

1 DEFECTRA: ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT
2 AND CLASSIFICATION

3 A Special Problem Proposal
4 Presented to
5 the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
6 College of Arts and Sciences
7 University of the Philippines Visayas
8 Miag-ao, Iloilo

9 In Partial Fulfillment
10 of the Requirements for the Degree of
11 Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

12 BELEBER, Benz Vrianne
13 CATALAN, Perserose
14 SENCIL, Kristian Lyle

15 Francis DIMZON
16 Adviser
17 Jumar CADONDON
18 Co-Adviser

19 January 13, 2025

Abstract

21 From 150 to 200 words of short, direct and complete sentences, the abstract should
22 be informative enough to serve as a substitute for reading the entire SP document
23 itself. It states the rationale and the objectives of the research. In the final Special
24 Problem document (i.e., the document you'll submit for your final defense), the
25 abstract should also contain a description of your research results, findings, and
26 contribution(s).

27 Suggested keywords based on ACM Computing Classification system can be
28 found at https://dl.acm.org/ccs/ccs_flat.cfm

29 **Keywords:** Keyword 1, keyword 2, keyword 3, keyword 4, etc.

Contents

31	1 Introduction	1
32	1.1 Overview	1
33	1.2 Problem Statement	2
34	1.3 Research Objectives	2
35	1.3.1 General Objective	2
36	1.3.2 Specific Objectives	3
37	1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research	3
38	1.5 Significance of the Research	4
39	2 Review of Related Literature	5
40	2.1 Related Literature	5
41	2.1.1 Deep Learning	5
42	2.1.2 YOLOv5	5
43	2.1.3 Image and Video Processing	6
44	2.1.4 Stereo Vision	6
45	2.2 Related Studies	6
46	2.2.1 Deep Learning Studies	6
47	2.2.2 Machine Learning Studies	8

48	2.2.3 Computer Vision Studies	9
49	2.3 Chapter Summary	11
50	3 Methodology	12
51	3.1 Research Activities	12
52	3.1.1 Data Collection	12
53	3.1.2 Algorithm Selection	13
54	3.1.3 Design, Testing, and Experimentation	13
55	3.1.4 Challenges and Limitations	15
56	3.2 Calendar of Activities	16
57	4 Preliminary Results/System Prototype	17
58	References	18
59	A Appendix Title	20
60	B Resource Persons	21

⁶¹ List of Figures

62 List of Tables

<small>63</small>	2.1	Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using	
<small>64</small>		Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision	11
<small>65</small>	3.1	Timetable of Activities for 2024	16
<small>66</small>	3.2	Timetable of Activities for 2025	16

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Overview

According to the National Road Length by Classification, Surface Type, and Condition of the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), as of October 2022 approximately 98.97% of roads in the Philippines is paved which is either made of concrete or asphalt (DPWH, 2022). Since the DPWH is an institution under the government, it is paramount to maintain such roads in order to avoid accidents and congested traffic situations especially in heavily urbanized areas where there are a lot of vehicles.

In an interview with the Road Board of DPWH Region 6 it was stated that road condition assessments are mostly done manually with heavy reliance on engineering judgment. In addition, manual assessment of roads is also time consuming which leaves maintenance operations to wait for lengthy assessments (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16 September 2024). In a study conducted by (Ramos, Dacanay, & Bronuela-Ambrocio, 2023), it was found that the Philippines' current method of manual pavement surveying is considered as a gap since it takes an average of 2-3 months to cover a 250 km road as opposed to a 1 day duration in the Australian Road Research Board for the same road length. Ramos et al. (2022) recommended that to significantly improve efficiency of surveying methods and data gathering processes, automated survey tools are to be employed. It was also added that use of such automated surveying tools can also guarantee the safety of road surveyors (Ramos et al., 2023).

If the process of assessment on the severity of road defects can be automated then the whole process of assessing the quality of roads can be hastened up which

92 can also enable maintenance operations to commence as soon as possible if nec-
93 essary. If not automated, the delay of assessments will continue and roads that
94 are supposedly needing maintenance may not be properly maintained which can
95 affect the general public that is utilizing public roads daily.

