

1 ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT AND
2 CLASSIFICATION

3 A Special Problem
4 Presented to
5 the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
6 College of Arts and Sciences
7 University of the Philippines Visayas
8 Miag-ao, Iloilo

9 In Partial Fulfillment
10 of the Requirements for the Degree of
11 Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

12 BELEBER, Benz Vrianne
13 CATALAN, Perserose
14 SENCIL, Kristian Lyle

15 Francis DIMZON, Ph.D.
16 Adviser

17 June 2, 2025

18

Approval Sheet

19

The Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics, College of Arts and
Sciences, University of the Philippines Visayas

20

certifies that this is the approved version of the following special problem:

21

**ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT AND
CLASSIFICATION**

22

23

Approved by:**Name****Signature****Date**

Francis D. Dimzon, Ph.D.

(Adviser)

Ara Abigail E. Ambita

25

(Panel Member)

Jumar G. Cadondon, Ph.D.

(Panel Member)

Kent Christian A. Castor

(Division Chair)

26 Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
27 College of Arts and Sciences
28 University of the Philippines Visayas

29 **Declaration**

30 We, BENZ VRIANNE BELEBER, PERSEROSE CATALAN, and KRISTIAN
31 LYLE SENCIL, hereby certify that this Special Problem has been written by us
32 and is the record of work carried out by us. Any significant borrowings have been
33 properly acknowledged and referred.

Name

Signature

Date

Benz Vrianne Beleber _____

(Student)

Perserose Catalan _____

(Student)

Kristian Lyle Sencil _____

(Student)

Dedication

36 This Special Problem is dedicated to the researchers' families, whose unwa-
37 vering love, patience, and support have been the foundation of their academic
38 journey.

39 To their parents, for their endless sacrifices.

40 To their mentors and teachers, for believing in them and guiding them with
41 wisdom.

42 And to all those who inspired them to keep going even in the most challenging
43 moments — this work is for them.

44

Acknowledgment

45 The researchers would like to express their heartfelt gratitude to the individuals,
46 institutions, and organizations who made the completion of this Special
47 Problem possible:

48 To their adviser, Dr. Francis Dimzon, for his expert guidance, valuable insights,
49 for teaching Computer Vision topics, and unwavering support throughout
50 the research process.

51 To Prof. Jumar Cadondon, for lending his time and expertise during the early
52 stages of this Special Problem, especially in providing assistance with his drone.

53 To Sir Cris Beleber, for his unwavering support from the initial conceptualization
54 of this project to out consultations with the DPWH. His assistance was
55 instrumental in the successful completion of this study.

56 To the Komsai faculty and staff, for providing a nurturing and intellectually
57 stimulating environment.

58 To the university personnel who ensured the researchers' safety throughout
59 the data collection process.

60 To the Department of Science and Technology (DOST), for their support and
61 promotion of scientific research and innovation.

62 To the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), for providing
63 valuable data and technical insights that greatly contributed to the relevance and
64 application of the study.

65 To their families and friends, for their unconditional love, patience, and en-
66 couragement.

67 Lastly, to the University of the Philippines Visayas, for providing the resources
68 and environment necessary for the researchers to explore and grow.

69 This work stands as a testament to the collaboration, support, and trust the
70 researchers have received. They are deeply grateful.

71

Abstract

72 Road surveying is a crucial part of the maintenance processes of roads in the
73 Philippines that is carried out by the Department of Public Works and Highways.
74 However, the current process of road surveying is time consuming which delays
75 much needed maintenance operations. Existing studies involving automated pot-
76 hole detection lack integration of the pothole's depth in assessing its severity which
77 is essential for automating road surveying procedures. A system that incorporates
78 estimated depth information in assessing pothole severity is developed in order to
79 automate the manual process of depth measurement and severity assessment in
80 road surveying. For depth estimation, stereo vision is favorable in this context
81 as depth may be estimated through the disparity generated by a stereo pair. In
82 obtaining a stereo view of the potholes, the StereoPi V2 is utilized along with
83 some modifications that would make it eligible for outdoor use. To address cam-
84 era imperfections, a fitted inverse model was applied to improve the accuracy of
85 depth estimates. Linear regression analysis revealed a strong positive correlation
86 ($R = 0.978$) between estimated and actual depths, with the system measuring
87 pothole depths mostly within 3 cm of the true values.

88 **Keywords:** pothole, depth estimation, stereo vision, StereoPi V2

⁸⁹ **Contents**

⁹⁰	1 Introduction	¹
⁹¹	1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology	¹
⁹²	1.2 Problem Statement	³
⁹³	1.3 Research Objectives	⁴
⁹⁴	1.3.1 General Objective	⁴
⁹⁵	1.3.2 Specific Objectives	⁴
⁹⁶	1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research	⁵
⁹⁷	1.5 Significance of the Research	⁶
⁹⁸	2 Review of Related Literature	⁷
⁹⁹	2.1 Frameworks	⁷
¹⁰⁰	2.1.1 Depth Estimation	⁷

101	2.1.2 Image and Video Processing	7
102	2.1.3 Stereo Vision	8
103	2.2 Related Studies	8
104	2.2.1 Deep Learning Studies	9
105	2.2.2 Machine Learning Studies	11
106	2.2.3 Computer Vision Studies	13
107	2.3 Chapter Summary	15
108	3 Research Methodology	17
109	3.1 Research Activities	17
110	3.1.1 Data Collection	17
111	3.1.2 Design, Testing, and Experimentation	21
112	3.1.3 Challenges and Limitations	29
113	4 Results and Discussion	31
114	4.1 System Calibration and Model Refinement	31
115	4.2 Testing Results	33
116	4.3 Discussion	35

CONTENTS

xi

¹¹⁷	5 Conclusion	39
¹¹⁸	5.1 Summary	39
¹¹⁹	5.2 Conclusions	40
¹²⁰	5.3 Recommendations for Practice	42
¹²¹	5.4 Suggestions for Further Research	42
¹²²	6 References	45
¹²³	A Code Snippets	49
¹²⁴	B Resource Persons	55
¹²⁵	C Data Table and Pothole Images	57
¹²⁶	D Supplementary Documents	61

¹²⁷ List of Figures

¹²⁸	3.1 Manual depth measurement of pothole using a ruler and measuring tape.	19
¹³⁰	3.2 Four measurement points of the pothole.	20
¹³¹	3.3 Visualized pothole locations during the ground truth data collection within the UPV campus.	20
¹³³	3.4 Components used in the prototype development. From the top left: Raspberry Pi Computer Module 4, 3D Printed Custom Hous- ing, cooling fan, StereoPi V2 Board, two camera modules, antenna, momentary push button, and 2-inch LCD module.	23
¹³⁷	3.5 Dual RPi Camera Modules attached to the custom housing.	24
¹³⁸	3.6 LCD Module connected to the StereoPi board.	24
¹³⁹	3.7 The finished prototype.	24
¹⁴⁰	3.8 Calibration process with a checkerboard to correct fisheye lens dis- tortion.	25

142	3.9 Parameter tuning process to achieve cleaner and more accurate disparity maps.	25
144	3.10 The system tested on a simulated pothole.	26
145	3.11 Inverse Model Fit to Disparity vs. Distance.	27
146	3.12 First measure point	28
147	3.13 Second measure point	28
148	3.14 Third measure point	28
149	3.15 Fourth measure point	28
150	4.1 Disparity Map	34
151	4.2 Left Stereo Image	34
152	4.3 Right Stereo Image	34
153	4.4 Linear Regression Fit Between Actual and Estimated Depth	35
154	C.1 Actual Pothole Images	58
155	C.2 Simulated Pothole Images	59
156	D.1 Letter requesting validation of data collection procedures.	62
157	D.2 Letter requesting permission for ground truth data collection within the UPV campus.	63

LIST OF FIGURES

xv

159	D.3 Letter requesting an interview with DPWH representatives for the process of verifying ground truth data.	64
160		
161	D.4 Letter requesting an interview with DPWH representatives for the standard operating procedures of the agency for assessing road quality.	65
162		
163		
164	D.5 Validated pothole measurement procedural manual, reviewed by	
165	Engr. Ethel B. Morales, District Engineer, DPWH 1st District	
166	Engineering Office.	66
167		
168	D.6 Second page of the pothole measurement procedural manual . . .	67
169		
170	D.7 Third page of the pothole measurement procedural manual . . .	68
169	D.8 Fourth page of the pothole measurement procedural manual . . .	69
170	D.9 Fifth page of the pothole measurement procedural manual . . .	70

¹⁷¹ List of Tables

¹⁷²	2.1 Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision	16
¹⁷³		
¹⁷⁴	4.1 Linear Regression Model Fit Summary	34
¹⁷⁵	4.2 Model Coefficients - Estimated Depth	34
¹⁷⁶	C.1 Actual vs. Estimated Depths with Residuals and Absolute Errors	60

¹⁷⁷ Chapter 1

¹⁷⁸ Introduction

¹⁷⁹ 1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology

¹⁸⁰ The Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH) reported in their Na-
¹⁸¹ tional Road Length by Classification, Surface Type, and Condition Summary as
¹⁸² of October 2023, that approximately 98.97% of roads in the Philippines is paved
¹⁸³ which is either made of concrete or asphalt (Balita, 2024). Since the DPWH is an
¹⁸⁴ institution under the government, it is paramount to maintain such roads in order
¹⁸⁵ to avoid accidents and congested traffic situations especially in heavily urbanized
¹⁸⁶ areas where there are a lot of vehicles.

