

¹
²
**ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT AND
CLASSIFICATION**

³
⁴
⁵
⁶
⁷
⁸
A Special Problem Proposal
Presented to
the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
College of Arts and Sciences
University of the Philippines Visayas
Miag-ao, Iloilo

⁹
¹⁰
¹¹
In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree of
Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

¹²
¹³
¹⁴
BELEBER, Benz Vrianne
CATALAN, Perserose
SENCIL, Kristian Lyle

¹⁵
¹⁶
Francis DIMZON, Ph.D.
Adviser

¹⁷
May 19, 2025

18

Approval Sheet

19

The Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics, College of Arts and
20 Sciences, University of the Philippines Visayas

21

certifies that this is the approved version of the following special problem:

22

ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT AND 23 CLASSIFICATION

24

Approved by:

25

Name	Signature	Date
Francis D. Dimzon, Ph.D. (Adviser)	_____	_____
Ara Abigail E. Ambita (Panel Member)	_____	_____
Christi Florence C. Cala-or (Panel Member)	_____	_____
Kent Christian A. Castor (Division Chair)	_____	_____

26 Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
27 College of Arts and Sciences
28 University of the Philippines Visayas

29 **Declaration**

30 We, BENZ VRIANNE BELEBER, PERSEROSE CATALAN, and KRISTIAN
31 LYLE SENCIL, hereby certify that this Special Problem has been written by us
32 and is the record of work carried out by us. Any significant borrowings have been
33 properly acknowledged and referred.

Name	Signature	Date
Benz Vrianne Beleber (Student)	_____	_____
Perserose Catalan (Student)	_____	_____
Kristian Lyle Sencil (Student)	_____	_____

Dedication

36 This Special Problem is dedicated to the researchers' families, whose unwa-
37 vering love, patience, and support have been the foundation of their academic
38 journey.

39 To their parents, for their endless sacrifices.

40 To their mentors and teachers, for believing in them and guiding them with
41 wisdom.

42 And to all those who inspired them to keep going even in the most challenging
43 moments — this work is for them.

Acknowledgment

45 The researchers would like to express their heartfelt gratitude to the individuals,
46 institutions, and organizations who made the completion of this Special
47 Problem possible:

48 To their adviser, Dr. Francis Dimzon, for his expert guidance, valuable insights,
49 for teaching Computer Vision topics, and unwavering support throughout
50 the research process.

51 To Prof. Jumar Cadondon, for lending his time and expertise during the early
52 stages of this Special Problem, especially in providing assistance with his drone.

53 To the Komsai faculty and staff, for providing a nurturing and intellectually
54 stimulating environment.

55 To the university personnel who ensured the researchers' safety throughout
56 the data collection process.

57 To the Department of Science and Technology (DOST), for their support and
58 promotion of scientific research and innovation.

59 To the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), for providing
60 valuable data and technical insights that greatly contributed to the relevance and
61 application of the study.

62 To their families and friends, for their unconditional love, patience, and en-
63 couragement.

64 Lastly, to the University of the Philippines Visayas, for providing the resources
65 and environment necessary for the researchers to explore and grow.

66 This work stands as a testament to the collaboration, support, and trust the
67 researchers have received. They are deeply grateful.

Abstract

69 Road surveying is a crucial part of the maintenance processes of roads in the
70 Philippines that is carried out by the Department of Public Works and Highways.
71 However, the current process of road surveying is time consuming which delays
72 much needed maintenance operations. Existing studies involving automated pot-
73 hole detection lack integration of the pothole's depth in assessing its severity which
74 is essential for automating road surveying procedures. A system that incorporates
75 estimated depth information in assessing pothole severity is developed in order to
76 automate the manual process of depth measurement and severity assessment in
77 road surveying. For depth estimation, stereo vision is favorable in this context
78 as depth may be estimated through the disparity generated by a stereo pair. In
79 obtaining a stereo view of the potholes, the StereoPi V2 is utilized along with
80 some modifications that would make it eligible for outdoor use. To address cam-
81 era imperfections, a fitted inverse model was applied to improve the accuracy of
82 depth estimates. Linear regression analysis revealed a strong positive correlation
83 ($R = 0.978$) between estimated and actual depths, with the system measuring
84 pothole depths mostly within 3 cm of the true values.

85 **Keywords:** pothole, depth estimation, stereo vision, StereoPi V2

⁸⁶ **Contents**

⁸⁷ 1 Introduction	¹
⁸⁸ 1.1 Overview	¹
⁸⁹ 1.2 Problem Statement	²
⁹⁰ 1.3 Research Objectives	³
⁹¹ 1.3.1 General Objective	³
⁹² 1.3.2 Specific Objectives	³
⁹³ 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research	³
⁹⁴ 1.5 Significance of the Research	⁴
⁹⁵ 2 Review of Related Literature	⁶
⁹⁶ 2.1 Frameworks	⁶
⁹⁷ 2.1.1 Depth Estimation	⁶
⁹⁸ 2.1.2 Image and Video Processing	⁶
⁹⁹ 2.1.3 Stereo Vision	⁷
¹⁰⁰ 2.2 Related Studies	⁷
¹⁰¹ 2.2.1 Deep Learning Studies	⁷
¹⁰² 2.2.2 Machine Learning Studies	⁹
¹⁰³ 2.2.3 Computer Vision Studies	¹⁰

104	2.3 Chapter Summary	11
105	3 Methodology	12
106	3.1 Research Activities	12
107	3.1.1 Data Collection	12
108	3.1.2 Design, Testing, and Experimentation	13
109	3.1.3 Challenges and Limitations	19
110	4 Results	20
111	4.1 System Calibration and Model Refinement	20
112	4.2 Testing Results	21
113	4.3 Discussion	23
114	5 Summary, Conclusions, Discussion, and Recommendations	25
115	5.1 Summary	25
116	5.2 Conclusions	26
117	5.3 Recommendations for Practice	27
118	5.4 Suggestions for further research	27
119	5.5 Conclusion	28
120	References	29
121	A Appendix	31
122	B Resource Persons	34

¹²³ List of Figures

¹²⁴	3.1 Components used in the prototype development.	15
¹²⁵	3.2 Dual RPi Camera Modules attached to the custom housing.	16
¹²⁶	3.3 LCD Module connected to the StereoPi board.	16
¹²⁷	3.4 The finished prototype.	16
¹²⁸	3.5 Calibration process with a checkerboard to correct fisheye lens distortion.	17
¹³⁰	3.6 Parameter tuning process to achieve cleaner and more accurate disparity maps.	17
¹³²	3.7 The system tested on a simulated pothole.	18
¹³³	3.8 Inverse Model Fit to Disparity vs. Distance.	18
¹³⁴	4.1 Disparity Map	22
¹³⁵	4.2 Left Stereo Image	22
¹³⁶	4.3 Right Stereo Image	22
¹³⁷	4.4 Linear Regression Fit Between Actual and Estimated Depth . . .	23
¹³⁸	A.1 Disparity Map	33
¹³⁹	A.2 Left Stereo Image	33
¹⁴⁰	A.3 Right Stereo Image	33

