science and Technology (DOST), for their support and
61 promotion of scientific research and innovation.

62 To the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), for providing
63 valuable data and technical insights that greatly contributed to the relevance and
64 application of the study.

65 To their families and friends, for their unconditional love, patience, and en-
66 couragement.

67 Lastly, to the University of the Philippines Visayas, for providing the resources
68 and environment necessary for the researchers to explore and grow.

69 This work stands as a testament to the collaboration, support, and trust the
70 researchers have received. They are deeply grateful.

71

Abstract

72 Road surveying is a crucial part of the maintenance processes of roads in the
73 Philippines that is carried out by the Department of Public Works and Highways.
74 However, the current process of road surveying is time consuming which delays
75 much needed maintenance operations. Existing studies involving automated pot-
76 hole detection lack integration of the pothole's depth in assessing its severity which
77 is essential for automating road surveying procedures. A system that incorporates
78 estimated depth information in assessing pothole severity is developed in order to
79 automate the manual process of depth measurement and severity assessment in
80 road surveying. For depth estimation, stereo vision is favorable in this context
81 as depth may be estimated through the disparity generated by a stereo pair. In
82 obtaining a stereo view of the potholes, the StereoPi V2 is utilized along with
83 some modifications that would make it eligible for outdoor use. To address cam-
84 era imperfections, a fitted inverse model was applied to improve the accuracy of
85 depth estimates. Linear regression analysis revealed a strong positive correlation
86 ($R = 0.978$) between estimated and actual depths, with the system measuring
87 pothole depths mostly within 3 cm of the true values.

88 **Keywords:** pothole, depth estimation, stereo vision, StereoPi V2

⁸⁹ **Contents**

⁹⁰	1 Introduction	¹
⁹¹	1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology	¹
⁹²	1.2 Problem Statement	³
⁹³	1.3 Research Objectives	⁴
⁹⁴	1.3.1 General Objective	⁴
⁹⁵	1.3.2 Specific Objectives	⁴
⁹⁶	1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research	⁵
⁹⁷	1.5 Significance of the Research	⁶
⁹⁸	2 Review of Related Literature	⁷
⁹⁹	2.1 Frameworks	⁷
¹⁰⁰	2.1.1 Depth Estimation	⁷

101	2.1.2 Image and Video Processing	7
102	2.1.3 Stereo Vision	8
103	2.2 Related Studies	8
104	2.2.1 Deep Learning Studies	9
105	2.2.2 Machine Learning Studies	11
106	2.2.3 Computer Vision Studies	12
107	2.3 Synthesis	14
108	2.4 Chapter Summary	15
109	3 Research Methodology	17
110	3.1 Research Activities	17
111	3.1.1 Data Collection	17
112	3.1.2 Design, Testing, and Experimentation	21
113	3.1.3 Challenges and Limitations	29
114	4 Results and Discussion	31
115	4.1 System Calibration and Model Refinement	31
116	4.2 Testing Results	33
117	4.3 Discussion	36

CONTENTS

xi

¹¹⁸	5 Conclusion	39
¹¹⁹	5.1 Summary	39
¹²⁰	5.2 Conclusions	40
¹²¹	5.3 Recommendations for Practice	42
¹²²	5.4 Suggestions for Further Research	42
¹²³	6 References	45
¹²⁴	A Code Snippets	49
¹²⁵	B Resource Persons	55
¹²⁶	C Data Table and Pothole Images	57
¹²⁷	D Supplementary Documents	61

¹²⁸ List of Figures

¹²⁹ 3.1	Manual depth measurement of pothole using a ruler and measuring tape.	19
¹³¹ 3.2	Four measurement points of the pothole.	20
¹³² 3.3	Visualized pothole locations during the ground truth data collection within the UPV campus.	20
¹³⁴ 3.4	Components used in the prototype development. From the top left: Raspberry Pi Computer Module 4, 3D Printed Custom Hous- ing, cooling fan, StereoPi V2 Board, two camera modules, antenna, momentary push button, and 2-inch LCD module.	23
¹³⁸ 3.5	Dual RPi Camera Modules attached to the custom housing. . . .	24
¹³⁹ 3.6	LCD Module connected to the StereoPi board.	24
¹⁴⁰ 3.7	The finished prototype.	24
¹⁴¹ 3.8	Calibration process with a checkerboard to correct fisheye lens dis- tortion.	25

143	3.9 Parameter tuning process to achieve cleaner and more accurate disparity maps.	25
145	3.10 The system tested on a simulated pothole.	26
146	3.11 Inverse Model Fit to Disparity vs. Distance.	27
147	3.12 First measure point	28
148	3.13 Second measure point	28
149	3.14 Third measure point	28
150	3.15 Fourth measure point	28
151	4.1 Disparity Map	34
152	4.2 Left Stereo Image	34
153	4.3 Right Stereo Image	34
154	4.4 Linear Regression Fit Between Actual and Estimated Depth	36
155	C.1 Actual Pothole Images	58
156	C.2 Simulated Pothole Images	59
157	D.1 Letter requesting validation of data collection procedures.	62
158	D.2 Letter requesting permission for ground truth data collection within the UPV campus.	63

LIST OF FIGURES

xv

160	D.3 Letter requesting an interview with DPWH representatives for the process of verifying ground truth data.	64
162	D.4 Letter requesting an interview with DPWH representatives for the standard operating procedures of the agency for assessing road quality.	65
165	D.5 Validated pothole measurement procedural manual, reviewed by Engr. Ethel B. Morales, District Engineer, DPWH 1st District Engineering Office.	66
168	D.6 Second page of the pothole measurement procedural manual . . .	67
169	D.7 Third page of the pothole measurement procedural manual . . .	68
170	D.8 Fourth page of the pothole measurement procedural manual . . .	69
171	D.9 Fifth page of the pothole measurement procedural manual . . .	70

List of Tables

¹⁷³	2.1 Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision	15
¹⁷⁴		
¹⁷⁵	3.1 Pothole Severity Classification Based on Depth	22
¹⁷⁶		
¹⁷⁷	4.1 Summary of Linear Regression Fit for Depth Estimation	34
¹⁷⁸	4.2 Linear Regression Coefficients for Estimated Pothole Depth	34
¹⁷⁹	4.3 Descriptive Statistics of Absolute Errors in Depth Estimation	35
	C.1 Actual vs. Estimated Depths with Residuals and Absolute Errors	60

¹⁸⁰ **Chapter 1**

¹⁸¹ **Introduction**

¹⁸² **1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology**

¹⁸³ The Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH) reported in their Na-
¹⁸⁴ tional Road Length by Classification, Surface Type, and Condition Summary as
¹⁸⁵ of October 2023, that approximately 98.97% of roads in the Philippines is paved
¹⁸⁶ which is either made of concrete or asphalt (Balita, 2024). Since the DPWH is an
¹⁸⁷ institution under the government, it is paramount to maintain such roads in order
¹⁸⁸ to avoid accidents and congested traffic situations especially in heavily urbanized
¹⁸⁹ areas where there are a lot of vehicles.

¹⁹⁰ In an interview with the Road Board of DPWH Region 6 it was stated that road
¹⁹¹ condition assessments are mostly done manually with heavy reliance on engineer-
¹⁹² ing judgment (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16 September 2024). In addition,
¹⁹³ manual assessment of roads is also time consuming which leaves maintenance
¹⁹⁴ operations to wait for lengthy assessments. In a study conducted by Ramos, Da-

195 canay, and Bronuela-Ambrocio (2023), it was found that the Philippines' current
196 method of manual pavement surveying is considered as a gap since it takes an
197 average of 2-3 months to cover a 250 km road as opposed to a 1 day duration
198 in the Australian Road Research Board for the same road length. Ramos et al.
199 (2023) recommended that to significantly improve efficiency of surveying methods
200 and data gathering processes, automated survey tools are to be employed. It was
201 also added that use of such automated, surveying tools can also guarantee the
202 safety of road surveyors.

203 If the process of assessment on the severity of road defects can be automated then
204 the whole process of assessing the quality of roads can be hastened up which can
205 also enable maintenance operations to commence as soon as possible if necessary.
206 If not automated, the delay of assessments will continue and roads that are sup-
207 posedly needing maintenance may not be properly maintained which can affect
208 the general public that is utilizing public roads daily.

209 Existing studies involving road defects such as potholes mainly focus on the de-
210 tection of potholes using deep learning models and almost not considering the
211 severity of detected potholes or did not incorporate any depth information from
212 potholes (Ha, Kim, & Kim, 2022; Kumar, 2024; Bibi et al., 2021). In addition, for
213 studies that include severity assessment on potholes, the main goal of the study
214 is not directed towards road maintenance automation but other factors such as
215 improvement of ride quality for the vehicle. Another issue found in existing solu-
216 tions is the lack of incorporation to the context of Philippine roads. With these
217 issues in mind, the study aims to utilize stereo vision from StereoPi V2 in order to
218 obtain multi-perspective views of detected potholes to be used in severity assessment
219 by focusing on estimating the depth of individual potholes for automated

220 road condition monitoring.