¹⁸⁷ In an interview with the Road Board of DPWH Region 6 it was stated that road
¹⁸⁸ condition assessments are mostly done manually with heavy reliance on engineer-
¹⁸⁹ ing judgment (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16 September 2024). In addition,
¹⁹⁰ manual assessment of roads is also time consuming which leaves maintenance
¹⁹¹ operations to wait for lengthy assessments. In a study conducted by Ramos, Da-

192 canay, and Bronuela-Ambrocio (2023), it was found that the Philippines' current
193 method of manual pavement surveying is considered as a gap since it takes an
194 average of 2-3 months to cover a 250 km road as opposed to a 1 day duration
195 in the Australian Road Research Board for the same road length. Ramos et al.
196 (2023) recommended that to significantly improve efficiency of surveying methods
197 and data gathering processes, automated survey tools are to be employed. It was
198 also added that use of such automated, surveying tools can also guarantee the
199 safety of road surveyors.

200 If the process of assessment on the severity of road defects can be automated then
201 the whole process of assessing the quality of roads can be hastened up which can
202 also enable maintenance operations to commence as soon as possible if necessary.
203 If not automated, the delay of assessments will continue and roads that are sup-
204 posedly needing maintenance may not be properly maintained which can affect
205 the general public that is utilizing public roads daily.

206 Existing studies involving road defects such as potholes mainly focus on the de-
207 tection of potholes using deep learning models and almost not considering the
208 severity of detected potholes or did not incorporate any depth information from
209 potholes (Ha, Kim, & Kim, 2022; Kumar, 2024; Bibi et al., 2021). In addition, for
210 studies that include severity assessment on potholes, the main goal of the study
211 is not directed towards road maintenance automation but other factors such as
212 improvement of ride quality for the vehicle. Another issue found in existing solu-
213 tions is the lack of incorporation to the context of Philippine roads. With these
214 issues in mind, the study aims to utilize stereo vision from StereoPi V2 in order to
215 obtain multi-perspective views of detected potholes to be used in severity assessment
216 by focusing on estimating the depth of individual potholes for automated

²¹⁷ road condition monitoring.

²¹⁸ 1.2 Problem Statement

²¹⁹ Roads support almost every aspect of daily life, from providing a way to transport
²²⁰ goods and services to allowing people to stay connected with their communities.
²²¹ However, road defects such as cracks and potholes damage roads over time, and
²²² they can increase accident risks and affect the overall transportation. The current
²²³ way of inspecting the roads for maintenance is often slow as it is done manually,
²²⁴ which makes it harder to detect and fix defects early. The delay in addressing these
²²⁵ problems can lead to even worse road conditions (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16
²²⁶ September 2024). There are several research studies into automated road defect
²²⁷ classification that have advanced in recent years but most of them focus on iden-
²²⁸ tifying the types of defects rather than assessing their severity or characteristics
²²⁹ like depth. Without reliable data on the depth of the defect, road maintenance
²³⁰ authorities may underestimate the severity of certain defects. To address these
²³¹ challenges, advancements are needed across various areas. An effective solution
²³² should not only detect and classify road defects but also measure their severity
²³³ to better prioritize repairs. Failing to address this problem will require more ex-
²³⁴ tensive repairs for damaged roads, which raises the cost and strains the budget.
²³⁵ Additionally, road maintenance would still be slow and cause disruptions in daily
²³⁶ activities. Using an automated system that accurately assess the severity of road
²³⁷ defects by incorporating depth is necessary to efficiently monitor road quality.

²³⁸ 1.3 Research Objectives

²³⁹ 1.3.1 General Objective

²⁴⁰ This special problem aims to develop a system that can estimate the depth of
²⁴¹ potholes on road surfaces and classify them into different severity levels such as
²⁴² low, medium, and high by using stereo vision technology, supporting faster and
²⁴³ more precise road maintenance decisions.

²⁴⁴ 1.3.2 Specific Objectives

²⁴⁵ Specifically, this special problem aims to:

²⁴⁶ 1. collect high-quality stereo images of road surfaces that capture potholes
²⁴⁷ including their depth in favorable conditions,

²⁴⁸ 2. measure the accuracy of the system by comparing the depth measurements
²⁴⁹ against ground truth data collected from actual road inspections and to
²⁵⁰ utilize linear regression, root mean square error, and mean absolute error as
²⁵¹ metrics for evaluation, and

²⁵² 3. develop a prototype system that can detect and measure road potholes from
²⁵³ image input, analyze their depth, and assess their severity.

1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

This system focuses solely on detecting and assessing the severity of potholes through image analysis and depth measurement technologies. The scope includes the collection of pothole images using cameras and depth-sensing tools under a favorable weather condition.

Depth-sensing tools, such as stereo cameras, will be used to record the depth of potholes specifically. The system will not address other road defects like cracks or other surface deformations; therefore, it will detect and analyze only potholes. Additionally, only accessible potholes will be measured, meaning those that are filled with water or obscured by debris may not be accurately assessed.

The system developed focuses exclusively on detecting potholes and assessing their severity through depth measurement. The accuracy of the system's depth measurements is evaluated by comparing them against data collected from actual field inspections. However, this comparison is limited to selected sample sites, as collecting field data over a large area can be time-consuming and resource-intensive.

Environmental factors such as lighting, road surface texture, and weather conditions may impact the system's performance. The accuracy and reliability of the system will depend on the quality of camera calibration and disparity map finetuning. Its ability to measure the depth of pothole images needs careful validation.

²⁷⁵ 1.5 Significance of the Research

²⁷⁶ This special problem aims to be significant to the following:

²⁷⁷ *Computer Science Community.* This system can contribute to advancements in
²⁷⁸ computer vision and machine learning by using both visual and depth data to
²⁷⁹ assess the severity of road defects. It introduces a more comprehensive approach
²⁸⁰ compared to the usual image-only or manual inspection methods. This combina-
²⁸¹ tion can be applied to other fields that need both visual and depth analysis like
²⁸² medical imaging.

²⁸³ *Concerned Government Agencies.* This system offers a valuable tool for road
²⁸⁴ safety and maintenance. Not only can this detect and classify anomalies, it can
²⁸⁵ also assess the defect's severity which allows them to prioritize repairs, optimal
²⁸⁶ project expenditures, and better overall road safety and quality.

²⁸⁷ *Field Engineers.* In the scorching heat, field engineers are no longer required to
²⁸⁸ be on foot unless it requires their engineering judgement when surveying a road
²⁸⁹ segment. It can hasten the overall assessment process.

²⁹⁰ *Future Researchers.* The special problem may serve as a baseline and guide of
²⁹¹ researchers with the aim to pursue special problems similar or related to this.

²⁹² Chapter 2

²⁹³ Review of Related Literature

²⁹⁴ 2.1 Frameworks

²⁹⁵ This section of the chapter presents related frameworks that is considered essential
²⁹⁶ for the development of this special problem.

²⁹⁷ 2.1.1 Depth Estimation

²⁹⁸ Depth estimation as defined by Sanz, Mezcua, and Pena (2012) is a set of processes
²⁹⁹ that aims to extract a representation of a certain scene's spatial composition.
³⁰⁰ Stereo vision is stated to be among the depth estimation strategies.

³⁰¹ 2.1.2 Image and Video Processing

³⁰² Kumar (2024) defines image processing as a process of turning an image into its
³⁰³ digital form and extracting data from it through certain functions and operations.

304 Usual processes are considered to treat images as 2D signals wherein different
305 processing methods utilize these signals. Like image processing, RICHES Project
306 (2014) defines video processing as being able to extract information and data from
307 video footage through signal processing methods. However, in video processing
308 due to the diversity of video formats, compression and decompression methods
309 are often expected to be performed on videos before processing methods to either
310 increase or decrease bitrate.