¹⁴¹ List of Tables

¹⁴²	2.1 Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision	11
¹⁴³		
¹⁴⁴	4.1 Linear Regression Model Fit Summary	22
¹⁴⁵	4.2 Model Coefficients - Estimated Depth	22
¹⁴⁶	A.1 Actual vs. Estimated Depths with Residuals and Absolute Errors	32

¹⁴⁷ Chapter 1

¹⁴⁸ Introduction

¹⁴⁹ 1.1 Overview

¹⁵⁰ According to the National Road Length by Classification, Surface Type, and Condition of the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), as of October 2022 approximately 98.97% of roads in the Philippines is paved which is either made of concrete or asphalt (DPWH, 2022). Since the DPWH is an institution under the government, it is paramount to maintain such roads in order to avoid accidents and congested traffic situations especially in heavily urbanized areas where there are a lot of vehicles.

¹⁵⁷ In an interview with the Road Board of DPWH Region 6 it was stated that road condition assessments are mostly done manually with heavy reliance on engineering judgment (DPWH Regional Office 6 Road Board, Personal Interview. 2024). In addition, manual assessment of roads is also time consuming which leaves maintenance operations to wait for lengthy assessments (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16 September 2024). In a study conducted by Ramos, Dacanay, and Bronuela-Ambrocio (2023), it was found that the Philippines' current method of manual pavement surveying is considered as a gap since it takes an average of 2-3 months to cover a 250 km road as opposed to a 1 day duration in the Australian Road Research Board for the same road length. Ramos et al. (2023) recommended that to significantly improve efficiency of surveying methods and data gathering processes, automated survey tools are to be employed. It was also added that use of such automated, surveying tools can also guarantee the safety of road surveyors.

¹⁷¹ If the process of assessment on the severity of road defects can be automated

172 then the whole process of assessing the quality of roads can be hastened up which
173 can also enable maintenance operations to commence as soon as possible if nec-
174 essary. If not automated, the delay of assessments will continue and roads that
175 are supposedly needing maintenance may not be properly maintained which can
176 affect the general public that is utilizing public roads daily.

177 Existing studies involving road defects such as potholes mainly focus on the
178 detection of potholes using deep learning models and almost not considering the
179 severity of detected potholes or did not incorporate any depth information from
180 potholes (Ha, Kim, & Kim, 2022; Kumar, 2024; Bibi et al., 2021). In addition,
181 for studies that include severity assessment on potholes, the main goal of the
182 study is not directed towards road maintenance automation but other factors such
183 as improvement of ride quality for the vehicle. Another issue found in existing
184 solutions is the lack of incorporation to the context of Philippine roads. With
185 these issues in mind, the study aims to utilize stereo vision from StereoPi V2
186 in order to obtain multi-perspective views of detected potholes to be used in
187 severity assessment by focusing on estimating the depth of individual potholes
188 for automated road condition monitoring.

189 1.2 Problem Statement

190 Roads support almost every aspect of daily life, from providing a way to transport
191 goods and services to allowing people to stay connected with their communities.
192 However, road defects such as cracks and potholes damage roads over time, and
193 they can increase accident risks and affect the overall transportation. The current
194 way of inspecting the roads for maintenance is often slow as it is done manually,
195 which makes it harder to detect and fix defects early. The delay in addressing these
196 problems can lead to even worse road conditions (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16
197 September 2024). There are several research studies into automated road defect
198 classification that have advanced in recent years but most of them focus on iden-
199 tifying the types of defects rather than assessing their severity or characteristics
200 like depth. Without reliable data on the depth of the defect, road maintenance
201 authorities may underestimate the severity of certain defects. To address these
202 challenges, advancements are needed across various areas. An effective solution
203 should not only detect and classify road defects but also measure their severity
204 to better prioritize repairs. Failing to address this problem will require more ex-
205 tensive repairs for damaged roads, which raises the cost and strains the budget.
206 Additionally, road maintenance would still be slow and cause disruptions in daily
207 activities. Using an automated system that accurately assess the severity of road
208 defects by incorporating depth is necessary to efficiently monitor road quality.

²⁰⁹ 1.3 Research Objectives

²¹⁰ 1.3.1 General Objective

²¹¹ This special problem aims to develop a system that accurately estimates the depth
²¹² of potholes on road surfaces by using image analysis, depth measurement tech-
²¹³ nologies, and computer vision techniques. The system will focus specifically on
²¹⁴ measuring the depth of potholes to assess their severity, enabling faster and more
²¹⁵ accurate road maintenance decisions, and there are no current practices in the
²¹⁶ Philippines involving depth information of potholes in assessing their severity. In
²¹⁷ accordance with the Department of Public Works and Highways Region 6's man-
²¹⁸ ual for road maintenance, the study will classify potholes into different severity
²¹⁹ levels such as low, medium, and high, which will be primarily based on their
²²⁰ depth. In order to measure the system's accuracy, linear regression in order to
²²¹ represent the difference between the depth calculated from the disparity and the
²²² actual depth of the pothole from ground truth data.

²²³ 1.3.2 Specific Objectives

²²⁴ Specifically, this special problem aims:

- ²²⁵ 1. To collect high-quality stereo images of road surfaces that capture potholes
²²⁶ including their depth in favorable conditions
- ²²⁷ 2. To measure the accuracy of the system by comparing the depth measure-
²²⁸ ments against ground truth data collected from actual road inspections and
²²⁹ to utilize linear regression, root mean square error, and mean absolute error
²³⁰ as a metric for evaluation.
- ²³¹ 3. To develop a prototype system that can detect and measure road potholes
²³² from image input, analyze their depth, and assess their severity.

²³³ 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

²³⁴ This system focuses solely on detecting and assessing the severity of potholes
²³⁵ through image analysis and depth measurement technologies. The scope includes

236 the collection of pothole images using cameras and depth-sensing tools under a
237 favorable weather condition.

238 Depth-sensing tools, such as stereo cameras, will be used to record the depth
239 of potholes specifically. The system will not address other road defects like cracks
240 or other surface deformations; therefore, it will detect and analyze only potholes.
241 Additionally, only accessible potholes will be measured, meaning those that are
242 filled with water or obscured by debris may not be accurately assessed.