96 1.2 Problem Statement

97 Roads support almost every aspect of daily life, from providing a way to transport
98 goods and services to allowing people to stay connected with their communities.
99 However, road defects such as cracks and potholes damage roads over time, and
100 they can increase accident risks and affect the overall transportation. The current
101 way of inspecting the roads for maintenance is often slow as it is done manually,
102 which makes it harder to detect and fix defects early. The delay in addressing
103 these problems can lead to even worse road conditions (J. Chua, Personal Inter-
104 view. 16 September 2024). There are several research studies into automated
105 road defect classification that have advanced in recent years but most of them
106 focus on identifying the types of defects rather than assessing their severity or
107 characteristics like depth. Without reliable data on the depth of the defect, road
108 maintenance authorities may underestimate the severity of certain defects. To ad-
109 dress these challenges, advancements are needed across various areas. An effective
110 solution should not only detect and classify road defects but also measure their
111 severity to better prioritize repairs. Failing to address this problem will require
112 more extensive repairs for damaged roads, which raises the cost and strains the
113 budget. Additionally, road maintenance would still be slow and cause disruptions
114 in daily activities. Using an automated system that accurately detects, classifies,
115 and assess the severity of road defects by incorporating depth are necessary to
116 efficiently monitor road quality.

117 1.3 Research Objectives

118 1.3.1 General Objective

119 This special problem aims to develop an automated system that will accurately
120 detect and assess the severity of potholes on road surfaces by using image ana-
121 lysis, depth measurement technologies, and a combination of machine learning and
122 computer vision techniques. The system will focus on measuring the depth of pot-
123 holes to assess their severity, enabling faster and more accurate road maintenance

124 decisions.

125 **1.3.2 Specific Objectives**

126 Specifically, this special problem aims:

- 127 1. To collect high-quality images of road surfaces that capture potholes includ-
128 ing their depth in various lighting and weather conditions.
- 129 2. To develop and train a machine learning model to detect and assess the
130 severity of potholes from images.
- 131 3. To measure the accuracy of the system by comparing the depth measure-
132 ments against ground truth data collected from actual road inspections
- 133 4. To develop a prototype system that can detect and measure road potholes
134 from image input, analyze their depth, and assess their severity.

135 **1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research**

136 This system will focus solely on detecting and assessing the severity of potholes
137 through image analysis and depth measurement technologies. The scope includes
138 the collection of pothole images using cameras and depth-sensing tools under
139 various lighting and weather conditions, ensuring the data captures real-world
140 variations. High-quality and diverse image datasets will be crucial for training
141 the model to accurately assess pothole severity based on depth.

142 Depth-sensing tools, such as stereo cameras, will be used to record the depth
143 of potholes specifically. The system will not address other road defects like cracks
144 or other surface deformations; therefore, it will detect and analyze only potholes.
145 Additionally, only accessible potholes will be measured, meaning those that are
146 filled with water or obscured by debris may not be accurately assessed.

147 The machine learning model developed will focus exclusively on detecting pot-
148 holes and assessing their severity through depth measurement. The accuracy of
149 the model's depth measurements will be evaluated by comparing them against
150 data collected from actual field inspections. However, this comparison will be
151 limited to selected sample sites, as collecting field data over a large area can be
152 time-consuming and resource-intensive.

153 Environmental factors such as lighting, road surface texture, and weather con-
154 ditions may impact the model’s performance. The accuracy and reliability of the
155 model will depend on the quality and variety of the training dataset. Its ability
156 to generalize to unseen pothole images will need to be carefully validated.

157 1.5 Significance of the Research

158 This special problem aims to be significant to the following:

159 *Computer Science Community.* This system can contribute to advancements
160 in computer vision and machine learning by using both visual and depth data to
161 assess the severity of road defects. It introduces a more comprehensive approach
162 compared to the usual image-only or manual inspection methods. This combina-
163 tion can be applied to other fields that need both visual and depth analysis like
164 medical imaging.

165 *Concerned Government Agencies.* This system offers a valuable tool for road
166 safety and maintenance. Not only can this detect and classify anomalies, it can
167 also assess the defect’s severity which allows them to prioritize repairs, optimal
168 project expenditures, and better overall road safety and quality.

169 *Field Engineers.* In the scorching heat, field engineers are no longer required
170 to be on foot unless it requires its engineering judgement when surveying a road
171 segment. It can hasten the overall assessment process.

172 *Future Researchers.* The special problem can serve as a baseline and guide of
173 researchers with the aim to pursue special problems similar or related to this.

174 Chapter 2

175 Review of Related Literature

176 2.1 Related Literature

177 This section of the chapter presents related literature that is considered essential
178 for the development of this special problem.