221 1.2 Problem Statement

222 Roads support almost every aspect of daily life, from providing a way to transport
223 goods and services to allowing people to stay connected with their communities.
224 However, road defects such as cracks and potholes damage roads over time, and
225 they can increase accident risks and affect the overall transportation. The current
226 way of inspecting the roads for maintenance is often slow as it is done manually,
227 which makes it harder to detect and fix defects early. The delay in addressing these
228 problems can lead to even worse road conditions (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16
229 September 2024). There are several research studies into automated road defect
230 classification that have advanced in recent years but most of them focus on iden-
231 tifying the types of defects rather than assessing their severity or characteristics
232 like depth. Without reliable data on the depth of the defect, road maintenance
233 authorities may underestimate the severity of certain defects. To address these
234 challenges, advancements are needed across various areas. An effective solution
235 should not only detect and classify road defects but also measure their severity
236 to better prioritize repairs. Failing to address this problem will require more ex-
237 tensive repairs for damaged roads, which raises the cost and strains the budget.
238 Additionally, road maintenance would still be slow and cause disruptions in daily
239 activities. Using an automated system that accurately assess the severity of road
240 defects by incorporating depth is necessary to efficiently monitor road quality.

²⁴¹ 1.3 Research Objectives

²⁴² 1.3.1 General Objective

²⁴³ This special problem aims to develop a system that can estimate the depth of
²⁴⁴ potholes on road surfaces and classify them into different severity levels such as
²⁴⁵ low, medium, and high by using stereo vision technology, supporting faster and
²⁴⁶ more precise road maintenance decisions.

²⁴⁷ 1.3.2 Specific Objectives

²⁴⁸ Specifically, this special problem aims to:

²⁴⁹ 1. collect high-quality stereo images of road surfaces that capture potholes
²⁵⁰ including their depth in favorable conditions,

²⁵¹ 2. measure the accuracy of the system by comparing the depth measurements
²⁵² against ground truth data collected from actual road inspections and to
²⁵³ utilize linear regression, root mean square error, and mean absolute error as
²⁵⁴ metrics for evaluation, and

²⁵⁵ 3. develop a prototype system that can detect and measure road potholes from
²⁵⁶ image input, analyze their depth, and assess their severity.

1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

258 This system focuses solely on detecting and assessing the severity of potholes
259 through image analysis and depth measurement technologies. The scope includes
260 the collection of pothole images using cameras and depth-sensing tools under a
261 favorable weather condition.

262 Depth-sensing tools, such as stereo cameras, will be used to record the depth of
263 potholes specifically. The system will not address other road defects like cracks
264 or other surface deformations; therefore, it will detect and analyze only potholes.
265 Additionally, only accessible potholes will be measured, meaning those that are
266 filled with water or obscured by debris may not be accurately assessed.

267 The system developed focuses exclusively on detecting potholes and assessing
268 their severity through depth measurement. The accuracy of the system's depth
269 measurements is evaluated by comparing them against data collected from actual
270 field inspections. However, this comparison is limited to selected sample sites,
271 as collecting field data over a large area can be time-consuming and resource-
272 intensive.

273 Environmental factors such as lighting, road surface texture, and weather con-
274 ditions may impact the system's performance. The accuracy and reliability of
275 the system will depend on the quality of camera calibration and disparity map
276 finetuning. Its ability to measure the depth of pothole images needs careful vali-
277 dation.

²⁷⁸ 1.5 Significance of the Research

²⁷⁹ This special problem aims to be significant to the following:

²⁸⁰ *Computer Science Community.* This system can contribute to advancements in
²⁸¹ computer vision and machine learning by using both visual and depth data to
²⁸² assess the severity of road defects. It introduces a more comprehensive approach
²⁸³ compared to the usual image-only or manual inspection methods. This combina-
²⁸⁴ tion can be applied to other fields that need both visual and depth analysis like
²⁸⁵ medical imaging.

²⁸⁶ *Concerned Government Agencies.* This system offers a valuable tool for road
²⁸⁷ safety and maintenance. Not only can this detect and classify anomalies, it can
²⁸⁸ also assess the defect's severity which allows them to prioritize repairs, optimal
²⁸⁹ project expenditures, and better overall road safety and quality.

²⁹⁰ *Field Engineers.* In the scorching heat, field engineers are no longer required to
²⁹¹ be on foot unless it requires their engineering judgement when surveying a road
²⁹² segment. It can hasten the overall assessment process.

²⁹³ *Future Researchers.* The special problem may serve as a baseline and guide of
²⁹⁴ researchers with the aim to pursue special problems similar or related to this.

²⁹⁵ **Chapter 2**

²⁹⁶ **Review of Related Literature**

²⁹⁷ **2.1 Frameworks**

²⁹⁸ This section of the chapter presents related frameworks that is considered essential
²⁹⁹ for the development of this special problem.

³⁰⁰ **2.1.1 Depth Estimation**

³⁰¹ Depth estimation as defined by Sanz, Mezcua, and Pena (2012) is a set of processes
³⁰² that aims to extract a representation of a certain scene's spatial composition.
³⁰³ Stereo vision is stated to be among the depth estimation strategies.

³⁰⁴ **2.1.2 Image and Video Processing**

³⁰⁵ Kumar (2024) defines image processing as a process of turning an image into its
³⁰⁶ digital form and extracting data from it through certain functions and operations.

³⁰⁷ Usual processes are considered to treat images as 2D signals wherein different
³⁰⁸ processing methods utilize these signals. Like image processing, RICHES Project
³⁰⁹ (2014) defines video processing as being able to extract information and data from
³¹⁰ video footage through signal processing methods. However, in video processing
³¹¹ due to the diversity of video formats, compression and decompression methods
³¹² are often expected to be performed on videos before processing methods to either
³¹³ increase or decrease bitrate.

³¹⁴ **2.1.3 Stereo Vision**

³¹⁵ MathWorks (n.d.) defines stereo vision as a process of utilizing multiple 2D per-
³¹⁶ spectives in order to extract information in 3D. In addition, most uses of stereo
³¹⁷ vision involve estimating an objects distance from an observer or camera. The 3D
³¹⁸ information is stated to be extracted with stereo pairs or pair of images through es-
³¹⁹ timation of relative depth of points in a scene which are then represented through
³²⁰ a stereo map that is made through the matching of the pair's corresponding points.

³²¹ **2.2 Related Studies**

³²² This section of the chapter presents related studies conducted by other researchers
³²³ wherein the methodology and technologies used may serve as basis in the devel-
³²⁴ opment of this special problem.

³²⁵ **2.2.1 Deep Learning Studies**

³²⁶ **Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies**
³²⁷ **in VANET Using Deep Learning**

³²⁸

³²⁹ In the study of Bibi et al. (2021) it was noted that identification of active road
³³⁰ defects are critical in maintaining smooth and safe flow of traffic. Detection and
³³¹ subsequent repair of such defects in roads are crucial in keeping vehicles using
³³² such roads away from mechanical failures. The study also emphasized the growth
³³³ in use of autonomous vehicles in research data gathering which is what the re-
³³⁴ searchers utilized in data gathering procedures. With the presence of autonomous
³³⁵ vehicles, this allowed the researchers to use a combination of sensors and deep
³³⁶ neural networks in deploying artificial intelligence. The study aimed to allow au-
³³⁷ tonomous vehicles to avoid critical road defects that can possibly lead to dangerous
³³⁸ situations. Researchers used Resnet-18 and VGG-11 in automatic detection and
³³⁹ classification of road defects. Researchers concluded that the trained model was
³⁴⁰ able to perform better than other techniques for road defect detection. The study
³⁴¹ is able to provide the effectiveness in automating road defect detection and clas-
³⁴² sification. However, the study lacks findings regarding the severity of detected
³⁴³ defects and incorporation of pothole depth in their model which are both crucial
³⁴⁴ in automating manual procedures of road surveying in the Philippines.

³⁴⁵ **Single Image Depth Estimation: An Overview**

³⁴⁶

³⁴⁷ In the study by Mertan, Duff, and Unal (2022), the authors argued that machine
³⁴⁸ learning methods, specifically convolutional neural networks (CNNs), are among

349 the most effective approaches for solving the depth estimation problem. They
350 noted that most existing depth estimation studies address this task by utilizing
351 relative depth information derived from labeled datasets. Additionally, visual cues
352 such as ground plane contact, vanishing points, and object edges were identified
353 as key features for estimating depth from a single image. The researchers also
354 pointed out that relying on labeled data may introduce biases, which can affect
355 the accuracy of these learned cues. While the limitations of single-image depth
356 estimation were acknowledged, the study did not thoroughly explore alternative
357 methods such as stereo imaging, which can produce more precise depth maps and
358 potentially address some of these limitations.