311 **2.1.3 Stereo Vision**

312 MathWorks (n.d.) defines stereo vision as a process of utilizing multiple 2D per-
313 spectives in order to extract information in 3D. In addition, most uses of stereo
314 vision involve estimating an objects distance from an observer or camera. The 3D
315 information is stated to be extracted with stereo pairs or pair of images through es-
316 timation of relative depth of points in a scene which are then represented through
317 a stereo map that is made through the matching of the pair's corresponding points.

318 **2.2 Related Studies**

319 This section of the chapter presents related studies conducted by other researchers
320 wherein the methodology and technologies used may serve as basis in the devel-
321 opment of this special problem.

322 2.2.1 Deep Learning Studies**323 Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies
324 in VANET Using Deep Learning**

325

326 In the study of Bibi et al. (2021) it was noted that identification of active road
327 defects are critical in maintaining smooth and safe flow of traffic. Detection and
328 subsequent repair of such defects in roads are crucial in keeping vehicles using
329 such roads away from mechanical failures. The study also emphasized the growth
330 in use of autonomous vehicles in research data gathering which is what the re-
331 searchers utilized in data gathering procedures. With the presence of autonomous
332 vehicles, this allowed the researchers to use a combination of sensors and deep
333 neural networks in deploying artificial intelligence. The study aimed to allow au-
334 tonomous vehicles to avoid critical road defects that can possibly lead to dangerous
335 situations. Researchers used Resnet-18 and VGG-11 in automatic detection and
336 classification of road defects. Researchers concluded that the trained model was
337 able to perform better than other techniques for road defect detection. The study
338 is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training arti-
339 ficial intelligence for road defect detection and classification. However, the study
340 lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects and incorporation of pot-
341 hole depth in their model which are both crucial in automating manual procedures
342 of road surveying in the Philippines.

343 Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches

344

345 The study of Luo, Lu, and Guo (2020) aimed to utilize deep learning models in

346 classifying road anomalies. The researchers used three deep learning approaches
347 namely Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent
348 Neural Network from data collected through the sensors in the vehicle's suspension
349 system. In comparing the performance of the three deep learning approaches, the
350 researchers fixed some hyperparameters. Results revealed that the RNN model
351 was the most stable among the three and in the case of the CNN and DFN mod-
352 els, the researchers suggested the use of wheel speed signals to ensure accuracy.
353 And lastly, the researchers concluded that the RNN model was best due to high
354 prediction performance with small set parameters. However, proper severity as-
355 sessment through depth information was not stated to be utilized in any of the
356 three approaches used in the study.

357 **Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning- 358 Based Segmentation and Detection**

359
360 In the study of Ha et al. (2022), it was argued that the detection, classification,
361 and severity assessment of road cracks should be automated due to the bottleneck
362 it causes during the entire process of surveying. For the study, the researchers
363 utilized SqueezeNet, U-Net, and MobileNet-SSD models for crack classification and
364 severity assessment. Furthermore, the researchers also employed separate U-nets
365 for linear and area cracking cases. For crack detection, the researchers followed
366 the process of pre-processing, detection, classification. During preprocessing im-
367 ages were smoothed out using image processing techniques. The researchers also
368 utilized YOLOv5 object detection models for classification of pavement cracking
369 wherein the YOLOv51 model recorded the highest accuracy. The researchers how-
370 ever stated images used for the study are only 2D images which may have allowed

³⁷¹ higher accuracy rates. Furthermore, the researchers suggest incorporating depth
³⁷² information in the models to further enhance results.

³⁷³ **Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing smart-
374 phones and artificial intelligence**

³⁷⁵

³⁷⁶ The study of Kyriakou, Christodoulou, and Dimitriou (2016) presented what is
³⁷⁷ considered as a low-cost technology which was the use of Artificial Neural Net-
³⁷⁸ works in training a model for road anomaly detection from data gathered by
³⁷⁹ smartphone sensors. The researchers were able to collect case study data us-
³⁸⁰ ing two-dimensional indicators of the smartphone's roll and pitch values. In the
³⁸¹ study's discussion, the data collected displayed some complexity due to accelera-
³⁸² tion and vehicle speed which lead to detected anomalies being not as conclusive as
³⁸³ planned. The researchers also added that the plots are unable to show parameters
³⁸⁴ that could verify the data's correctness and accuracy. Despite the setbacks, the
³⁸⁵ researchers still fed the data into the Artificial Neural Network that was expected
³⁸⁶ to produce two outputs which were "no defect" and "defect." The method still
³⁸⁷ yielded above 90% accuracy but due to the limited number of possible outcomes
³⁸⁸ in the data processing the researchers still needed to test the methodology with
³⁸⁹ larger data sets and roads with higher volumes of anomalies.

³⁹⁰ **2.2.2 Machine Learning Studies**

³⁹¹ **Smartphones as Sensors for Road Surface Monitoring**

³⁹²

³⁹³ In their study, Sattar, Li, and Chapman (2018) noted the rise of sensing capabil-
³⁹⁴ ities of smartphones which they utilized in monitoring road surface to detect and

395 identify anomalies. The researchers considered different approaches in detecting
396 road surface anomalies using smartphone sensors. One of which are threshold-
397 based approaches which was determined to be quite difficult due to several factors
398 that are affecting the process of determining the interval length of a window
399 function in spectral analysis. The researchers also utilized a machine learning
400 approach adapted from another study. It was stated that k-means was used in
401 classifying sensor data and in training the SVM algorithm. Due to the require-
402 ment of training a supervised algorithm using a labeled sample data was required
403 before classifying data from sensors, the approach was considered to be imprac-
404 tical for real-time situations. In addition, Sattar et al. (2018) also noted various
405 challenges when utilizing smartphones as sensors for data gathering such as sen-
406 sors being dependent on the device's placement and orientation, smoothness of
407 captured data, and the speed of the vehicle it is being mounted on. Lastly, it was
408 also concluded that the accuracy and performance of using smartphone sensors is
409 challenging to compare due to the limited data sets and reported algorithms.

410 **Road Surface Quality Monitoring Using Machine Learning 411 Algorithms**

412
413 The study of Singh, Bansal, Kamal, and Kumar (2021) aimed to utilize machine
414 learning algorithms in classifying road defects as well as predict their locations.
415 Another implication of the study was to provide useful information to commuters
416 and maintenance data for authorities regarding road conditions. The researchers
417 gathered data using various methods such as smartphone GPS, gyroscopes, and
418 accelerometers. (Singh et al., 2021) also argued that early existing road moni-
419 toring models are unable to predict locations of road defects and are dependent

420 on fixed roads and static vehicle speed. Neural and deep neural networks were
421 utilized in the classification of anomalies which was concluded by the researchers
422 to yield accurate results and are applicable on a larger scale of data. The study
423 of Singh et al. (2021) can be considered as an effective method in gathering data
424 about road conditions. However, it was stated in the study that relevant authori-
425 ties will be provided with maintenance operation and there is no presence of any
426 severity assessment in the study. This may cause confusion due to a lack of as-
427 sessment on what is the road condition that will require extensive maintenance or
428 repair.

429 **2.2.3 Computer Vision Studies**

430 **Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved**
431 **Ride Quality**

432
433 In the study of Ramaiah and Kundu (2021) it was stated that stereo vision has
434 been earning attention due to its reliable obstacle detection and recognition. Fur-
435 thermore, the study also discussed that such technology would be useful in improv-
436 ing ride quality in automated vehicles by integrating it in a predictive suspension
437 control system. The proposed study was to develop a novel stereo vision based
438 pothole detection system which also calculates the depth accurately. However,
439 the study focused on improving ride quality by using the 3D information from
440 detected potholes in controlling the damping coefficient of the suspension system.
441 Overall, the pothole detection system was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is
442 able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm. The researchers concluded
443 that such system can be utilized in commercial applications. However, it is also

- ⁴⁴⁴ worth noting that despite the system being able to detect potholes and measure
⁴⁴⁵ its depth, the overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

⁴⁴⁶ 2.3 Chapter Summary

⁴⁴⁷ The reviewed literature involved various techniques and approaches in road anomaly
⁴⁴⁸ detection and classification. These approaches are discussed and summarized be-
⁴⁴⁹ low along with their limitations and research gaps.