179 2.1.1 Deep Learning

180 (Kelleher, 2019) states that deep learning is inclined on making large-scale neural
181 networks geared towards creating data-driven decisions. Furthermore, it was also
182 argued that deep learning is oriented towards large-scale, complex data.

183 2.1.2 YOLOv5

184 According to (Solawetz, 2024), YOLOv5 is a model from a family of computer
185 vision models used for object detection. YOLOv5 is reported to perform compa-
186 rably to state-of-the-art techniques. It is designed to extract features from raw
187 input images, used primarily in training object detection models alongside various
188 data augmentation techniques.

189 **2.1.3 Image and Video Processing**

190 (Kumar, 2024) defines image processing as a process of turning an image into its
191 digital form and extracting data from it through certain functions and operations.
192 Usual processes are considered to treat images as 2D signals wherein different
193 processing methods utilize these signals. Like image processing, (Resources, 2020)
194 defines video processing as being able to extract information and data from video
195 footage through signal processing methods. However, in video processing due to
196 the diversity of video formats, compression and decompression methods are often
197 expected to be performed on videos before processing methods to either increase
198 or decrease bitrate.

199 **2.1.4 Stereo Vision**

200 MathWorks (n.d.) defines stereo vision as a process of utilizing multiple 2D per-
201 spectives in order to extract information in 3D. In addition, most uses of stereo
202 vision involve estimating an objects distance from an observer or camera. The 3D
203 information is stated to be extracted with stereo pairs or pair of images through es-
204 timation of relative depth of points in a scene which are then represented through
205 a stereo map that is made through the matching of the pair's corresponding points.

206 **2.2 Related Studies**

207 This section of the chapter presents related studies conducted by other researchers
208 wherein the methodology and technologies used may serve as basis in the devel-
209 opment of this special problem.

210 **2.2.1 Deep Learning Studies**

211 **2.2.1.1 Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies in** 212 **VANET Using Deep Learning**

213 In the study of Bibi et al. (2021) it was noted that identification of active road
214 defects are critical in maintaining smooth and safe flow of traffic. Detection and
215 subsequent repair of such defects in roads are crucial in keeping vehicles using
216 such roads away from mechanical failures. The study also emphasized the growth

217 in use of autonomous vehicles in research data gathering which is what the re-
 218 searchers utilized in data gathering procedures. With the presence of autonomous
 219 vehicles, this allowed the researchers to use a combination of sensors and deep
 220 neural networks in deploying artificial intelligence. The study aimed to allow au-
 221 tonomous vehicles to avoid critical road defects that can possibly lead to dangerous
 222 situations. Researchers used Resnet-18 and VGG-11 in automatic detection and
 223 classification of road defects. Researchers concluded that the trained model was
 224 able to perform better than other techniques for road defect detection (Bibi et al.,
 225 2021). The study is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models
 226 in training artificial intelligence for road defect detection and classification. How-
 227 ever, the study lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects which is
 228 crucial in automating manual procedures of road surveying in the Philippines.

229 **2.2.1.2 Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches**

230 The study of (Luo, Lu, & Guo, 2020) aimed to utilize deep learning models in
 231 classifying road anomalies. The researchers used three deep learning approaches
 232 namely Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent
 233 Neural Network from data collected through the sensors in the vehicle’s suspension
 234 system. In comparing the performance of the three deep learning approaches, the
 235 researchers fixed some hyperparameters. Results revealed that the RNN model
 236 was the most stable among the three and in the case of the CNN and DFN
 237 models, the researchers suggested the use of wheel speed signals to ensure accuracy.
 238 And lastly, the researchers concluded that the RNN model was best due to high
 239 prediction performance with small set parameters (Luo et al., 2020).

240 **2.2.1.3 Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-Based** 241 **Segmentation and Detection**

242 In the study of (Ha, Kim, & Kim, 2022), it was argued that the detection, classi-
 243 fication, and severity assessment of road cracks should be automated due to the
 244 bottleneck it causes during the entire process of surveying. For the study, the
 245 researchers utilized SqueezeNet, U-Net, and MobileNet-SSD models for crack clas-
 246 sification and severity assessment. Furthermore, the researchers also employed
 247 separate U-nets for linear and area cracking cases. For crack detection, the re-
 248 searchers followed the process of pre-processing, detection, classification. Dur-
 249 ing preprocessing images were smoothed out using image processing techniques.
 250 The researchers also utilized YOLOv5 object detection models for classification of
 251 pavement cracking wherein the YOLOv51 model recorded the highest accuracy.
 252 The researchers however stated images used for the study are only 2D images

253 which may have allowed higher accuracy rates. Furthermore, the researchers sug-
254 gest incorporating depth information in the models to further enhance results.