243 The system developed focuses exclusively on detecting potholes and assessing
244 their severity through depth measurement. The accuracy of the system's depth
245 measurements is evaluated by comparing them against data collected from actual
246 field inspections. However, this comparison is limited to selected sample sites,
247 as collecting field data over a large area can be time-consuming and resource-
248 intensive.

249 Environmental factors such as lighting, road surface texture, and weather con-
250 ditions may impact the system's performance. The accuracy and reliability of
251 the system will depend on the quality of camera calibration and disparity map
252 finetuning. Its ability to measure the depth of pothole images needs careful vali-
253 dation.

254 1.5 Significance of the Research

255 This special problem aims to be significant to the following:

256 *Computer Science Community.* This system can contribute to advancements
257 in computer vision and machine learning by using both visual and depth data to
258 assess the severity of road defects. It introduces a more comprehensive approach
259 compared to the usual image-only or manual inspection methods. This combina-
260 tion can be applied to other fields that need both visual and depth analysis like
261 medical imaging.

262 *Concerned Government Agencies.* This system offers a valuable tool for road
263 safety and maintenance. Not only can this detect and classify anomalies, it can
264 also assess the defect's severity which allows them to prioritize repairs, optimal
265 project expenditures, and better overall road safety and quality.

266 *Field Engineers.* In the scorching heat, field engineers are no longer required
267 to be on foot unless it requires their engineering judgement when surveying a road
268 segment. It can hasten the overall assessment process.

²⁶⁹ *Future Researchers.* The special problem may serve as a baseline and guide of
²⁷⁰ researchers with the aim to pursue special problems similar or related to this.

²⁷¹ Chapter 2

²⁷² Review of Related Literature

²⁷³ 2.1 Frameworks

²⁷⁴ This section of the chapter presents related frameworks that is considered essential
²⁷⁵ for the development of this special problem.

²⁷⁶ 2.1.1 Depth Estimation

²⁷⁷ Depth estimation as defined by Sanz, Mezcua, and Pena (2012) is a set of processes
²⁷⁸ that aims to extract a representation of a certain scene's spatial composition.
²⁷⁹ Stereo vision is stated to be among the depth estimation strategies.

²⁸⁰ 2.1.2 Image and Video Processing

²⁸¹ Kumar (2024) defines image processing as a process of turning an image into its
²⁸² digital form and extracting data from it through certain functions and operations.
²⁸³ Usual processes are considered to treat images as 2D signals wherein different
²⁸⁴ processing methods utilize these signals. Like image processing, RICHES Project
²⁸⁵ (2014) defines video processing as being able to extract information and data from
²⁸⁶ video footage through signal processing methods. However, in video processing
²⁸⁷ due to the diversity of video formats, compression and decompression methods
²⁸⁸ are often expected to be performed on videos before processing methods to either
²⁸⁹ increase or decrease bitrate.

²⁹⁰ **2.1.3 Stereo Vision**

²⁹¹ MathWorks (n.d.) defines stereo vision as a process of utilizing multiple 2D per-
²⁹² spectives in order to extract information in 3D. In addition, most uses of stereo
²⁹³ vision involve estimating an objects distance from an observer or camera. The 3D
²⁹⁴ information is stated to be extracted with stereo pairs or pair of images through es-
²⁹⁵ timation of relative depth of points in a scene which are then represented through
²⁹⁶ a stereo map that is made through the matching of the pair's corresponding points.

²⁹⁷ **2.2 Related Studies**

²⁹⁸ This section of the chapter presents related studies conducted by other researchers
²⁹⁹ wherein the methodology and technologies used may serve as basis in the devel-
³⁰⁰ opment of this special problem.

³⁰¹ **2.2.1 Deep Learning Studies**

³⁰² **Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies
303 in VANET Using Deep Learning**

³⁰⁴
³⁰⁵ In the study of Bibi et al. (2021) it was noted that identification of active road
³⁰⁶ defects are critical in maintaining smooth and safe flow of traffic. Detection and
³⁰⁷ subsequent repair of such defects in roads are crucial in keeping vehicles using
³⁰⁸ such roads away from mechanical failures. The study also emphasized the growth
³⁰⁹ in use of autonomous vehicles in research data gathering which is what the re-
³¹⁰ searchers utilized in data gathering procedures. With the presence of autonomous
³¹¹ vehicles, this allowed the researchers to use a combination of sensors and deep
³¹² neural networks in deploying artificial intelligence. The study aimed to allow au-
³¹³ tonomous vehicles to avoid critical road defects that can possibly lead to dangerous
³¹⁴ situations. Researchers used Resnet-18 and VGG-11 in automatic detection and
³¹⁵ classification of road defects. Researchers concluded that the trained model was
³¹⁶ able to perform better than other techniques for road defect detection. The study
³¹⁷ is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training arti-
³¹⁸ ficial intelligence for road defect detection and classification. However, the study
³¹⁹ lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects and incorporation of pot-
³²⁰ hole depth in their model which are both crucial in automating manual procedures
³²¹ of road surveying in the Philippines.

322 **Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches**

323

324 The study of Luo, Lu, and Guo (2020) aimed to utilize deep learning models in
325 classifying road anomalies. The researchers used three deep learning approaches
326 namely Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent
327 Neural Network from data collected through the sensors in the vehicle's suspension
328 system. In comparing the performance of the three deep learning approaches, the
329 researchers fixed some hyperparameters. Results revealed that the RNN model
330 was the most stable among the three and in the case of the CNN and DFN mod-
331 els, the researchers suggested the use of wheel speed signals to ensure accuracy.
332 And lastly, the researchers concluded that the RNN model was best due to high
333 prediction performance with small set parameters. However, proper severity as-
334 sessment through depth information was not stated to be utilized in any of the
335 three approaches used in the study.

336 **Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-
337 Based Segmentation and Detection**

338

339 In the study of Ha et al. (2022), it was argued that the detection, classification,
340 and severity assessment of road cracks should be automated due to the bottleneck
341 it causes during the entire process of surveying. For the study, the researchers
342 utilized SqueezeNet, U-Net, and MobileNet-SSD models for crack classification and
343 severity assessment. Furthermore, the researchers also employed separate U-nets
344 for linear and area cracking cases. For crack detection, the researchers followed
345 the process of pre-processing, detection, classification. During preprocessing im-
346 ages were smoothed out using image processing techniques. The researchers also
347 utilized YOLOv5 object detection models for classification of pavement cracking
348 wherein the YOLOv51 model recorded the highest accuracy. The researchers how-
349 ever stated images used for the study are only 2D images which may have allowed
350 higher accuracy rates. Furthermore, the researchers suggest incorporating depth
351 information in the models to further enhance results.

