

1 DEFECTRA: ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT
2 AND CLASSIFICATION

3 A Special Problem Proposal
4 Presented to
5 the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
6 College of Arts and Sciences
7 University of the Philippines Visayas
8 Miag-ao, Iloilo

9 In Partial Fulfillment
10 of the Requirements for the Degree of
11 Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

12 BELEBER, Benz Vrianne
13 CATALAN, Perserose
14 SENCIL, Kristian Lyle

15 Francis DIMZON
16 Adviser
17 Jumar CADONDON
18 Co-Adviser

19 November 18, 2024

Contents

31	1 Introduction	1
32	1.1 Overview	1
33	1.2 Problem Statement	2
34	1.3 Research Objectives	2
35	1.3.1 General Objective	2
36	1.3.2 Specific Objectives	2
37	1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research	3
38	1.5 Significance of the Research	4
39	2 Review of Related Literature	5
40	2.1 Related Literature	5
41	2.1.1 Deep Learning	5
42	2.1.2 YOLOv5	5
43	2.1.3 Image and Video Processing	6
44	2.1.4 LiDAR	6
45	2.2 Related Studies	6
46	2.2.1 Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies	
47	in VANET Using Deep Learning	6

48	2.2.2	Smartphones as Sensors for Road Surface Monitoring . . .	7
49	2.2.3	Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches	7
50	2.2.4	Road Surface Quality Monitoring Using Machine Learning	
51		Algorithms	8
52	2.2.5	Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-	
53		Based Segmentation and Detection	8
54	2.2.6	Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing smart-	
55		phones and artificial intelligence	9
56	2.2.7	Pothole Mapping and Patching Quantity Estimates using	
57		LiDAR-Based Mobile Mapping Systems	9
58	3	Methodology	11
59	3.1	Research Activities	11
60	3.1.1	Inquiry	11
61	3.1.2	Brainstorming	12
62	3.1.3	Algorithm Selection	12
63	3.1.4	Observation and Experimentation	13
64	3.1.5	Design and Testing	13
65	3.2	Calendar of Activities	13
66	4	Preliminary Results/System Prototype	15
67		References	16
68	A	Appendix Title	18
69	B	Resource Persons	19

⁷⁰ List of Figures

⁷¹ List of Tables

⁷²	3.1 Timetable of Activities	14
---------------	---------------------------------------	----

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Overview

According to the National Road Length by Classification, Surface Type, and Condition of the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), as of October 2022 approximately 98.97

In an interview with the Road Board of DPWH Region 6 it was stated that road condition assessments are mostly done manually with heavy reliance on engineering judgment. In addition, manual assessment of roads is also time consuming which leaves maintenance operations to wait for lengthy assessments (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16 September 2024). In a study conducted by Ramos, Dacanay, and Bronuela-Ambrocio (2022), it was found that the Philippines' current method of manual pavement surveying is considered as a gap since it takes an average of 2-3 months to cover a 250 km road as opposed to a 1 day duration in the Australian Road Research Board for the same road length. Ramos et al. (2022) recommended that to significantly improve efficiency of surveying methods and data gathering processes, automated survey tools are to be employed. It was also added that use of such automated surveying tools can also guarantee the safety of road surveyors (Ramos et al., 2022).

If the process of assessment on the severity of road defects can be automated then the whole process of assessing the quality of roads can be hastened up which can also enable maintenance operations to commence as soon as possible if necessary. If not automated, the delay of assessments will continue and roads that are supposedly needing maintenance may not be properly maintained which can affect the general public that is utilizing public roads daily.

98 1.2 Problem Statement

99 Roads support almost every aspect of daily life, from providing a way to transport
100 goods and services to allowing people to stay connected with their communities.
101 However, road defects such as cracks and potholes damage roads over time, and
102 they can increase accident risks and affect the overall transportation. The current
103 way of inspecting the roads for maintenance is often slow as it is done manually,
104 which makes it harder to detect and fix defects early. The delay in addressing
105 these problems can lead to even worse road conditions (J. Chua, Personal Inter-
106 view. 16 September 2024). There are several research studies into automated
107 road defect classification that have advanced in recent years but most of them
108 focus on identifying the types of defects rather than assessing their severity or
109 characteristics like depth. Without reliable data on the depth of the defect, road
110 maintenance authorities may underestimate the severity of certain defects. To ad-
111 dress these challenges, advancements are needed across various areas. An effective
112 solution should not only detect and classify road defects but also measure their
113 severity to better prioritize repairs. Failing to address this problem will require
114 more extensive repairs for damaged roads, which raises the cost and strains the
115 budget. Additionally, road maintenance would still be slow and cause disruptions
116 in daily activities. Using an automated system that accurately detects, classifies,
117 and assess the severity of road defects by incorporating depth are necessary to
118 efficiently monitor road quality.

