

1 ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT AND
2 CLASSIFICATION

3 A Special Problem
4 Presented to
5 the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
6 College of Arts and Sciences
7 University of the Philippines Visayas
8 Miag-ao, Iloilo

9 In Partial Fulfillment
10 of the Requirements for the Degree of
11 Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

12 BELEBER, Benz Vrianne
13 CATALAN, Perserose
14 SENCIL, Kristian Lyle

15 Francis DIMZON, Ph.D.
16 Adviser

17 June 1, 2025

18

Approval Sheet

19

The Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics, College of Arts and
Sciences, University of the Philippines Visayas

20

certifies that this is the approved version of the following special problem:

21

**ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT AND
CLASSIFICATION**

22

23

Approved by:**Name****Signature****Date**

Francis D. Dimzon, Ph.D.

(Adviser)

Ara Abigail E. Ambita

25

(Panel Member)

Jumar G. Cadondon, Ph.D.

(Panel Member)

Kent Christian A. Castor

(Division Chair)

26 Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
27 College of Arts and Sciences
28 University of the Philippines Visayas

29 **Declaration**

30 We, BENZ VRIANNE BELEBER, PERSEROSE CATALAN, and KRISTIAN
31 LYLE SENCIL, hereby certify that this Special Problem has been written by us
32 and is the record of work carried out by us. Any significant borrowings have been
33 properly acknowledged and referred.

Name

Signature

Date

Benz Vrianne Beleber _____

(Student)

Perserose Catalan _____

(Student)

Kristian Lyle Sencil _____

(Student)

Dedication

36 This Special Problem is dedicated to the researchers' families, whose unwa-
37 vering love, patience, and support have been the foundation of their academic
38 journey.

39 To their parents, for their endless sacrifices.

40 To their mentors and teachers, for believing in them and guiding them with
41 wisdom.

42 And to all those who inspired them to keep going even in the most challenging
43 moments — this work is for them.

44

Acknowledgment

45 The researchers would like to express their heartfelt gratitude to the individuals,
46 institutions, and organizations who made the completion of this Special
47 Problem possible:

48 To their adviser, Dr. Francis Dimzon, for his expert guidance, valuable insights,
49 for teaching Computer Vision topics, and unwavering support throughout
50 the research process.

51 To Prof. Jumar Cadondon, for lending his time and expertise during the early
52 stages of this Special Problem, especially in providing assistance with his drone.

53 To Sir Cris Beleber, for his unwavering support from the initial conceptualization
54 of this project to out consultations with the DPWH. His assistance was
55 instrumental in the successful completion of this study.

56 To the Komsai faculty and staff, for providing a nurturing and intellectually
57 stimulating environment.

58 To the university personnel who ensured the researchers' safety throughout
59 the data collection process.

60 To the Department of Science and Technology (DOST), for their support and
61 promotion of scientific research and innovation.

62 To the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), for providing
63 valuable data and technical insights that greatly contributed to the relevance and
64 application of the study.

65 To their families and friends, for their unconditional love, patience, and en-
66 couragement.

67 Lastly, to the University of the Philippines Visayas, for providing the resources
68 and environment necessary for the researchers to explore and grow.

69 This work stands as a testament to the collaboration, support, and trust the
70 researchers have received. They are deeply grateful.

71

Abstract

72 Road surveying is a crucial part of the maintenance processes of roads in the
73 Philippines that is carried out by the Department of Public Works and Highways.
74 However, the current process of road surveying is time consuming which delays
75 much needed maintenance operations. Existing studies involving automated pot-
76 hole detection lack integration of the pothole's depth in assessing its severity which
77 is essential for automating road surveying procedures. A system that incorporates
78 estimated depth information in assessing pothole severity is developed in order to
79 automate the manual process of depth measurement and severity assessment in
80 road surveying. For depth estimation, stereo vision is favorable in this context
81 as depth may be estimated through the disparity generated by a stereo pair. In
82 obtaining a stereo view of the potholes, the StereoPi V2 is utilized along with
83 some modifications that would make it eligible for outdoor use. To address cam-
84 era imperfections, a fitted inverse model was applied to improve the accuracy of
85 depth estimates. Linear regression analysis revealed a strong positive correlation
86 ($R = 0.978$) between estimated and actual depths, with the system measuring
87 pothole depths mostly within 3 cm of the true values.

88 **Keywords:** pothole, depth estimation, stereo vision, StereoPi V2

⁸⁹ **Contents**

| | | |
|----------------|---|--------------|
| ⁹⁰ | 1 Introduction | ¹ |
| ⁹¹ | 1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology | ¹ |
| ⁹² | 1.2 Problem Statement | ³ |
| ⁹³ | 1.3 Research Objectives | ⁴ |
| ⁹⁴ | 1.3.1 General Objective | ⁴ |
| ⁹⁵ | 1.3.2 Specific Objectives | ⁴ |
| ⁹⁶ | 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research | ⁵ |
| ⁹⁷ | 1.5 Significance of the Research | ⁶ |
| ⁹⁸ | 2 Review of Related Literature | ⁷ |
| ⁹⁹ | 2.1 Frameworks | ⁷ |
| ¹⁰⁰ | 2.1.1 Depth Estimation | ⁷ |

| | | |
|-----|---|-----------|
| 101 | 2.1.2 Image and Video Processing | 7 |
| 102 | 2.1.3 Stereo Vision | 8 |
| 103 | 2.2 Related Studies | 8 |
| 104 | 2.2.1 Deep Learning Studies | 9 |
| 105 | 2.2.2 Machine Learning Studies | 11 |
| 106 | 2.2.3 Computer Vision Studies | 13 |
| 107 | 2.3 Chapter Summary | 15 |
| 108 | 3 Research Methodology | 17 |
| 109 | 3.1 Research Activities | 17 |
| 110 | 3.1.1 Data Collection | 17 |
| 111 | 3.1.2 Design, Testing, and Experimentation | 20 |
| 112 | 3.1.3 Challenges and Limitations | 28 |
| 113 | 4 Results and Discussion | 29 |
| 114 | 4.1 System Calibration and Model Refinement | 29 |
| 115 | 4.2 Testing Results | 31 |
| 116 | 4.3 Discussion | 33 |

CONTENTS

xi

| | | |
|----------------|--|-----------|
| ¹¹⁷ | 5 Conclusion | 37 |
| ¹¹⁸ | 5.1 Summary | 37 |
| ¹¹⁹ | 5.2 Conclusions | 38 |
| ¹²⁰ | 5.3 Recommendations for Practice | 40 |
| ¹²¹ | 5.4 Suggestions for Further Research | 40 |
| ¹²² | 6 References | 43 |
| ¹²³ | A Code Snippets | 47 |
| ¹²⁴ | B Resource Persons | 53 |
| ¹²⁵ | C Data Table and Pothole Images | 55 |
| ¹²⁶ | D Supplementary Documents | 59 |

¹²⁷ List of Figures

| | | |
|----------------|---|----|
| ¹²⁸ | 3.1 Manual depth measurement of pothole using a ruler and measuring tape. | 19 |
| ¹³⁰ | 3.2 Four measurement points of the pothole. | 20 |
| ¹³¹ | 3.3 Components used in the prototype development. From the top left: Raspberry Pi Computer Module 4, 3D Printed Custom Hous- ing, cooling fan, StereoPi V2 Board, two camera modules, antenna, momentary push button, and 2-inch LCD module. | 22 |
| ¹³⁵ | 3.4 Dual RPi Camera Modules attached to the custom housing. . . . | 23 |
| ¹³⁶ | 3.5 LCD Module connected to the StereoPi board. | 23 |
| ¹³⁷ | 3.6 The finished prototype. | 23 |
| ¹³⁸ | 3.7 Calibration process with a checkerboard to correct fisheye lens dis- tortion. | 24 |
| ¹⁴⁰ | 3.8 Parameter tuning process to achieve cleaner and more accurate disparity maps. | 25 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 142 | 3.9 The system tested on a simulated pothole. | 25 |
| 143 | 3.10 Inverse Model Fit to Disparity vs. Distance. | 26 |
| 144 | 3.11 First measure point | 27 |
| 145 | 3.12 Second measure point | 27 |
| 146 | 3.13 Third measure point | 27 |
| 147 | 3.14 Fourth measure point | 27 |
| 148 | 4.1 Disparity Map | 32 |
| 149 | 4.2 Left Stereo Image | 32 |
| 150 | 4.3 Right Stereo Image | 32 |
| 151 | 4.4 Linear Regression Fit Between Actual and Estimated Depth | 33 |
| 152 | C.1 Actual Pothole Images | 56 |
| 153 | C.2 Simulated Pothole Images | 57 |
| 154 | D.1 Visualized pothole locations during the ground truth data collection within the UPV campus. | 59 |
| 156 | D.2 Letter requesting validation of data collection procedures. | 60 |
| 157 | D.3 Letter requesting permission for ground truth data collection within the UPV campus. | 61 |