Study	Technology/ Techniques Used	Key Findings	Limitations
Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies in VANET Using Deep Learning	Resnet-18 and VGG-11	Trained model is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training artificial intelligence for road defect detection and classification.	Lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects.
Smartphones as sensors for Road surface monitoring	Machine Learning, Smartphones	Approach was considered impractical for real-life applications.	Sensors are dependent on device's placement and orientation, smoothness of data, and speed of vehicle it is mounted on. Accuracy of results is difficult to compare.
Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning approaches	Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent Neural Network	Identified that RNN was the best deep learning approach due to high prediction performance.	Data collection is considered too difficult and complicated to execute due to sensors being mounted on an integral part of the vehicle.
Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-Based Segmentation and Detection	SqueezeNet, U-Net, YOLOv5, and MobileNet-SSD models	YOLOv5 model recorded the highest accuracy.	Only 2D images are used for the study which may have allowed higher accuracy rates, and the study also lacked depth information.
Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved Ride Quality	Pair of stereo images captured by a stereo camera	System was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm.	Overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

Table 2.1: Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision

⁴⁵⁰ **Chapter 3**

⁴⁵¹ **Research Methodology**

⁴⁵² This chapter outlines the systematic approach that were taken to address the
⁴⁵³ problem of pothole depth estimation using StereoPi V2. The methodology is
⁴⁵⁴ divided into key phases: data collection, algorithm selection, design, testing and
⁴⁵⁵ experimentation, and challenges and limitations. Each phase will play a crucial
⁴⁵⁶ role in accurately classifying and assessing road defects. Each phase is essential
⁴⁵⁷ for accurately estimating the depth of potholes using StereoPi V2.

⁴⁵⁸ **3.1 Research Activities**

⁴⁵⁹ **3.1.1 Data Collection**

⁴⁶⁰ The researchers conducted initial inquiries to understand the problem domain and
⁴⁶¹ existing road maintenance practices. This phase included consulting the engineers
⁴⁶² under the Road Maintenance Department of the government agency Department

463 of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). An interview with Engr. Jane Chua pro-
464 vided a comprehensive overview of the DPWH's road maintenance manual, which
465 was crucial in aligning this project with existing standards. This collaboration
466 with DPWH provided insights into road pothole classification standards, ensuring
467 that the collected data will align with industry standards. The interview with
468 Engr. Chua revealed that the current way to measure potholes is by their area.
469 Additionally, the DPWH manual primarily focuses on the volume of detected pot-
470 holes within a road segment as a measure of severity. However, since depth is not
471 explicitly measured in their current procedures, the study will supplement this by
472 referencing international standards such as the Long-Term Pavement Performance
473 (LTPP) classification used in the United States (Miller & Bellinger, 2014). The
474 LTPP categorizes potholes based on depth thresholds, which will be integrated
475 with DPWH's volume-based assessment to provide a more comprehensive sever-
476 ity classification framework. The data collection involved capturing around 130
477 images of potholes from various locations within the UP Visayas Campus. Ground
478 truth data of pothole depth were collected by the researchers by measuring the
479 depth of different points in an individual pothole and then solving for its aver-
480 age depth. The researchers developed a manual specifically designed for depth
481 measurement, which underwent a review by Engr. Benjamin Javellana, Assistant
482 Director of the Maintenance Division at the Department of Public Works and
483 Highways (DPWH) Regional Office VI. The finalized version of the manual was
484 subsequently validated by the DPWH First District Engineering Office. In order
485 to individually locate or determine each pothole where the ground truth data is
486 collected, images taken were labeled with their corresponding coordinates, street
487 names, and nearby landmarks.

488 3.1.1.1 Data Collection (Ground Truth Data)

489 Data collection took place between January and March 2025, during which the re-
490 searchers collected depth information from 130 potholes around the University of
491 the Philippines Visayas Miagao Campus. During data collection, the researchers
492 are equipped with safety vests and an early warning device to give caution to in-
493 coming vehicles. Following the validated manual for pothole depth measurement,
494 a ruler and a measuring tape were used in both vertical and horizontal positions
495 as shown in Figure 3.1. This setup helped determine the distance from the road
496 surface to the bottom of the pothole. The researchers then recorded four mea-
497 surement points within each pothole, as illustrated in Figure 3.2. The average
498 of these values was taken as the pothole's depth. Figure 3.3 shows the mapped
499 locations of the potholes measured within the UPV campus.



500

Figure 3.1: Manual depth measurement of pothole using a ruler and measuring tape.

501




Figure 3.2: Four measurement points of the pothole.

502




Figure 3.3: Visualized pothole locations during the ground truth data collection within the UPV campus.

503 **3.1.2 Design, Testing, and Experimentation**

504 This section outlines both the design and testing of the system, as well as the
505 experimentation process to validate the selected methodologies.

506 **3.1.2.1 Depth Measurement**

507 Depth estimation is performed by generating disparity maps from the calibrated
508 stereo image pairs captured by the StereoPi V2. In this process, two key mea-
509 surement points are selected for each pothole: one targeting the pothole area
510 itself, and another targeting the adjacent road surface considered as the reference
511 plane. By calculating the difference in disparity values between these two points,
512 the system estimates the relative depth of the pothole. This approach improves
513 accuracy by normalizing disparity measurements against the nearby road surface,
514 effectively isolating the pothole's depth from overall scene variation.

515 The disparity-to-depth conversion utilizes an inverse model derived from calibra-
516 tion data, ensuring that the depth estimates reflect real-world distances accurately
517 within the effective operational range of the stereo camera setup.

518 **3.1.2.2 Severity Assessment**


519 The estimated pothole depths were classified using the Long-Term Pavement Per-
520 formance (LTPP) depth thresholds, an internationally recognized framework for
521 pavement distress evaluation. This classification provides standardized criteria
522 to assess pothole severity objectively based on measured depth values. Specifi-

523 cally, potholes with depths less than 2.5 cm are categorized as low severity, those
524 between 2.5 cm and 5 cm as medium severity, and potholes exceeding 5 cm are
525 classified as high severity (Miller & Bellinger, 2014).

526 **3.1.2.3 Materials and Equipment**

527 The prototype system was constructed using several hardware components, which
528 include the items listed below and shown in Figure 3.3:

- 529 • StereoPi V2 Board
- 530 • Raspberry Pi Compute Module 4 (CM4)
- 531 • Dual RaspberryPi Camera Modules with Fisheye Lens
- 532 • 3D Printed Custom Housing
- 533 • 2-inch LCD Module
- 534 • Micro SD Card
- 535 • Antenna
- 536 • Momentary Push Button



537

Figure 3.4: Components used in the prototype development. From the top left: Raspberry Pi Computer Module 4, 3D Printed Custom Housing, cooling fan, StereoPi V2 Board, two camera modules, antenna, momentary push button, and 2-inch LCD module.

538 3.1.2.4 Prototype Building

539 The prototype involved the StereoPi V2 Kit which was acquired through an official
540 international distributor. After assembling the camera, it was further modified to
541 address the it's heating by incorporating a heat sink and a small computer fan
542 to make it suitable for outdoor use. As shown in Figure 3.4, the dual Raspberry
543 Pi camera modules were securely mounted onto the custom housing. To facili-
544 tate user interaction and real-time monitoring, an LCD module was connected to
545 the StereoPi board, as illustrated in Figure 3.5. The final assembled and fully

546 functional prototype is presented in Figure 3.6.

547




Figure 3.5: Dual RPi Camera Modules attached to the custom housing.




Figure 3.6: LCD Module connected to the StereoPi board.

548



Figure 3.7: The finished prototype.

549 3.1.2.5 Camera Calibration (Fisheye Distortion)

550 The StereoPi V2 was first calibrated using a 9×6 checkerboard, with a checker
 551 size of 55mm, from different angles using calibration scripts that came with the
 552 package. The calibration process, shown in Figure 3.7, involved capturing multiple
 553 images of the checkerboard pattern to correct fisheye lens distortion. This process
 554 ensured that the camera is working properly in capturing stereo imagery. This
 555 removed distortion from captured imaged allowing depth estimation with more

556 accuracy.

557



Figure 3.8: Calibration process with a checkerboard to correct fisheye lens distortion.

558

3.1.2.6 Camera Calibration (Disparity Map Fine-tuning)

559 The stereo image pairs captured by the system were first rectified to ensure proper
 560 alignment of corresponding features. Block matching parameters were then fine-
 561 tuned to produce clearer and more accurate disparity maps. This tuning process
 562 is illustrated in Figure 3.8. It was observed that the effective operational range of
 563 the stereo camera system extends from approximately 30 to 80 cm. At distances
 564 closer than 30 cm, the disparity maps exhibited significant noise, while at distances
 565 beyond 80 cm, disparity information became sparse or blank.

566




Figure 3.9: Parameter tuning process to achieve cleaner and more accurate disparity maps.

567 **3.1.2.7 Initial Testing**

568 Initial testing was conducted to verify the functionality and basic accuracy of the
569 stereoscopic camera system in a controlled environment. Simulated potholes with
570 known depths were created to cover a wider range of pothole depth and shape,
571 and also to consider the extremes. The system captured disparity maps, and
572 estimated depths were computed using the standard stereo camera depth formula.
573 The LCD module displayed the disparity map and estimated depth readings in
574 real-time during these tests, as shown in Figure 3.9.

