

1 DEFECTRA: ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT  
2 AND CLASSIFICATION

3 A Special Problem Proposal  
4 Presented to  
5 the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics  
6 College of Arts and Sciences  
7 University of the Philippines Visayas  
8 Miag-ao, Iloilo

9 In Partial Fulfillment  
10 of the Requirements for the Degree of  
11 Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

12 BELEBER, Benz Vrianne  
13 CATALAN, Perserose  
14 SENCIL, Kristian Lyle

15 Francis DIMZON  
16 Adviser  
17 Jumar CADONDON  
18 Co-Adviser

19 January 1, 2025

## Abstract

21 From 150 to 200 words of short, direct and complete sentences, the abstract should  
22 be informative enough to serve as a substitute for reading the entire SP document  
23 itself. It states the rationale and the objectives of the research. In the final Special  
24 Problem document (i.e., the document you'll submit for your final defense), the  
25 abstract should also contain a description of your research results, findings, and  
26 contribution(s).

27 Suggested keywords based on ACM Computing Classification system can be  
28 found at [https://dl.acm.org/ccs/ccs\\_flat.cfm](https://dl.acm.org/ccs/ccs_flat.cfm)

29 **Keywords:** Keyword 1, keyword 2, keyword 3, keyword 4, etc.

# Contents

|    |  |          |
|----|--|----------|
| 31 | <b>1 Introduction</b>  | <b>1</b> |
| 32 | 1.1 Overview . . . . .   | 1        |
| 33 | 1.2 Problem Statement . . . . .                                | 2        |
| 34 | 1.3 Research Objectives . . . . .                              | 2        |
| 35 | 1.3.1 General Objective . . . . .                              | 2        |
| 36 | 1.3.2 Specific Objectives . . . . .                            | 3        |
| 37 | 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research . . . . .            | 3        |
| 38 | 1.5 Significance of the Research . . . . .                     | 4        |
| 39 | <b>2 Review of Related Literature</b>                          | <b>5</b> |
| 40 | 2.1 Related Literature . . . . .                               | 5        |
| 41 | 2.1.1 Deep Learning . . . . .                                  | 5        |
| 42 | 2.1.2 YOLOv5 . . . . .   | 5        |
| 43 | 2.1.3 Image and Video Processing . . . . .                     | 6        |
| 44 | 2.1.4 Stereo Vision . . . . .                                  | 6        |
| 45 | 2.2 Related Studies . . . . .                                  | 6        |
| 46 | 2.2.1 Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies |          |
| 47 | in VANET Using Deep Learning . . . . .                         | 6        |

|    |          |   |           |
|----|----------|---|-----------|
| 48 | 2.2.2    | Smartphones as Sensors for Road Surface Monitoring . . .  | 7         |
| 49 | 2.2.3    | Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches   | 7         |
| 50 | 2.2.4    | Road Surface Quality Monitoring Using Machine Learning    |           |
| 51 |          | Algorithms . . . . .                                      | 8         |
| 52 | 2.2.5    | Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-    |           |
| 53 |          | Based Segmentation and Detection . . . . .                | 8         |
| 54 | 2.2.6    | Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing smart-  |           |
| 55 |          | phones and artificial intelligence . . . . .              | 9         |
| 56 | 2.2.7    | Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved |           |
| 57 |          | Ride Quality . . . . .                                    | 9         |
| 58 | 2.3      | Chapter Summary . . . . .                                 | 10        |
| 59 | <b>3</b> | <b>Methodology</b>  | <b>11</b> |
| 60 | 3.1      | <b>Research Activities</b> . . . . .                      | 11        |
| 61 | 3.1.1    | <b>Data Collection</b> . . . . .                          | 11        |
| 62 | 3.1.2    | <b>Algorithm Selection</b> . . . . .                      | 12        |
| 63 | 3.1.3    | <b>Design, Testing, and Experimentation</b> . . . . .     | 12        |
| 64 | 3.1.4    | <b>Challenges and Limitations</b> . . . . .               | 14        |
| 65 | 3.2      | Calendar of Activities . . . . .                          | 15        |
| 66 | <b>4</b> | <b>Preliminary Results/System Prototype</b>               | <b>16</b> |
| 67 | <b>A</b> | <b>Appendix Title</b>                                     | <b>17</b> |
| 68 | <b>B</b> | <b>Resource Persons</b>                                   | <b>18</b> |