352 **Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing smart-
353 phones and artificial intelligence**

354

355 The study of Kyriakou, Christodoulou, and Dimitriou (2016) presented what is
356 considered as a low-cost technology which was the use of Artificial Neural Net-
357 works in training a model for road anomaly detection from data gathered by
358 smartphone sensors. The researchers were able to collect case study data us-
359 ing two-dimensional indicators of the smartphone's roll and pitch values. In the
360 study's discussion, the data collected displayed some complexity due to accelera-
361 tion and vehicle speed which lead to detected anomalies being not as conclusive as

362 planned. The researchers also added that the plots are unable to show parameters
363 that could verify the data's correctness and accuracy. Despite the setbacks, the
364 researchers still fed the data into the Artificial Neural Network that was expected
365 to produce two outputs which were “no defect” and “defect.” The method still
366 yielded above 90% accuracy but due to the limited number of possible outcomes
367 in the data processing the researchers still needed to test the methodology with
368 larger data sets and roads with higher volumes of anomalies.

369 **2.2.2 Machine Learning Studies**

370 **Smartphones as Sensors for Road Surface Monitoring**

371 In their study, Sattar, Li, and Chapman (2018) noted the rise of sensing capabilities
372 of smartphones which they utilized in monitoring road surface to detect and
373 identify anomalies. The researchers considered different approaches in detecting
374 road surface anomalies using smartphone sensors. One of which are threshold-
375 based approaches which was determined to be quite difficult due to several factors
376 that are affecting the process of determining the interval length of a window
377 function in spectral analysis. The researchers also utilized a machine learning
378 approach adapted from another study. It was stated that k-means was used in
379 classifying sensor data and in training the SVM algorithm. Due to the require-
380 ment of training a supervised algorithm using a labeled sample data was required
381 before classifying data from sensors, the approach was considered to be imprac-
382 tical for real-time situations. In addition, Sattar et al. (2018) also noted various
383 challenges when utilizing smartphones as sensors for data gathering such as sen-
384 sors being dependent on the device’s placement and orientation, smoothness of
385 captured data, and the speed of the vehicle it is being mounted on. Lastly, it was
386 also concluded that the accuracy and performance of using smartphone sensors is
387 challenging to compare due to the limited data sets and reported algorithms.

389 **Road Surface Quality Monitoring Using Machine Learning 390 Algorithms**

391 The study of Singh, Bansal, Kamal, and Kumar (2021) aimed to utilize machine
392 learning algorithms in classifying road defects as well as predict their locations.
393 Another implication of the study was to provide useful information to commuters
394 and maintenance data for authorities regarding road conditions. The researchers
395 gathered data using various methods such as smartphone GPS, gyroscopes, and
396 accelerometers. (Singh et al., 2021) also argued that early existing road moni-
397 toring models are unable to predict locations of road defects and are dependent
398 on fixed roads and static vehicle speed. Neural and deep neural networks were

400 utilized in the classification of anomalies which was concluded by the researchers
401 to yield accurate results and are applicable on a larger scale of data. The study
402 of Singh et al. (2021) can be considered as an effective method in gathering data
403 about road conditions. However, it was stated in the study that relevant authori-
404 ties will be provided with maintenance operation and there is no presence of any
405 severity assessment in the study. This may cause confusion due to a lack of as-
406 sessment on what is the road condition that will require extensive maintenance or
407 repair.

408 **2.2.3 Computer Vision Studies**

409 **Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved**
410 **Ride Quality**

411

412 In the study of Ramaiah and Kundu (2021) it was stated that stereo vision has
413 been earning attention due to its reliable obstacle detection and recognition. Fur-
414 thermore, the study also discussed that such technology would be useful in improv-
415 ing ride quality in automated vehicles by integrating it in a predictive suspension
416 control system. The proposed study was to develop a novel stereo vision based
417 pothole detection system which also calculates the depth accurately. However,
418 the study focused on improving ride quality by using the 3D information from
419 detected potholes in controlling the damping coefficient of the suspension system.
420 Overall, the pothole detection system was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is
421 able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm. The researchers concluded
422 that such system can be utilized in commercial applications. However, it is also
423 worth noting that despite the system being able to detect potholes and measure
424 its depth, the overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

425 2.3 Chapter Summary

- 426 The reviewed literature involved various techniques and approaches in road anomaly
 427 detection and classification. These approaches are discussed and summarized below along with their limitations and research gaps.

Study	Technology/ Techniques Used	Key Findings	Limitations
Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies in VANET Using Deep Learning	Resnet-18 and VGG-11	Trained model is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training artificial intelligence for road defect detection and classification.	Lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects.
Smartphones as sensors for Road surface monitoring	Machine Learning, Smartphones	Approach was considered impractical for real-life applications.	Sensors are dependent on device's placement and orientation, smoothness of data, and speed of vehicle it is mounted on. Accuracy of results is difficult to compare.
Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches	Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent Neural Network	Identified that RNN was the best deep learning approach due to high prediction performance.	Data collection is considered too difficult and complicated to execute due to sensors being mounted on an integral part of the vehicle.
Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-Based Segmentation and Detection	SqueezeNet, U-Net, YOLOv5, and MobileNet-SSD models	YOLOv5 model recorded the highest accuracy.	Only 2D images are used for the study which may have allowed higher accuracy rates, and the study also lacked depth information.
Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved Ride Quality	Pair of stereo images captured by a stereo camera	System was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm.	Overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

Table 2.1: Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision

⁴²⁹ **Chapter 3**

⁴³⁰ **Methodology**

⁴³¹ This chapter outlines the systematic approach that were taken to address the
⁴³² problem of pothole depth estimation using StereoPi V2. The methodology is
⁴³³ divided into key phases: data collection, algorithm selection, design, testing and
⁴³⁴ experimentation, and challenges and limitations. Each phase will play a crucial
⁴³⁵ role in accurately classifying and assessing road defects. Each phase is essential
⁴³⁶ for accurately estimating the depth of potholes using StereoPi V2.