119 1.3 Research Objectives

120 1.3.1 General Objective

121 This special problem aims to develop an automated system that will accurately
122 detect, classify, and assess the severity of the different types of road defects by us-
123 ing image analysis, depth measurement technologies, and combination of machine
124 learning and computer vision techniques.

125 1.3.2 Specific Objectives

126 Specifically, this special problem aims:

- 127 1. To collect high-quality images of road surfaces that capture different types of

- 128 road defects including their depth in various lighting and weather conditions.
- 129 2. To develop and train a machine learning model to detect, classify, and assess
130 the severity of road defects from images.
- 131 3. To measure the accuracy of the system by comparing the depth measure-
132 ments against ground truth data collected from actual road inspections
- 133 4. To develop a prototype system that can detect and measure road defects
134 from image input, analyze their depth, and assess their severity.

135 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

136 This system will include a collection of images of different road defects, such as
137 potholes and cracks, using cameras and depth-sensing tools. The images will be
138 captured under various lighting and weather conditions to ensure that the data
139 has variations. The scope is limited to visual and depth data. High-quality and
140 diverse image data sets are essential for training an efficient model, and by focusing
141 on capturing the depth, it will allow a more accurate assessment of severity of the
142 road defects.

143 Depth measurement tools, such as LiDAR drones or stereo cameras will be used
144 to record the depth of the road defect. Only accessible defects will be measured,
145 any cracks and potholes filled with water may not be accurately assessed.

146 A machine learning model will be used to identify, classify, and assess the
147 severity of road defects. It will use the image dataset to classify and assess the
148 road defect types accurately, however, the effectiveness will depend on the quality
149 and quantity of the training dataset. There can be a limited variety of images
150 or inaccuracies due to environmental factors. The model will allow consistent
151 and automated assessment of road defects which is more efficient than manual
152 inspection.

153 The accuracy of the system will be evaluated by comparing the depth measure-
154 ment it produces against data collected from the field through manual inspections.
155 However, the comparisons could be limited to selected sample sites because col-
156 lecting field data across a wide area can be time-consuming. Comparing the data
157 is important to validate the reliability of the system. It ensures that the data
158 that the system produces is accurate so it increases confidence in using it for road
159 maintenance.

160 1.5 Significance of the Research

161 This special problem aims to be significant to the following:

162 *Computer Science Community.* This system can contribute to advancements
163 in computer vision and machine learning by using both visual and depth data to
164 assess the severity of road defects. It introduces a more comprehensive approach
165 compared to the usual image-only or manual inspection methods. This combina-
166 tion can be applied to other fields that need both visual and depth analysis like
167 medical imaging.

168 *Concerned Government Agencies.* This system offers a valuable tool for road
169 safety and maintenance. Not only can this detect and classify anomalies, it can
170 also assess the defect's severity which allows them to prioritize repairs, optimal
171 project expenditures, and better overall road safety and quality.

172 *Field Engineers.* In the scorching heat, field engineers are no longer required
173 to be on foot unless it requires its engineering judgement when surveying a road
174 segment. It can hasten the overall assessment process.

175 *Future Researchers.* The special problem can serve as a baseline and guide of
176 researchers with the aim to pursue special problems similar or related to this.

177 Chapter 2

178 Review of Related Literature

179 2.1 Related Literature

180 This section of the chapter presents related literature that is considered essential
181 for the development of this special problem.

182 2.1.1 Deep Learning

183 Kelleher (2019) states that deep learning is inclined on making large-scale neural
184 networks geared towards creating data-driven decisions. Furthermore, it was also
185 argued that deep learning is oriented towards large-scale, complex data.

186 2.1.2 YOLOv5

187 According to Solawetz (2024), YOLOv5 is a model from a family of computer
188 vision models used for object detection. YOLOv5 is reported to perform compa-
189 rably to state-of-the-art techniques. It is designed to extract features from raw
190 input images, used primarily in training object detection models alongside various
191 data augmentation techniques.