## 69 List of Figures

# <sup>70</sup> List of Tables

|               |     |   |    |
|---------------|-----|---|----|
| <sup>71</sup> | 2.1 | Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using |    |
| <sup>72</sup> |     | Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision . . . . .          | 10 |
| <sup>73</sup> | 3.1 | Timetable of Activities for 2024 . . . . .                    | 15 |
| <sup>74</sup> | 3.2 | Timetable of Activities for 2025 . . . . .                    | 15 |

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Overview

According to the National Road Length by Classification, Surface Type, and Condition of the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), as of October 2022 approximately 98.97% of roads in the Philippines is paved which is either made of concrete or asphalt (DPWH, 2022). Since the DPWH is an institution under the government, it is paramount to maintain such roads in order to avoid accidents and congested traffic situations especially in heavily urbanized areas where there are a lot of vehicles.

In an interview with the Road Board of DPWH Region 6 it was stated that road condition assessments are mostly done manually with heavy reliance on engineering judgment. In addition, manual assessment of roads is also time consuming which leaves maintenance operations to wait for lengthy assessments (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16 September 2024). In a study conducted by (?, ?), it was found that the Philippines' current method of manual pavement surveying is considered as a gap since it takes an average of 2-3 months to cover a 250 km road as opposed to a 1 day duration in the Australian Road Research Board for the same road length. Ramos et al. (2022) recommended that to significantly improve efficiency of surveying methods and data gathering processes, automated survey tools are to be employed. It was also added that use of such automated surveying tools can also guarantee the safety of road surveyors (?, ?).

If the process of assessment on the severity of road defects can be automated then the whole process of assessing the quality of roads can be hastened up which can also enable maintenance operations to commence as soon as possible if nec-

100 essary. If not automated, the delay of assessments will continue and roads that  
101 are supposedly needing maintenance may not be properly maintained which can  
102 affect the general public that is utilizing public roads daily.

## 103 **1.2 Problem Statement**

104 Roads support almost every aspect of daily life, from providing a way to transport  
105 goods and services to allowing people to stay connected with their communities.  
106 However, road defects such as cracks and potholes damage roads over time, and  
107 they can increase accident risks and affect the overall transportation. The current  
108 way of inspecting the roads for maintenance is often slow as it is done manually,  
109 which makes it harder to detect and fix defects early. The delay in addressing  
110 these problems can lead to even worse road conditions (J. Chua, Personal Inter-  
111 view. 16 September 2024). There are several research studies into automated  
112 road defect classification that have advanced in recent years but most of them  
113 focus on identifying the types of defects rather than assessing their severity or  
114 characteristics like depth. Without reliable data on the depth of the defect, road  
115 maintenance authorities may underestimate the severity of certain defects. To ad-  
116 dress these challenges, advancements are needed across various areas. An effective  
117 solution should not only detect and classify road defects but also measure their  
118 severity to better prioritize repairs. Failing to address this problem will require  
119 more extensive repairs for damaged roads, which raises the cost and strains the  
120 budget. Additionally, road maintenance would still be slow and cause disruptions  
121 in daily activities. Using an automated system that accurately detects, classifies,  
122 and assess the severity of road defects by incorporating depth are necessary to  
123 efficiently monitor road quality.

## 124 **1.3 Research Objectives**

### 125 **1.3.1 General Objective**

126 This special problem aims to develop an automated system that will accurately  
127 detect and assess the severity of potholes on road surfaces by using image ana-  
128 lysis, depth measurement technologies, and a combination of machine learning and  
129 computer vision techniques. The system will focus on measuring the depth of pot-  
130 holes to assess their severity, enabling faster and more accurate road maintenance  
131 decisions.



### 1.3.2 Specific Objectives

Specifically, this special problem aims:

1. To collect high-quality images of road surfaces that capture potholes including their depth in various lighting and weather conditions.
2. To develop and train a machine learning model to detect and assess the severity of potholes from images.
3. To measure the accuracy of the system by comparing the depth measurements against ground truth data collected from actual road inspections
4. To develop a prototype system that can detect and measure road potholes from image input, analyze their depth, and assess their severity.

## 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

This system will focus solely on detecting and assessing the severity of potholes through image analysis and depth measurement technologies. The scope includes the collection of pothole images using cameras and depth-sensing tools under various lighting and weather conditions, ensuring the data captures real-world variations. High-quality and diverse image datasets will be crucial for training the model to accurately assess pothole severity based on depth.