LIST OF FIGURES

xv

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 159 | D.4 Letter requesting an interview with DPWH representatives for the process of verifying ground truth data. | 62 |
| 161 | D.5 Letter requesting an interview with DPWH representatives for the standard operating procedures of the agency for assessing road quality. | 63 |
| 164 | D.6 Validated pothole measurement procedural manual, reviewed by Engr. Ethel B. Morales, District Engineer, DPWH 1st District Engineering Office. | 64 |
| 167 | D.7 Second page of the pothole measurement procedural manual . . . | 65 |
| 168 | D.8 Third page of the pothole measurement procedural manual . . . | 66 |
| 169 | D.9 Fourth page of the pothole measurement procedural manual . . . | 67 |
| 170 | D.10 Fifth page of the pothole measurement procedural manual . . . | 68 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|----------------|--|----|
| ¹⁷² | 2.1 Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision | 16 |
| ¹⁷³ | | |
| ¹⁷⁴ | 4.1 Linear Regression Model Fit Summary | 32 |
| ¹⁷⁵ | 4.2 Model Coefficients - Estimated Depth | 32 |
| ¹⁷⁶ | C.1 Actual vs. Estimated Depths with Residuals and Absolute Errors | 58 |

¹⁷⁷ Chapter 1

¹⁷⁸ Introduction

¹⁷⁹ 1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology

¹⁸⁰ The Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH) reported in their Na-
¹⁸¹ tional Road Length by Classification, Surface Type, and Condition Summary as
¹⁸² of October 2023, that approximately 98.97% of roads in the Philippines is paved
¹⁸³ which is either made of concrete or asphalt (Balita, 2024). Since the DPWH is an
¹⁸⁴ institution under the government, it is paramount to maintain such roads in order
¹⁸⁵ to avoid accidents and congested traffic situations especially in heavily urbanized
¹⁸⁶ areas where there are a lot of vehicles.

¹⁸⁷ In an interview with the Road Board of DPWH Region 6 it was stated that road
¹⁸⁸ condition assessments are mostly done manually with heavy reliance on engineer-
¹⁸⁹ ing judgment (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16 September 2024). In addition,
¹⁹⁰ manual assessment of roads is also time consuming which leaves maintenance
¹⁹¹ operations to wait for lengthy assessments. In a study conducted by Ramos, Da-

192 canay, and Bronuela-Ambrocio (2023), it was found that the Philippines' current
193 method of manual pavement surveying is considered as a gap since it takes an
194 average of 2-3 months to cover a 250 km road as opposed to a 1 day duration
195 in the Australian Road Research Board for the same road length. Ramos et al.
196 (2023) recommended that to significantly improve efficiency of surveying methods
197 and data gathering processes, automated survey tools are to be employed. It was
198 also added that use of such automated, surveying tools can also guarantee the
199 safety of road surveyors.

200 If the process of assessment on the severity of road defects can be automated then
201 the whole process of assessing the quality of roads can be hastened up which can
202 also enable maintenance operations to commence as soon as possible if necessary.
203 If not automated, the delay of assessments will continue and roads that are sup-
204 posedly needing maintenance may not be properly maintained which can affect
205 the general public that is utilizing public roads daily.

206 Existing studies involving road defects such as potholes mainly focus on the de-
207 tection of potholes using deep learning models and almost not considering the
208 severity of detected potholes or did not incorporate any depth information from
209 potholes (Ha, Kim, & Kim, 2022; Kumar, 2024; Bibi et al., 2021). In addition, for
210 studies that include severity assessment on potholes, the main goal of the study
211 is not directed towards road maintenance automation but other factors such as
212 improvement of ride quality for the vehicle. Another issue found in existing solu-
213 tions is the lack of incorporation to the context of Philippine roads. With these
214 issues in mind, the study aims to utilize stereo vision from StereoPi V2 in order to
215 obtain multi-perspective views of detected potholes to be used in severity assessment
216 by focusing on estimating the depth of individual potholes for automated

²¹⁷ road condition monitoring.

²¹⁸ 1.2 Problem Statement

²¹⁹ Roads support almost every aspect of daily life, from providing a way to transport
²²⁰ goods and services to allowing people to stay connected with their communities.
²²¹ However, road defects such as cracks and potholes damage roads over time, and
²²² they can increase accident risks and affect the overall transportation. The current
²²³ way of inspecting the roads for maintenance is often slow as it is done manually,
²²⁴ which makes it harder to detect and fix defects early. The delay in addressing these
²²⁵ problems can lead to even worse road conditions (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16
²²⁶ September 2024). There are several research studies into automated road defect
²²⁷ classification that have advanced in recent years but most of them focus on iden-
²²⁸ tifying the types of defects rather than assessing their severity or characteristics
²²⁹ like depth. Without reliable data on the depth of the defect, road maintenance
²³⁰ authorities may underestimate the severity of certain defects. To address these
²³¹ challenges, advancements are needed across various areas. An effective solution
²³² should not only detect and classify road defects but also measure their severity
²³³ to better prioritize repairs. Failing to address this problem will require more ex-
²³⁴ tensive repairs for damaged roads, which raises the cost and strains the budget.
²³⁵ Additionally, road maintenance would still be slow and cause disruptions in daily
²³⁶ activities. Using an automated system that accurately assess the severity of road
²³⁷ defects by incorporating depth is necessary to efficiently monitor road quality.

²³⁸ 1.3 Research Objectives

²³⁹ 1.3.1 General Objective

²⁴⁰ This special problem aims to develop a system that can estimate the depth of
²⁴¹ potholes on road surfaces and classify them into different severity levels such as
²⁴² low, medium, and high by using stereo vision technology, supporting faster and
²⁴³ more precise road maintenance decisions.

²⁴⁴ 1.3.2 Specific Objectives

²⁴⁵ Specifically, this special problem aims to:

²⁴⁶ 1. collect high-quality stereo images of road surfaces that capture potholes
²⁴⁷ including their depth in favorable conditions,

²⁴⁸ 2. measure the accuracy of the system by comparing the depth measurements
²⁴⁹ against ground truth data collected from actual road inspections and to
²⁵⁰ utilize linear regression, root mean square error, and mean absolute error as
²⁵¹ metrics for evaluation, and

²⁵² 3. develop a prototype system that can detect and measure road potholes from
²⁵³ image input, analyze their depth, and assess their severity.

1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

- 254 This system focuses solely on detecting and assessing the severity of potholes
255 through image analysis and depth measurement technologies. The scope includes
256 the collection of pothole images using cameras and depth-sensing tools under a
257 favorable weather condition.
- 258
- 259 Depth-sensing tools, such as stereo cameras, will be used to record the depth of
260 potholes specifically. The system will not address other road defects like cracks
261 or other surface deformations; therefore, it will detect and analyze only potholes.
262 Additionally, only accessible potholes will be measured, meaning those that are
263 filled with water or obscured by debris may not be accurately assessed.
- 264
- 265 The system developed focuses exclusively on detecting potholes and assessing
266 their severity through depth measurement. The accuracy of the system's depth
267 measurements is evaluated by comparing them against data collected from actual
268 field inspections. However, this comparison is limited to selected sample sites,
269 as collecting field data over a large area can be time-consuming and resource-
intensive.
- 270
- 271 Environmental factors such as lighting, road surface texture, and weather con-
272 ditions may impact the system's performance. The accuracy and reliability of
273 the system will depend on the quality of camera calibration and disparity map
274 finetuning. Its ability to measure the depth of pothole images needs careful vali-
dation.

²⁷⁵ 1.5 Significance of the Research

²⁷⁶ This special problem aims to be significant to the following:

²⁷⁷ *Computer Science Community.* This system can contribute to advancements in
²⁷⁸ computer vision and machine learning by using both visual and depth data to
²⁷⁹ assess the severity of road defects. It introduces a more comprehensive approach
²⁸⁰ compared to the usual image-only or manual inspection methods. This combina-
²⁸¹ tion can be applied to other fields that need both visual and depth analysis like
²⁸² medical imaging.

²⁸³ *Concerned Government Agencies.* This system offers a valuable tool for road
²⁸⁴ safety and maintenance. Not only can this detect and classify anomalies, it can
²⁸⁵ also assess the defect's severity which allows them to prioritize repairs, optimal
²⁸⁶ project expenditures, and better overall road safety and quality.

²⁸⁷ *Field Engineers.* In the scorching heat, field engineers are no longer required to
²⁸⁸ be on foot unless it requires their engineering judgement when surveying a road
²⁸⁹ segment. It can hasten the overall assessment process.

²⁹⁰ *Future Researchers.* The special problem may serve as a baseline and guide of
²⁹¹ researchers with the aim to pursue special problems similar or related to this.

²⁹² Chapter 2

²⁹³ Review of Related Literature

²⁹⁴ 2.1 Frameworks

²⁹⁵ This section of the chapter presents related frameworks that is considered essential
²⁹⁶ for the development of this special problem.