192 **2.1.3 Image and Video Processing**

193 Kumar (2024) defines image processing as a process of turning an image into its
194 digital form and extracting data from it through certain functions and operations.
195 Usual processes are considered to treat images as 2D signals wherein different
196 processing methods utilize these signals. Like image processing, Riches Resources
197 (2020) defines video processing as being able to extract information and data from
198 video footage through signal processing methods. However, in video processing
199 due to the diversity of video formats, compression and decompression methods
200 are often expected to be performed on videos before processing methods to either
201 increase or decrease bitrate.

202 **2.1.4 LiDAR**

203 Wasser (2024) describes LiDAR as a technology utilized to measure the depth of a
204 point from a certain height through its active remote sensing. During this process,
205 a LiDAR measures the distance traveled through the time an emitted light takes
206 to travel to the ground and back. Wasser (2024) states that this measured distance
207 is converted into elevation.

208 **2.2 Related Studies**

209 This section of the chapter presents related studies conducted by other researchers
210 wherein the methodology and technologies used may serve as basis in the devel-
211 opment of this special problem.

212 **2.2.1 Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anoma-** 213 **lies in VANET Using Deep Learning**

214 In the study of Bibi et al. (2021) it was noted that identification of active road
215 defects are critical in maintaining smooth and safe flow of traffic. Detection and
216 subsequent repair of such defects in roads are crucial in keeping vehicles using
217 such roads away from mechanical failures. The study also emphasized the growth
218 in use of autonomous vehicles in research data gathering which is what the re-
219 searchers utilized in data gathering procedures. With the presence of autonomous
220 vehicles, this allowed the researchers to use a combination of sensors and deep

neural networks in deploying artificial intelligence. The study aimed to allow autonomous vehicles to avoid critical road defects that can possibly lead to dangerous situations. Researchers used Resnet-18 and VGG-11 in automatic detection and classification of road defects. Researchers concluded that the trained model was able to perform better than other techniques for road defect detection (Bibi et al., 2021). The study is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training artificial intelligence for road defect detection and classification. However, the study lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects which is crucial in automating manual procedures of road surveying in the Philippines.

2.2.2 Smartphones as Sensors for Road Surface Monitoring

In their study, Chapman, Li, and Sattar (2018) noted the rise of sensing capabilities of smartphones which they utilized in monitoring road surface to detect and identify anomalies. The researchers considered different approaches in detecting road surface anomalies using smartphone sensors. One of which are threshold-based approaches which was determined to be quite difficult due to several factors that are affecting the process of determining the interval length of a window function in spectral analysis (Chapman et al., 2018). The researchers also utilized a machine learning approach adapted from another study. It was stated that k-means was used in classifying sensor data and in training the SVM algorithm. Due to the requirement of training a supervised algorithm using a labeled sample data was required before classifying data from sensors, the approach was considered to be impractical for real-time situations (Chapman et al., 2018). In addition, Chapman et al. (2018) also noted various challenges when utilizing smartphones as sensors for data gathering such as sensors being dependent on the device's placement and orientation, smoothness of captured data, and the speed of the vehicle it is being mounted on. Lastly, it was also concluded that the accuracy and performance of using smartphone sensors is challenging to compare due to the limited data sets and reported algorithms.

2.2.3 Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches

The study of Guo, Luo, and Lu (2020) aimed to utilize deep learning models in classifying road anomalies. The researchers used three deep learning approaches namely Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent Neural Network. In comparing the performance of the three deep learning

256 approaches, the researchers fixed some hyperparameters. Results revealed that
257 the RNN model was the most stable among the three and in the case of the CNN
258 and DFN models, the researchers suggested the use of wheel speed signals to en-
259 sure accuracy. And lastly, the researchers concluded that the RNN model was
260 best due to high prediction performance with small set parameters (Guo et al.,
261 2020).

262 **2.2.4 Road Surface Quality Monitoring Using Machine Learn-** 263 **ing Algorithms**

264 The study of Bansal et al. (2021) aimed to utilize machine learning algorithms in
265 classifying road defects as well as predict their locations. Another implication of
266 the study was to provide useful information to commuters and maintenance data
267 for authorities regarding road conditions. The researchers gathered data using
268 various methods such as smartphone GPS, gyroscopes, and accelerometers. Bansal
269 et al. (2021) also argued that early existing road monitoring models are unable
270 to predict locations of road defects and are dependent on fixed roads and static
271 vehicle speed. Neural and deep neural networks were utilized in the classification
272 of anomalies which was concluded by the researchers to yield accurate results and
273 are applicable on a larger scale of data (Bansal et al., 2021). The study of Bansal
274 et al. (2021) can be considered as an effective method in gathering data about
275 road conditions. However, it was stated in the study that relevant authorities will
276 be provided with maintenance operation and there is no presence of any severity
277 assessment in the study. This may cause confusion due to a lack of assessment on
278 what is the road condition that will require extensive maintenance or repair.