⁴³⁷ **3.1 Research Activities**

⁴³⁸ **3.1.1 Data Collection**

⁴³⁹ The researchers conducted initial inquiries to understand the problem domain and
⁴⁴⁰ existing road maintenance practices. This phase included consulting the engineers
⁴⁴¹ under the Road Maintenance Department of the government agency Department
⁴⁴² of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). An interview with Engr. Jane Chua pro-
⁴⁴³ vided a comprehensive overview of the DPWH's road maintenance manual, which
⁴⁴⁴ was crucial in aligning this project with existing standards. This collaboration
⁴⁴⁵ with DPWH provided insights into road pothole classification standards, ensuring
⁴⁴⁶ that the collected data will align with industry standards. The DPWH manual
⁴⁴⁷ primarily focuses on the volume of detected potholes within a road segment as
⁴⁴⁸ a measure of severity. However, since depth is not explicitly measured in their
⁴⁴⁹ current procedures, the study will supplement this by referencing international
⁴⁵⁰ standards such as the Long-Term Pavement Performance (LTPP) classification
⁴⁵¹ used in the United States (Miller et al., 2014). The LTPP categorizes potholes

452 based on depth thresholds, which will be integrated with DPWH's volume-based
453 assessment to provide a more comprehensive severity classification framework.
454 The data collection involved capturing around 130 images of potholes from var-
455 ious locations within the UP Visayas Campus. Ground truth data of pothole
456 depth were collected by the researchers by measuring the depth of different points
457 in an individual pothole and then solving for its average depth. The aforemen-
458 tioned process was validated by Engr. Benjamin Javellana, Assistant Director
459 of the DPWH Regional Office 6 Maintenance Division. In order to individually
460 locate or determine each pothole where the ground truth data is collected, images
461 taken were labeled with their corresponding coordinates, street names, and nearby
462 landmarks.

463 **3.1.1.1 Data Collection (Ground Truth Data)**

464 Data collection took place between January and March 2025, during which the
465 researchers collected depth information from 130 potholes around the University of
466 the Philippines Visayas Miagao Campus. During data collection, the researchers
467 are equipped with safety vests and an early warning device to give caution to
468 incoming vehicles. To measure the depth of each pothole, the researchers recorded
469 four depth points within the pothole and calculated their average.

470 **3.1.2 Design, Testing, and Experimentation**

471 This section outlines both the design and testing of the system, as well as the
472 experimentation process to validate the selected methodologies.

473 **3.1.2.1 Depth Measurement**

474 Depth estimation is performed by generating disparity maps from the calibrated
475 stereo image pairs captured by the StereoPi V2. In this process, two key mea-
476 surement points are selected for each pothole: one targeting the pothole area
477 itself, and another targeting the adjacent road surface considered as the reference
478 plane. By calculating the difference in disparity values between these two points,
479 the system estimates the relative depth of the pothole. This approach improves
480 accuracy by normalizing disparity measurements against the nearby road surface,
481 effectively isolating the pothole's depth from overall scene variation.

482 The disparity-to-depth conversion utilizes an inverse model derived from cali-

483 bration data, ensuring that the depth estimates reflect real-world distances accu-
484 rately within the effective operational range of the stereo camera setup.


485 **3.1.2.2 Severity Assessment**

486 The estimated pothole depths were classified using the Long-Term Pavement Per-
487 formance (LTPP) depth thresholds, an internationally recognized framework for
488 pavement distress evaluation. This classification provides standardized criteria
489 to assess pothole severity objectively based on measured depth values. Specifi-
490 cally, potholes with depths less than 2.5 cm are categorized as low severity, those
491 between 2.5 cm and 5 cm as medium severity, and potholes exceeding 5 cm are
492 classified as high severity (Miller et al., 2014)

493 **3.1.2.3 Materials and Equipment**

494 The prototype system was constructed using several hardware components, which
495 include the items listed below and shown in Figure 3.1:

- 496 • StereoPi V2 Board
- 497 • Raspberry Pi Compute Module 4 (CM4)
- 498 • Dual RaspberryPi Camera Modules with Fisheye Lens
- 499 • 3D Printed Custom Housing
- 500 • 2-inch LCD Module
- 501 • Micro SD Card
- 502 • Antenna
- 503 • Momentary Push Button



504

Figure 3.1: Components used in the prototype development.

505 3.1.2.4 Prototype Building

506 The prototype involved the StereoPi V2 Kit which was acquired through an official
507 international distributor. After assembling the camera, it was further modified to
508 address the it's heating by incorporating a heat sink and a small computer fan to
509 make it suitable for outdoor use.

510




Figure 3.2: Dual RPi Camera Modules attached to the custom housing.




Figure 3.3: LCD Module connected to the StereoPi board.

511



Figure 3.4: The finished prototype.

512 **3.1.2.5 Camera Calibration (Fisheye Distortion)**

513 The StereoPi V2 is first calibrated using a 9 by 6 checkerboard, with a checker
514 size of 55mm, from different angles through calibration scripts that came with the
515 package. This process ensured that the camera is working properly in capturing
516 stereo imagery. This removed distortion from captured images allowing depth
517 estimation with more accuracy.

518




Figure 3.5: Calibration process with a checkerboard to correct fisheye lens distortion.

519

3.1.2.6 Camera Calibration (Disparity Map Fine-tuning)

520 The stereo image pairs captured by the system were first rectified to ensure proper
 521 alignment of corresponding features. Block matching parameters were then fine-
 522 tuned to produce clearer and more accurate disparity maps. It was observed
 523 that the effective operational range of the stereo camera system extends from
 524 approximately 30 to 80 cm. At distances closer than 30 cm, the disparity maps
 525 exhibited significant noise, while at distances beyond 80 cm, disparity information
 526 became sparse or blank.

527




Figure 3.6: Parameter tuning process to achieve cleaner and more accurate disparity maps.

528

3.1.2.7 Initial Testing

529 Initial testing was conducted to verify the functionality and basic accuracy of the
 530 stereoscopic camera system in a controlled environment. Artificial potholes with

531 known depths were created to simulate varying real-world scenarios. The system
532 captured disparity maps, and estimated depths were computed using the standard
533 stereo camera depth formula.

534




Figure 3.7: The system tested on a simulated pothole.

535 However, the results revealed a non-linear relationship between the computed
536 disparity values and the actual distances. This discrepancy indicated that the
537 traditional depth estimation method was insufficient for the current setup. To
538 address this, the researchers collected multiple data points and correlating known
539 distances to their respective disparity readings and fitted an inverse model to
540 better represent the system's behavior (see Figure 4.4). This updated disparity-
541 to-depth model was subsequently used in the final testing phase.




Figure 3.8: Inverse Model Fit to Disparity vs. Distance.

542 **3.1.2.8 Performance Metrics**

543 The accuracy of the pothole depth estimated by StereoPi V2 was analyzed using
544 Linear Regression in order to model the difference between the disparity and
545 distance. The lower the disparity indicates that the pothole is deeper.