²⁹⁷ 2.1.1 Depth Estimation

²⁹⁸ Depth estimation as defined by Sanz, Mezcua, and Pena (2012) is a set of processes
²⁹⁹ that aims to extract a representation of a certain scene's spatial composition.
³⁰⁰ Stereo vision is stated to be among the depth estimation strategies.

³⁰¹ 2.1.2 Image and Video Processing

³⁰² Kumar (2024) defines image processing as a process of turning an image into its
³⁰³ digital form and extracting data from it through certain functions and operations.

304 Usual processes are considered to treat images as 2D signals wherein different
305 processing methods utilize these signals. Like image processing, RICHES Project
306 (2014) defines video processing as being able to extract information and data from
307 video footage through signal processing methods. However, in video processing
308 due to the diversity of video formats, compression and decompression methods
309 are often expected to be performed on videos before processing methods to either
310 increase or decrease bitrate.

311 **2.1.3 Stereo Vision**

312 MathWorks (n.d.) defines stereo vision as a process of utilizing multiple 2D per-
313 spectives in order to extract information in 3D. In addition, most uses of stereo
314 vision involve estimating an objects distance from an observer or camera. The 3D
315 information is stated to be extracted with stereo pairs or pair of images through es-
316 timation of relative depth of points in a scene which are then represented through
317 a stereo map that is made through the matching of the pair's corresponding points.

318 **2.2 Related Studies**

319 This section of the chapter presents related studies conducted by other researchers
320 wherein the methodology and technologies used may serve as basis in the devel-
321 opment of this special problem.

322 2.2.1 Deep Learning Studies**323 Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies
324 in VANET Using Deep Learning**

325

326 In the study of Bibi et al. (2021) it was noted that identification of active road
327 defects are critical in maintaining smooth and safe flow of traffic. Detection and
328 subsequent repair of such defects in roads are crucial in keeping vehicles using
329 such roads away from mechanical failures. The study also emphasized the growth
330 in use of autonomous vehicles in research data gathering which is what the re-
331 searchers utilized in data gathering procedures. With the presence of autonomous
332 vehicles, this allowed the researchers to use a combination of sensors and deep
333 neural networks in deploying artificial intelligence. The study aimed to allow au-
334 tonomous vehicles to avoid critical road defects that can possibly lead to dangerous
335 situations. Researchers used Resnet-18 and VGG-11 in automatic detection and
336 classification of road defects. Researchers concluded that the trained model was
337 able to perform better than other techniques for road defect detection. The study
338 is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training arti-
339 ficial intelligence for road defect detection and classification. However, the study
340 lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects and incorporation of pot-
341 hole depth in their model which are both crucial in automating manual procedures
342 of road surveying in the Philippines.

343 Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches

344

345 The study of Luo, Lu, and Guo (2020) aimed to utilize deep learning models in

346 classifying road anomalies. The researchers used three deep learning approaches
347 namely Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent
348 Neural Network from data collected through the sensors in the vehicle's suspension
349 system. In comparing the performance of the three deep learning approaches, the
350 researchers fixed some hyperparameters. Results revealed that the RNN model
351 was the most stable among the three and in the case of the CNN and DFN mod-
352 els, the researchers suggested the use of wheel speed signals to ensure accuracy.
353 And lastly, the researchers concluded that the RNN model was best due to high
354 prediction performance with small set parameters. However, proper severity as-
355 sessment through depth information was not stated to be utilized in any of the
356 three approaches used in the study.

357 **Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning- 358 Based Segmentation and Detection**

359
360 In the study of Ha et al. (2022), it was argued that the detection, classification,
361 and severity assessment of road cracks should be automated due to the bottleneck
362 it causes during the entire process of surveying. For the study, the researchers
363 utilized SqueezeNet, U-Net, and MobileNet-SSD models for crack classification and
364 severity assessment. Furthermore, the researchers also employed separate U-nets
365 for linear and area cracking cases. For crack detection, the researchers followed
366 the process of pre-processing, detection, classification. During preprocessing im-
367 ages were smoothed out using image processing techniques. The researchers also
368 utilized YOLOv5 object detection models for classification of pavement cracking
369 wherein the YOLOv51 model recorded the highest accuracy. The researchers how-
370 ever stated images used for the study are only 2D images which may have allowed

³⁷¹ higher accuracy rates. Furthermore, the researchers suggest incorporating depth
³⁷² information in the models to further enhance results.

³⁷³ **Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing smart-
374 phones and artificial intelligence**

³⁷⁵

³⁷⁶ The study of Kyriakou, Christodoulou, and Dimitriou (2016) presented what is
³⁷⁷ considered as a low-cost technology which was the use of Artificial Neural Net-
³⁷⁸ works in training a model for road anomaly detection from data gathered by
³⁷⁹ smartphone sensors. The researchers were able to collect case study data us-
³⁸⁰ ing two-dimensional indicators of the smartphone's roll and pitch values. In the
³⁸¹ study's discussion, the data collected displayed some complexity due to accelera-
³⁸² tion and vehicle speed which lead to detected anomalies being not as conclusive as
³⁸³ planned. The researchers also added that the plots are unable to show parameters
³⁸⁴ that could verify the data's correctness and accuracy. Despite the setbacks, the
³⁸⁵ researchers still fed the data into the Artificial Neural Network that was expected
³⁸⁶ to produce two outputs which were "no defect" and "defect." The method still
³⁸⁷ yielded above 90% accuracy but due to the limited number of possible outcomes
³⁸⁸ in the data processing the researchers still needed to test the methodology with
³⁸⁹ larger data sets and roads with higher volumes of anomalies.

³⁹⁰ **2.2.2 Machine Learning Studies**

³⁹¹ **Smartphones as Sensors for Road Surface Monitoring**

³⁹²

³⁹³ In their study, Sattar, Li, and Chapman (2018) noted the rise of sensing capabil-
³⁹⁴ ities of smartphones which they utilized in monitoring road surface to detect and

395 identify anomalies. The researchers considered different approaches in detecting
396 road surface anomalies using smartphone sensors. One of which are threshold-
397 based approaches which was determined to be quite difficult due to several factors
398 that are affecting the process of determining the interval length of a window
399 function in spectral analysis. The researchers also utilized a machine learning
400 approach adapted from another study. It was stated that k-means was used in
401 classifying sensor data and in training the SVM algorithm. Due to the require-
402 ment of training a supervised algorithm using a labeled sample data was required
403 before classifying data from sensors, the approach was considered to be imprac-
404 tical for real-time situations. In addition, Sattar et al. (2018) also noted various
405 challenges when utilizing smartphones as sensors for data gathering such as sen-
406 sors being dependent on the device's placement and orientation, smoothness of
407 captured data, and the speed of the vehicle it is being mounted on. Lastly, it was
408 also concluded that the accuracy and performance of using smartphone sensors is
409 challenging to compare due to the limited data sets and reported algorithms.

410 **Road Surface Quality Monitoring Using Machine Learning 411 Algorithms**

412
413 The study of Singh, Bansal, Kamal, and Kumar (2021) aimed to utilize machine
414 learning algorithms in classifying road defects as well as predict their locations.
415 Another implication of the study was to provide useful information to commuters
416 and maintenance data for authorities regarding road conditions. The researchers
417 gathered data using various methods such as smartphone GPS, gyroscopes, and
418 accelerometers. (Singh et al., 2021) also argued that early existing road moni-
419 toring models are unable to predict locations of road defects and are dependent

420 on fixed roads and static vehicle speed. Neural and deep neural networks were
421 utilized in the classification of anomalies which was concluded by the researchers
422 to yield accurate results and are applicable on a larger scale of data. The study
423 of Singh et al. (2021) can be considered as an effective method in gathering data
424 about road conditions. However, it was stated in the study that relevant authori-
425 ties will be provided with maintenance operation and there is no presence of any
426 severity assessment in the study. This may cause confusion due to a lack of as-
427 sessment on what is the road condition that will require extensive maintenance or
428 repair.