279 **2.2.5 Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-** 280 **Based Segmentation and Detection**

281 In the study of Ha, Kim, and Kim (2022), it was argued that the detection, clas-
282 sification, and severity assessment of road cracks should be automated due to the
283 bottleneck it causes during the entire process of surveying. For the study, the
284 researchers utilized SqueezeNet, U-Net, and MobileNet-SSD models for crack clas-
285 sification and severity assessment. Furthermore, the researchers also employed
286 separate U-nets for linear and area cracking cases. For crack detection, the re-
287 searchers followed the process of pre-processing, detection, classification. Dur-
288 ing preprocessing images were smoothed out using image processing techniques.
289 The researchers also utilized YOLOv5 object detection models for classification of
290 pavement cracking wherein the YOLOv51 model recorded the highest accuracy.

291 The researchers however stated images used for the study are only 2D images
292 which may have allowed higher accuracy rates. Furthermore, the researchers sug-
293 gest incorporating depth information in the models to further enhance results.

294 **2.2.6 Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing** 295 **smartphones and artificial intelligence**

296 The study of Christodoulou, Dimitrio, and Kyriakou (2016) presented what is con-
297 sidered as a low-cost technology which was the use of Artificial Neural Networks
298 in training a model for road anomaly detection from data gathered by smart-
299 phone sensors. The researchers were able to collect case study data using two-
300 dimensional indicators of the smartphone’s roll and pitch values. In the study’s
301 discussion, the data collected displayed some complexity due to acceleration and
302 vehicle speed which lead to detected anomalies being not as conclusive as planned.
303 The researchers also added that the plots are unable to show parameters that could
304 verify the data’s correctness and accuracy. Despite the setbacks, the researchers
305 still fed the data into the Artificial Neural Network that was expected to produce
306 two outputs which were “no defect” and “defect”. The method still yielded above
307 90% accuracy but due to the limited number of possible outcomes in the data
308 processing the researchers still needed to test the methodology with larger data
309 sets and roads with higher volumes of anomalies.

310 **2.2.7 Pothole Mapping and Patching Quantity Estimates** 311 **using LiDAR-Based Mobile Mapping Systems**

312 In the study of Ravi, Habib, and Bullock (2020) utilized LiDAR technology in
313 order to propose pothole mapping methods for public agencies which was argued
314 to be laborious and time-consuming manual classification and quantity estimation.
315 The researchers of the study made use of a wheel-based mobile LiDAR system
316 driven at speeds of 40 - 50 mph during data gathering. In order to ensure accuracy
317 of collected data, the researchers made multiple drive-runs which allowed the
318 comparison of scanned data between two sensors. In a given 3D point cloud, the
319 researchers also presented a process of pinpointing identified pothole points and
320 these pothole points are then classified into different clusters through a distance-
321 based growing strategy. Analysis procedures are then done by clusters. The
322 researchers however established a minimum volume threshold for potholes in a
323 given bounding box. In classifying pothole points, points inside a segment or
324 tile are used along with plane-fitting to determine planar position and orientation
325 parameters. Individual points are then again used to extract information like

326 signed normal distance from the best-fitting plane. Distance collected is then
327 stated to be the depth beneath the road surface and the researchers classified
328 potential potholes to have a depth that is greater than 1 cm.

Chapter 3

Methodology

This chapter outlines the systematic approach taken to address the problem of classifying and assessing road defects using artificial intelligence. The methodology is divided into key phases: data collection, data preprocessing, algorithm selection, system implementation, and ss. Each phase is essential to accurately classify and assess road defects.

3.1 Research Activities

3.1.1 Inquiry

The team conducted initial inquiries to understand the problem domain and existing road maintenance practices. This phase included consulting the engineers under the Road Maintenance Department of the government agency Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). This allowed te team to gather information about road defects classification and maintenance practices. The inquiries were conducted during the first week and involved an interview with Engr. Jane Chua of the said department, who provided us a copy of the official road maintenance manual. The manual allows this project to be aligned with the established standards and practices of the DPWH.