575




Figure 3.10: The system tested on a simulated pothole.

576 However, the results revealed a non-linear relationship between the computed
577 disparity values and the actual distances. This discrepancy indicated that the
578 traditional depth estimation method was insufficient for the current setup. To
579 address this, the researchers collected multiple data points and correlating known
580 distances to their respective disparity readings and fitted an inverse model to

581 better represent the system's behavior (see Figure 3.10). This updated disparity-
582 to-depth model was subsequently used in the final testing phase.




Figure 3.11: Inverse Model Fit to Disparity vs. Distance.

583 3.1.2.8 Performance Metrics

584 The accuracy of the pothole depth estimated by StereoPi V2 was analyzed using
585 Linear Regression in order to model the difference between the disparity and
586 distance. The lower the disparity indicates that the pothole is deeper.

587 3.1.2.9 Final Testing and Validation

588 The testing process began with a detailed testing plan that includes both simu-
589 lated and real-world testing scenarios. Initially, the system is tested in controlled
590 environments to verify its capability to estimate pothole depth effectively. Fol-
591 lowing this, real-world testing was conducted using the StereoPi kit on previously
592 located potholes, specifically at the University of the Philippines Visayas Miagao
593 Campus. Although 130 potholes were originally identified, only 35 potholes that
594 were in the most favorable conditions and practical to measure within the avail-
595 able time were considered for final testing. This was due to factors like debris

and water being present in the pothole, making it difficult to obtain measurements. As illustrated in Figures 3.11 to 3.14, the procedure for estimating pothole depth closely followed the validated depth measurement manual, where the system captured depth measurements at four designated points within each pothole, corresponding to the measurement points used in the manual measurement data. These four estimated depths were then averaged to determine the final depth estimate for each pothole. The system's performance was validated by comparing its predictions with ground-truth data collected from manual inspections.




Figure 3.12: First measure point



Figure 3.13: Second measure point



Figure 3.14: Third measure point



Figure 3.15: Fourth measure point

604 3.1.2.10 Documentation

605 Throughout the research activities, thorough documentation was maintained.
606 This documentation captured all methods, results, challenges, and adjustments
607 made during the experimentation phases. It ensured the reproducibility of the
608 work and provided transparency for future research endeavors.

609 3.1.3 Challenges and Limitations**610 3.1.3.1 Camera Limitations**

611 During the data collection process, the researchers were faced with various issues
612 involving the StereoPi V2 kit. Issues like inaccuracies in the rectified image pair
613 and generated disparity map were very apparent in the early stages of data collec-
614 tion due to limited related studies and literature involving the camera. In addition,
615 the camera also yielded some inaccurate depth estimation and over reliance on
616 controlled environments which prompted the researchers to further improve its
617 tuning and calibration. It was also observed that the effective working range of
618 the camera for accurate depth estimation was limited to a distance of approxi-
619 mately 30cm to 80cm from the subject. Measurements taken outside of this range
620 tended to result in noisy disparity maps or failed to distinguish objects properly
621 in the disparity output, leading to unreliable depth values.

622 Chapter 4

623 Results and Discussion

624 This chapter presents the results on estimating the depth of potholes using the
625 StereoPi system. It details the prototype construction, calibration of the system,
626 and the application of regression analysis to improve depth estimation. It also
627 contains the measurements taken during the testing phases, comparing the ground
628 truth depths with the value estimated by the camera. Findings are presented
629 systematically, supported by tables showing the collected data, images of the
630 outputs, and discussion on the analysis of results.

631 4.1 System Calibration and Model Refinement

632 After the initial testing, the system was calibrated using a controlled setup, where
633 artificial potholes with known depths were created. The stereo camera system
634 captured disparity maps, from which depth was calculated using the standard
635 stereo vision formula:

$$\text{Depth} = \frac{f \times B}{d}$$

636 where:

637 • f is the focal length in pixels,

638 • B is the baseline distance between the two cameras,

639 • d is the disparity.

640 However, preliminary observations revealed that the relationship between measured disparity and depth was shifted from the ideal. Their relationship is inherently nonlinear, specifically an inverse relationship (of the form $y=1/x$). As disparity decreases, depth increases rapidly and nonlinearly. However, due to real-world factors such as lens distortion, imperfect calibration, stereo matching errors, and pixel quantization, the actual relationship between measured disparity and true depth often deviates from the theoretical ideal (Scharstein & Szeliski, 2002).

648 To address the shifting behavior, a curve fitting approach was introduced. Specifically, an inverse model was fitted to the collected data points, relating disparity and ground-truth distance measurements.

651 An inverse function of the form:

$$y = a + \frac{b}{x}$$

652 where:

653 • y is the estimated distance (in cm),

654 • x is the measured disparity,

655 • a and b are coefficients obtained through regression analysis.

656 4.2 Testing Results

657 Following calibration, actual potholes located around the University of the Philip-
658 pines Visayas (UPV) campus were tested. The ground truth depths of the potholes
659 were measured manually and compared with the depths estimated by the StereoPi
660 camera. The input data used for this estimation process, including the disparity
661 map and corresponding stereo image pairs, are shown in Figures 4.1 to 4.3. Based
662 on the results, the StereoPi camera was able to estimate the depths fairly close to
663 the actual measurements.

664 The smallest error occurred in one pothole, where the estimated depth was only
665 0.02 cm off from the ground truth. The largest observed error was 3.45 cm. Most
666 of the time, the camera's estimated depths were within approximately 1 to 3
667 centimeters of the actual depths.

668 A complete comparison of ground truth and estimated depth values can be found
669 in Appendix C.

670 The results show that the StereoPi system provides highly accurate estimates
671 of pothole depth. As shown in Table 4.1, the strong correlation ($R=0.978$) and




Figure 4.1: Disparity Map




Figure 4.2: Left Stereo Image




Figure 4.3: Right Stereo Image

high coefficient of determination ($R^2=0.956$) indicate that the actual depth significantly predicts the estimated values. Additionally, Table 4.2 presents the model coefficients, showing that the regression coefficient for actual depth was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), suggesting that the relationship is not due to chance. While the RMSE of 0.844 cm and MAE of 0.945 cm suggest generally small errors, the presence of a maximum error of 3.45 cm indicates that there may be occasional outliers or limitations in specific scenarios. Nonetheless, the overall model performance demonstrates that the StereoPi system is suitable for practical potential hole depth estimation, showing reasonable accuracy given the hardware setup and environmental conditions.

R	R²	Root Mean Square Error (cm)	Mean Absolute Error (cm)
0.978	0.956	0.844	0.945

Table 4.1: Linear Regression Model Fit Summary

Predictor	Estimate	SE	t	p
Intercept	0.159	0.2544	0.625	0.536
Actual Depth	0.848	0.0317	26.752	<0.001

Table 4.2: Model Coefficients - Estimated Depth

682 In figure 4.4, a linear relationship between actual and estimated depth is observed
683 with points closely clustered around the regression line. Indicating the accurate
684 depth estimation. The close alignment of most data points with the fitted line
685 and narrow confidence interval suggest high predictive accuracy and minimal de-
686 viation, especially at lower depth values.




Figure 4.4: Linear Regression Fit Between Actual and Estimated Depth

687 4.3 Discussion

688 The study found that stereo vision works effectively in helping estimate the depth
689 of road potholes. The system built using the StereoPi V2 camera was able to
690 measure pothole depths with results mostly within ± 3 cm of the actual ground
691 truth values, with an overall root mean square error (RMSE) of 0.844 cm and
692 mean absolute error (MAE) of 0.945 cm. This matches the general observation
693 in earlier studies such as those by Ramaiah and Kundu (2021), which showed
694 that stereo vision can provide useful 3D information for road obstacle detection.

695 However, this study advances previous work by focusing not just on detection,
696 but on depth-based severity classification, which was largely missing in earlier
697 research.

698 A strong positive correlation ($R = 0.978$) and coefficient of determination (R^2
699 = 0.956) indicate that the actual pothole depths strongly predict the estimated
700 values. The regression model's significant predictor ($p < 0.001$) further supports
701 the robustness of the depth estimation approach. This level of accuracy and model
702 performance highlights the suitability of the StereoPi system for practical field
703 applications in pothole monitoring and maintenance prioritization. This finding
704 is significant because earlier machine learning-based road detection studies such as
705 those by Bibi et al. (2021) focused mostly on classifying the existence of defects,
706 not measuring their severity.