Depth-sensing tools, such as stereo cameras, will be used to record the depth of potholes specifically. The system will not address other road defects like cracks or other surface deformations; therefore, it will detect and analyze only potholes. Additionally, only accessible potholes will be measured, meaning those that are filled with water or obscured by debris may not be accurately assessed.

The machine learning model developed will focus exclusively on detecting potholes and assessing their severity through depth measurement. The accuracy of the model's depth measurements will be evaluated by comparing them against data collected from actual field inspections. However, this comparison will be limited to selected sample sites, as collecting field data over a large area can be time-consuming and resource-intensive.

Environmental factors such as lighting, road surface texture, and weather conditions may impact the model's performance. The accuracy and reliability of the

162 model will depend on the quality and variety of the training dataset. Its ability  
163 to generalize to unseen pothole images will need to be carefully validated.

## 164 1.5 Significance of the Research

165 This special problem aims to be significant to the following:

166 *Computer Science Community.* This system can contribute to advancements  
167 in computer vision and machine learning by using both visual and depth data to  
168 assess the severity of road defects. It introduces a more comprehensive approach  
169 compared to the usual image-only or manual inspection methods. This combina-  
170 tion can be applied to other fields that need both visual and depth analysis like  
171 medical imaging.

172 *Concerned Government Agencies.* This system offers a valuable tool for road  
173 safety and maintenance. Not only can this detect and classify anomalies, it can  
174 also assess the defect's severity which allows them to prioritize repairs, optimal  
175 project expenditures, and better overall road safety and quality.

176 *Field Engineers.* In the scorching heat, field engineers are no longer required  
177 to be on foot unless it requires its engineering judgement when surveying a road  
178 segment. It can hasten the overall assessment process.

179 *Future Researchers.* The special problem can serve as a baseline and guide of  
180 researchers with the aim to pursue special problems similar or related to this.

# Chapter 2

## Review of Related Literature

### 2.1 Related Literature

This section of the chapter presents related literature that is considered essential for the development of this special problem.

#### 2.1.1 Deep Learning

(?, ?) states that deep learning is inclined on making large-scale neural networks geared towards creating data-driven decisions. Furthermore, it was also argued that deep learning is oriented towards large-scale, complex data.

#### 2.1.2 YOLOv5

According to (?, ?), YOLOv5 is a model from a family of computer vision models used for object detection. YOLOv5 is reported to perform comparably to state-of-the-art techniques. It is designed to extract features from raw input images, used primarily in training object detection models alongside various data augmentation techniques.

### 196 **2.1.3 Image and Video Processing**

197 (? , ?) defines image processing as a process of turning an image into its digital  
198 form and extracting data from it through certain functions and operations. Usual  
199 processes are considered to treat images as 2D signals wherein different processing  
200 methods utilize these signals. Like image processing, (? , ?) defines video process-  
201 ing as being able to extract information and data from video footage through  
202 signal processing methods. However, in video processing due to the diversity of  
203 video formats, compression and decompression methods are often expected to  
204 be performed on videos before processing methods to either increase or decrease  
205 bitrate.

### 206 **2.1.4 Stereo Vision**

207 MathWorks (n.d.) defines stereo vision as a process of utilizing multiple 2D per-  
208 spectives in order to extract information in 3D. In addition, most uses of stereo  
209 vision involve estimating an objects distance from an observer or camera. The 3D  
210 information is stated to be extracted with stereo pairs or pair of images through es-  
211 timation of relative depth of points in a scene which are then represented through  
212 a stereo map that is made through the matching of the pair’s corresponding points.

## 213 **2.2 Related Studies**

214 This section of the chapter presents related studies conducted by other researchers  
215 wherein the methodology and technologies used may serve as basis in the devel-  
216 opment of this special problem.

### 217 **2.2.1 Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anoma-** 218 **lies in VANET Using Deep Learning**

219 In the study of Bibi et al. (2021) it was noted that identification of active road  
220 defects are critical in maintaining smooth and safe flow of traffic. Detection and  
221 subsequent repair of such defects in roads are crucial in keeping vehicles using  
222 such roads away from mechanical failures. The study also emphasized the growth  
223 in use of autonomous vehicles in research data gathering which is what the re-  
224 searchers utilized in data gathering procedures. With the presence of autonomous

225 vehicles, this allowed the researchers to use a combination of sensors and deep  
226 neural networks in deploying artificial intelligence. The study aimed to allow au-  
227 tonomous vehicles to avoid critical road defects that can possibly lead to dangerous  
228 situations. Researchers used Resnet-18 and VGG-11 in automatic detection and  
229 classification of road defects. Researchers concluded that the trained model was  
230 able to perform better than other techniques for road defect detection (?, ?). The  
231 study is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training  
232 artificial intelligence for road defect detection and classification. However, the  
233 study lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects which is crucial in  
234 automating manual procedures of road surveying in the Philippines.