359 **Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-
360 Based Segmentation and Detection**

361
362 In the study of Ha et al. (2022), it was argued that the detection, classification,
363 and severity assessment of road cracks should be automated due to the bottleneck
364 it causes during the entire process of surveying. For the study, the researchers
365 utilized SqueezeNet, U-Net, and MobileNet-SSD models for crack classification and
366 severity assessment. Furthermore, the researchers also employed separate U-nets
367 for linear and area cracking cases. For crack detection, the researchers followed
368 the process of pre-processing, detection, classification. During preprocessing im-
369 ages were smoothed out using image processing techniques. The researchers also
370 utilized YOLOv5 object detection models for classification of pavement cracking
371 wherein the YOLOv51 model recorded the highest accuracy. The researchers how-
372 ever stated images used for the study are only 2D images which may have allowed
373 higher accuracy rates. Furthermore, the researchers suggest incorporating depth

374 information in the models to further enhance results. Despite the accuracy of the
375 deep learning models in identification and classification of road cracks, the lack
376 of depth estimation and severity assessment suggests that the study is still not
377 geared towards road surveying processes wherein depth estimation with severity
378 assessment of individually detected road cracks may be required.

379 **2.2.2 Machine Learning Studies**

380 **Smartphones as Sensors for Road Surface Monitoring**

381

382 In their study, Sattar, Li, and Chapman (2018) noted the rise of sensing ca-
383 pabilities of smartphones which they utilized in monitoring road surface to de-
384 tect and identify anomalies. The researchers considered different approaches in
385 detecting road surface anomalies using smartphone sensors. One of which are
386 threshold-based approaches which was determined to be quite difficult due to sev-
387 eral factors that are affecting the process of determining the interval length of
388 a window function in spectral analysis. The researchers also utilized a machine
389 learning approach adapted from another study. It was stated that k-means was
390 used in classifying sensor data and in training the SVM algorithm. Due to the
391 requirement of training a supervised algorithm using a labeled sample data was
392 required before classifying data from sensors, the approach was considered to be
393 impractical for real-time situations. In addition, Sattar et al. (2018) also noted
394 various challenges when utilizing smartphones as sensors for data gathering such
395 as sensors being dependent on the device's placement and orientation, smooth-
396 ness of captured data, and the speed of the vehicle it is being mounted on. Lastly,
397 it was also concluded that the accuracy and performance of using smartphone

398 sensors is challenging to compare due to the limited data sets and reported algo-
399 rithms. With the smartphone's observed limitations in surveying road conditions,
400 this indicates that much more sophisticated imaging technologies may be utilized
401 in realtime surveying procedures. In addition, the smartphone's over reliance on
402 several factors also makes it quite incapable in accurate depth estimation.

403 **2.2.3 Computer Vision Studies**

404 **Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved**
405 **Ride Quality**

406

407 In the study of Ramaiah and Kundu (2021) it was stated that stereo vision has
408 been earning attention due to its reliable obstacle detection and recognition. Fur-
409 thermore, the study also discussed that such technology would be useful in improv-
410 ing ride quality in automated vehicles by integrating it in a predictive suspension
411 control system. The proposed study was to develop a novel stereo vision based
412 pothole detection system which also calculates the depth accurately. However,
413 the study focused on improving ride quality by using the 3D information from
414 detected potholes in controlling the damping coefficient of the suspension system.
415 Overall, the pothole detection system was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is
416 able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm. The researchers concluded
417 that such system can be utilized in commercial applications. However, it is also
418 worth noting that despite the system being able to detect potholes and measure
419 its depth, the overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed
420 which makes it quite inapplicable for automated road surveying purposes.

422 **Depth and Image Fusion for Road Obstacle Detection Us-**
423 **ing Stereo Camera**

424

425 In the study of Perezyabov, Gavrilenkova, and Afanasyev (2022), the researchers
426 utilized stereo imaging in detecting obstacles in the road as well as their distance
427 from the camera through the use of depth information gathered from the stereo
428 cameras. It was stated that obstacle detection was a challenge due to certain fac-
429 tors such as artificial illumination and various road textures. In order to address
430 these limitations, the researchers developed an RGB-based and obstacle detection
431 stereo-based approach where SLIC superpixel segmentation was integrated for
432 object segmentation. The findings were reported to give encouraging results due
433 to the researchers being able to prove that RGB-based methods were capable of
434 searching small contrasts objects making road obstacle detection possible. How-
435 ever, it was noted that significant background noise was visible in their captures
436 which may affect a detected obstacle's accuracy. In addition, due to this limi-
437 tation, RGB-based methods for stereo image depth estimation may not produce
438 accurate results. Furthermore, the researchers were only able to test such model
439 in a parking lot wherein vehicle movement is slow and obstacles are almost easily
440 recognizable, lack of testing in actual roads may indicate the model's unreadiness
441 in an actual road applications.

442 2.3 Synthesis

*443 In majority of the studies discussed, road defect detection and classification is a
444 common point of discussion. However, despite deep learning approaches being
445 successful in solving the problem of road defect detection, most of the studies still
446 lack depth incorporation in their models which is considered as a factor in assess-
447 ing pothole depth as based on the Long Term Pavement Performance (Miller &
448 Bellinger, 2014). Furthermore, for stereo vision studies, the detection aspect is
449 also addressed however the studies are not geared towards road surveying pro-
450 cesses due to the emphasis on driver and ride quality improvement. With the
451 observed limitations in related studies, the researchers of this study focused on
452 incorporating severity assessment with depth estimation through a stereo vision
453 based approach to be able to build a foundation on depth based severity assess-
454 ment that could be integrated in future deep learning models.*

⁴⁵⁵ 2.4 Chapter Summary

⁴⁵⁶ The reviewed literature involved various techniques and approaches in road anomaly detection and classification. These approaches are discussed and summarized below along with their limitations and research gaps.

Study	Technology/ Techniques Used	Key Findings	Limitations
Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies in VANET Using Deep Learning	Resnet-18 and VGG-11	Trained model is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training artificial intelligence for road defect detection and classification.	Lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects.
Depth and Image Fusion for Road Obstacle Detection Using Stereo Camera	Stereo Imaging, RGB-based method	Model was able to take advantage of small contrast objects and detect obstacles.	Approach was conducted in a controlled setting with inadequate practical application.
Single Image Depth Estimation: An Overview	Deep Learning Models	Identified various issues with single image depth estimation and effective deep learning model approaches in solving the problem.	Other alternatives to depth estimation with respect to the limitations of single image depth estimation was not mentioned or thoroughly discussed.
Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-Based Segmentation and Detection	SqueezeNet, U-Net, YOLOv5, and MobileNet-SSD models	YOLOv5 model recorded the highest accuracy.	Only 2D images are used for the study which may have allowed higher accuracy rates, and the study also lacked depth information.
Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved Ride Quality	Pair of stereo images captured by a stereo camera	System was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm.	Overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

Table 2.1: Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision

⁴⁵⁹ **Chapter 3**

⁴⁶⁰ **Research Methodology**

⁴⁶¹ This chapter outlines the systematic approach that were taken to address the
⁴⁶² problem of pothole depth estimation. The methodology is divided into key phases:
⁴⁶³ data collection, design, testing and experimentation, and challenges and limita-
⁴⁶⁴ tions. Each phase is essential for estimating the depth of potholes using StereoPi
⁴⁶⁵ V2.