707 The outputs of the system were generally positive, showing that with proper cal-
708 ibration and tuning, consistent and reliable depth estimates can be produced.
709 Calibration using checkerboards and tuning block matching parameters were cru-
710 cial steps in achieving these results. Similar to the findings of Sanz et al. (2012),
711 proper stereo camera calibration was found to be critical to achieving accept-
712 able disparity maps. This reinforces the importance of calibration techniques,
713 especially in real-world outdoor conditions where environmental factors introduce
714 noise.

715 However, the study also highlighted limitations affecting system performance, in-
716 cluding sensitivity to camera calibration quality, lighting conditions, road surface
717 texture, and the camera's vertical positioning during image capture. Outdoor
718 testing revealed that low lighting and shallow potholes made it difficult to gen-

719 erate clean disparity maps, sometimes causing minor estimation errors. These
720 observations are consistent with Sattar et al. (2018), who reported that mobile
721 road sensing systems often struggle in low-light or highly variable surface condi-
722 tions. Understanding these challenges is important because it points to practical
723 improvements, such as using better cameras, adding lighting support, or applying
724 more robust image enhancement methods in future versions of the system.

⁷²⁵ Chapter 5

⁷²⁶ Conclusion

⁷²⁷ This chapter provides conclusions based on the research findings from data collected on the development of a pothole depth estimation system using stereo vision technology. It then presents recommendations for practice and suggestions for further research.

⁷³¹ 5.1 Summary

⁷³² This special project addressed the critical issue of road maintenance by developing a system capable of estimating the depth of potholes to help prioritize repairs. ⁷³⁴ The purpose of the project was to create an automated method that not only ⁷³⁵ detects potholes but also assesses their severity based on depth, responding to ⁷³⁶ the current manual and slow road inspection practices. The researchers aimed to ⁷³⁷ collect high-quality images of potholes under varying conditions, to validate the ⁷³⁸ system's depth estimation accuracy using ground truth measurements and linear

⁷³⁹ regression analysis, and to build a working prototype using stereo vision that can
⁷⁴⁰ detect, measure, and assess potholes.

⁷⁴¹ To achieve these objectives, a hardware prototype was built using the StereoPi
⁷⁴² V2 board and Raspberry Pi Compute Module 4, equipped with dual fisheye-lens
⁷⁴³ cameras. Camera calibration was performed using a 9x6 checkerboard pattern
⁷⁴⁴ with known square sizes to correct for fisheye lens distortion and ensure proper
⁷⁴⁵ alignment of the stereo pair. After calibration, disparity map generation was
⁷⁴⁶ fine-tuned by adjusting block matching parameters to produce clearer and more
⁷⁴⁷ reliable disparity maps. Initial testing was conducted using simulated potholes
⁷⁴⁸ with known depths to verify the functionality of the system and identify the non-
⁷⁴⁹ linear behavior present in stereo vision depth measurements. It was observed that
⁷⁵⁰ using the standard stereo depth formula led to inaccuracies, particularly at greater
⁷⁵¹ distances.

⁷⁵² The calibrated system and fitted regression model were validated by comparing
⁷⁵³ the estimated depths with the manually measured depths. The findings showed
⁷⁵⁴ that the system was able to estimate pothole depths within approximately ± 3
⁷⁵⁵ cm of actual measurements, achieving a Mean Absolute Error (MAE) of 0.945 cm
⁷⁵⁶ and a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of 0.844 cm. A strong positive linear
⁷⁵⁷ relationship was observed between the estimated and actual depths ($R = 0.978$,
⁷⁵⁸ $R^2 = 0.956$).

⁷⁵⁹ 5.2 Conclusions

⁷⁶⁰ The researchers conclude the following based on the findings:

- 761 ● The system effectively captures and analyzes depth information from stereo
762 images, providing a viable method for automated pothole severity assess-
763 ment.
- 764 ● Incorporating depth measurements significantly improves pothole repair pri-
765 oritization compared to traditional visual-only inspections, allowing main-
766 tenance decisions to be based on objective, measurable data.
- 767 ● The system achieved an acceptable regression model fit, with a strong posi-
768 tive correlation ($R = 0.978$) and a coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.956$),
769 confirming that the depth estimates closely align with the ground truth
770 measurements. The system obtained satisfactory error metrics, with a Mean
771 Absolute Error (MAE) of 0.945 cm and a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)
772 of 0.844 cm, indicating reliable performance for both pothole detection and
773 depth estimation tasks.
- 774 ● The proposed approach fills a critical gap in current road maintenance prac-
775 tices, especially within the Philippine context where depth-based severity
776 classification is not yet systematically implemented.

777 This special project has successfully developed a system that addresses the prob-
778 lem of pothole severity assessment using depth measurement. The research shows
779 that stereo vision, even using accessible and affordable technology, holds strong
780 potential for future development in road maintenance automation. By building
781 upon the foundation laid by this project, future systems can become even more
782 accurate, efficient, and practical for real-world deployment

783 5.3 Recommendations for Practice

784 Based on the findings of this special project, the following recommendations are
785 proposed for future researchers, engineers, and road maintenance agencies:

786 *Use stereo vision systems for road surveys.* In contexts where LiDAR-based tech-
787 nologies may be cost-prohibitive, maintenance agencies should consider adopting
788 calibrated stereo vision systems for estimating pothole depth. This approach offers
789 a more cost-effective alternative while still enabling depth-based severity classifi-
790 cation, thereby allowing for more objective and data-driven prioritization of road
791 repairs compared to traditional visual inspections.

792 *Incorporate depth-based severity classification in maintenance procedures.* Au-
793 thorities should update road inspection protocols to include depth measurements,
794 making pothole severity assessment more objective and standardized.

795 5.4 Suggestions for Further Research

796 Based on the limitations encountered and the results obtained, the researchers have
797 observed that there are lapses and possible improvements to further better this
798 system.

799 *Better camera.* While the StereoPi V2 camera was effective for basic depth es-
800 timation, its performance is limited by its resolution, sensitivity to lighting, and
801 depth range. Future researchers could consider using higher-quality stereo cam-
802 eras or depth sensors with better image resolution and low-light capabilities to
803 achieve more accurate and consistent disparity maps.

804 *Improve camera calibration and tuning.* While the StereoPi system produced good
805 depth estimates, the results still varied depending on the precision of the camera
806 calibration. Future researchers can explore better calibration techniques and finer
807 parameter adjustments to minimize errors, especially in challenging environments.

808 *Use of multi-camera arrays.* Instead of relying solely on a two-camera stereo setup,
809 future research could explore the use of multi-point or multi-angle camera arrays.
810 These systems can offer improved depth perception and coverage, particularly for
811 complex or uneven road surfaces, by capturing more comprehensive 3D data.

812 *Integration of stereo vision with motion-based analysis.* Incorporating frame dif-
813 ferencing techniques, similar to motion detection algorithms, could be beneficial
814 for dynamic environments or mobile applications. This approach may simulate
815 the effect of a moving vehicle and allow the system to detect and estimate potholes
816 more robustly in real time, enhancing its applicability for onboard vehicle-mounted
817 systems.

⁸¹⁸ **Chapter 6**

⁸¹⁹ **References**

- ⁸²⁰ Balita, C. (2024, June 14). *Road length Philippines 2023, by classification*. Retrieved from <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1276356/philippines-road-length-by-classification/>
- ⁸²¹
- ⁸²²
- ⁸²³ Bibi, R., Saeed, Y., Zeb, A., Ghazal, T. M., Rahman, T., Said, R. A., ... Khan, M. A. (2021). Edge AI-Based Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies in VANET Using Deep Learning. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2021(1). doi: 10.1155/2021/6262194
- ⁸²⁴
- ⁸²⁵
- ⁸²⁶
- ⁸²⁷ Ha, J., Kim, D., & Kim, M. (2022). Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-Based Segmentation and Detection. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 78(16), 17721–17735. doi: 10.1007/s11227-022-04560-x
- ⁸²⁸
- ⁸²⁹
- ⁸³⁰ Kumar, A. (2024, October). What is Image Processing: Overview, Applications, Benefits, and More. *AI and Machine Learning*. Retrieved from <https://www.simplilearn.com/image-processing-article> (Accessed: January 1, 2025)
- ⁸³¹
- ⁸³²
- ⁸³³
- ⁸³⁴ Kyriakou, C., Christodoulou, S. E., & Dimitriou, L. (2016, April). Road-