### 235 **2.2.2 Smartphones as Sensors for Road Surface Monitor-** 236 **ing**

237 In their study, (?, ?) noted the rise of sensing capabilities of smartphones which  
238 they utilized in monitoring road surface to detect and identify anomalies. The  
239 researchers considered different approaches in detecting road surface anomalies  
240 using smartphone sensors. One of which are threshold-based approaches which  
241 was determined to be quite difficult due to several factors that are affecting the  
242 process of determining the interval length of a window function in spectral analysis  
243 (?, ?). The researchers also utilized a machine learning approach adapted from  
244 another study. It was stated that k-means was used in classifying sensor data and  
245 in training the SVM algorithm. Due to the requirement of training a supervised  
246 algorithm using a labeled sample data was required before classifying data from  
247 sensors, the approach was considered to be impractical for real-time situations (?,  
248 ?). In addition, (?, ?) also noted various challenges when utilizing smartphones  
249 as sensors for data gathering such as sensors being dependent on the device's  
250 placement and orientation, smoothness of captured data, and the speed of the  
251 vehicle it is being mounted on. Lastly, it was also concluded that the accuracy  
252 and performance of using smartphone sensors is challenging to compare due to  
253 the limited data sets and reported algorithms.

### 254 **2.2.3 Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning** 255 **Approaches**

256 The study of (?, ?) aimed to utilize deep learning models in classifying road  
257 anomalies. The researchers used three deep learning approaches namely Con-  
258 volutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent Neural  
259 Network from data collected through the sensors in the vehicle's suspension sys-

260 tem. In comparing the performance of the three deep learning approaches, the  
261 researchers fixed some hyperparameters. Results revealed that the RNN model  
262 was the most stable among the three and in the case of the CNN and DFN mod-  
263 els, the researchers suggested the use of wheel speed signals to ensure accuracy.  
264 And lastly, the researchers concluded that the RNN model was best due to high  
265 prediction performance with small set parameters (?, ?).

#### 266 **2.2.4 Road Surface Quality Monitoring Using Machine Learn-** 267 **ing Algorithms**

268 The study of (?, ?) aimed to utilize machine learning algorithms in classifying road  
269 defects as well as predict their locations. Another implication of the study was  
270 to provide useful information to commuters and maintenance data for authorities  
271 regarding road conditions. The researchers gathered data using various methods  
272 such as smartphone GPS, gyroscopes, and accelerometers. (?, ?) also argued  
273 that early existing road monitoring models are unable to predict locations of road  
274 defects and are dependent on fixed roads and static vehicle speed. Neural and  
275 deep neural networks were utilized in the classification of anomalies which was  
276 concluded by the researchers to yield accurate results and are applicable on a  
277 larger scale of data (?, ?). The study of (?, ?) can be considered as an effective  
278 method in gathering data about road conditions. However, it was stated in the  
279 study that relevant authorities will be provided with maintenance operation and  
280 there is no presence of any severity assessment in the study. This may cause  
281 confusion due to a lack of assessment on what is the road condition that will  
282 require extensive maintenance or repair.

#### 283 **2.2.5 Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-** 284 **Based Segmentation and Detection**

285 In the study of (?, ?), it was argued that the detection, classification, and severity  
286 assessment of road cracks should be automated due to the bottleneck it causes  
287 during the entire process of surveying. For the study, the researchers utilized  
288 SqueezeNet, U-Net, and MobileNet-SSD models for crack classification and sever-  
289 ity assessment. Furthermore, the researchers also employed separate U-nets for  
290 linear and area cracking cases. For crack detection, the researchers followed the  
291 process of pre-processing, detection, classification. During preprocessing images  
292 were smoothed out using image processing techniques. The researchers also uti-  
293 lized YOLOv5 object detection models for classification of pavement cracking  
294 wherein the YOLOv51 model recorded the highest accuracy. The researchers

295 however stated images used for the study are only 2D images which may have al-  
296 lowed higher accuracy rates. Furthermore, the researchers suggest incorporating  
297 depth information in the models to further enhance results.