255 **2.2.1.4 Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing smartphones** 256 **and artificial intelligence**

257 The study of (Kyriakou, Christodoulou, & Dimitriou, 2016) presented what is
258 considered as a low-cost technology which was the use of Artificial Neural Net-
259 works in training a model for road anomaly detection from data gathered by
260 smartphone sensors. The researchers were able to collect case study data us-
261 ing two-dimensional indicators of the smartphone’s roll and pitch values. In the
262 study’s discussion, the data collected displayed some complexity due to accelera-
263 tion and vehicle speed which lead to detected anomalies being not as conclusive as
264 planned. The researchers also added that the plots are unable to show parameters
265 that could verify the data’s correctness and accuracy. Despite the setbacks, the
266 researchers still fed the data into the Artificial Neural Network that was expected
267 to produce two outputs which were “no defect” and “defect.” The method still
268 yielded above 90% accuracy but due to the limited number of possible outcomes
269 in the data processing the researchers still needed to test the methodology with
270 larger data sets and roads with higher volumes of anomalies.

271 **2.2.2 Machine Learning Studies**

272 **2.2.2.1 Smartphones as Sensors for Road Surface Monitoring**

273 In their study, (Sattar, Li, & Chapman, 2018) noted the rise of sensing capabilities
274 of smartphones which they utilized in monitoring road surface to detect and iden-
275 tify anomalies. The researchers considered different approaches in detecting road
276 surface anomalies using smartphone sensors. One of which are threshold-based
277 approaches which was determined to be quite difficult due to several factors that
278 are affecting the process of determining the interval length of a window function
279 in spectral analysis (Sattar et al., 2018). The researchers also utilized a machine
280 learning approach adapted from another study. It was stated that k-means was
281 used in classifying sensor data and in training the SVM algorithm. Due to the
282 requirement of training a supervised algorithm using a labeled sample data was
283 required before classifying data from sensors, the approach was considered to be
284 impractical for real-time situations (Sattar et al., 2018). In addition, (Sattar et
285 al., 2018) also noted various challenges when utilizing smartphones as sensors for
286 data gathering such as sensors being dependent on the device’s placement and

orientation, smoothness of captured data, and the speed of the vehicle it is being mounted on. Lastly, it was also concluded that the accuracy and performance of using smartphone sensors is challenging to compare due to the limited data sets and reported algorithms.

2.2.2.2 Road Surface Quality Monitoring Using Machine Learning Algorithms

The study of (Singh, Bansal, Kamal, & Kumar, 2021) aimed to utilize machine learning algorithms in classifying road defects as well as predict their locations. Another implication of the study was to provide useful information to commuters and maintenance data for authorities regarding road conditions. The researchers gathered data using various methods such as smartphone GPS, gyroscopes, and accelerometers. (Singh et al., 2021) also argued that early existing road monitoring models are unable to predict locations of road defects and are dependent on fixed roads and static vehicle speed. Neural and deep neural networks were utilized in the classification of anomalies which was concluded by the researchers to yield accurate results and are applicable on a larger scale of data (Singh et al., 2021). The study of (Singh et al., 2021) can be considered as an effective method in gathering data about road conditions. However, it was stated in the study that relevant authorities will be provided with maintenance operation and there is no presence of any severity assessment in the study. This may cause confusion due to a lack of assessment on what is the road condition that will require extensive maintenance or repair.

2.2.3 Computer Vision Studies

2.2.3.1 Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved Ride Quality

In the study of (Ramaiah & Kundu, 2021) it was stated that stereo vision has been earning attention due to its reliable obstacle detection and recognition. Furthermore, the study also discussed that such technology would be useful in improving ride quality in automated vehicles by integrating it in a predictive suspension control system. The proposed study was to develop a novel stereo vision based pothole detection system which also calculates the depth accurately. However, the study focused on improving ride quality by using the 3D information from detected potholes in controlling the damping coefficient of the suspension system. Overall, the pothole detection system was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is

321 able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm. The researchers concluded
322 that such system can be utilized in commercial applications. However, it is also
323 worth noting that despite the system being able to detect potholes and measure
324 its depth, the overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

2.3 Chapter Summary

The reviewed literature involved various techniques and approaches in road anomaly detection and classification. These approaches are discussed and summarized below along with their limitations and research gaps.