- way Pavement Anomaly Classification Utilizing Smartphones and Artificial Intelligence. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference*. Retrieved from <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7495459>
- Luo, D., Lu, J., & Guo, G. (2020, June). Road Anomaly Detection Through Deep Learning Approaches. *IEEE Journals and Magazine*. (<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9123753/>)
- Miller, J. S., & Bellinger, W. Y. (2014). *Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program*. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. Retrieved from <https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/28612>
- Ramaiah, N. K. B., & Kundu, S. (2021). Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved Ride Quality. *SAE International Journal of Advances and Current Practices in Mobility*, 3(5), 2603–2610. doi: 10.4271/2021-01-0085
- Ramos, J. A., Dacanay, J. P., & Bronuela-Ambrocio, L. (2023). *A Review of the Current Practices in the Pavement Surface Monitoring in the Philippines* (Doctoral dissertation, University of the Philippines Diliman). Retrieved from https://ncts.upd.edu.ph/tssp/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/TSSP2022_09.pdf
- RICHES Project. (2014). *Video Processing*. Retrieved from <https://resources.riches-project.eu/glossary/video-processing/>
- Sanz, P., Mezcua, B., & Pena, J. (2012). Depth Estimation: An Introduction. *Current Advancements in Stereo Vision*. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/45904> doi: 10.5772/45904
- Sattar, S., Li, S., & Chapman, M. (2018). Road Surface Monitoring Using Smartphone Sensors: A Review. *Sensors*, 18(11), 3845–3845. doi:

861 10.3390/s18113845

862 Scharstein, D., & Szeliski, R. (2002). A Taxonomy and Evaluation of Dense Two-
863 Frame Stereo Correspondence Algorithms. *International Journal of Com-*
864 *puter Vision*, 47(1), 7–42. Retrieved from <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1014573219977> doi: 10.1023/A:1014573219977

865 Singh, P., Bansal, A., Kamal, A. E., & Kumar, S. (2021). Road Surface Quality
866 Monitoring Using Machine Learning Algorithm. In *Smart Innovation, Sys-*
867 *tems and Technologies* (pp. 423–432). doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-6482-3_42

868

869 **Appendix A**

870 **Code Snippets**

Listing A.1: Function for generating stereo depth map and classifying pothole severity based on depth difference between two points

```
871     def stereo_depth_map(rectified_pair ,  
872                           save_path_prefix=None):  
873         global disp_max , disp_min  
874         dmLeft , dmRight = rectified_pair  
875  
876         disparity_raw = sbm.compute(dmLeft , dmRight) .  
877             astype(np.float32)  
878         disparity_raw /= 16.0    # normalize disparity  
879  
880         local_max , local_min = disparity_raw.max() ,  
881             disparity_raw.min()  
882  
883         if dm_colors_autotune:
```

```

884     disp_max = max(local_max, disp_max)
885     disp_min = min(local_min, disp_min)
886     local_max, local_min = disp_max, disp_min
887
888     # Normalize for visualization
889     disparity_vis = (disparity_raw - local_min) *
890         (255.0 / (local_max - local_min))
891     disparity_vis = np.uint8(np.clip(disparity_vis, 0,
892         255))
893     disparity_color = cv2.applyColorMap(disparity_vis,
894         cv2.COLORMAP_JET)
895
896     # Calculate depth
897     depth_map = calculate_depth(disparity_raw)
898
899     # Define two points
900     center_y, center_x = depth_map.shape[0] // 2,
901         depth_map.shape[1] // 2 - 20
902     second_y = center_y
903     second_x = center_x + offset_x
904
905     # Read depth and disparity values
906     center_depth_cm = (depth_map[center_y, center_x])
907     second_depth_cm = (depth_map[second_y, second_x])
908     estimated_depth_cm = abs(center_depth_cm -

```

```

909     second_depth_cm)

910

911     # Define severity based on estimated depth

912     if estimated_depth_cm < 2.5:
913         severity = "Low"
914
915     elif estimated_depth_cm >= 2.5 and
916         estimated_depth_cm < 5.0:
917         severity = "Medium"
918
919     elif estimated_depth_cm > 5.0:
920         severity = "High"
921
922     else:
923         severity = "Unknown"

```

Listing A.2: Main loop for capturing stereo image pairs, remapping them for rectification, and estimating depth

```

921     for frame in camera.capture_continuous(capture ,
922             format="bgra", use_video_port=True, resize=
923                 img_width, img_height)):
924
925         pair_img = cv2.cvtColor(frame, cv2.COLOR_BGR2GRAY)
926
927         imgLeft = pair_img[:, :img_width // 2]
928
929         imgRight = pair_img[:, img_width // 2:]
930
931         imgL = cv2.remap(imgLeft, leftMapX, leftMapY,
932                         interpolation=cv2.INTER_LINEAR, borderMode=cv2.
933                         BORDER_CONSTANT)

```

```

932     imgR = cv2.remap(imgRight, rightMapX, rightMapY,
933                         interpolation=cv2.INTER_LINEAR, borderMode=cv2.
934                         BORDER_CONSTANT)
935
936     if useStripe:
937         imgL = imgL[80:160,:]
938         imgR = imgR[80:160,:]
939
940         stereo_depth_map((imgL, imgR), save_path_prefix=
941                           None)
942
943         button_held_time = 0
944         HOLD_THRESHOLD = 1.0 # seconds
945
946         if GPIO.input(BUTTON_PIN) == GPIO.LOW:
947             press_start = time.time()
948             while GPIO.input(BUTTON_PIN) == GPIO.LOW:
949                 time.sleep(0.01)
950                 button_held_time = time.time() - press_start
951
952             if button_held_time < HOLD_THRESHOLD:
953                 timestamp = datetime.now().strftime("%Y%m%d_%H%M%S
954                                         ")
955                 prefix = f"./captures/capture_{timestamp}"
956                 print(f"\n[!] - Capturing - snapshot - at - {timestamp} ..."

```

```
957         ” )  
958         stereo_depth_map( (imgL, imgR) , save_path_prefix=  
959             prefix)  
960         time.sleep(0.5)  
961     else:  
962         cycle_offset()  
963         time.sleep(0.5)
```


⁹⁶⁴ **Appendix B**

⁹⁶⁵ **Resource Persons**

⁹⁶⁶ **Jumar Cadondon, Ph.D.**

⁹⁶⁷ Assistant Professor

⁹⁶⁸ Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics

⁹⁶⁹ University of the Philippines Visayas

⁹⁷⁰ jgcadondon@up.edu.ph

⁹⁷¹

⁹⁷² **Engr. Jane Chua**

⁹⁷³ Engineer

⁹⁷⁴ Planning and Design

⁹⁷⁵ DPWH Region 6

⁹⁷⁶ chua.jane@dpwh.gov.ph

⁹⁷⁷

⁹⁷⁸

979 **Engr. Marilou Zamora**

980 Chief

981 Planning and Design

982 DPWH Region 6

983 zamora.marilou@dpwh.gov.ph

984

985 **Engr. Benjamin Javellana**

986 Assistant Director

987 Maintenance

988 DPWH Region 6

989 javellana.benjamin@dpwh.gov.ph

990

991 **Mr. Cris Beleber**

992 Engineering Assistant

993 Planning and Design

994 DPWH Region 6

995 beleber.cris@dpwh.gov.ph

996

⁹⁹⁷ Appendix C

⁹⁹⁸ Data Table and Pothole Images






Figure C.1: Actual Pothole Images



(a) Simulated Pothole Disparity Map



(b) Simulated Pothole Left Stereo Image



(c) Simulated Pothole Right Stereo Image



(d) Simulated Pothole StereoPi capture

Figure C.2: Simulated Pothole Images

Sample	Actual Depth (cm)	Estimated Depth (cm)	Residual	Absolute Error (cm)
1	14.500	11.050	-3.450	3.450
2	12.050	11.380	-0.670	0.670
3	6.450	4.380	-2.070	2.070
4	9.550	6.890	-2.660	2.660
5	14.300	13.860	-0.240	0.240
6	1.875	2.050	0.175	0.175
7	2.600	2.663	0.063	0.063
8	1.500	2.230	0.730	0.730
9	1.750	1.775	0.025	0.025
10	1.625	1.567	-0.058	0.058
11	1.800	1.745	-0.055	0.055
12	1.675	1.653	-0.022	0.022
13	2.225	2.078	-0.147	0.147
14	1.875	1.903	0.028	0.028
15	3.050	2.230	-0.820	0.820
16	2.625	2.185	-0.440	0.440
17	3.050	2.708	-0.342	0.342
18	2.225	2.185	-0.040	0.040
19	3.025	2.822	-0.203	0.203
20	1.800	1.718	-0.083	0.083
21	2.300	2.047	-0.252	0.252
22	2.500	1.950	-0.550	0.550
23	10.800	8.785	-2.015	2.015
24	14.500	11.050	-3.450	3.450
25	9.200	7.122	-2.077	2.077
26	9.800	8.825	-0.975	0.975
27	14.300	13.860	-0.440	0.440
28	7.100	7.883	0.783	0.783
29	9.800	8.182	-1.618	1.618
30	8.100	8.200	0.100	0.100
31	11.500	9.533	-1.967	1.967
32	12.100	11.380	-0.720	0.720
33	6.500	4.380	-2.120	2.120
34	9.300	6.890	-2.410	2.410
35	12.200	10.918	-1.282	1.282

Table C.1: Actual vs. Estimated Depths with Residuals and Absolute Errors

⁹⁹⁹ Appendix D

¹⁰⁰⁰ Supplementary Documents

January 31, 2025

ENGR. ETHEL B. MORALES
 OIC – District Engineer
 Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH)
 1st District Engineering Office
 Rizal St., Guimbal, Iloilo



Dear Engr. Morales:

Greetings of Honor and Excellence!