### 298 **2.2.6 Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing** 299 **smartphones and artificial intelligence**

300 The study of (?, ?) presented what is considered as a low-cost technology which  
301 was the use of Artificial Neural Networks in training a model for road anomaly  
302 detection from data gathered by smartphone sensors. The researchers were able  
303 to collect case study data using two-dimensional indicators of the smartphone's  
304 roll and pitch values. In the study's discussion, the data collected displayed some  
305 complexity due to acceleration and vehicle speed which lead to detected anomalies  
306 being not as conclusive as planned. The researchers also added that the plots are  
307 unable to show parameters that could verify the data's correctness and accuracy.  
308 Despite the setbacks, the researchers still fed the data into the Artificial Neural  
309 Network that was expected to produce two outputs which were "no defect" and  
310 "defect." The method still yielded above 90% accuracy but due to the limited  
311 number of possible outcomes in the data processing the researchers still needed  
312 to test the methodology with larger data sets and roads with higher volumes of  
313 anomalies.

### 314 **2.2.7 Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for** 315 **Improved Ride Quality**

316 In the study of (?, ?) it was stated that stereo vision has been earning attention  
317 due to its reliable obstacle detection and recognition. Furthermore, the study  
318 also discussed that such technology would be useful in improving ride quality in  
319 automated vehicles by integrating it in a predictive suspension control system.  
320 The proposed study was to develop a novel stereo vision based pothole detection  
321 system which also calculates the depth accurately. However, the study focused  
322 on improving ride quality by using the 3D information from detected potholes in  
323 controlling the damping coefficient of the suspension system. Overall, the pothole  
324 detection system was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is able to detect potholes  
325 that are deeper than 5 cm. The researchers concluded that such system can be  
326 utilized in commercial applications. However, it is also worth noting that despite  
327 the system being able to detect potholes and measure its depth, the overall severity  
328 of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

## 2.3 Chapter Summary

The reviewed literature involved various techniques and approaches in road anomaly detection and classification. These approaches are discussed and summarized below along with their limitations and research gaps.

| Study  | Technology/<br>Techniques Used   | Key Findings   | Limitations  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies in VANET Using Deep Learning  | Resnet-18 and VGG-11   | Trained model is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training artificial intelligence for road defect detection and classification. | Lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects.   |
| Smartphones as sensors for Road surface monitoring                                     | Machine Learning, Smartphones  | Approach was considered impractical for real-life applications.  | Sensors are dependent on device's placement and orientation, smoothness of data, and speed of vehicle it is mounted on. Accuracy of results is difficult to compare. |
| Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches                                | Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent Neural Network | Identified that RNN was the best deep learning approach due to high prediction performance.  | Data collection is considered too difficult and complicated to execute due to sensors being mounted on an integral part of the vehicle.                              |
| Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-Based Segmentation and Detection | SqueezeNet, U-Net, YOLOv5, and MobileNet-SSD models                                  | YOLOv51 model recorded the highest accuracy.   | Only 2D images are used for the study which may have allowed higher accuracy rates, and the study also lacked depth information.                                     |
| Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved Ride Quality                 | Pair of stereo images captured by a stereo camera                                    | System was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm.  | Overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.  |

Table 2.1: Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision



## 333 Chapter 3

## 334 Methodology

335 This chapter outlines the systematic approach that will be taken to address the  
336 problem of classifying and assessing road defects using artificial intelligence. The  
337 methodology will be divided into key phases: data collection, algorithm selection,  
338 design, testing and experimentation, and challenges and limitations. Each phase  
339 will play a crucial role in accurately classifying and assessing road defects. Each  
340 phase will be essential for accurately classifying and assessing road defects.

### 341 3.1 Research Activities

#### 342 3.1.1 Data Collection

343 The researchers conducted initial inquiries to understand the problem domain and  
344 existing road maintenance practices. This phase included consulting the engineers  
345 under the Road Maintenance Department of the government agency Department  
346 of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). An interview with Engr. Jane Chua pro-  
347 vided a comprehensive overview of the DPWH's road maintenance manual, which  
348 was crucial in aligning this project with existing standards. This collaboration  
349 with DPWH provided insights into road pothole classification standards, ensuring  
350 that the collected data will align with industry standards. The researchers will  
351 also manually annotate the pilot dataset based on these standards, ensuring local  
352 relevance.