429 **2.2.3 Computer Vision Studies**

430 **Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved**
431 **Ride Quality**

432
433 In the study of Ramaiah and Kundu (2021) it was stated that stereo vision has
434 been earning attention due to its reliable obstacle detection and recognition. Fur-
435 thermore, the study also discussed that such technology would be useful in improv-
436 ing ride quality in automated vehicles by integrating it in a predictive suspension
437 control system. The proposed study was to develop a novel stereo vision based
438 pothole detection system which also calculates the depth accurately. However,
439 the study focused on improving ride quality by using the 3D information from
440 detected potholes in controlling the damping coefficient of the suspension system.
441 Overall, the pothole detection system was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is
442 able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm. The researchers concluded
443 that such system can be utilized in commercial applications. However, it is also

- ⁴⁴⁴ worth noting that despite the system being able to detect potholes and measure
⁴⁴⁵ its depth, the overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

⁴⁴⁶ 2.3 Chapter Summary

⁴⁴⁷ The reviewed literature involved various techniques and approaches in road anomaly
⁴⁴⁸ detection and classification. These approaches are discussed and summarized be-
⁴⁴⁹ low along with their limitations and research gaps.

| Study | Technology/ Techniques Used | Key Findings | Limitations |
|--|--|--|--|
| Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies in VANET Using Deep Learning | Resnet-18 and VGG-11 | Trained model is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training artificial intelligence for road defect detection and classification. | Lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects. |
| Smartphones as sensors for Road surface monitoring | Machine Learning, Smartphones | Approach was considered impractical for real-life applications. | Sensors are dependent on device's placement and orientation, smoothness of data, and speed of vehicle it is mounted on. Accuracy of results is difficult to compare. |
| Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning approaches | Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent Neural Network | Identified that RNN was the best deep learning approach due to high prediction performance. | Data collection is considered too difficult and complicated to execute due to sensors being mounted on an integral part of the vehicle. |
| Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-Based Segmentation and Detection | SqueezeNet, U-Net, YOLOv5, and MobileNet-SSD models | YOLOv5 model recorded the highest accuracy. | Only 2D images are used for the study which may have allowed higher accuracy rates, and the study also lacked depth information. |
| Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved Ride Quality | Pair of stereo images captured by a stereo camera | System was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm. | Overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed. |

Table 2.1: Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision

⁴⁵⁰ Chapter 3

⁴⁵¹ Research Methodology

⁴⁵² This chapter outlines the systematic approach that were taken to address the
⁴⁵³ problem of pothole depth estimation using StereoPi V2. The methodology is
⁴⁵⁴ divided into key phases: data collection, algorithm selection, design, testing and
⁴⁵⁵ experimentation, and challenges and limitations. Each phase will play a crucial
⁴⁵⁶ role in accurately classifying and assessing road defects. Each phase is essential
⁴⁵⁷ for accurately estimating the depth of potholes using StereoPi V2.

⁴⁵⁸ 3.1 Research Activities

⁴⁵⁹ 3.1.1 Data Collection

⁴⁶⁰ The researchers conducted initial inquiries to understand the problem domain and
⁴⁶¹ existing road maintenance practices. This phase included consulting the engineers
⁴⁶² under the Road Maintenance Department of the government agency Department

463 of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). An interview with Engr. Jane Chua pro-
464 vided a comprehensive overview of the DPWH's road maintenance manual, which
465 was crucial in aligning this project with existing standards. This collaboration
466 with DPWH provided insights into road pothole classification standards, ensuring
467 that the collected data will align with industry standards. The DPWH manual
468 primarily focuses on the volume of detected potholes within a road segment as a
469 measure of severity. However, since depth is not explicitly measured in their cur-
470 rent procedures, the study will supplement this by referencing international stan-
471 dards such as the Long-Term Pavement Performance (LTPP) classification used
472 in the United States (Miller & Bellinger, 2014). The LTPP categorizes potholes
473 based on depth thresholds, which will be integrated with DPWH's volume-based
474 assessment to provide a more comprehensive severity classification framework.
475 The data collection involved capturing around 130 images of potholes from vari-
476 ous locations within the UP Visayas Campus. Ground truth data of pothole depth
477 were collected by the researchers by measuring the depth of different points in an
478 individual pothole and then solving for its average depth. The researchers devel-
479 oped a manual specifically designed for depth measurement, which underwent a
480 review by Engr. Benjamin Javellana, Assistant Director of the Maintenance Divi-
481 sion at the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH) Regional Office
482 VI. The finalized version of the manual was subsequently validated by the DPWH
483 First District Engineering Office. In order to individually locate or determine each
484 pothole where the ground truth data is collected, images taken were labeled with
485 their corresponding coordinates, street names, and nearby landmarks.

486 3.1.1.1 Data Collection (Ground Truth Data)

487 Data collection took place between January and March 2025, during which the re-
488 searchers collected depth information from 130 potholes around the University of
489 the Philippines Visayas Miagao Campus. During data collection, the researchers
490 are equipped with safety vests and an early warning device to give caution to in-
491 coming vehicles. Following the validated manual for pothole depth measurement,
492 a ruler and a measuring tape were used in both vertical and horizontal positions
493 as shown in Figure 3.1. This setup helped determine the distance from the road
494 surface to the bottom of the pothole. The researchers then recorded four mea-
495 surement points within each pothole, as illustrated in Figure 3.2. The average of
496 these values was taken as the pothole's depth.



497 Figure 3.1: Manual depth measurement of pothole using a ruler and measuring
498 tape.

498




Figure 3.2: Four measurement points of the pothole.

499

3.1.2 Design, Testing, and Experimentation

500 This section outlines both the design and testing of the system, as well as the
501 experimentation process to validate the selected methodologies.

502

3.1.2.1 Depth Measurement

503 Depth estimation is performed by generating disparity maps from the calibrated
504 stereo image pairs captured by the StereoPi V2. In this process, two key mea-
505 surement points are selected for each pothole: one targeting the pothole area
506 itself, and another targeting the adjacent road surface considered as the reference
507 plane. By calculating the difference in disparity values between these two points,

508 the system estimates the relative depth of the pothole. This approach improves
509 accuracy by normalizing disparity measurements against the nearby road surface,
510 effectively isolating the pothole's depth from overall scene variation.

511 The disparity-to-depth conversion utilizes an inverse model derived from calibra-
512 tion data, ensuring that the depth estimates reflect real-world distances accurately
513 within the effective operational range of the stereo camera setup.

514 **3.1.2.2 Severity Assessment**

515 The estimated pothole depths were classified using the Long-Term Pavement Per-
516 formance (LTPP) depth thresholds, an internationally recognized framework for
517 pavement distress evaluation. This classification provides standardized criteria
518 to assess pothole severity objectively based on measured depth values. Specifi-
519 cally, potholes with depths less than 2.5 cm are categorized as low severity, those
520 between 2.5 cm and 5 cm as medium severity, and potholes exceeding 5 cm are
521 classified as high severity (Miller & Bellinger, 2014).

522 **3.1.2.3 Materials and Equipment**

523 The prototype system was constructed using several hardware components, which
524 include the items listed below and shown in Figure 3.3:

- 525 • StereoPi V2 Board
- 526 • Raspberry Pi Compute Module 4 (CM4)
- 527 • Dual RaspberryPi Camera Modules with Fisheye Lens

- 528 • 3D Printed Custom Housing

- 529 • 2-inch LCD Module

- 530 • Micro SD Card

- 531 • Antenna

- 532 • Momentary Push Button




Figure 3.3: Components used in the prototype development. From the top left: Raspberry Pi Computer Module 4, 3D Printed Custom Housing, cooling fan, StereoPi V2 Board, two camera modules, antenna, momentary push button, and 2-inch LCD module.

534 3.1.2.4 Prototype Building

535 The prototype involved the StereoPi V2 Kit which was acquired through an official
536 international distributor. After assembling the camera, it was further modified to
537 address its heating by incorporating a heat sink and a small computer fan
538 to make it suitable for outdoor use. As shown in Figure 3.4, the dual Raspberry
539 Pi camera modules were securely mounted onto the custom housing. To facilitate
540 user interaction and real-time monitoring, an LCD module was connected to
541 the StereoPi board, as illustrated in Figure 3.5. The final assembled and fully
542 functional prototype is presented in Figure 3.6.

543




Figure 3.4: Dual RPi Camera Modules attached to the custom housing.




Figure 3.5: LCD Module connected to the StereoPi board.

544



Figure 3.6: The finished prototype.

545 **3.1.2.5 Camera Calibration (Fisheye Distortion)**

546 The StereoPi V2 was first calibrated using a 9×6 checkerboard, with a checker
547 size of 55mm, from different angles using calibration scripts that came with the
548 package. The calibration process, shown in Figure 3.7, involved capturing multiple
549 images of the checkerboard pattern to correct fisheye lens distortion. This process
550 ensured that the camera is working properly in capturing stereo imagery. This
551 removed distortion from captured imaged allowing depth estimation with more
552 accuracy.

553



Figure 3.7: Calibration process with a checkerboard to correct fisheye lens distortion.

554 **3.1.2.6 Camera Calibration (Disparity Map Fine-tuning)**

555 The stereo image pairs captured by the system were first rectified to ensure proper
556 alignment of corresponding features. Block matching parameters were then fine-
557 tuned to produce clearer and more accurate disparity maps. This tuning process
558 is illustrated in Figure 3.8. It was observed that the effective operational range of
559 the stereo camera system extends from approximately 30 to 80 cm. At distances
560 closer than 30 cm, the disparity maps exhibited significant noise, while at distances

561 beyond 80 cm, disparity information became sparse or blank.

562




Figure 3.8: Parameter tuning process to achieve cleaner and more accurate disparity maps.

563 3.1.2.7 Initial Testing

564 Initial testing was conducted to verify the functionality and basic accuracy of the
565 stereoscopic camera system in a controlled environment. Artificial potholes with
566 known depths were created to simulate varying real-world scenarios. The system
567 captured disparity maps, and estimated depths were computed using the standard
568 stereo camera depth formula. The LCD module displayed the disparity map and
569 estimated depth readings in real-time during these tests, as shown in Figure 3.9.