Study	Technology/ Techniques Used	Key Findings	Limitations
Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies in VANET Using Deep Learning	Resnet-18 and VGG-11	Trained model is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training artificial intelligence for road defect detection and classification.	Lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects.
Smartphones as sensors for Road surface monitoring	Machine Learning, Smartphones	Approach was considered impractical for real-life applications.	Sensors are dependent on device's placement and orientation, smoothness of data, and speed of vehicle it is mounted on. Accuracy of results is difficult to compare.
Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches	Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent Neural Network	Identified that RNN was the best deep learning approach due to high prediction performance.	Data collection is considered too difficult and complicated to execute due to sensors being mounted on an integral part of the vehicle.
Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-Based Segmentation and Detection	SqueezeNet, U-Net, YOLOv5, and MobileNet-SSD models	YOLOv51 model recorded the highest accuracy.	Only 2D images are used for the study which may have allowed higher accuracy rates, and the study also lacked depth information.
Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved Ride Quality	Pair of stereo images captured by a stereo camera	System was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm.	Overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

Table 2.1: Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision

Chapter 3

Methodology

This chapter outlines the systematic approach that will be taken to address the problem of classifying and assessing road defects using artificial intelligence. The methodology will be divided into key phases: data collection, algorithm selection, design, testing and experimentation, and challenges and limitations. Each phase will play a crucial role in accurately classifying and assessing road defects. Each phase will be essential for accurately classifying and assessing road defects.

3.1 Research Activities

3.1.1 Data Collection

The researchers conducted initial inquiries to understand the problem domain and existing road maintenance practices. This phase included consulting the engineers under the Road Maintenance Department of the government agency Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). An interview with Engr. Jane Chua provided a comprehensive overview of the DPWH's road maintenance manual, which was crucial in aligning this project with existing standards. This collaboration with DPWH provided insights into road pothole classification standards, ensuring that the collected data will align with industry standards. The researchers will also manually annotate the pilot dataset based on these standards, ensuring local relevance.

349 **3.1.2 Algorithm Selection**

350 Potential solutions, algorithms, and system architectures were discussed by the re-
351 searchers and the special problem adviser in this phase. These sessions, conducted
352 in class and virtually via Zoom, helped narrow down the overview of the system,
353 leading to the selection of the main architecture YOLOv5 for pothole detection
354 and Epipolar Spatio-Temporal Networks (ESTN) for depth estimation.

355 **3.1.2.1 Pothole Detection**

356 YOLOv5 was selected due to its high accuracy and ability to process images in
357 real-time, making it suitable for detecting road defects in dynamic environments.
358 Its architecture is optimized for speed and performance, which is crucial for large-
359 scale deployment in road inspections.

360 **3.1.2.2 Severity Assessment**

361 The Multi-view Depth Estimation using Epipolar Spatio-Temporal Networks was
362 selected due to the high cost and limited accessibility of LiDAR technology. By
363 applying epipolar geometry and temporal consistency across sequential frames,
364 this approach provides an accurate depth estimation from standard video footage
365 (Long, Wang, Zhang, Mei, & Shen, 2021).

366 **3.1.3 Design, Testing, and Experimentation**

367 This section outlines both the design and testing of the system, as well as the
368 experimentation process to validate the selected methodologies.

369 **3.1.3.1 Model Design**

370 The system was designed to operate with two core components: YOLOv5 for
371 pothole detection and ESTN for severity assessment. The model architecture was
372 chosen based on the real-time processing capabilities and the need for accurate
373 depth estimation from standard video footage. The design ensures that the system
374 can detect defects and provide severity assessments in a seamless workflow.

3.1.3.2 Data Set

The YOLOv5 model was trained using two datasets from Universe Roboflow. One of the data sets was posted by a user named Eric Tam. It was also stated that the images from the dataset are sourced from a Crowdsensing-based Road Damage Detection Challenge from 2022 in Japan. The challenge involves contestants being required to submit road damage datasets, shortlist their data set, and use the data set for road damage detection and classification models. The use of this data set in training models for road damage detection and classification ensures that the data is viable for training the YOLOv5 model. The dataset contains various road defects in Japan. Another data set used in training the YOLOv5 model was also uploaded in Universe Roboflow by a user named Atikur Rahman Chitholian which was stated to be part of his undergraduate thesis. The dataset is comprised of 665 images with potholes being labeled. It was also stated that the data set can be utilized in automatically detecting and categorizing potholes found in the streets of cities. Data preprocessing techniques were applied to both datasets to improve model accuracy and generalization. These included resizing images to a uniform size, applying augmentation techniques (flipping, rotation, and color adjustment) to increase dataset variability, and normalizing pixel values to ensure consistency across images.