### 353 **3.1.2 Algorithm Selection**

354 Potential solutions, algorithms, and system architectures were discussed by the re-  
355 searchers and the special problem adviser in this phase. These sessions, conducted  
356 in class and virtually via Zoom, helped narrow down the overview of the system,  
357 leading to the selection of the main architecture YOLOv5 for pothole detection  
358 and Epipolar Spatio-Temporal Networks (ESTN) for depth estimation.

#### 359 **3.1.2.1 Pothole Detection**

360 YOLOv5 was selected due to its high accuracy and ability to process images in  
361 real-time, making it suitable for detecting road defects in dynamic environments.  
362 Its architecture is optimized for speed and performance, which is crucial for large-  
363 scale deployment in road inspections.

#### 364 **3.1.2.2 Severity Assessment**

365 The Multi-view Depth Estimation using Epipolar Spatio-Temporal Networks was  
366 selected due to the high cost and limited accessibility of LiDAR technology. By  
367 applying epipolar geometry and temporal consistency across sequential frames,  
368 this approach provides an accurate depth estimation from standard video footage  
369 (, ).

### 370 **3.1.3 Design, Testing, and Experimentation**

371 This section outlines both the design and testing of the system, as well as the  
372 experimentation process to validate the selected methodologies.

#### 373 **3.1.3.1 Model Design**

374 The system was designed to operate with two core components: YOLOv5 for  
375 pothole detection and ESTN for severity assessment. The model architecture was  
376 chosen based on the real-time processing capabilities and the need for accurate  
377 depth estimation from standard video footage. The design ensures that the system  
378 can detect defects and provide severity assessments in a seamless workflow.

### 379 3.1.3.2 Data Set

380 The YOLOv5 model was trained using two datasets from Universe Roboflow. One  
381 of the data sets was posted by a user named Eric Tam. It was also stated that  
382 the images from the dataset are sourced from a Crowdsensing-based Road Damage  
383 Detection Challenge from 2022 in Japan. The challenge involves contestants being  
384 required to submit road damage datasets, shortlist their data set, and use the data  
385 set for road damage detection and classification models. The use of this data set  
386 in training models for road damage detection and classification ensures that the  
387 data is viable for training the YOLOv5 model. The dataset contains various road  
388 defects in Japan. Another data set used in training the YOLOv5 model was also  
389 uploaded in Universe Roboflow by a user named Atikur Rahman Chitholian which  
390 was stated to be part of his undergraduate thesis. The dataset is comprised of 665  
391 images with potholes being labeled. It was also stated that the data set can be  
392 utilized in automatically detecting and categorizing potholes found in the streets  
393 of cities. Data preprocessing techniques were applied to both datasets to improve  
394 model accuracy and generalization. These included resizing images to a uniform  
395 size, applying augmentation techniques (flipping, rotation, and color adjustment)  
396 to increase dataset variability, and normalizing pixel values to ensure consistency  
397 across images.

### 398 3.1.3.3 Performance Metrics

399 The performance of the YOLOv5 model will be evaluated using mean Average  
400 Precision (mAP). mAP is a widely used metric in object detection tasks and is  
401 particularly useful for assessing models that need to detect and classify multiple  
402 object categories. In this case, mAP will provide a comprehensive evaluation of the  
403 model’s ability to detect and classify potholes, offering an aggregated score across  
404 the relevant detection thresholds. This ensures a balanced assessment of both  
405 detection accuracy and classification performance, which is essential for accurately  
406 identifying potholes across varying conditions. The effectiveness of mAP for this  
407 task is well-established in object detection literature (Everingham et al., 2015; Lin  
408 et al., 2014).

409 For the accuracy of depth estimation using the Epipolar Spatio-Temporal Net-  
410 works (ESTN), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) and Mean Absolute Error  
411 (MAE) will be used. RMSE is chosen for its ability to penalize larger errors more  
412 heavily, making it suitable for assessing depth estimation performance where larger  
413 deviations from the ground truth are more significant (Zhang et al., 2018). MAE is  
414 also employed to provide a straightforward measure of average error magnitude,  
415 offering a complementary evaluation of depth estimation without emphasizing

416 larger errors as much (Zhang et al., 2020).