570




Figure 3.9: The system tested on a simulated pothole.

571 However, the results revealed a non-linear relationship between the computed
 572 disparity values and the actual distances. This discrepancy indicated that the
 573 traditional depth estimation method was insufficient for the current setup. To
 574 address this, the researchers collected multiple data points and correlating known
 575 distances to their respective disparity readings and fitted an inverse model to
 576 better represent the system's behavior (see Figure 3.10). This updated disparity-
 577 to-depth model was subsequently used in the final testing phase.




Figure 3.10: Inverse Model Fit to Disparity vs. Distance.

578 3.1.2.8 Performance Metrics

579 The accuracy of the pothole depth estimated by StereoPi V2 was analyzed using
 580 Linear Regression in order to model the difference between the disparity and
 581 distance. The lower the disparity indicates that the pothole is deeper.

582 3.1.2.9 Final Testing and Validation

583 The testing process began with a detailed testing plan that includes both simu-
 584 lated and real-world testing scenarios. Initially, the system is tested in controlled
 585 environments to verify its capability to estimate pothole depth effectively. Fol-

586 lowing this, real-world testing was conducted using the StereoPi kit on previously
587 located potholes, specifically at the University of the Philippines Visayas Miagao
588 Campus. As illustrated in Figures 3.11 to 3.14, the procedure for estimating pot-
589 hole depth closely followed the validated depth measurement manual, where the
590 system captured depth measurements at four designated points within each pot-
591 hole, corresponding to the measurement points used in the manual measurement
592 data. These four estimated depths were then averaged to determine the final depth
593 estimate for each pothole. The system's performance was validated by comparing
594 its predictions with ground-truth data collected from manual inspections.




Figure 3.11: First measure point



Figure 3.12: Second measure point



Figure 3.13: Third measure point



Figure 3.14: Fourth measure point

595 **3.1.2.10 Documentation**

596 Throughout the research activities, thorough documentation was maintained.
597 This documentation captured all methods, results, challenges, and adjustments
598 made during the experimentation phases. It ensured the reproducibility of the
599 work and provided transparency for future research endeavors.

600 **3.1.3 Challenges and Limitations**

601 **3.1.3.1 Camera Limitations**

602 During the data collection process, the researchers were faced with various issues
603 involving the StereoPi V2 kit. Issues like inaccuracies in the rectified image pair
604 and generated disparity map were very apparent in the early stages of data collec-
605 tion due to limited related studies and literature involving the camera. In addition,
606 the camera also yielded some inaccurate depth estimation and over reliance on
607 controlled environments which prompted the researchers to further improve its
608 tuning and calibration. It was also observed that the effective working range of
609 the camera for accurate depth estimation was limited to a distance of approxi-
610 mately 30cm to 80cm from the subject. Measurements taken outside of this range
611 tended to result in noisy disparity maps or failed to distinguish objects properly
612 in the disparity output, leading to unreliable depth values.

⁶¹³ Chapter 4

⁶¹⁴ Results and Discussion

⁶¹⁵ This chapter presents the results on estimating the depth of potholes using the
⁶¹⁶ StereoPi system. It details the prototype construction, calibration of the system,
⁶¹⁷ and the application of regression analysis to improve depth estimation. It also
⁶¹⁸ contains the measurements taken during the testing phases, comparing the ground
⁶¹⁹ truth depths with the value estimated by the camera. Findings are presented
⁶²⁰ systematically, supported by tables showing the collected data, images of the
⁶²¹ outputs, and discussion on the analysis of results.

⁶²² 4.1 System Calibration and Model Refinement

⁶²³ After the initial testing, the system was calibrated using a controlled setup, where
⁶²⁴ artificial potholes with known depths were created. The stereo camera system
⁶²⁵ captured disparity maps, from which depth was calculated using the standard
⁶²⁶ stereo vision formula:

$$\text{Depth} = \frac{f \times B}{d}$$

627 where:

628 • f is the focal length in pixels,

629 • B is the baseline distance between the two cameras,

630 • d is the disparity.

631 However, preliminary observations revealed that the relationship between mea-
632 sured disparity and depth was shifted from the ideal. Their relationship is in-
633 herently nonlinear, specifically an inverse relationship (of the form $y=1/x$). As
634 disparity decreases, depth increases rapidly and nonlinearly. However, due to
635 real-world factors such as lens distortion, imperfect calibration, stereo matching
636 errors, and pixel quantization, the actual relationship between measured disparity
637 and true depth often deviates from the theoretical ideal (Scharstein & Szeliski,
638 2002).

639 To address the shifting behavior, a curve fitting approach was introduced. Specif-
640 ically, an inverse model was fitted to the collected data points, relating disparity
641 and ground-truth distance measurements.

642 An inverse function of the form:

$$y = a + \frac{b}{x}$$

643 where:

644 • y is the estimated distance (in cm),

645 • x is the measured disparity,

646 • a and b are coefficients obtained through regression analysis.

647 4.2 Testing Results

648 Following calibration, actual potholes located around the University of the Philip-
649 pines Visayas (UPV) campus were tested. The ground truth depths of the potholes
650 were measured manually and compared with the depths estimated by the StereoPi
651 camera. The input data used for this estimation process, including the disparity
652 map and corresponding stereo image pairs, are shown in Figures 4.1 to 4.3. Based
653 on the results, the StereoPi camera was able to estimate the depths fairly close to
654 the actual measurements.

655 The smallest error occurred in one pothole, where the estimated depth was only
656 0.02 cm off from the ground truth. The largest observed error was 3.45 cm. Most
657 of the time, the camera's estimated depths were within approximately 1 to 3
658 centimeters of the actual depths.

659 A complete comparison of ground truth and estimated depth values can be found
660 in Appendix C.

661 The results show that the StereoPi system provides highly accurate estimates
662 of pothole depth. As shown in Table 4.1, the strong correlation ($R=0.978$) and




Figure 4.1: Disparity Map




Figure 4.2: Left Stereo Image




Figure 4.3: Right Stereo Image

663 high coefficient of determination ($R^2=0.956$) indicate that the actual depth signif-
 664 icantly predicts the estimated values. Additionally, Table 4.2 presents the model
 665 coefficients, showing that the regression coefficient for actual depth was statisti-
 666 cally significant ($p < 0.001$), suggesting that the relationship is not due to chance.
 667 While the RMSE of 0.844 cm and MAE of 0.945 cm suggest generally small errors,
 668 the presence of a maximum error of 3.45 cm indicates that there may be occa-
 669 sional outliers or limitations in specific scenarios. Nonetheless, the overall model
 670 performance demonstrates that the StereoPi system is suitable for practical pot-
 671 hole depth estimation, showing reasonable accuracy given the hardware setup and
 672 environmental conditions.

| R | R² | Root Mean Square Error (cm) | Mean Absolute Error (cm) |
|----------|----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0.978 | 0.956 | 0.844 | 0.945 |

Table 4.1: Linear Regression Model Fit Summary

| Predictor | Estimate | SE | t | p |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Intercept | 0.159 | 0.2544 | 0.625 | 0.536 |
| Actual Depth | 0.848 | 0.0317 | 26.752 | <0.001 |

Table 4.2: Model Coefficients - Estimated Depth

673 In figure 4.4, a linear relationship between actual and estimated depth is observed
674 with points closely clustered around the regression line. Indicating the accurate
675 depth estimation. The close alignment of most data points with the fitted line
676 and narrow confidence interval suggest high predictive accuracy and minimal de-
677 viation, especially at lower depth values.




Figure 4.4: Linear Regression Fit Between Actual and Estimated Depth

678 4.3 Discussion

679 The study found that stereo vision works effectively in helping estimate the depth
680 of road potholes. The system built using the StereoPi V2 camera was able to
681 measure pothole depths with results mostly within ± 3 cm of the actual ground
682 truth values, with an overall root mean square error (RMSE) of 0.844 cm and
683 mean absolute error (MAE) of 0.945 cm. This matches the general observation
684 in earlier studies such as those by Ramaiah and Kundu (2021), which showed
685 that stereo vision can provide useful 3D information for road obstacle detection.

686 However, this study advances previous work by focusing not just on detection,
687 but on depth-based severity classification, which was largely missing in earlier
688 research.

689 A strong positive correlation ($R = 0.978$) and coefficient of determination (R^2
690 = 0.956) indicate that the actual pothole depths strongly predict the estimated
691 values. The regression model's significant predictor ($p < 0.001$) further supports
692 the robustness of the depth estimation approach. This level of accuracy and model
693 performance highlights the suitability of the StereoPi system for practical field
694 applications in pothole monitoring and maintenance prioritization. This finding
695 is significant because earlier machine learning-based road detection studies such as
696 those by Bibi et al. (2021) focused mostly on classifying the existence of defects,
697 not measuring their severity.