3.1.3.3 Performance Metrics

The performance of the YOLOv5 model will be evaluated using mean Average Precision (mAP). mAP is a widely used metric in object detection tasks and is particularly useful for assessing models that need to detect and classify multiple object categories. In this case, mAP will provide a comprehensive evaluation of the model’s ability to detect and classify potholes, offering an aggregated score across the relevant detection thresholds. This ensures a balanced assessment of both detection accuracy and classification performance, which is essential for accurately identifying potholes across varying conditions. The effectiveness of mAP for this task is well-established in object detection literature (Everingham et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2014).

For the accuracy of depth estimation using the Epipolar Spatio-Temporal Networks (ESTN), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) and Mean Absolute Error (MAE) will be used. RMSE is chosen for its ability to penalize larger errors more heavily, making it suitable for assessing depth estimation performance where larger deviations from the ground truth are more significant (Zhang et al., 2018). MAE is also employed to provide a straightforward measure of average error magnitude, offering a complementary evaluation of depth estimation without emphasizing

larger errors as much (Zhang et al., 2020).

3.1.3.4 Testing and Validation

The testing process will begin with a detailed testing plan that includes both simulated and real-world testing scenarios. Initially, the model will be tested in controlled environments to ensure it can detect and assess road defects accurately. Following this, real-world testing will be conducted using the StereoPi kit on local roads, specifically at the University of the Philippines Visayas Miagao Campus. The system’s performance will be validated by comparing its predictions with ground-truth data collected from manual inspections.

3.1.3.5 Documentation

Throughout the research activities, thorough documentation will be maintained. This documentation will capture all methods, results, challenges, and adjustments made during the experimentation phases. It ensures the reproducibility of the work and provides transparency for future research endeavors.

3.1.4 Challenges and Limitations

3.1.4.1 Availability of Local Datasets

The lack of locally labeled datasets for road defects has posed a challenge in training accurate models. The majority of available datasets are sourced from international locations, which may not fully represent the road conditions found in the study area. To address the lack of locally labeled datasets, the researchers will create a pilot dataset from local roads within the University of the Philippines Visayas Miagao Campus. This dataset will be manually annotated according to DPWH’s classification standards, ensuring local relevance.

3.1.4.2 Data Quality and Variability

Variations in the quality and resolution of the data collected from different sources may impact the performance of the trained models. In particular, images captured under varying weather conditions or lighting may affect the accuracy of pothole

439 detection. To address this, the researchers plan to use the StereoPi kit to capture
 440 images under optimal weather and lighting conditions, such as mid-morning or
 441 early afternoon on clear days, ensuring consistent image quality for stereo vision
 442 analysis. The kit’s stereo cameras will be calibrated for uniform resolution and
 443 focus. Data augmentation techniques will also be applied to simulate varying con-
 444 ditions, and pre-processing steps like noise reduction and contrast enhancement
 445 will be used to improve the quality of the captured data. This approach aims
 446 to minimize the impact of environmental factors on the accuracy of road pothole
 447 detection and depth estimation.

448 3.2 Calendar of Activities

449 Table 1 shows a Gantt chart of the activities. Each bullet represents approximately
 450 one week’s worth of activity.

Table 3.1: Timetable of Activities for 2024

Activities (2024)	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Pre-proposal Preparation	W4				
Literature Review	W3	W1			
Data Collection	W2	W2			
Algorithm Selection		W2			
System Design		W1	W2	W2	
Preliminary Testing				W2	W1
Documentation and SP Writing	W4	W4	W4	W4	W2

Table 3.2: Timetable of Activities for 2025

Activities (2025)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Data Collection	W4					
System Design	W3	W2	W2			
Model testing	W3	W4	W4			
Results Analysis			W2	W4		
Conclusion Formulation				W2	W3	
Documentation and SP Writing	W4	W4	W4	W4	W4	W2

451 Chapter 4

452 Preliminary Results/System 453 Prototype

454 This chapter presents the preliminary results or the system prototype of your SP.
455 Include screenshots, tables, or graphs and provide the discussion of results.