546 **3.1.2.9 Final Testing and Validation**

547 The testing process began with a detailed testing plan that includes both simu-
548 lated and real-world testing scenarios. Initially, the system is tested in controlled
549 environments to ensure it can estimate pothole depth effectively. Following this,
550 real-world testing was conducted using the StereoPi kit on previously located
551 potholes, specifically at the University of the Philippines Visayas Miagao Cam-
552 pus. The system's performance was validated by comparing its predictions with
553 ground-truth data collected from manual inspections.

554 **3.1.2.10 Documentation**

555 Throughout the research activities, thorough documentation was maintained.
556 This documentation captured all methods, results, challenges, and adjustments
557 made during the experimentation phases. It ensured the reproducibility of the
558 work and provided transparency for future research endeavors.

559 **3.1.3 Challenges and Limitations**

560 **3.1.3.1 Camera Limitations**

561 During the data collection process, the researchers were faced with various issues
562 involving the StereoPi V2 kit. Issues like inaccuracies in the rectified image pair
563 and generated disparity map were very apparent in the early stages of data collec-
564 tion due to limited related studies and literature involving the camera. In addition,
565 the camera also yielded some inaccurate depth estimation and over reliance on
566 controlled environments which prompted the researchers to further improve its
567 tuning and calibration.

568 **Chapter 4**

569 **Results**

570 This chapter presents the results on estimating the depth of potholes using the
571 StereoPi system. It details the prototype construction, calibration of the system,
572 and the application of regression analysis to improve depth estimation. It also
573 contains the measurements taken during the testing phases, comparing the ground
574 truth depths with the value estimated by the camera. Findings are presented
575 systematically, supported by tables showing the collected data, images of the
576 outputs, and discussion on the analysis of results.

577 **4.1 System Calibration and Model Refinement**

578 After the initial testing, the system was calibrated using a controlled setup, where
579 artificial potholes with known depths were created. The stereo camera system
580 captured disparity maps, from which depth was calculated using the standard
581 stereo vision formula:

$$\text{Depth} = \frac{f \times B}{d}$$

582 where:

- 583 • f is the focal length in pixels,
584 • B is the baseline distance between the two cameras,
585 • d is the disparity.

586 However, preliminary observations revealed that the relationship between mea-
587 sured disparity and depth was shifted from the ideal. Their relationship is in-
588 herently nonlinear, specifically an inverse relationship (of the form $y=1/x$). As
589 disparity decreases, depth increases rapidly and nonlinearly. However, due to
590 real-world factors such as lens distortion, imperfect calibration, stereo matching
591 errors, and pixel quantization, the actual relationship between measured disparity
592 and true depth often deviates from the theoretical ideal (Scharstein & Szeliski,
593 2002).

594 To address the shifting behavior, a curve fitting approach was introduced.
595 Specifically, an inverse model was fitted to the collected data points, relating
596 disparity and ground-truth distance measurements.

597 An inverse function of the form:

$$y = a + \frac{b}{x}$$

598 where:

- 599 • y is the estimated distance (in cm),
600 • x is the measured disparity,
601 • a and b are coefficients obtained through regression analysis.

602 4.2 Testing Results

603 Following calibration, actual potholes located around the University of the Philip-
604 pines Visayas (UPV) campus were tested. The ground truth depths of the potholes
605 were measured manually and compared with the depths estimated by the Stereo-
606 oPi camera. Based on the results, the StereoPi camera was able to estimate the
607 depths fairly close to the actual measurements.

608 The smallest error occurred in one pothole, where the estimated depth was
609 only 0.02 cm off from the ground truth. The largest observed error was 7.05 cm.
610 Most of the time, the camera's estimated depths were within approximately 1 to
611 3 centimeters of the actual depths. This demonstrates reasonable accuracy given
612 the hardware setup and environmental conditions.

613 A complete comparison of ground truth and estimated depth values can be
 614 found in Appendix A.




Figure 4.1: Disparity Map



Figure 4.2: Left Stereo Image



Figure 4.3: Right Stereo Image

615 The results show that the StereoPi system provides highly accurate estimates
 616 of pothole depth. The strong correlation ($R=0.978$) and high coefficient of de-
 617 termination ($R^2=0.956$) indicate that the actual depth significantly predicts the
 618 estimated values. The regression coefficient for actual depth was statistically sig-
 619 nificant ($p < 0.001$), suggesting that the relationship is not due to chance. While
 620 the RMSE of 0.844 cm and MAE of 0.945 cm suggest generally small errors, the
 621 presence of a maximum error of 3.45 cm indicates that there may be occasional
 622 outliers or limitations in specific scenarios. Nonetheless, the overall model per-
 623 formance demonstrates that the StereoPi system is suitable for practical pothole
 624 depth estimation.

R	R²	Root Mean Square Error (cm)	Mean Absolute Error (cm)
0.978	0.956	0.844	0.945

Table 4.1: Linear Regression Model Fit Summary

Predictor	Estimate	SE	t	p
Intercept	0.159	0.2544	0.625	0.536
Actual Depth	0.848	0.0317	26.752	<0.001

Table 4.2: Model Coefficients - Estimated Depth




Figure 4.4: Linear Regression Fit Between Actual and Estimated Depth

625 4.3 Discussion

626 The study found that stereo vision works effectively in helping estimate the depth
 627 of road potholes. The system built using the StereoPi V2 camera was able to
 628 measure pothole depths with results mostly within ± 3 cm of the actual ground
 629 truth values, with an overall root mean square error (RMSE) of 0.844 cm and
 630 mean absolute error (MAE) of 0.945 cm. This matches the general observation
 631 in earlier studies such as those by Ramaiah and Kundu (2021), which showed
 632 that stereo vision can provide useful 3D information for road obstacle detection.
 633 However, this study advances previous work by focusing not just on detection,
 634 but on depth-based severity classification, which was largely missing in earlier
 635 research.

636 A strong positive correlation ($R = 0.978$) and coefficient of determination (R^2
 637 = 0.956) indicate that the actual pothole depths strongly predict the estimated
 638 values. The regression model's significant predictor ($p < 0.001$) further supports
 639 the robustness of the depth estimation approach. This level of accuracy and model
 640 performance highlights the suitability of the StereoPi system for practical field
 641 applications in pothole monitoring and maintenance prioritization. This finding
 642 is significant because earlier machine learning-based road detection studies such as
 643 those by Bibi et al. (2021) focused mostly on classifying the existence of defects,
 644 not measuring their severity.