698 The outputs of the system were generally positive, showing that with proper cal-
699 ibration and tuning, consistent and reliable depth estimates can be produced.
700 Calibration using checkerboards and tuning block matching parameters were cru-
701 cial steps in achieving these results. Similar to the findings of Sanz et al. (2012),
702 proper stereo camera calibration was found to be critical to achieving accept-
703 able disparity maps. This reinforces the importance of calibration techniques,
704 especially in real-world outdoor conditions where environmental factors introduce
705 noise.

706 However, the study also highlighted limitations affecting system performance, in-
707 cluding sensitivity to camera calibration quality, lighting conditions, road surface
708 texture, and the camera's vertical positioning during image capture. Outdoor
709 testing revealed that low lighting and shallow potholes made it difficult to gen-

710 erate clean disparity maps, sometimes causing minor estimation errors. These
711 observations are consistent with Sattar et al. (2018), who reported that mobile
712 road sensing systems often struggle in low-light or highly variable surface condi-
713 tions. Understanding these challenges is important because it points to practical
714 improvements, such as using better cameras, adding lighting support, or applying
715 more robust image enhancement methods in future versions of the system.

⁷¹⁶ Chapter 5

⁷¹⁷ Conclusion

⁷¹⁸ This chapter provides conclusions based on the research findings from data col-
⁷¹⁹ lected on the development of a pothole depth estimation system using stereo
⁷²⁰ vision technology. It then presents recommendations for practice and suggestions
⁷²¹ for further research.

⁷²² 5.1 Summary

⁷²³ This special project addressed the critical issue of road maintenance by developing
⁷²⁴ a system capable of estimating the depth of potholes to help prioritize repairs.
⁷²⁵ The purpose of the project was to create an automated method that not only
⁷²⁶ detects potholes but also assesses their severity based on depth, responding to
⁷²⁷ the current manual and slow road inspection practices. The researchers aimed to
⁷²⁸ collect high-quality images of potholes under varying conditions, to validate the
⁷²⁹ system's depth estimation accuracy using ground truth measurements and linear

730 regression analysis, and to build a working prototype using stereo vision that can
731 detect, measure, and assess potholes.

732 To achieve these objectives, a hardware prototype was built using the StereoPi
733 V2 board and Raspberry Pi Compute Module 4, equipped with dual fisheye-lens
734 cameras. Camera calibration was performed using a 9x6 checkerboard pattern
735 with known square sizes to correct for fisheye lens distortion and ensure proper
736 alignment of the stereo pair. After calibration, disparity map generation was
737 fine-tuned by adjusting block matching parameters to produce clearer and more
738 reliable disparity maps. Initial testing was conducted using simulated potholes
739 with known depths to verify the functionality of the system and identify the non-
740 linear behavior present in stereo vision depth measurements. It was observed that
741 using the standard stereo depth formula led to inaccuracies, particularly at greater
742 distances.

743 The calibrated system and fitted regression model were validated by comparing
744 the estimated depths with the manually measured depths. The findings showed
745 that the system was able to estimate pothole depths within approximately ± 3
746 cm of actual measurements, achieving a Mean Absolute Error (MAE) of 0.945 cm
747 and a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of 0.844 cm. A strong positive linear
748 relationship was observed between the estimated and actual depths ($R = 0.978$,
749 $R^2 = 0.956$).

750 5.2 Conclusions

751 The researchers conclude the following based on the findings:

- 752 ● The system effectively captures and analyzes depth information from stereo
753 images, providing a viable method for automated pothole severity assess-
754 ment.

 - 755 ● Incorporating depth measurements significantly improves pothole repair pri-
756 oritization compared to traditional visual-only inspections, allowing main-
757 tenance decisions to be based on objective, measurable data.

 - 758 ● The system achieved an acceptable regression model fit, with a strong posi-
759 tive correlation ($R = 0.978$) and a coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.956$),
760 confirming that the depth estimates closely align with the ground truth
761 measurements. The system obtained satisfactory error metrics, with a Mean
762 Absolute Error (MAE) of 0.945 cm and a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)
763 of 0.844 cm, indicating reliable performance for both pothole detection and
764 depth estimation tasks.

 - 765 ● The proposed approach fills a critical gap in current road maintenance prac-
766 tices, especially within the Philippine context where depth-based severity
767 classification is not yet systematically implemented.
-
- 768 This special project has successfully developed a system that addresses the prob-
769 lem of pothole severity assessment using depth measurement. The research shows
770 that stereo vision, even using accessible and affordable technology, holds strong
771 potential for future development in road maintenance automation. By building
772 upon the foundation laid by this project, future systems can become even more
773 accurate, efficient, and practical for real-world deployment

774 5.3 Recommendations for Practice

*775 Based on the findings of this special project, the following recommendations are
776 proposed for future researchers, engineers, and road maintenance agencies:*

777 Use stereo vision systems for road surveys. In contexts where LiDAR-based tech-
nologies may be cost-prohibitive, maintenance agencies should consider adopting
calibrated stereo vision systems for estimating pothole depth. This approach offers
a more cost-effective alternative while still enabling depth-based severity classifi-
cation, thereby allowing for more objective and data-driven prioritization of road
repairs compared to traditional visual inspections.

783 Incorporate depth-based severity classification in maintenance procedures. Au-
thorities should update road inspection protocols to include depth measurements,
making pothole severity assessment more objective and standardized.

786 5.4 Suggestions for Further Research

*787 Based on the limitations encountered and the results obtained, the researchers have
788 observed that there are lapses and possible improvements to further better this
789 system.*

790 Better camera. While the StereoPi V2 camera was effective for basic depth es-
timation, its performance is limited by its resolution, sensitivity to lighting, and
depth range. Future researchers could consider using higher-quality stereo cam-
eras or depth sensors with better image resolution and low-light capabilities to
achieve more accurate and consistent disparity maps.

795 *Improve camera calibration and tuning.* While the StereoPi system produced good
796 depth estimates, the results still varied depending on the precision of the camera
797 calibration. Future researchers can explore better calibration techniques and finer
798 parameter adjustments to minimize errors, especially in challenging environments.

799 *Use of multi-camera arrays.* Instead of relying solely on a two-camera stereo setup,
800 future research could explore the use of multi-point or multi-angle camera arrays.
801 These systems can offer improved depth perception and coverage, particularly for
802 complex or uneven road surfaces, by capturing more comprehensive 3D data.

803 *Integration of stereo vision with motion-based analysis.* Incorporating frame dif-
804 ferencing techniques, similar to motion detection algorithms, could be beneficial
805 for dynamic environments or mobile applications. This approach may simulate
806 the effect of a moving vehicle and allow the system to detect and estimate potholes
807 more robustly in real time, enhancing its applicability for onboard vehicle-mounted
808 systems.

⁸⁰⁹ **Chapter 6**

⁸¹⁰ **References**

- ⁸¹¹ Balita, C. (2024, June 14). *Road length Philippines 2023, by classification*. Retrieved from <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1276356/philippines-road-length-by-classification/>
- ⁸¹⁴ Bibi, R., Saeed, Y., Zeb, A., Ghazal, T. M., Rahman, T., Said, R. A., ... Khan, M. A. (2021). Edge AI-Based Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies in VANET Using Deep Learning. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2021(1). doi: 10.1155/2021/6262194
- ⁸¹⁸ Ha, J., Kim, D., & Kim, M. (2022). Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-Based Segmentation and Detection. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 78(16), 17721–17735. doi: 10.1007/s11227-022-04560-x
- ⁸²¹ Kumar, A. (2024, October). What is Image Processing: Overview, Applications, Benefits, and More. *AI and Machine Learning*. Retrieved from <https://www.simplilearn.com/image-processing-article> (Accessed: January 1, 2025)
- ⁸²⁵ Kyriakou, C., Christodoulou, S. E., & Dimitriou, L. (2016, April). Road-

- way Pavement Anomaly Classification Utilizing Smartphones and Artificial Intelligence. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference*. Retrieved from <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7495459>
- Luo, D., Lu, J., & Guo, G. (2020, June). Road Anomaly Detection Through Deep Learning Approaches. *IEEE Journals and Magazine*. (<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9123753/>)
- Miller, J. S., & Bellinger, W. Y. (2014). *Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program*. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. Retrieved from <https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/28612>
- Ramaiah, N. K. B., & Kundu, S. (2021). Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved Ride Quality. *SAE International Journal of Advances and Current Practices in Mobility*, 3(5), 2603–2610. doi: 10.4271/2021-01-0085
- Ramos, J. A., Dacanay, J. P., & Bronuela-Ambrocio, L. (2023). *A Review of the Current Practices in the Pavement Surface Monitoring in the Philippines* (Doctoral dissertation, University of the Philippines Diliman). Retrieved from https://ncts.upd.edu.ph/tssp/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/TSSP2022_09.pdf
- RICHES Project. (2014). *Video Processing*. Retrieved from <https://resources.riches-project.eu/glossary/video-processing/>
- Sanz, P., Mezcua, B., & Pena, J. (2012). Depth Estimation: An Introduction. *Current Advancements in Stereo Vision*. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/45904> doi: 10.5772/45904
- Sattar, S., Li, S., & Chapman, M. (2018). Road Surface Monitoring Using Smartphone Sensors: A Review. *Sensors*, 18(11), 3845–3845. doi:

852 10.3390/s18113845

853 Scharstein, D., & Szeliski, R. (2002). A Taxonomy and Evaluation of Dense Two-
854 Frame Stereo Correspondence Algorithms. *International Journal of Com-*
855 *puter Vision*, 47(1), 7–42. Retrieved from <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1014573219977> doi: 10.1023/A:1014573219977

856 857 Singh, P., Bansal, A., Kamal, A. E., & Kumar, S. (2021). Road Surface Quality
858 Monitoring Using Machine Learning Algorithm. In *Smart Innovation, Sys-*
859 *tems and Technologies* (pp. 423–432). doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-6482-3_42

860 **Appendix A**

861 **Code Snippets**

Listing A.1: Function for generating stereo depth map and classifying pothole severity based on depth difference between two points

```
862     def stereo_depth_map(rectified_pair ,  
863         save_path_prefix=None):  
864         global disp_max , disp_min  
865         dmLeft , dmRight = rectified_pair  
866  
867         disparity_raw = sbm.compute(dmLeft , dmRight) .  
868             astype(np.float32)  
869         disparity_raw /= 16.0    # normalize disparity  
870  
871         local_max , local_min = disparity_raw.max() ,  
872             disparity_raw.min()  
873  
874         if dm_colors_autotune:
```

```

875     disp_max = max(local_max , disp_max)
876     disp_min = min(local_min , disp_min)
877     local_max , local_min = disp_max , disp_min
878
879     # Normalize for visualization
880     disparity_vis = (disparity_raw - local_min) *
881         (255.0 / (local_max - local_min))
882     disparity_vis = np.uint8(np.clip(disparity_vis , 0 ,
883         255))
884     disparity_color = cv2.applyColorMap(disparity_vis ,
885         cv2.COLORMAP_JET)
886
887     # Calculate depth
888     depth_map = calculate_depth(disparity_raw)
889
890     # Define two points
891     center_y , center_x = depth_map.shape[0] // 2 ,
892         depth_map.shape[1] // 2 - 20
893     second_y = center_y
894     second_x = center_x + offset_x
895
896     # Read depth and disparity values
897     center_depth_cm = (depth_map[center_y , center_x])
898     second_depth_cm = (depth_map[second_y , second_x])
899     estimated_depth_cm = abs(center_depth_cm -

```

```

900         second_depth_cm)

901

902     # Define severity based on estimated depth

903     if estimated_depth_cm < 2.5:
904         severity = "Low"
905
906     elif estimated_depth_cm >= 2.5 and
907         estimated_depth_cm < 5.0:
908         severity = "Medium"
909
910     elif estimated_depth_cm > 5.0:
911         severity = "High"
912
913     else:
914         severity = "Unknown"

```

Listing A.2: Main loop for capturing stereo image pairs, remapping them for rectification, and estimating depth

```

912     for frame in camera.capture_continuous(capture ,
913             format="bgra", use_video_port=True, resize=
914                 img_width ,img_height)):
915
916         pair_img = cv2.cvtColor(frame, cv2.COLOR_BGR2GRAY)
917
918         imgLeft = pair_img [:, :img_width // 2]
919
920         imgRight = pair_img [:, img_width // 2:]
921
922         imgL = cv2.remap(imgLeft, leftMapX, leftMapY,
923                         interpolation=cv2.INTER_LINEAR, borderMode=cv2.
924                         BORDER_CONSTANT)

```

```

923     imgR = cv2.remap(imgRight, rightMapX, rightMapY,
924                        interpolation=cv2.INTER_LINEAR, borderMode=cv2.
925                        BORDER_CONSTANT)
926
927     if useStripe:
928         imgL = imgL[80:160,:]
929         imgR = imgR[80:160,:]
930
931         stereo_depth_map((imgL, imgR), save_path_prefix=
932                           None)
933
934         button_held_time = 0
935         HOLD_THRESHOLD = 1.0 # seconds
936
937         if GPIO.input(BUTTON_PIN) == GPIO.LOW:
938             press_start = time.time()
939             while GPIO.input(BUTTON_PIN) == GPIO.LOW:
940                 time.sleep(0.01)
941                 button_held_time = time.time() - press_start
942
943             if button_held_time < HOLD_THRESHOLD:
944                 timestamp = datetime.now().strftime("%Y%m%d_%H%M%S
945                                         ")
946                 prefix = f"./captures/capture_{timestamp}"
947                 print(f"\n[!] - Capturing - snapshot - at - {timestamp} ..."

```

```
948         ” )  
949         stereo_depth_map( (imgL, imgR) , save_path_prefix=  
950             prefix)  
951         time.sleep(0.5)  
952     else:  
953         cycle_offset()  
954         time.sleep(0.5)
```


⁹⁵⁵ **Appendix B**

⁹⁵⁶ **Resource Persons**

⁹⁵⁷ **Jumar Cadondon, Ph.D.**

⁹⁵⁸ Assistant Professor

⁹⁵⁹ Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics

⁹⁶⁰ University of the Philippines Visayas

⁹⁶¹ jgcadondon@up.edu.ph

⁹⁶²

⁹⁶³ **Engr. Jane Chua**

⁹⁶⁴ Engineer

⁹⁶⁵ Planning and Design

⁹⁶⁶ DPWH Region 6

⁹⁶⁷ chua.jane@dpwh.gov.ph

⁹⁶⁸

⁹⁶⁹

970 **Engr. Marilou Zamora**

971 Chief

972 Planning and Design

973 DPWH Region 6

974 zamora.marilou@dpwh.gov.ph

975

976 **Engr. Benjamin Javellana**

977 Assistant Director

978 Maintenance

979 DPWH Region 6

980 javellana.benjamin@dpwh.gov.ph

981

982 **Mr. Cris Beleber**

983 Engineering Assistant

984 Planning and Design

985 DPWH Region 6

986 beleber.cris@dpwh.gov.ph

987

⁹⁸⁸ **Appendix C**

⁹⁸⁹ **Data Table and Pothole Images**





Figure C.1: Actual Pothole Images



(a) Simulated Pothole Disparity Map



(b) Simulated Pothole Left Stereo Image



(c) Simulated Pothole Right Stereo Image



(d) Simulated Pothole StereoPi capture

Figure C.2: Simulated Pothole Images

| Sample | Actual Depth (cm) | Estimated Depth (cm) | Residual | Absolute Error (cm) |
|--------|-------------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------|
| 1 | 14.500 | 11.050 | -3.450 | 3.450 |
| 2 | 12.050 | 11.380 | -0.670 | 0.670 |
| 3 | 6.450 | 4.380 | -2.070 | 2.070 |
| 4 | 9.550 | 6.890 | -2.660 | 2.660 |
| 5 | 14.300 | 13.860 | -0.240 | 0.240 |
| 6 | 1.875 | 2.050 | 0.175 | 0.175 |
| 7 | 2.600 | 2.663 | 0.063 | 0.063 |
| 8 | 1.500 | 2.230 | 0.730 | 0.730 |
| 9 | 1.750 | 1.775 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| 10 | 1.625 | 1.567 | -0.058 | 0.058 |
| 11 | 1.800 | 1.745 | -0.055 | 0.055 |
| 12 | 1.675 | 1.653 | -0.022 | 0.022 |
| 13 | 2.225 | 2.078 | -0.147 | 0.147 |
| 14 | 1.875 | 1.903 | 0.028 | 0.028 |
| 15 | 3.050 | 2.230 | -0.820 | 0.820 |
| 16 | 2.625 | 2.185 | -0.440 | 0.440 |
| 17 | 3.050 | 2.708 | -0.342 | 0.342 |
| 18 | 2.225 | 2.185 | -0.040 | 0.040 |
| 19 | 3.025 | 2.822 | -0.203 | 0.203 |
| 20 | 1.800 | 1.718 | -0.083 | 0.083 |
| 21 | 2.300 | 2.047 | -0.252 | 0.252 |
| 22 | 2.500 | 1.950 | -0.550 | 0.550 |
| 23 | 10.800 | 8.785 | -2.015 | 2.015 |
| 24 | 14.500 | 11.050 | -3.450 | 3.450 |
| 25 | 9.200 | 7.122 | -2.077 | 2.077 |
| 26 | 9.800 | 8.825 | -0.975 | 0.975 |
| 27 | 14.300 | 13.860 | -0.440 | 0.440 |
| 28 | 7.100 | 7.883 | 0.783 | 0.783 |
| 29 | 9.800 | 8.182 | -1.618 | 1.618 |
| 30 | 8.100 | 8.200 | 0.100 | 0.100 |
| 31 | 11.500 | 9.533 | -1.967 | 1.967 |
| 32 | 12.100 | 11.380 | -0.720 | 0.720 |
| 33 | 6.500 | 4.380 | -2.120 | 2.120 |
| 34 | 9.300 | 6.890 | -2.410 | 2.410 |
| 35 | 12.200 | 10.918 | -1.282 | 1.282 |

Table C.1: Actual vs. Estimated Depths with Residuals and Absolute Errors

⁹⁹⁰ **Appendix D**

⁹⁹¹ **Supplementary Documents**




Figure D.1: Visualized pothole locations during the ground truth data collection within the UPV campus.