⁴⁶⁶ **3.1 Research Activities**

⁴⁶⁷ **3.1.1 Data Collection**

⁴⁶⁸ The researchers conducted initial inquiries to understand the problem domain and
⁴⁶⁹ existing road maintenance practices. This phase included consulting the engineers
⁴⁷⁰ under the Road Maintenance Department of the government agency Department
⁴⁷¹ of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). An interview with Engr. Jane Chua pro-

472 vided a comprehensive overview of the DPWH's road maintenance manual, which
473 was crucial in aligning this project with existing standards. This collaboration
474 with DPWH provided insights into road pothole classification standards, ensuring
475 that the collected data will align with industry standards. The interview with
476 Engr. Chua revealed that the current way to measure potholes is by their area.
477 Additionally, the DPWH manual primarily focuses on the volume of detected pot-
478 holes within a road segment as a measure of severity. However, since depth is not
479 explicitly measured in their current procedures, the study will supplement this by
480 referencing international standards such as the Long-Term Pavement Performance
481 (LTPP) classification used in the United States (Miller & Bellinger, 2014). The
482 LTPP categorizes potholes baed on depth thresholds, which will be integrated
483 with DPWH's volume-based assessment to provide a more comprehensive sever-
484 ity classification framework. The data collection involved capturing around 130
485 images of potholes from various locations within the UP Visayas Campus. Ground
486 truth data of pothole depth were collected by the researchers by measuring the
487 depth of different points in an individual pothole and then solving for its aver-
488 age depth. The researchers developed a manual specifically designed for depth
489 measurement, which underwent a review by Engr. Benjamin Javellana, Assistant
490 Director of the Maintenance Division at the Department of Public Works and
491 Highways (DPWH) Regional Office VI. The finalized version of the manual was
492 subsequently validated by the DPWH First District Engineering Office. In order
493 to individually locate or determine each pothole where the ground truth data is
494 collected, images taken were labeled with their corresponding coordinates, street
495 names, and nearby landmarks.

496 3.1.1.1 Data Collection (Ground Truth Data)

497 Data collection took place between January and March 2025, during which the re-
498 searchers collected depth information from 130 potholes around the University of
499 the Philippines Visayas Miagao Campus. During data collection, the researchers
500 are equipped with safety vests and an early warning device to give caution to in-
501 coming vehicles. Following the validated manual for pothole depth measurement,
502 a ruler and a measuring tape were used in both vertical and horizontal positions
503 as shown in Figure 3.1. This setup helped determine the distance from the road
504 surface to the bottom of the pothole. The researchers then recorded four mea-
505 surement points within each pothole, as illustrated in Figure 3.2. The average
506 of these values was taken as the pothole's depth. Figure 3.3 shows the mapped
507 locations of the potholes measured within the UPV campus.



508

Figure 3.1: Manual depth measurement of pothole using a ruler and measuring tape.

509




Figure 3.2: Four measurement points of the pothole.

510




Figure 3.3: Visualized pothole locations during the ground truth data collection within the UPV campus.

511 3.1.2 Design, Testing, and Experimentation

512 This section outlines both the design and testing of the system, as well as the
513 experimentation process to validate the selected methodologies.

514 3.1.2.1 Depth Measurement

515 Depth estimation is performed by generating disparity maps from the calibrated
516 stereo image pairs captured by the StereoPi V2. In this process, two key mea-
517 surement points are selected for each pothole: one targeting the pothole area
518 itself, and another targeting the adjacent road surface considered as the reference
519 plane. By calculating the difference in disparity values between these two points,
520 the system estimates the relative depth of the pothole. This approach improves
521 accuracy by normalizing disparity measurements against the nearby road surface,
522 effectively isolating the pothole's depth from overall scene variation.

523 The disparity-to-depth conversion utilizes an inverse model derived from calibra-
524 tion data, ensuring that the depth estimates reflect real-world distances accurately
525 within the effective operational range of the stereo camera setup.

526 3.1.2.2 Severity Assessment

527 The estimated pothole depths were classified using the Long-Term Pavement Per-
528 formance (LTPP) depth thresholds, an internationally recognized framework for
529 pavement distress evaluation. This classification provides standardized criteria
530 to assess pothole severity objectively based on measured depth values. Table 3.1

531 shows the different severity classification based on depth such as potholes with
 532 depths less than 2.5 cm are categorized as low severity, those between 2.5 cm
 533 and 5 cm as medium severity, and potholes exceeding 5 cm are classified as high
 534 severity (Miller & Bellinger, 2014).

Depth Range (cm)	Severity Level
< 2.5	Low
2.5 – 5.0	Medium
> 5.0	High

Table 3.1: Pothole Severity Classification Based on Depth

535 3.1.2.3 Materials and Equipment

536 The prototype system was constructed using several hardware components, which
 537 include the items listed below and shown in Figure 3.4:

- 538 • StereoPi V2 Board
- 539 • Raspberry Pi Compute Module 4 (CM4)
- 540 • Dual RaspberryPi Camera Modules with Fisheye Lens
- 541 • 3D Printed Custom Housing
- 542 • 2-inch LCD Module
- 543 • Micro SD Card
- 544 • Antenna

- 545 • Momentary Push Button

546




Figure 3.4: Components used in the prototype development. From the top left: Raspberry Pi Computer Module 4, 3D Printed Custom Housing, cooling fan, StereoPi V2 Board, two camera modules, antenna, momentary push button, and 2-inch LCD module.

547 **3.1.2.4 Prototype Building**

548 The prototype involved the StereoPi V2 Kit which was acquired through an official
549 international distributor. After assembling the camera, it was further modified to
550 address the it's heating by incorporating a heat sink and a small computer fan
551 to make it suitable for outdoor use. As shown in Figure 3.5, the dual Raspberry
552 Pi camera modules were securely mounted onto the custom housing. To facili-
553 tate user interaction and real-time monitoring, an LCD module was connected to

554 the StereoPi board, as illustrated in Figure 3.6. The final assembled and fully
 555 functional prototype is presented in Figure 3.7.

556




Figure 3.5: Dual RPi Camera Modules attached to the custom housing.




Figure 3.6: LCD Module connected to the StereoPi board.

557



Figure 3.7: The finished prototype.

558 3.1.2.5 Camera Calibration (Fisheye Distortion)

559 The StereoPi V2 was first calibrated using a 9×6 checkerboard, with a checker
 560 size of 55mm, from different angles using calibration scripts that came with the
 561 package. The calibration process, shown in Figure 3.8, involved capturing multiple
 562 images of the checkerboard pattern to correct fisheye lens distortion. This process
 563 ensured that the camera is working properly in capturing stereo imagery. This

564 removed distortion from captured imaged allowing depth estimation with more
 565 accuracy.

566



Figure 3.8: Calibration process with a checkerboard to correct fisheye lens distortion.

567

3.1.2.6 Camera Calibration (Disparity Map Fine-tuning)

568 The stereo image pairs captured by the system were first rectified to ensure proper
 569 alignment of corresponding features. Block matching parameters were then fine-
 570 tuned to produce clearer and more accurate disparity maps. This tuning process
 571 is illustrated in Figure 3.9. It was observed that the effective operational range of
 572 the stereo camera system extends from approximately 30 to 80 cm. At distances
 573 closer than 30 cm, the disparity maps exhibited significant noise, while at distances
 574 beyond 80 cm, disparity information became sparse or blank.

575




Figure 3.9: Parameter tuning process to achieve cleaner and more accurate disparity maps.

576 **3.1.2.7 Initial Testing**

577 Initial testing was conducted to verify the functionality and basic accuracy of the
578 stereoscopic camera system in a controlled environment. Simulated potholes with
579 known depths were created to cover a wider range of pothole depth and shape,
580 and also to consider the extremes. The system captured disparity maps, and
581 estimated depths were computed using the standard stereo camera depth formula.
582 The LCD module displayed the disparity map and estimated depth readings in
583 real-time during these tests, as shown in Figure 3.10.

584




Figure 3.10: The system tested on a simulated pothole.

585 However, the results revealed a non-linear relationship between the computed
586 disparity values and the actual distances. This discrepancy indicated that the
587 traditional depth estimation method was insufficient for the current setup. To
588 address this, the researchers collected multiple data points and correlating known
589 distances to their respective disparity readings and fitted an inverse model to

590 better represent the system's behavior (see Figure 3.11). This updated disparity-
591 to-depth model was subsequently used in the final testing phase.




Figure 3.11: Inverse Model Fit to Disparity vs. Distance.

592 3.1.2.8 Performance Metrics

593 The accuracy of the pothole depth estimated by StereoPi V2 was analyzed using
594 Linear Regression in order to model the difference between the disparity and
595 distance. The lower the disparity indicates that the pothole is deeper.

596 3.1.2.9 Final Testing and Validation

597 The testing process began with a detailed testing plan that includes both simu-
598 lated and real-world testing scenarios. Initially, the system is tested in controlled
599 environments to verify its capability to estimate pothole depth effectively. Fol-
600 lowing this, real-world testing was conducted using the StereoPi kit on previously
601 located potholes, specifically at the University of the Philippines Visayas Miagao
602 Campus. Although 130 potholes were originally identified, only 35 potholes that
603 were in the most favorable conditions and practical to measure within the avail-
604 able time were considered for final testing. This was due to factors like debris

and water being present in the pothole, making it difficult to obtain measurements. As illustrated in Figures 3.12 to 3.15, the procedure for estimating pothole depth closely followed the validated depth measurement manual, where the system captured depth measurements at four designated points within each pothole, corresponding to the measurement points used in the manual measurement data. These four estimated depths were then averaged to determine the final depth estimate for each pothole. The system's performance was validated by comparing its predictions with ground-truth data collected from manual inspections.