347 **3.1.2 Brainstorming**

348 Potential solutions, algorithms, and system architectures were discussed by the
349 team and the special problem adviser in this phase. These sessions, conducted
350 in-class and virtually via Zoom, helped narrow down the overview of the system,
351 leading to the selection of the main architecture YOLOv5 for defect detection and
352 Epipolar Spatio-Temporal Networks (ESTN) for depth estimation. YOLO

353 **3.1.3 Algorithm Selection**

354 Potential solutions, algorithms, and system architectures were discussed by the
355 team and the special problem adviser in this phase. These sessions, conducted
356 in-class and virtually via Zoom, helped narrow down the overview of the system,
357 leading to the selection of the main architecture YOLOv5 for defect detection and
358 Epipolar Spatio-Temporal Networks (ESTN) for depth estimation. YOLO

359 **3.1.3.1 Defect Detection**

360 YOLOv5 was selected for its balance of real-time processing capability and accu-
361 racy, essential for detecting road defects in dynamic environments.

362 **3.1.3.2 Severity Assessment**

363 The Multi-view Depth Estimation using Epipolar Spatio-Temporal Networks was
364 selected due to the high cost and limited accessibility of LiDAR technology. By
365 applying epipolar geometry and temporal consistency across sequential frames,
366 this approach provides an accurate depth estimation from a standard video footage
367 (Long et al., 2021).

368 **3.1.4 Observation and Experimentation**

369 **3.1.5 Design and Testing**

370 The system is tested using data gathered from ground truthing which involves
371 manual inspection and measuring of road defects to verify the type, shape, and
372 dimensions of the defect. These manual observations serve as a baseline reference
373 to measure the system's accuracy in detecting, classifying, and severity assessment
374 of road defects.

375 **3.1.5.1 Challenges and Limitations**

376 One major limitation is the availability of local labeled datasets, which affects
377 the model's training, as most datasets available are those captured from foreign
378 countries only.

379 **3.1.5.2 Documentation**

380 Documentation was conducted throughout the project, ensuring a detailed record
381 of methods, results, and challenges. This documentation not only served as a
382 basis for the final SP report but also provided transparency and reproducibility
383 for future studies.

384 **3.2 Calendar of Activities**

385 Table 1 shows a Gantt chart of the activities. Each bullet represents approximately
386 one week worth of activity.

Activities	Aug	Sep	Nov	Dec
Pre-proposal Preparation			
Literature Review		
Data Collection		
Algorithm Selection		. .		
System Design		.	. .	
Preliminary Testing			. .	.
Documentation and SP Writing

Table 3.1: Timetable of Activities

References

- Fedkiw, R., Stam, J., & Jensen, H. W. (2001). Visual simulation of smoke. In E. Fiume (Ed.), *Proceedings of siggraph 2001* (pp. 15–22). ACM Press / ACM SIGGRAPH.
- Jobson, D. J., Rahman, Z., & Woodell, G. A. (1995). Retinex image processing: Improved fidelity to direct visual observation. In *Proceedings of the is&it fourth color imaging conference: Color science, systems, and applications* (Vol. 4, pp. 124–125).
- Kartch, D. (2000). *Efficient rendering and compression for full-parallax computer-generated holographic stereograms* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Cornell University.
- Levoy, M., Pulli, K., Curless, B., Rusinkiewicz, S., Koller, D., Pereira, L., ... Fulk, D. (2000). The digital michelangelo project. In K. Akeley (Ed.), *Proceedings of siggraph 2000* (pp. 131–144). New York: ACM Press / ACM SIGGRAPH.
- Park, S. W., Linsen, L., Kreylos, O., Owens, J. D., & Hamann, B. (2006, March/April). Discrete sibson interpolation. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 12(2), 243–253.
- Parke, F. I., & Waters, K. (1996). *Computer facial animation*. A. K. Peters.
- Pellacini, F., Vidimče, K., Lefohn, A., Mohr, A., Leone, M., & Warren, J. (2005, August). Lpics: a hybrid hardware-accelerated relighting engine for com-

puter cinematography. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, 24(3), 464–470.

Sako, Y., & Fujimura, K. (2000). Shape similarity by homotropic deformation. *The Visual Computer*, 16(1), 47–61.

Yee, Y. L. H. (2000). *Spatiotemporal sensistivity and visual attention for efficient rendering of dynamic environments* (Unpublished master’s thesis). Cornell University.