January 31, 2025

ENGR. ETHEL B. MORALES
 OIC – District Engineer
 Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH)
 1st District Engineering Office
 Rizal St., Guimbal, Iloilo



Dear Engr. Morales:

Greetings of Honor and Excellence!

We are 4th year Bachelor of Science in Computer Science students from the University of the Philippines Visayas, currently working on a special problem for our CMSC 198.2 course. Our project focuses on developing a system for the depth estimation of potholes.

In line with this, we recently had an opportunity to interview representatives from your esteemed agency at the regional office in Fort San Pedro, Iloilo City. It was under their advice to approach the 1st district office of DPWH since it is where our data gathering process will take place.

We would greatly appreciate your review and validation of these procedures so that this special problem will be accurate and compliant with engineering standards.

Your support will not only guide our research but also ensure our system could one day be a valuable tool in improving road maintenance practices. We understand the importance of these standards, and we assure you that any information provided will be strictly used for academic purposes.

We sincerely hope that you accept our humble request, as it will play a crucial role in our project.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. We look forward to your positive response.

Sincerely,


BENZ VRIANNE BELEBER
Team Leader


KRISTIAN LYLE SENCIL
Team Member


PERSEROCE CATALAN
Team Member

Figure D.2: Letter requesting validation of data collection procedures.

University Of The Philippines Visayas
College Of Arts And Sciences
Division Of Physical Sciences And Mathematics

RECEIVED

January 31, 2025

Dr. Farisal U. Bagsit
Vice Chancellor for Administration

UP VISAYAS
(through channels) OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR

NOA 25-0226
REF. NO. FEB 01 2025

Dear Vice Chancellor Bagsit,
Good day!

We, Benz Vrianne Beleber, Kristian Lyle Sencil, and Perserose Catalan, are currently conducting our Special Problem study as a requirement for our Bachelor of Science in Computer Science at the University of the Philippines Visayas.

Our study focuses on detecting potholes and assessing their severity through depth measurement estimation utilizing stereo vision and machine learning.. As part of our data collection process, we need to conduct manual measurement of potholes within the campus roads which will serve as ground truth data to validate our methodology. Our procedures have been validated by the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), ensuring that our approach aligns with their standards. This will involve recording the depth and dimensions of potholes using standard measuring tools while ensuring minimal disruption to pedestrian and vehicular movement.

We kindly request permission to conduct this data collection within the University of the Philippines Visayas campus roads.

We assure you that we will adhere to all safety protocols, coordinate with relevant offices, and follow any guidelines set by the administration regarding our activities. We are also open to any recommendations or conditions you may impose to the safe conduct of our data gathering.

We sincerely hope for your kind consideration of our request.
APPROVED / DISAPPROVED
Thank you very much.

Sincerely yours,

CLEMENT O. CAMPASANO
CLEMENT O. CAMPASANO
CHANCELLOR

Benz Vrianne Beleber
Benz Vrianne Beleber
Team Member

Perserose Catalan
Perserose Catalan
Team Leader

Kent Christian A. Castor
Kent Christian A. Castor
Chairperson, DPM

RECOMMENDING APPROVAL/DISAPPROVAL:
FARISAL U. BAGSIT, Ph.D.
Vice Chancellor for Administration

Kristian Lyle Sencil
Kristian Lyle Sencil
Team Member

Francis Dimzon, Ph.D.
Francis Dimzon, Ph.D.
BSCS Special Problem Adviser

09614415782

RECOMMENDING APPROVAL/DISAPPROVAL:
PEPITO R. FERNANDEZ JR.,
Dean, College of Arts & Sciences
UP VISAYAS

RECOMMENDING APPROVAL:
31 JAN 2025
REF NO. PRF 2025-023

Figure D.3: Letter requesting permission for ground truth data collection within the UPV campus.

January 17, 2025

ENGR. SANNY BOY O. OROPEL, CES E
 Regional Director
 Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH)
 Regional Office VI
 Fort San Pedro, Iloilo City

Dear Engr. Oropel:

Greetings of Honor and Excellence!



We are Computer Science students from the University of the Philippines Visayas, currently working on a special problem for our CMSC 198.2 course. Our project focuses on developing a system for the depth estimation of potholes.

In line with this, we kindly request an opportunity to interview representatives from your esteemed agency to gain insights into the process of verifying our research data, including ground truth data. This will greatly assist us in ensuring that our system adheres to the standards and requirements upheld by your agency.

We would also greatly appreciate your advice on the specific procedures, documentation, and requirements necessary for submitting our data for review. Your expertise and assistance would be invaluable to the success of our project, and we are eager to learn from your knowledge and experience.

Your support will not only guide our research but also ensure our system could one day be a valuable tool in improving road maintenance practices. We understand the importance of these standards, and we assure you that any information provided will be strictly used for academic purposes.

We sincerely hope that you accept our humble request, as it will play a crucial role in our project. Thank you very much for your time and consideration. We look forward to your positive response.

Sincerely,


 BENZ VRIANNE BELEBER


 KRISTIAN LYLE SENCIL


 PERSE ROSE P. CATALAN

Figure D.4: Letter requesting an interview with DPWH representatives for the process of verifying ground truth data.




Figure D.5: Letter requesting an interview with DPWH representatives for the standard operating procedures of the agency for assessing road quality.



UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS

POTHOLE MEASUREMENT PROCEDURAL MANUAL

Prepared by:

Benz Vrianne BELEBER
Perserose CATALAN
Kristian Lyle SENCIL



Figure D.6: Validated pothole measurement procedural manual, reviewed by Engr. Ethel B. Morales, District Engineer, DPWH 1st District Engineering Office.



**UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS**

I. PURPOSE

This manual is developed as part of the special project *Defectra: Road Defect Severity Assessment and Classification* at the University of the Philippines Visayas. The study focuses on evaluating road defects, particularly potholes, by assessing their severity based on the depth measurements. This manual establishes a standardized procedure for measuring pothole depth, ensuring consistency, accuracy, and compliance with engineering best practices.

II. SCOPE

This procedure applies to research personnel, faculty members, and students involved in pothole assessment and measurement activities within the University of the Philippines Visayas and designated research sites.

III. PROCEDURE

a. Preparation and Safety Measures

- Ensure the availability and functionality of required equipment, including a GPS-enabled camera for location tagging, rulers, and measuring tape.
- Wear appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE), such as reflective vests and hard hats, to ensure visibility and safety.
- Set up early warning device if working on active roads to alert motorists and pedestrians
- Ensure all equipment is in optimal working condition before use.

Figure D.7: Second page of the pothole measurement procedural manual



UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS

b. Pothole Depth Measurement



- Place straight edge across the pothole's edge to measure depth.
- Use a measuring tape or depth gauge to record depth.
- Repeat the process at multiple points to account for uneven depths and allow for an average value that represents the overall depth of the pothole.

Figure D.8: Third page of the pothole measurement procedural manual



**UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS**

c. Data Documentation



- Capture photographs of the pothole from multiple angles, ensuring that measurement tools are visible in the images for scale reference.
- Record depth measurements alongside GPS coordinates for accurate geotagging.
- Save the data in organized format and proper identification using unique identifiers for easy tracking and analysis.

IV. SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

Compliance with university guidelines on safety is mandatory throughout the measurement process. Ensuring safety during pothole measurement is essential to protect personnel and road users. All team members must wear the required personal protective equipment (PPE), including reflective vests and hard hats, to enhance visibility and minimize risk. Researchers must remain aware of their surroundings and avoid working in high-traffic areas without proper traffic control measures.

Figure D.9: Fourth page of the pothole measurement procedural manual



**UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS**

V. QUALITY CONTROL

To ensure the accuracy and reliability of data collected, all measurements and documentation must be subject to review by qualified engineers from the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). Multiple measurements should be taken at different points within the pothole to ensure consistency and eliminate human error. All recorded data must undergo verification through cross-checking by designated personnel. Any discrepancies observed during validation should be addressed, and corrective measures implemented. The final reports and findings must be reviewed and approved by DPWH engineers to validate compliance with national road assessment standards.

VI. RECORDS AND DOCUMENTATION

All measurements, including depth readings and GPS coordinates, must be logged in a standardized format with clear labels. Photographic documentation should be taken from various angles to provide visual evidence of pothole conditions. Data should be stored electronically with appropriate file naming conventions for easy retrieval.

Figure D.10: Fifth page of the pothole measurement procedural manual