645 The outputs of the system were generally positive, showing that with proper
646 calibration and tuning, consistent and reliable depth estimates can be produced.
647 Calibration using checkerboards and tuning block matching parameters were cru-
648 cial steps in achieving these results. Similar to the findings of Sanz et al. (2012),
649 proper stereo camera calibration was found to be critical to achieving accept-
650 able disparity maps. This reinforces the importance of calibration techniques,
651 especially in real-world outdoor conditions where environmental factors introduce
652 noise.

653 It was also observed that incorporating depth measurements into pothole de-
654 tection greatly improves how potholes are prioritized for repairs compared to
655 traditional visual-only inspections. This insight fills a notable gap in current
656 practices, especially in the Philippine context where depth measurements are not
657 typically part of road surveys (Ramos et al., 2023). Depth-based severity clas-
658 sification enables road maintenance teams to make more informed and objective
659 decisions on which potholes to prioritize for immediate repair, helping to optimize
660 resource allocation and improve public road safety.

661 However, the study also highlighted limitations affecting system performance,
662 including sensitivity to camera calibration quality, lighting conditions, road sur-
663 face texture, and the camera's vertical positioning during image capture. Outdoor
664 testing revealed that low lighting and shallow potholes made it difficult to gen-
665 erate clean disparity maps, sometimes causing minor estimation errors. These
666 observations are consistent with Sattar et al. (2018), who reported that mobile
667 road sensing systems often struggle in low-light or highly variable surface condi-
668 tions. Understanding these challenges is important because it points to practical
669 improvements, such as using better cameras, adding lighting support, or applying
670 more robust image enhancement methods in future versions of the system.

671 Chapter 5

672 **Summary, Conclusions, 673 Discussion, and 674 Recommendations**

675 This chapter provides conclusions based on the research findings from data col-
676 lected on the development of a pothole depth estimation system using stereo vision
677 technology. It also presents a discussion and recommendations for future research.
678 This chapter reviews the purpose of the study, research questions, related liter-
679 ature, methodology, and findings. It then presents the conclusions, a discussion
680 of the results, recommendations for practice, suggestions for further research, and
681 the final conclusion of the study.

682 **5.1 Summary**

683 This special project addressed the critical issue of road maintenance by developing
684 a system capable of estimating the depth of potholes to help prioritize repairs.
685 The purpose of the project was to create an automated method that not only
686 detects potholes but also assesses their severity based on depth, responding to
687 the current manual and slow road inspection practices. The researchers aimed to
688 collect high-quality images of potholes under varying conditions, to validate the
689 system's depth estimation accuracy using ground truth measurements and linear
690 regression analysis, and to build a working prototype using stereo vision that can
691 detect, measure, and assess potholes.

692 To achieve these objectives, a hardware prototype was built using the StereoPi

693 V2 board and Raspberry Pi Compute Module 4, equipped with dual fisheye-lens
694 cameras. Camera calibration was performed using a 9x6 checkerboard pattern
695 with known square sizes to correct for fisheye lens distortion and ensure proper
696 alignment of the stereo pair. After calibration, disparity map generation was
697 fine-tuned by adjusting block matching parameters to produce clearer and more
698 reliable disparity maps. Initial testing was conducted using simulated potholes
699 with known depths to verify the functionality of the system and identify the non-
700 linear behavior present in stereo vision depth measurements. It was observed that
701 using the standard stereo depth formula led to inaccuracies, particularly at greater
702 distances.

703 The calibrated system and fitted regression model were validated by comparing
704 the estimated depths with the manually measured depths. The findings showed
705 that the system was able to estimate pothole depths within approximately ± 3
706 cm of actual measurements, achieving a Mean Absolute Error (MAE) of 0.945 cm
707 and a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of 0.844 cm. A strong positive linear
708 relationship was observed between the estimated and actual depths ($R = 0.978$,
709 $R^2 = 0.956$).

710 5.2 Conclusions

711 The researchers conclude the following based on the findings:

- 712 • The system effectively captures and analyzes depth information from stereo
713 images, providing a viable method for automated pothole severity assess-
714 ment.
- 715 • Incorporating depth measurements significantly improves pothole repair pri-
716 oritization compared to traditional visual-only inspections, allowing main-
717 tenance decisions to be based on objective, measurable data.
- 718 • The system achieved an acceptable regression model fit, with a strong posi-
719 tive correlation ($R = 0.978$) and a coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.956$),
720 confirming that the depth estimates closely align with the ground truth
721 measurements. The system obtained satisfactory error metrics, with a Mean
722 Absolute Error (MAE) of 0.945 cm and a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)
723 of 0.844 cm, indicating reliable performance for both pothole detection and
724 depth estimation tasks.
- 725 • The proposed approach fills a critical gap in current road maintenance prac-
726 tices, especially within the Philippine context where depth-based severity

727 classification is not yet systematically implemented.

728 5.3 Recommendations for Practice

729 Based on the findings of this special project, the following recommendations are
730 proposed for future researchers, engineers, and road maintenance agencies:

731 *Use stereo vision systems for road surveys.* In contexts where LiDAR-based
732 technologies may be cost-prohibitive, maintenance agencies should consider adopt-
733 ing calibrated stereo vision systems for estimating pothole depth. This approach
734 offers a more cost-effective alternative while still enabling depth-based severity
735 classification, thereby allowing for more objective and data-driven prioritization
736 of road repairs compared to traditional visual inspections.

737 *Incorporate depth-based severity classification in maintenance procedures.* Au-
738 thorities should update road inspection protocols to include depth measurements,
739 making pothole severity assessment more objective and standardized.

740 5.4 Suggestions for further research

741 Based on the limitations encountered and the results obtained, the researchers have
742 observed that there are lapses and possible improvements to further better this
743 system.

744 *Better camera.* While the StereoPi V2 camera was effective for basic depth
745 estimation, its performance is limited by its resolution, sensitivity to lighting,
746 and depth range. Future researchers could consider using higher-quality stereo
747 cameras or depth sensors with better image resolution and low-light capabilities
748 to achieve more accurate and consistent disparity maps.

749 *Improve camera calibration and tuning.* While the StereoPi system produced
750 good depth estimates, the results still varied depending on the precision of the
751 camera calibration. Future researchers can explore better calibration techniques
752 and finer parameter adjustments to minimize errors, especially in challenging en-
753 vironments.

754 *Use of multi-camera arrays.* Instead of relying solely on a two-camera stereo
755 setup, future research could explore the use of multi-point or multi-angle camera

756 arrays. These systems can offer improved depth perception and coverage, partic-
757 ularly for complex or uneven road surfaces, by capturing more comprehensive 3D
758 data.