Figure 3.12: First measure point



Figure 3.13: Second measure point



Figure 3.14: Third measure point



Figure 3.15: Fourth measure point

613 3.1.2.10 Documentation

614 Throughout the research activities, thorough documentation was maintained.
615 This documentation captured all methods, results, challenges, and adjustments
616 made during the experimentation phases. It ensured the reproducibility of the
617 work and provided transparency for future research endeavors.

618 3.1.3 Challenges and Limitations**619 3.1.3.1 Camera Limitations**

620 During the data collection process, the researchers were faced with various issues
621 involving the StereoPi V2 kit. Issues like inaccuracies in the rectified image pair
622 and generated disparity map were very apparent in the early stages of data collec-
623 tion due to limited related studies and literature involving the camera. In addition,
624 the camera also yielded some inaccurate depth estimation and over reliance on
625 controlled environments which prompted the researchers to further improve its
626 tuning and calibration. It was also observed that the effective working range of
627 the camera for accurate depth estimation was limited to a distance of approxi-
628 mately 30cm to 80cm from the subject. Measurements taken outside of this range
629 tended to result in noisy disparity maps or failed to distinguish objects properly
630 in the disparity output, leading to unreliable depth values.

631 3.1.3.2 Absence of Deep Learning Integration

632 Due to the limited dataset and hardware constraints, deep learning models were
633 not implemented in this study. The system was designed to operate using tradi-
634 tional stereo vision techniques for depth estimation, which do not require the large
635 amounts of annotated data or high computational resources typically associated
636 with deep learning. Furthermore, the primary objective of this special problem
637 was to accurately estimate pothole depth and assess severity which are tasks that
638 are well-suited for stereo-based approaches. Deep learning models are more com-
639 monly applied in detection and classification tasks, which were outside the scope
640 of this study.

641 Chapter 4

642 Results and Discussion

643 This chapter presents the results on estimating the depth of potholes using the
644 StereoPi system. It details the prototype construction, calibration of the system,
645 and the application of regression analysis to improve depth estimation. It also
646 contains the measurements taken during the testing phases, comparing the ground
647 truth depths with the value estimated by the camera. Findings are presented
648 systematically, supported by tables showing the collected data, images of the
649 outputs, and discussion on the analysis of results.

650 4.1 System Calibration and Model Refinement

651 After the initial testing, the system was calibrated using a controlled setup, where
652 artificial potholes with known depths were created. The stereo camera system
653 captured disparity maps, from which depth was calculated using the standard
654 stereo vision formula:

$$\text{Depth} = \frac{f \times B}{d}$$

655 where:

656 • f is the focal length in pixels,

657 • B is the baseline distance between the two cameras,

658 • d is the disparity.

659 However, preliminary observations revealed that the relationship between mea-
 660 sured disparity and depth was shifted from the ideal. Their relationship is in-
 661 herently nonlinear, specifically an inverse relationship (of the form $y=1/x$). As
 662 disparity decreases, depth increases rapidly and nonlinearly. However, due to
 663 real-world factors such as lens distortion, imperfect calibration, stereo matching
 664 errors, and pixel quantization, the actual relationship between measured disparity
 665 and true depth often deviates from the theoretical ideal (Scharstein & Szeliski,
 666 2002).

667 To address the shifting behavior, a curve fitting approach was introduced. Specif-
 668 ically, an inverse model was fitted to the collected data points, relating disparity
 669 and ground-truth distance measurements.

670 An inverse function of the form:

$$y = a + \frac{b}{x}$$

671 where:

672 • y is the estimated distance (in cm),

673 • x is the measured disparity,

674 • a and b are coefficients obtained through regression analysis.

675 4.2 Testing Results

676 Following calibration, actual potholes located around the University of the Philip-
677 pines Visayas (UPV) campus were tested. The ground truth depths of the potholes
678 were measured manually and compared with the depths estimated by the StereoPi
679 camera. The input data used for this estimation process, including the disparity
680 map and corresponding stereo image pairs, are shown in Figures 4.1 to 4.3. Based
681 on the results, the StereoPi camera was able to estimate the depths fairly close to
682 the actual measurements.

683 The smallest error occurred in one pothole, where the estimated depth was only
684 0.02 cm off from the ground truth. The largest observed error was 3.45 cm. Most
685 of the time, the camera's estimated depths were within approximately 1 to 3
686 centimeters of the actual depths. A complete comparison of ground truth and
687 estimated depth values can be found in Appendix C.

688 The results show that the StereoPi system provides highly accurate estimates
689 of pothole depth. As shown in Table 4.1, the strong correlation ($R=0.978$) and
690 high coefficient of determination ($R^2=0.956$) indicate that the actual depth signif-
691 icantly predicts the estimated values. Additionally, Table 4.2 presents the model




Figure 4.1: Disparity Map




Figure 4.2: Left Stereo Image



Figure 4.3: Right Stereo Image

coefficients, showing that the regression coefficient for actual depth was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), suggesting that the relationship is not due to chance. Table 4.3 further summarizes the descriptive statistics of the absolute errors. The system achieved a mean absolute error (MAE) of 0.945 cm and a root mean square error (RMSE) of 0.844 cm, with a minimum error of 0.0225 cm and a maximum error of 3.45 cm. The standard deviation of 1.02 cm and median error of 0.550 cm indicate that while most estimates were close to ground truth, occasional outliers were present. Nonetheless, the overall model performance demonstrates that the StereoPi system is suitable for practical pothole depth estimation, showing reasonable accuracy given the hardware setup and environmental conditions.

R	R ²	Root Mean Square Error (cm)	Mean Absolute Error (cm)
0.978	0.956	0.844	0.945

Table 4.1: Summary of Linear Regression Fit for Depth Estimation

Predictor	Estimate	SE	t	p
Intercept	0.159	0.2544	0.625	0.536
Actual Depth	0.848	0.0317	26.752	<0.001

Table 4.2: Linear Regression Coefficients for Estimated Pothole Depth

Statistic	Absolute Error (cm)
Sample Size (N)	35
Missing	2
Mean	0.945
Median	0.550
Standard Deviation	1.02
Minimum	0.0225
Maximum	3.45

Table 4.3: Descriptive Statistics of Absolute Errors in Depth Estimation

702 In figure 4.4, a linear relationship between actual and estimated depth is observed
 703 with points closely clustered around the regression line. Indicating the accurate
 704 depth estimation. The close alignment of most data points with the fitted line
 705 and narrow confidence interval suggest high predictive accuracy and minimal de-
 706 viation, especially at lower depth values.




Figure 4.4: Linear Regression Fit Between Actual and Estimated Depth

⁷⁰⁷ 4.3 Discussion

⁷⁰⁸ The study found that stereo vision works effectively in helping estimate the depth
⁷⁰⁹ of road potholes. The system built using the StereoPi V2 camera was able to
⁷¹⁰ measure pothole depths with results mostly within ± 3 cm of the actual ground
⁷¹¹ truth values, with an overall root mean square error (RMSE) of 0.844 cm and
⁷¹² mean absolute error (MAE) of 0.945 cm. This matches the general observation
⁷¹³ in earlier studies such as those by Ramaiah and Kundu (2021), which showed
⁷¹⁴ that stereo vision can provide useful 3D information for road obstacle detection.
⁷¹⁵ However, this study advances previous work by focusing not just on detection,
⁷¹⁶ but on depth-based severity classification, which was largely missing in earlier
⁷¹⁷ research.

⁷¹⁸ A strong positive correlation ($R = 0.978$) and coefficient of determination (R^2

= 0.956) indicate that the actual pothole depths strongly predict the estimated values. The regression model's significant predictor ($p < 0.001$) further supports the robustness of the depth estimation approach. This level of accuracy and model performance highlights the suitability of the StereoPi system for practical field applications in pothole monitoring and maintenance prioritization. This finding is significant because earlier machine learning-based road detection studies such as those by Bibi et al. (2021) focused mostly on classifying the existence of defects, not measuring their severity.

The outputs of the system were generally positive, showing that with proper calibration and tuning, consistent and reliable depth estimates can be produced. Calibration using checkerboards and tuning block matching parameters were crucial steps in achieving these results. Similar to the findings of Sanz et al. (2012), proper stereo camera calibration was found to be critical to achieving acceptable disparity maps. This reinforces the importance of calibration techniques, especially in real-world outdoor conditions where environmental factors introduce noise.