#### 417 **3.1.3.4 Testing and Validation**

418 The testing process will begin with a detailed testing plan that includes both  
419 simulated and real-world testing scenarios. Initially, the model will be tested in  
420 controlled environments to ensure it can detect and assess road defects accurately.  
421 Following this, real-world testing will be conducted using the StereoPi kit on local  
422 roads, specifically at the University of the Philippines Visayas Miagao Campus.  
423 The system’s performance will be validated by comparing its predictions with  
424 ground-truth data collected from manual inspections.

#### 425 **3.1.3.5 Documentation**

426 Throughout the research activities, thorough documentation will be maintained.  
427 This documentation will capture all methods, results, challenges, and adjustments  
428 made during the experimentation phases. It ensures the reproducibility of the  
429 work and provides transparency for future research endeavors.

### 430 **3.1.4 Challenges and Limitations**

#### 431 **3.1.4.1 Availability of Local Datasets**

432 The lack of locally labeled datasets for road defects has posed a challenge in  
433 training accurate models. The majority of available datasets are sourced from  
434 international locations, which may not fully represent the road conditions found  
435 in the study area. To address the lack of locally labeled datasets, the researchers  
436 will create a pilot dataset from local roads within the University of the Philippines  
437 Visayas Miagao Campus. This dataset will be manually annotated according to  
438 DPWH’s classification standards, ensuring local relevance.

#### 439 **3.1.4.2 Data Quality and Variability**

440 Variations in the quality and resolution of the data collected from different sources  
441 may impact the performance of the trained models. In particular, images captured  
442 under varying weather conditions or lighting may affect the accuracy of pothole

443 detection. To address this, the researchers plan to use the StereoPi kit to capture  
444 images under optimal weather and lighting conditions, such as mid-morning or  
445 early afternoon on clear days, ensuring consistent image quality for stereo vision  
446 analysis. The kit’s stereo cameras will be calibrated for uniform resolution and  
447 focus. Data augmentation techniques will also be applied to simulate varying con-  
448 ditions, and pre-processing steps like noise reduction and contrast enhancement  
449 will be used to improve the quality of the captured data. This approach aims  
450 to minimize the impact of environmental factors on the accuracy of road pothole  
451 detection and depth estimation.

## 452 3.2 Calendar of Activities

453 Table 1 shows a Gantt chart of the activities. Each bullet represents approximately  
454 one week’s worth of activity.

Table 3.1: Timetable of Activities for 2024

| Activities (2024)            | Aug       | Sept      | Oct       | Nov       | Dec       |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Pre-proposal Preparation     | <b>W4</b> |           |           |           |           |
| Literature Review            | <b>W3</b> | <b>W1</b> |           |           |           |
| Data Collection              | <b>W2</b> | <b>W2</b> |           |           |           |
| Algorithm Selection          |           | <b>W2</b> |           |           |           |
| System Design                |           | <b>W1</b> | <b>W2</b> | <b>W2</b> |           |
| Preliminary Testing          |           |           |           | <b>W2</b> | <b>W1</b> |
| Documentation and SP Writing | <b>W4</b> | <b>W4</b> | <b>W4</b> | <b>W4</b> | <b>W2</b> |

Table 3.2: Timetable of Activities for 2025

| Activities (2025)            | Jan       | Feb       | Mar       | Apr       | May       | Jun       |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Data Collection              | <b>W4</b> |           |           |           |           |           |
| System Design                | <b>W3</b> | <b>W2</b> | <b>W2</b> |           |           |           |
| Model testing                | <b>W3</b> | <b>W4</b> | <b>W4</b> |           |           |           |
| Results Analysis             |           |           | <b>W2</b> | <b>W4</b> |           |           |
| Conclusion Formulation       |           |           |           | <b>W2</b> | <b>W3</b> |           |
| Documentation and SP Writing | <b>W4</b> | <b>W4</b> | <b>W4</b> | <b>W4</b> | <b>W4</b> | <b>W2</b> |

## 455 Chapter 4

# 456 Preliminary Results/System 457 Prototype

458 This chapter presents the preliminary results or the system prototype of your SP.  
459 Include screenshots, tables, or graphs and provide the discussion of results.