We are 4th year Bachelor of Science in Computer Science students from the University of the Philippines Visayas, currently working on a special problem for our CMSC 198.2 course. Our project focuses on developing a system for the depth estimation of potholes.

In line with this, we recently had an opportunity to interview representatives from your esteemed agency at the regional office in Fort San Pedro, Iloilo City. It was under their advice to approach the 1st district office of DPWH since it is where our data gathering process will take place.

We would greatly appreciate your review and validation of these procedures so that this special problem will be accurate and compliant with engineering standards.

Your support will not only guide our research but also ensure our system could one day be a valuable tool in improving road maintenance practices. We understand the importance of these standards, and we assure you that any information provided will be strictly used for academic purposes.

We sincerely hope that you accept our humble request, as it will play a crucial role in our project.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. We look forward to your positive response.

Sincerely,


BENZ VRIANNE BELEBER
Team Leader


KRISTIAN LYLE SENCIL
Team Member


PERSEROCE CATALAN
Team Member

Figure D.1: Letter requesting validation of data collection procedures.

University Of The Philippines Visayas
College Of Arts And Sciences
Division Of Physical Sciences And Mathematics

RECEIVED

January 31, 2025

Dr. Farisal U. Bagsit
Vice Chancellor for Administration

UP VISAYAS
(through channels) OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR

NOA 25-0226
REF. NO. FEB 01 2025

Dear Vice Chancellor Bagsit,
Good day!

We, Benz Vrianne Beleber, Kristian Lyle Sencil, and Perserose Catalan, are currently conducting our Special Problem study as a requirement for our Bachelor of Science in Computer Science at the University of the Philippines Visayas.

Our study focuses on detecting potholes and assessing their severity through depth measurement estimation utilizing stereo vision and machine learning.. As part of our data collection process, we need to conduct manual measurement of potholes within the campus roads which will serve as ground truth data to validate our methodology. Our procedures have been validated by the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), ensuring that our approach aligns with their standards. This will involve recording the depth and dimensions of potholes using standard measuring tools while ensuring minimal disruption to pedestrian and vehicular movement.

We kindly request permission to conduct this data collection within the University of the Philippines Visayas campus roads.

We assure you that we will adhere to all safety protocols, coordinate with relevant offices, and follow any guidelines set by the administration regarding our activities. We are also open to any recommendations or conditions you may impose to the safe conduct of our data gathering.

We sincerely hope for your kind consideration of our request.
APPROVED / DISAPPROVED
Thank you very much.

Sincerely yours,

CLEMENT O. CAMPASANO
CLEMENT O. CAMPASANO
CHANCELLOR

Benz Vrianne Beleber
Benz Vrianne Beleber
Team Member

Perserose Catalan
Perserose Catalan
Team Leader

Kent Christian A. Castor
Kent Christian A. Castor
Chairperson, DPM

RECOMMENDING APPROVAL/DISAPPROVAL:
FARISAL U. BAGSIT, Ph.D.
Vice Chancellor for Administration

It would be nice if the research team can present some their data to UPV admin

RECOMMEND APPROVAL:
PEPITO R. FERNANDEZ JR.,
DEAN, COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCES
IP VISAYAS

31 JAN 2025
REF NO. PRF 2025-023

09614415782

Figure D.2: Letter requesting permission for ground truth data collection within the UPV campus.

January 17, 2025

ENGR. SANNY BOY O. OROPEL, CES E
 Regional Director
 Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH)
 Regional Office VI
 Fort San Pedro, Iloilo City

Dear Engr. Oropel:

Greetings of Honor and Excellence!



We are Computer Science students from the University of the Philippines Visayas, currently working on a special problem for our CMSC 198.2 course. Our project focuses on developing a system for the depth estimation of potholes.

In line with this, we kindly request an opportunity to interview representatives from your esteemed agency to gain insights into the process of verifying our research data, including ground truth data. This will greatly assist us in ensuring that our system adheres to the standards and requirements upheld by your agency.

We would also greatly appreciate your advice on the specific procedures, documentation, and requirements necessary for submitting our data for review. Your expertise and assistance would be invaluable to the success of our project, and we are eager to learn from your knowledge and experience.

Your support will not only guide our research but also ensure our system could one day be a valuable tool in improving road maintenance practices. We understand the importance of these standards, and we assure you that any information provided will be strictly used for academic purposes.

We sincerely hope that you accept our humble request, as it will play a crucial role in our project.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. We look forward to your positive response.

Sincerely,


 BENZ VRIANNE BELEBER


 KRISTIAN LYLE SENCIL


 PERSE ROSE P. CATALAN

Figure D.3: Letter requesting an interview with DPWH representatives for the process of verifying ground truth data.




Figure D.4: Letter requesting an interview with DPWH representatives for the standard operating procedures of the agency for assessing road quality.



UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS

POTHOLE MEASUREMENT PROCEDURAL MANUAL

Prepared by:

Benz Vrianne BELEBER
Perserose CATALAN
Kristian Lyle SENCIL



Figure D.5: Validated pothole measurement procedural manual, reviewed by Engr. Ethel B. Morales, District Engineer, DPWH 1st District Engineering Office.



**UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS**

I. PURPOSE

This manual is developed as part of the special project *Defectra: Road Defect Severity Assessment and Classification* at the University of the Philippines Visayas. The study focuses on evaluating road defects, particularly potholes, by assessing their severity based on the depth measurements. This manual establishes a standardized procedure for measuring pothole depth, ensuring consistency, accuracy, and compliance with engineering best practices.

II. SCOPE

This procedure applies to research personnel, faculty members, and students involved in pothole assessment and measurement activities within the University of the Philippines Visayas and designated research sites.

III. PROCEDURE

a. Preparation and Safety Measures

- Ensure the availability and functionality of required equipment, including a GPS-enabled camera for location tagging, rulers, and measuring tape.
- Wear appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE), such as reflective vests and hard hats, to ensure visibility and safety.
- Set up early warning device if working on active roads to alert motorists and pedestrians
- Ensure all equipment is in optimal working condition before use.

Figure D.6: Second page of the pothole measurement procedural manual



UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS

b. Pothole Depth Measurement



- Place straight edge across the pothole's edge to measure depth.
- Use a measuring tape or depth gauge to record depth.
- Repeat the process at multiple points to account for uneven depths and allow for an average value that represents the overall depth of the pothole.

Figure D.7: Third page of the pothole measurement procedural manual



**UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS**

c. Data Documentation



- Capture photographs of the pothole from multiple angles, ensuring that measurement tools are visible in the images for scale reference.
- Record depth measurements alongside GPS coordinates for accurate geotagging.
- Save the data in organized format and proper identification using unique identifiers for easy tracking and analysis.

IV. SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

Compliance with university guidelines on safety is mandatory throughout the measurement process. Ensuring safety during pothole measurement is essential to protect personnel and road users. All team members must wear the required personal protective equipment (PPE), including reflective vests and hard hats, to enhance visibility and minimize risk. Researchers must remain aware of their surroundings and avoid working in high-traffic areas without proper traffic control measures.

Figure D.8: Fourth page of the pothole measurement procedural manual



**UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES VISAYAS
COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
DIVISION OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS**

V. QUALITY CONTROL

To ensure the accuracy and reliability of data collected, all measurements and documentation must be subject to review by qualified engineers from the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). Multiple measurements should be taken at different points within the pothole to ensure consistency and eliminate human error. All recorded data must undergo verification through cross-checking by designated personnel. Any discrepancies observed during validation should be addressed, and corrective measures implemented. The final reports and findings must be reviewed and approved by DPWH engineers to validate compliance with national road assessment standards.

VI. RECORDS AND DOCUMENTATION

All measurements, including depth readings and GPS coordinates, must be logged in a standardized format with clear labels. Photographic documentation should be taken from various angles to provide visual evidence of pothole conditions. Data should be stored electronically with appropriate file naming conventions for easy retrieval.

Figure D.9: Fifth page of the pothole measurement procedural manual