However, the study also highlighted limitations affecting system performance, including sensitivity to camera calibration quality, lighting conditions, road surface texture, and the camera's vertical positioning during image capture. Outdoor testing revealed that low lighting and shallow potholes made it difficult to generate clean disparity maps, sometimes causing minor estimation errors. These observations are consistent with Sattar et al. (2018), who reported that mobile road sensing systems often struggle in low-light or highly variable surface conditions. Understanding these challenges is important because it points to practical improvements, such as using better cameras, adding lighting support, or applying

⁷⁴⁴ more robust image enhancement methods in future versions of the system.

⁷⁴⁵ Chapter 5

⁷⁴⁶ Conclusion

⁷⁴⁷ This chapter provides conclusions based on the research findings from data collected on the development of a pothole depth estimation system using stereo vision technology. It then presents recommendations for practice and suggestions for further research.

⁷⁵¹ 5.1 Summary

⁷⁵² This special project addressed the critical issue of road maintenance by developing a system capable of estimating the depth of potholes to help prioritize repairs. ⁷⁵⁴ The purpose of the project was to create an automated method that not only ⁷⁵⁵ detects potholes but also assesses their severity based on depth, responding to ⁷⁵⁶ the current manual and slow road inspection practices. The researchers aimed to ⁷⁵⁷ collect high-quality images of potholes under varying conditions, to validate the ⁷⁵⁸ system's depth estimation accuracy using ground truth measurements and linear

759 regression analysis, and to build a working prototype using stereo vision that can
760 detect, measure, and assess potholes.

761 To achieve these objectives, a hardware prototype was built using the StereoPi
762 V2 board and Raspberry Pi Compute Module 4, equipped with dual fisheye-lens
763 cameras. Camera calibration was performed using a 9x6 checkerboard pattern
764 with known square sizes to correct for fisheye lens distortion and ensure proper
765 alignment of the stereo pair. After calibration, disparity map generation was
766 fine-tuned by adjusting block matching parameters to produce clearer and more
767 reliable disparity maps. Initial testing was conducted using simulated potholes
768 with known depths to verify the functionality of the system and identify the non-
769 linear behavior present in stereo vision depth measurements. It was observed that
770 using the standard stereo depth formula led to inaccuracies, particularly at greater
771 distances.

772 The calibrated system and fitted regression model were validated by comparing
773 the estimated depths with the manually measured depths. The findings showed
774 that the system was able to estimate pothole depths within approximately ± 3
775 cm of actual measurements, achieving a Mean Absolute Error (MAE) of 0.945 cm
776 and a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of 0.844 cm. A strong positive linear
777 relationship was observed between the estimated and actual depths ($R = 0.978$,
778 $R^2 = 0.956$).

779 5.2 Conclusions

780 The researchers conclude the following based on the findings:

- 781 ● The system effectively captures and analyzes depth information from stereo
782 images, providing a viable method for automated pothole severity assess-
783 ment.

 - 784 ● Incorporating depth measurements significantly improves pothole repair pri-
785 oritization compared to traditional visual-only inspections, allowing main-
786 tenance decisions to be based on objective, measurable data.

 - 787 ● The system achieved an acceptable regression model fit, with a strong posi-
788 tive correlation ($R = 0.978$) and a coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.956$),
789 confirming that the depth estimates closely align with the ground truth
790 measurements. The system obtained satisfactory error metrics, with a Mean
791 Absolute Error (MAE) of 0.945 cm and a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)
792 of 0.844 cm, indicating reliable performance for both pothole detection and
793 depth estimation tasks.

 - 794 ● The proposed approach fills a critical gap in current road maintenance prac-
795 tices, especially within the Philippine context where depth-based severity
796 classification is not yet systematically implemented.
-
- 797 This special project has successfully developed a system that addresses the prob-
798 lem of pothole severity assessment using depth measurement. The research shows
799 that stereo vision, even using accessible and affordable technology, holds strong
800 potential for future development in road maintenance automation. By building
801 upon the foundation laid by this project, future systems can become even more
802 accurate, efficient, and practical for real-world deployment

803 5.3 Recommendations for Practice

804 Based on the findings of this special project, the following recommendations are
805 proposed for future researchers, engineers, and road maintenance agencies:

806 *Use stereo vision systems for road surveys.* In contexts where LiDAR-based tech-
807 nologies may be cost-prohibitive, maintenance agencies should consider adopting
808 calibrated stereo vision systems for estimating pothole depth. This approach offers
809 a more cost-effective alternative while still enabling depth-based severity classifi-
810 cation, thereby allowing for more objective and data-driven prioritization of road
811 repairs compared to traditional visual inspections.

812 *Incorporate depth-based severity classification in maintenance procedures.* Au-
813 thorities should update road inspection protocols to include depth measurements,
814 making pothole severity assessment more objective and standardized.

815 5.4 Suggestions for Further Research

816 Based on the limitations encountered and the results obtained, the researchers have
817 observed that there are lapses and possible improvements to further better this
818 system.

819 *Better camera.* While the StereoPi V2 camera was effective for basic depth es-
820 timation, its performance is limited by its resolution, sensitivity to lighting, and
821 depth range. Future researchers could consider using higher-quality stereo cam-
822 eras or depth sensors with better image resolution and low-light capabilities to
823 achieve more accurate and consistent disparity maps.

824 *Improve camera calibration and tuning.* While the StereoPi system produced good
825 depth estimates, the results still varied depending on the precision of the camera
826 calibration. Future researchers can explore better calibration techniques and finer
827 parameter adjustments to minimize errors, especially in challenging environments.

828 *Use of multi-camera arrays.* Instead of relying solely on a two-camera stereo setup,
829 future research could explore the use of multi-point or multi-angle camera arrays.
830 These systems can offer improved depth perception and coverage, particularly for
831 complex or uneven road surfaces, by capturing more comprehensive 3D data.

832 *Integration of stereo vision with motion-based analysis.* Incorporating frame dif-
833 ferencing techniques, similar to motion detection algorithms, could be beneficial
834 for dynamic environments or mobile applications. This approach may simulate
835 the effect of a moving vehicle and allow the system to detect and estimate potholes
836 more robustly in real time, enhancing its applicability for onboard vehicle-mounted
837 systems.

838 *Deep Learning Integration.* While this special problem used traditional stereo
839 vision methods for depth estimation due to hardware and data constraints, future
840 iterations could benefit from incorporating lightweight deep learning models for
841 pothole detection and classification. Although not necessary for depth estimation
842 alone, such models can enhance the system's robustness in complex environments.
843 A hybrid deep learning and stereo vision approach may also improve accuracy and
844 enable broader defect classification.

⁸⁴⁵ **Chapter 6**

⁸⁴⁶ **References**

- ⁸⁴⁷ Balita, C. (2024, June 14). *Road length Philippines 2023, by classification*. Retrieved from <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1276356/philippines-road-length-by-classification/>
- ⁸⁴⁸
- ⁸⁴⁹
- ⁸⁵⁰ Bibi, R., Saeed, Y., Zeb, A., Ghazal, T. M., Rahman, T., Said, R. A., ... Khan, M. A. (2021). Edge AI-Based Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies in VANET Using Deep Learning. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2021(1). doi: 10.1155/2021/6262194
- ⁸⁵¹
- ⁸⁵²
- ⁸⁵³
- ⁸⁵⁴ Ha, J., Kim, D., & Kim, M. (2022). Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-Based Segmentation and Detection. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 78(16), 17721–17735. doi: 10.1007/s11227-022-04560-x
- ⁸⁵⁵
- ⁸⁵⁶
- ⁸⁵⁷ Kumar, A. (2024, October). What is Image Processing: Overview, Applications, Benefits, and More. *AI and Machine Learning*. Retrieved from <https://www.simplilearn.com/image-processing-article> (Accessed: January 1, 2025)
- ⁸⁵⁸
- ⁸⁵⁹
- ⁸⁶⁰
- ⁸⁶¹ Mertan, A., Duff, D. J., & Unal, G. (2022). Single image depth estimation: An