## References

- Bibi, R., Saeed, Y., Zeb, A., Ghazal, T. M., Rahman, T., Said, R. A., ... Khan, M. A. (2021). Edge ai-based automated detection and classification of road anomalies in vanet using deep learning. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2021(1). doi: 10.1155/2021/6262194
- Ha, J., Kim, D., & Kim, M. (2022). Assessing severity of road cracks using deep learning-based segmentation and detection. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 78(16), 17721–17735. doi: 10.1007/s11227-022-04560-x
- Kelleher, J. (2019). *Deep learning*. Retrieved from [https://books.google.com.ph/books?hl=en&lr=&id=b06qDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP9&dq=what+is+deep+learning&ots=\\_pCSXIk\\_WN&sig=EoGHTk7LjEBuR\\_OtFNX87LY0YU4&redir\\_esc=y#v=onepage&q=what%20is%20deep%20learning&f=false](https://books.google.com.ph/books?hl=en&lr=&id=b06qDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP9&dq=what+is+deep+learning&ots=_pCSXIk_WN&sig=EoGHTk7LjEBuR_OtFNX87LY0YU4&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=what%20is%20deep%20learning&f=false) (In Google Books)
- Kumar, A. (2024, October). *What is image processing: Overview, applications, benefits, and more*. Retrieved from <https://www.simplilearn.com/image-processing-article> (Accessed: January 1, 2025)
- Kyriakou, C., Christodoulou, S. E., & Dimitriou, L. (2016, April). Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing smartphones and artificial intelligence. In *Proceedings of the ieee conference*. Retrieved from <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7495459>
- Long, Y., Wang, J., Zhang, H., Mei, T., & Shen, X. (2021). Multi-view depth estimation using epipolar spatio-temporal networks. In *Proceedings of the ieee/cvf conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (cvpr)*. doi: 10.1109/CVPR46437.2021.01567
- Luo, D., Lu, J., & Guo, G. (2020, June). Road anomaly detection through deep learning approaches. *IEEE Journals Magazine*. Retrieved from <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9123753/>
- Ramaiah, N. K. B., & Kundu, S. (2021). Stereo vision based pothole detection system for improved ride quality. *SAE International Journal of Advances and Current Practices in Mobility*, 3(5), 2603–2610. doi: 10.4271/2021-01-0085
- Ramos, J. A., Dacanay, J. P., & Bronuela-Ambrocio, L. (2023). *A review of*

492        *the current practices in the pavement surface monitoring in the philippines*  
 493        University of the Philippines Diliman. Retrieved from [https://ncts.upd](https://ncts.upd.edu.ph/tssp/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/TSSP2022_09.pdf)  
 494        [.edu.ph/tssp/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/TSSP2022\\_09.pdf](https://ncts.upd.edu.ph/tssp/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/TSSP2022_09.pdf)  
 495        Resources, R. (2020). *Video processing*. Retrieved from [https://resources](https://resources.riches-project.eu/glossary/video-processing/)  
 496        [.riches-project.eu/glossary/video-processing/](https://resources.riches-project.eu/glossary/video-processing/) (Accessed: January  
 497        1, 2025)  
 498        Sattar, S., Li, S., & Chapman, M. (2018). Road surface monitoring us-  
 499        ing smartphone sensors: A review. *Sensors*, 18(11), 3845–3845. doi:  
 500        10.3390/s18113845  
 501        Singh, P., Bansal, A., Kamal, A. E., & Kumar, S. (2021). Road surface quality  
 502        monitoring using machine learning algorithm. In *Smart innovation, systems*  
 503        *and technologies* (pp. 423–432). doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-6482-3\_42  
 504        Solawetz, J. (2024, April). What is yolov5? a guide for beginners. *Roboflow Blog*.  
 505        Retrieved from [https://blog.roboflow.com/yolov5-improvements-and](https://blog.roboflow.com/yolov5-improvements-and-evaluation/)  
 506        [-evaluation/](https://blog.roboflow.com/yolov5-improvements-and-evaluation/)



507 **Appendix A**

508 **Appendix Title**

## 509 **Appendix B**

### 510 **Resource Persons**

#### 511 **Prof. Jumar Cadondon**

512 Assistant Professor

513 Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics

514 University of the Philippines Visayas

515 jgcadondon@up.edu.ph

#### 516 **Engr. Jane Chua**

517 Engineer

518 DPWH Region 6

519 chua.jane@dpwh.gov.ph

520

#### 521 **Engr. Marilou Zamora**

522 Chief

523 Planning and Design

524 DPWH Region 6

525 zamora.marilou@dpwh.gov.ph ....