759 *Integration of stereo vision with motion-based analysis.* Incorporating frame
760 differencing techniques, similar to motion detection algorithms, could be beneficial
761 for dynamic environments or mobile applications. This approach may simulate
762 the effect of a moving vehicle and allow the system to detect and estimate potholes
763 more robustly in real time, enhancing its applicability for onboard vehicle-mounted
764 systems.

765 **5.5 Conclusion**

766 This special project has successfully developed a system that addresses the prob-
767 lem of pothole severity assessment using depth measurement. The research shows
768 that stereo vision, even using accessible and affordable technology, holds strong
769 potential for future development in road maintenance automation. By building
770 upon the foundation laid by this project, future systems can become even more
771 accurate, efficient, and practical for real-world deployment.

⁷⁷² References

- ⁷⁷³ Bibi, R., Saeed, Y., Zeb, A., Ghazal, T. M., Rahman, T., Said, R. A., ... Khan, M. A. (2021). Edge ai-based automated detection and classification of road anomalies in vanet using deep learning. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2021(1). doi: 10.1155/2021/6262194
- ⁷⁷⁴ Ha, J., Kim, D., & Kim, M. (2022). Assessing severity of road cracks using deep learning-based segmentation and detection. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 78(16), 17721–17735. doi: 10.1007/s11227-022-04560-x
- ⁷⁷⁵ Kumar, A. (2024, October). What is image processing: Overview, applications, benefits, and more. *AI and Machine Learning*. Retrieved from <https://www.simplilearn.com/image-processing-article> (Accessed: January 1, 2025)
- ⁷⁷⁶ Kyriakou, C., Christodoulou, S. E., & Dimitriou, L. (2016, April). Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing smartphones and artificial intelligence. In *Proceedings of the ieee conference*. Retrieved from <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7495459>
- ⁷⁷⁷ Luo, D., Lu, J., & Guo, G. (2020, June). Road anomaly detection through deep learning approaches. *IEEE Journals and Magazine*. (<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9123753/>)
- ⁷⁷⁸ Ramaiah, N. K. B., & Kundu, S. (2021). Stereo vision based pothole detection system for improved ride quality. *SAE International Journal of Advances and Current Practices in Mobility*, 3(5), 2603–2610. doi: 10.4271/2021-01-0085
- ⁷⁷⁹ Ramos, J. A., Dacanay, J. P., & Bronuela-Ambrocio, L. (2023). *A review of the current practices in the pavement surface monitoring in the philippines* (Doctoral dissertation, University of the Philippines Diliman). Retrieved from https://ncts.upd.edu.ph/tssp/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/TSSP2022_09.pdf
- ⁷⁸⁰ RICHES Project. (2014). *Video processing*. Retrieved from <https://resources.riches-project.eu/glossary/video-processing/>
- ⁷⁸¹ Sanz, P., Mezcua, B., & Pena, J. (2012). Depth estimation: An introduction. *Current Advancements in Stereo Vision*. Retrieved from [http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/cav.201202001](http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/cav.201202002001)

- 804 .org/10.5772/45904 doi: 10.5772/45904
- 805 Sattar, S., Li, S., & Chapman, M. (2018). Road surface monitoring us-
806 ing smartphone sensors: A review. *Sensors*, 18(11), 3845–3845. doi:
807 10.3390/s18113845
- 808 Scharstein, D., & Szeliski, R. (2002). A taxonomy and evaluation of dense
809 two-frame stereo correspondence algorithms. *International Journal of Com-
810 puter Vision*, 47(1), 7–42. Retrieved from [https://link.springer.com/
811 article/10.1023/A:1014573219977](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1014573219977) doi: 10.1023/A:1014573219977
- 812 Singh, P., Bansal, A., Kamal, A. E., & Kumar, S. (2021). Road surface quality
813 monitoring using machine learning algorithm. In *Smart innovation, systems
814 and technologies* (pp. 423–432). doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-6482-3_42

⁸¹⁵ **Appendix A**

⁸¹⁶ **Appendix**

Table A.1: Actual vs. Estimated Depths with Residuals and Absolute Errors

Sample	Actual Depth (cm)	Estimated Depth (cm)	Residual	Absolute Error (cm)
1	4.000	11.050	7.050	7.050
2	12.050	11.380	-0.670	0.670
3	6.450	4.380	-2.070	2.070
4	9.550	6.890	-2.660	2.660
5	14.100	13.860	-0.240	0.240
6	1.875	2.050	0.175	0.175
7	2.600	2.663	0.063	0.063
8	1.500	2.230	0.730	0.730
9	1.750	1.775	0.025	0.025
10	1.625	1.567	-0.058	0.058
11	1.800	1.745	-0.055	0.055
12	1.675	1.653	-0.022	0.022
13	2.225	2.078	-0.147	0.147
14	1.875	1.903	0.028	0.028
15	3.050	2.230	-0.820	0.820
16	2.625	2.185	-0.440	0.440
17	3.050	2.708	-0.342	0.342
18	2.225	2.185	-0.040	0.040
19	3.025	2.822	-0.203	0.203
20	1.800	1.718	-0.083	0.083
21	2.300	2.047	-0.252	0.252
22	2.500	1.950	-0.550	0.550
23	10.800	8.785	-2.015	2.015
24	11.500	9.533	-1.967	1.967
25	9.200	7.122	-2.077	2.077
26	9.800	8.825	-0.975	0.975
27	12.200	32 10.918	-1.282	1.282
28	7.100	7.883	0.783	0.783
29	9.800	8.182	-1.618	1.618
30	9.100	8.200	-0.100	0.100




Figure A.1: Disparity Map




Figure A.2: Left Stereo Image




Figure A.3: Right Stereo Image

⁸¹⁷ **Appendix B**

⁸¹⁸ **Resource Persons**

⁸¹⁹ **Prof. Jumar Cadondon**

⁸²⁰ Assistant Professor

⁸²¹ Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics

⁸²² University of the Philippines Visayas

⁸²³ jgcadondon@up.edu.ph

⁸²⁴ **Engr. Jane Chua**

⁸²⁵ Engineer

⁸²⁶ DPWH Region 6

⁸²⁷ chua.jane@dpwh.gov.ph

⁸²⁸

⁸²⁹ **Engr. Marilou Zamora**

⁸³⁰ Chief

⁸³¹ Planning and Design

⁸³² DPWHRegion6

⁸³³ zamora.marilou@dpwh.gov.ph

⁸³⁴ **Engr. Benjamin Javellana**

⁸³⁵ Assistant Director

⁸³⁶ Maintenance

⁸³⁷ DPWHRegion6

⁸³⁸ javellana.benjamin@dpwh.gov.ph