- 862 overview. *Digital Signal Processing*, 123, 103441. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsp.2022.103441> doi: 10.1016/j.dsp.2022.103441
- 863
- 864 Miller, J. S., & Bellinger, W. Y. (2014). *Distress Identification Manual for the*
865 *Long-Term Pavement Performance Program*. U.S. Department of Trans-
866 portation, Federal Highway Administration. Retrieved from <https://rosapntl.bts.gov/view/dot/28612>
- 867
- 868 Perezyabov, O., Gavrilenkov, M., & Afanasyev, I. (2022). Depth and image
869 fusion for road obstacle detection using stereo camera. In *2022 31st con-*
870 *ference of open innovations association (fruct)* (p. 237-244). doi: 10.23919/
871 FRUCT54823.2022.9770927
- 872
- 873 Ramaiah, N. K. B., & Kundu, S. (2021). Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection
874 System for Improved Ride Quality. *SAE International Journal of Advances*
875 *and Current Practices in Mobility*, 3(5), 2603–2610. doi: 10.4271/2021-01
-0085
- 876
- 877 Ramos, J. A., Dacanay, J. P., & Bronuela-Ambrocio, L. (2023). *A Re-*
878 *view of the Current Practices in the Pavement Surface Monitoring in*
879 *the Philippines* (Doctoral dissertation, University of the Philippines Dil-
880 iman). Retrieved from https://ncts.upd.edu.ph/tssp/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/TSSP2022_09.pdf
- 881
- 882 RICHES Project. (2014). *Video Processing*. Retrieved from <https://resources.riches-project.eu/glossary/video-processing/>
- 883
- 884 Sanz, P., Mezcua, B., & Pena, J. (2012). Depth Estimation: An Introduction.
885 *Current Advancements in Stereo Vision*. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/45904> doi: 10.5772/45904
- 886
- 887 Sattar, S., Li, S., & Chapman, M. (2018). Road Surface Monitoring Us-
ing Smartphone Sensors: A Review. *Sensors*, 18(11), 3845–3845. doi:

888 10.3390/s18113845

889 Scharstein, D., & Szeliski, R. (2002). A Taxonomy and Evaluation of Dense Two-
890 Frame Stereo Correspondence Algorithms. *International Journal of Com-*
891 *puter Vision*, 47(1), 7–42. Retrieved from <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1014573219977> doi: 10.1023/A:1014573219977
892

893 **Appendix A**

894 **Code Snippets**

Listing A.1: Function for generating stereo depth map and classifying pothole severity based on depth difference between two points

```
895     def stereo_depth_map(rectified_pair ,  
896         save_path_prefix=None):  
897         global disp_max , disp_min  
898         dmLeft , dmRight = rectified_pair  
899  
900         disparity_raw = sbm.compute(dmLeft , dmRight) .  
901             astype(np.float32)  
902         disparity_raw /= 16.0    # normalize disparity  
903  
904         local_max , local_min = disparity_raw.max() ,  
905             disparity_raw.min()  
906  
907         if dm_colors_autotune:
```

```

908     disp_max = max(local_max , disp_max)
909     disp_min = min(local_min , disp_min)
910     local_max , local_min = disp_max , disp_min
911
912     # Normalize for visualization
913     disparity_vis = (disparity_raw - local_min) *
914         (255.0 / (local_max - local_min))
915     disparity_vis = np.uint8(np.clip(disparity_vis , 0 ,
916         255))
917     disparity_color = cv2.applyColorMap(disparity_vis ,
918         cv2.COLORMAP_JET)
919
920     # Calculate depth
921     depth_map = calculate_depth(disparity_raw)
922
923     # Define two points
924     center_y , center_x = depth_map.shape[0] // 2 ,
925         depth_map.shape[1] // 2 - 20
926     second_y = center_y
927     second_x = center_x + offset_x
928
929     # Read depth and disparity values
930     center_depth_cm = (depth_map[center_y , center_x])
931     second_depth_cm = (depth_map[second_y , second_x])
932     estimated_depth_cm = abs(center_depth_cm -

```

```

933     second_depth_cm)

934

935     # Define severity based on estimated depth
936     if estimated_depth_cm < 2.5:
937         severity = "Low"
938
939     elif estimated_depth_cm >= 2.5 and
940         estimated_depth_cm < 5.0:
941         severity = "Medium"
942
943     elif estimated_depth_cm > 5.0:
944         severity = "High"
945
946     else:
947         severity = "Unknown"

```

Listing A.2: Main loop for capturing stereo image pairs, remapping them for rectification, and estimating depth

```

945     for frame in camera.capture_continuous(capture ,
946
947         format="bgra", use_video_port=True, resize=(
948             img_width, img_height)):
949
950         pair_img = cv2.cvtColor(frame, cv2.COLOR_BGR2GRAY)
951
952         imgLeft = pair_img[:, :img_width // 2]
953         imgRight = pair_img[:, img_width // 2:]
954
955         imgL = cv2.remap(imgLeft, leftMapX, leftMapY,
956
957             interpolation=cv2.INTER_LINEAR, borderMode=cv2.
958             BORDER_CONSTANT)

```

```

956     imgR = cv2.remap(imgRight, rightMapX, rightMapY,
957                        interpolation=cv2.INTER_LINEAR, borderMode=cv2.
958                        BORDER_CONSTANT)
959
960    if useStripe:
961        imgL = imgL[80:160,:]
962        imgR = imgR[80:160,:]
963
964        stereo_depth_map((imgL, imgR), save_path_prefix=
965                          None)
966
967        button_held_time = 0
968        HOLD_THRESHOLD = 1.0 # seconds
969
970        if GPIO.input(BUTTON_PIN) == GPIO.LOW:
971            press_start = time.time()
972            while GPIO.input(BUTTON_PIN) == GPIO.LOW:
973                time.sleep(0.01)
974                button_held_time = time.time() - press_start
975
976            if button_held_time < HOLD_THRESHOLD:
977                timestamp = datetime.now().strftime("%Y%m%d_%H%M%S
978                ")
979                prefix = f"./captures/capture_{timestamp}"
980                print(f"\n[!] - Capturing - snapshot - at - {timestamp} ..."

```

```
981         ” )  
982         stereo_depth_map( (imgL, imgR) , save_path_prefix=  
983             prefix)  
984         time.sleep(0.5)  
985     else:  
986         cycle_offset()  
987         time.sleep(0.5)
```


⁹⁸⁸ **Appendix B**

⁹⁸⁹ **Resource Persons**

⁹⁹⁰ **Jumar Cadondon, Ph.D.**

⁹⁹¹ Assistant Professor

⁹⁹² Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics

⁹⁹³ University of the Philippines Visayas

⁹⁹⁴ jgcadondon@up.edu.ph

⁹⁹⁵

⁹⁹⁶ **Engr. Jane Chua**

⁹⁹⁷ Engineer

⁹⁹⁸ Planning and Design

⁹⁹⁹ DPWH Region 6

¹⁰⁰⁰ chua.jane@dpwh.gov.ph

¹⁰⁰¹

¹⁰⁰²

¹⁰⁰³ **Engr. Marilou Zamora**

¹⁰⁰⁴ Chief

¹⁰⁰⁵ Planning and Design

¹⁰⁰⁶ DPWH Region 6

¹⁰⁰⁷ zamora.marilou@dpwh.gov.ph

¹⁰⁰⁸

¹⁰⁰⁹ **Engr. Benjamin Javellana**

¹⁰¹⁰ Assistant Director

¹⁰¹¹ Maintenance

¹⁰¹² DPWH Region 6

¹⁰¹³ javellana.benjamin@dpwh.gov.ph

¹⁰¹⁴

¹⁰¹⁵ **Mr. Cris Beleber**

¹⁰¹⁶ Engineering Assistant

¹⁰¹⁷ Planning and Design

¹⁰¹⁸ DPWH Region 6

¹⁰¹⁹ beleber.cris@dpwh.gov.ph

¹⁰²⁰

₁₀₂₁ **Appendix C**

₁₀₂₂ **Data Table and Pothole Images**






Figure C.1: Actual Pothole Images



(a) Simulated Pothole Disparity Map



(b) Simulated Pothole Left Stereo Image



(c) Simulated Pothole Right Stereo Image



(d) Simulated Pothole StereoPi capture

Figure C.2: Simulated Pothole Images

Sample	Actual Depth (cm)	Estimated Depth (cm)	Residual	Absolute Error (cm)
1	14.500	11.050	-3.450	3.450
2	12.050	11.380	-0.670	0.670
3	6.450	4.380	-2.070	2.070
4	9.550	6.890	-2.660	2.660
5	14.300	13.860	-0.240	0.240
6	1.875	2.050	0.175	0.175
7	2.600	2.663	0.063	0.063
8	1.500	2.230	0.730	0.730
9	1.750	1.775	0.025	0.025
10	1.625	1.567	-0.058	0.058
11	1.800	1.745	-0.055	0.055
12	1.675	1.653	-0.022	0.022
13	2.225	2.078	-0.147	0.147
14	1.875	1.903	0.028	0.028
15	3.050	2.230	-0.820	0.820
16	2.625	2.185	-0.440	0.440
17	3.050	2.708	-0.342	0.342
18	2.225	2.185	-0.040	0.040
19	3.025	2.822	-0.203	0.203
20	1.800	1.718	-0.083	0.083
21	2.300	2.047	-0.252	0.252
22	2.500	1.950	-0.550	0.550
23	10.800	8.785	-2.015	2.015
24	14.500	11.050	-3.450	3.450
25	9.200	7.122	-2.077	2.077
26	9.800	8.825	-0.975	0.975
27	14.300	13.860	-0.440	0.440
28	7.100	7.883	0.783	0.783
29	9.800	8.182	-1.618	1.618
30	8.100	8.200	0.100	0.100
31	11.500	9.533	-1.967	1.967
32	12.100	11.380	-0.720	0.720
33	6.500	4.380	-2.120	2.120
34	9.300	6.890	-2.410	2.410
35	12.200	10.918	-1.282	1.282

Table C.1: Actual vs. Estimated Depths with Residuals and Absolute Errors

¹⁰²³ **Appendix D**

¹⁰²⁴ **Supplementary Documents**

January 31, 2025

ENGR. ETHEL B. MORALES
 OIC – District Engineer
 Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH)
 1st District Engineering Office
 Rizal St., Guimbal, Iloilo



Dear Engr. Morales:

Greetings of Honor and Excellence!