References

- Bibi, R., Saeed, Y., Zeb, A., Ghazal, T. M., Rahman, T., Said, R. A., ... Khan, M. A. (2021). Edge ai-based automated detection and classification of road anomalies in vanet using deep learning. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2021(1). doi: 10.1155/2021/6262194
- Ha, J., Kim, D., & Kim, M. (2022). Assessing severity of road cracks using deep learning-based segmentation and detection. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 78(16), 17721–17735. doi: 10.1007/s11227-022-04560-x
- Kelleher, J. (2019). *Deep learning*. Retrieved from https://books.google.com.ph/books?hl=en&lr=&id=b06qDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP9&dq=what+is+deep+learning&ots=_pCSXIk_WN&sig=EoGHTk7LjEBuR_OtFNX87LY0YU4&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=what%20is%20deep%20learning&f=false (In Google Books)
- Kumar, A. (2024, October). What is image processing: Overview, applications, benefits, and more. *AI and Machine Learning*. Retrieved from <https://www.simplilearn.com/image-processing-article> (Accessed: January 1, 2025)
- Kyriakou, C., Christodoulou, S. E., & Dimitriou, L. (2016, April). Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing smartphones and artificial intelligence. In *Proceedings of the ieee conference*. Retrieved from <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7495459>
- Long, Y., Wang, J., Zhang, H., Mei, T., & Shen, X. (2021). Multi-view depth estimation using epipolar spatio-temporal networks. In *Proceedings of the ieee/cvf conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (cvpr)*. doi: 10.1109/CVPR46437.2021.01567
- Luo, D., Lu, J., & Guo, G. (2020, June). Road anomaly detection through deep learning approaches. *IEEE Journals and Magazine*. (<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9123753/>)
- Ramaiah, N. K. B., & Kundu, S. (2021). Stereo vision based pothole detection system for improved ride quality. *SAE International Journal of Advances and Current Practices in Mobility*, 3(5), 2603–2610. doi: 10.4271/2021-01-0085

- 488 Ramos, J. A., Dacanay, J. P., & Bronuela-Ambrocio, L. (2023). *A re-*
489 *view of the current practices in the pavement surface monitoring in the*
490 *philippines* (Doctoral dissertation, University of the Philippines Diliman).
491 Retrieved from [https://ncts.upd.edu.ph/tssp/wp-content/uploads/](https://ncts.upd.edu.ph/tssp/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/TSSP2022_09.pdf)
492 [2023/01/TSSP2022_09.pdf](https://ncts.upd.edu.ph/tssp/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/TSSP2022_09.pdf)
- 493 Resources, R. (2020). Video processing. *Riches Project EU*. Re-
494 trieved from [https://resources.riches-project.eu/glossary/video](https://resources.riches-project.eu/glossary/video-processing/)
495 [-processing/](https://resources.riches-project.eu/glossary/video-processing/) (Accessed: January 1, 2025)
- 496 Sattar, S., Li, S., & Chapman, M. (2018). Road surface monitoring us-
497 ing smartphone sensors: A review. *Sensors*, 18(11), 3845–3845. doi:
498 10.3390/s18113845
- 499 Singh, P., Bansal, A., Kamal, A. E., & Kumar, S. (2021). Road surface quality
500 monitoring using machine learning algorithm. In *Smart innovation, systems*
501 *and technologies* (pp. 423–432). doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-6482-3_42
- 502 Solawetz, J. (2024, April). What is yolov5? a guide for beginners. *Roboflow Blog*.
503 Retrieved from [https://blog.roboflow.com/yolov5-improvements-and](https://blog.roboflow.com/yolov5-improvements-and-evaluation/)
504 [-evaluation/](https://blog.roboflow.com/yolov5-improvements-and-evaluation/)

505 **Appendix A**

506 **Appendix Title**

507 **Appendix B**

508 **Resource Persons**

509 **Prof. Jumar Cadondon**

510 Assistant Professor

511 Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics

512 University of the Philippines Visayas

513 jgcadondon@up.edu.ph

514 **Engr. Jane Chua**

515 Engineer

516 DPWH Region 6

517 chua.jane@dpwh.gov.ph

518

519 **Engr. Marilou Zamora**

520 Chief

521 Planning and Design

522 DPWH Region 6

523 zamora.marilou@dpwh.gov.ph