We are 4th year Bachelor of Science in Computer Science students from the University of the Philippines Visayas, currently working on a special problem for our CMSC 198.2 course. Our project focuses on developing a system for the depth estimation of potholes.

In line with this, we recently had an opportunity to interview representatives from your esteemed agency at the regional office in Fort San Pedro, Iloilo City. It was under their advice to approach the 1st district office of DPWH since it is where our data gathering process will take place.

We would greatly appreciate your review and validation of these procedures so that this special problem will be accurate and compliant with engineering standards.

Your support will not only guide our research but also ensure our system could one day be a valuable tool in improving road maintenance practices. We understand the importance of these standards, and we assure you that any information provided will be strictly used for academic purposes.

We sincerely hope that you accept our humble request, as it will play a crucial role in our project.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. We look forward to your positive response.

Sincerely,


BENZ VRIANNE BELEBER
Team Leader


KRISTIAN LYLE SENCIL
Team Member


PERSEROE CATALAN
Team Member

Figure D.1: Letter requesting validation of data collection procedures.

University Of The Philippines Visayas
College Of Arts And Sciences
Division Of Physical Sciences And Mathematics

RECEIVED

January 31, 2025

Dr. Farisal U. Bagsit
Vice Chancellor for Administration

UP VISAYAS
(through channels) OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR

NOA 25-0226
REF. NO. FEB 01 2025

Dear Vice Chancellor Bagsit,
Good day!

We, Benz Vrianne Beleber, Kristian Lyle Sencil, and Perserose Catalan, are currently conducting our Special Problem study as a requirement for our Bachelor of Science in Computer Science at the University of the Philippines Visayas.

Our study focuses on detecting potholes and assessing their severity through depth measurement estimation utilizing stereo vision and machine learning.. As part of our data collection process, we need to conduct manual measurement of potholes within the campus roads which will serve as ground truth data to validate our methodology. Our procedures have been validated by the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), ensuring that our approach aligns with their standards. This will involve recording the depth and dimensions of potholes using standard measuring tools while ensuring minimal disruption to pedestrian and vehicular movement.

We kindly request permission to conduct this data collection within the University of the Philippines Visayas campus roads.

We assure you that we will adhere to all safety protocols, coordinate with relevant offices, and follow any guidelines set by the administration regarding our activities. We are also open to any recommendations or conditions you may impose to the safe conduct of our data gathering.

We sincerely hope for your kind consideration of our request.
APPROVED / DISAPPROVED
Thank you very much.

Sincerely yours,

CLEMENT O. CAMPASANO
CLEMENT O. CAMPASANO
CHANCELLOR

Benz Vrianne Beleber
Benz Vrianne Beleber
Team Member

Perserose Catalan
Perserose Catalan
Team Leader

Kent Christian A. Castor
Kent Christian A. Castor
Chairperson, DPM

RECOMMENDING APPROVAL/DISAPPROVAL:
FARISAL U. BAGSIT, Ph.D.
Vice Chancellor for Administration

It would be nice if the research team can present some their data to UPV admin

RECOMMEND APPROVAL:
PEPITO R. FERNANDEZ JR.,
DEAN, COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCES
IP VISAYAS

31 JAN 2025
REF NO. PRF 2025-103

09614415782

Figure D.2: Letter requesting permission for ground truth data collection within the UPV campus.

January 17, 2025

ENGR. SANNY BOY O. OROPEL, CES E
 Regional Director
 Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH)
 Regional Office VI
 Fort San Pedro, Iloilo City

Dear Engr. Oropel:

Greetings of Honor and Excellence!



We are Computer Science students from the University of the Philippines Visayas, currently working on a special problem for our CMSC 198.2 course. Our project focuses on developing a system for the depth estimation of potholes.

In line with this, we kindly request an opportunity to interview representatives from your esteemed agency to gain insights into the process of verifying our research data, including ground truth data. This will greatly assist us in ensuring that our system adheres to the standards and requirements upheld by your agency.

We would also greatly appreciate your advice on the specific procedures, documentation, and requirements necessary for submitting our data for review. Your expertise and assistance would be invaluable to the success of our project, and we are eager to learn from your knowledge and experience.

Your support will not only guide our research but also ensure our system could one day be a valuable tool in improving road maintenance practices. We understand the importance of these standards, and we assure you that any information provided will be strictly used for academic purposes.

We sincerely hope that you accept our humble request, as it will play a crucial role in our project.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. We look forward to your positive response.

Sincerely,


 BENZ VRIANNE BELEBER


 KRISTIAN LYLE SENCIL


 PERSE ROSE P. CATALAN

Figure D.3: Letter requesting an interview with DPWH representatives for the process of verifying ground truth data.




Figure D.4: Letter requesting an interview with DPWH representatives for the standard operating procedures of the agency for assessing road quality.



UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS

POTHOLE MEASUREMENT PROCEDURAL MANUAL

Prepared by:

Benz Vrianne BELEBER
Perserose CATALAN
Kristian Lyle SENCIL



Figure D.5: Validated pothole measurement procedural manual, reviewed by Engr. Ethel B. Morales, District Engineer, DPWH 1st District Engineering Office.



**UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS**

I. PURPOSE

This manual is developed as part of the special project *Defectra: Road Defect Severity Assessment and Classification* at the University of the Philippines Visayas. The study focuses on evaluating road defects, particularly potholes, by assessing their severity based on the depth measurements. This manual establishes a standardized procedure for measuring pothole depth, ensuring consistency, accuracy, and compliance with engineering best practices.

II. SCOPE

This procedure applies to research personnel, faculty members, and students involved in pothole assessment and measurement activities within the University of the Philippines Visayas and designated research sites.

III. PROCEDURE

a. Preparation and Safety Measures

- Ensure the availability and functionality of required equipment, including a GPS-enabled camera for location tagging, rulers, and measuring tape.
- Wear appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE), such as reflective vests and hard hats, to ensure visibility and safety.
- Set up early warning device if working on active roads to alert motorists and pedestrians
- Ensure all equipment is in optimal working condition before use.

Figure D.6: Second page of the pothole measurement procedural manual



UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS

b. Pothole Depth Measurement



- Place straight edge across the pothole's edge to measure depth.
- Use a measuring tape or depth gauge to record depth.
- Repeat the process at multiple points to account for uneven depths and allow for an average value that represents the overall depth of the pothole.

Figure D.7: Third page of the pothole measurement procedural manual



**UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS**

c. Data Documentation



- Capture photographs of the pothole from multiple angles, ensuring that measurement tools are visible in the images for scale reference.
- Record depth measurements alongside GPS coordinates for accurate geotagging.
- Save the data in organized format and proper identification using unique identifiers for easy tracking and analysis.

IV. SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

Compliance with university guidelines on safety is mandatory throughout the measurement process. Ensuring safety during pothole measurement is essential to protect personnel and road users. All team members must wear the required personal protective equipment (PPE), including reflective vests and hard hats, to enhance visibility and minimize risk. Researchers must remain aware of their surroundings and avoid working in high-traffic areas without proper traffic control measures.

Figure D.8: Fourth page of the pothole measurement procedural manual



**UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS**

V. QUALITY CONTROL

To ensure the accuracy and reliability of data collected, all measurements and documentation must be subject to review by qualified engineers from the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). Multiple measurements should be taken at different points within the pothole to ensure consistency and eliminate human error. All recorded data must undergo verification through cross-checking by designated personnel. Any discrepancies observed during validation should be addressed, and corrective measures implemented. The final reports and findings must be reviewed and approved by DPWH engineers to validate compliance with national road assessment standards.

VI. RECORDS AND DOCUMENTATION

All measurements, including depth readings and GPS coordinates, must be logged in a standardized format with clear labels. Photographic documentation should be taken from various angles to provide visual evidence of pothole conditions. Data should be stored electronically with appropriate file naming conventions for easy retrieval.

Figure D.9: Fifth page of the pothole measurement procedural manual