

¹
²
**ROAD DEFECT SEVERITY ASSESSMENT AND
CLASSIFICATION**

³
⁴
⁵
⁶
⁷
⁸
A Special Problem Proposal
Presented to
the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
College of Arts and Sciences
University of the Philippines Visayas
Miag-ao, Iloilo

⁹
¹⁰
¹¹
In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree of
Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

¹²
¹³
¹⁴
BELEBER, Benz Vrianne
CATALAN, Perserose
SENCIL, Kristian Lyle

¹⁵
¹⁶
Francis DIMZON, Ph.D.
Adviser

¹⁷
May 18, 2025

Abstract

Road surveying is a crucial part of the maintenance processes of roads in the Philippines that is carried out by the Department of Public Works and Highways. However, the current process of road surveying is time consuming which delays much needed maintenance operations. Existing studies involving automated pothole detection lack integration of the pothole's depth in assessing its severity which is essential for automating road surveying procedures. A system that incorporates estimated depth information in assessing pothole severity is developed in order to automate the manual process of depth measurement and severity assessment in road surveying. For depth estimation, stereo vision is favorable in this context as depth may be estimated through the disparity generated by a stereo pair. In obtaining a stereo view of the potholes, the StereoPi V2 is utilized along with some modifications that would make it eligible for outdoor use. To address camera imperfections, a fitted inverse model was applied to improve the accuracy of depth estimates. Linear regression analysis revealed a strong positive correlation between estimated and actual depths, with the system measuring pothole depths mostly within 2 cm of the true values.

Keywords: pothole, depth estimation, stereo vision, StereoPi V2

³⁶ **Contents**

³⁷ 1 Introduction	¹
³⁸ 1.1 Overview	¹
³⁹ 1.2 Problem Statement	²
⁴⁰ 1.3 Research Objectives	³
⁴¹ 1.3.1 General Objective	³
⁴² 1.3.2 Specific Objectives	³
⁴³ 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research	³
⁴⁴ 1.5 Significance of the Research	⁴
⁴⁵ 2 Review of Related Literature	⁶
⁴⁶ 2.1 Frameworks	⁶
⁴⁷ 2.1.1 Depth Estimation	⁶
⁴⁸ 2.1.2 Image and Video Processing	⁶
⁴⁹ 2.1.3 Stereo Vision	⁷
⁵⁰ 2.2 Related Studies	⁷
⁵¹ 2.2.1 Deep Learning Studies	⁷
⁵² 2.2.2 Machine Learning Studies	⁹
⁵³ 2.2.3 Computer Vision Studies	¹⁰

54	2.3 Chapter Summary	11
55	3 Methodology	12
56	3.1 Research Activities	12
57	3.1.1 Data Collection	12
58	3.1.2 Design, Testing, and Experimentation	13
59	3.1.3 Challenges and Limitations	19
60	4 Preliminary Results/System Prototype	20
61	4.1 System Calibration and Model Refinement	20
62	4.2 Model Refinement Using Regression	21
63	4.3 Error Analysis	22
64	4.4 Testing Results	22
65	4.5 Discussion	23
66	5 Summary, Conclusions, Discussion, and Recommendations	25
67	5.1 Summary	25
68	5.2 Conclusions	26
69	5.3 Discussion	27
70	5.4 Recommendations for Practice	28
71	5.5 Suggestions for further research	28
72	5.6 Conclusion	29
73	References	30
74	A Appendix	32

⁷⁶ List of Figures

⁷⁷	3.1 Components used in the prototype development.	14
⁷⁸	3.2 Dual RPi Camera Modules attached to the custom housing.	15
⁷⁹	3.3 LCD Module connected to the StereoPi board.	15
⁸⁰	3.4 The finished prototype.	15
⁸¹	3.5 Calibration process with a checkerboard to correct fisheye lens distortion.	16
⁸²		
⁸³	3.6 Parameter tuning process to achieve cleaner and more accurate disparity maps.	16
⁸⁴		
⁸⁵	3.7 The system tested on a simulated pothole.	17
⁸⁶	3.8 Inverse Model Fit to Disparity vs. Distance.	18
⁸⁷		
⁸⁸	4.1 Disparity Map	23
⁸⁹	4.2 Left Stereo Image	23
⁹⁰	4.3 Right Stereo Image	23
	4.4 Inverse Model Fit to Disparity vs. Distance.	24

⁹¹ List of Tables

⁹²	2.1 Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision	11
⁹³		
⁹⁴	4.1 Performance Metrics for the Regression Model	22
⁹⁵	4.2 Ground Truth and StereoPi Depth Measurements	23
⁹⁶	4.3 Linear Regression Model for Pothole Depth Estimation	23

⁹⁷ **Chapter 1**

⁹⁸ **Introduction**

⁹⁹ **1.1 Overview**

¹⁰⁰ According to the National Road Length by Classification, Surface Type, and Con-
¹⁰¹ dition of the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH), as of October
¹⁰² 2022 approximately 98.97% of roads in the Philippines is paved which is either
¹⁰³ made of concrete or asphalt (DPWH, 2022). Since the DPWH is an institution
¹⁰⁴ under the government, it is paramount to maintain such roads in order to avoid
¹⁰⁵ accidents and congested traffic situations especially in heavily urbanized areas
¹⁰⁶ where there are a lot of vehicles.

¹⁰⁷ In an interview with the Road Board of DPWH Region 6 it was stated that
¹⁰⁸ road condition assessments are mostly done manually with heavy reliance on en-
¹⁰⁹ gineering judgment. In addition, manual assessment of roads is also time con-
¹¹⁰ suming which leaves maintenance operations to wait for lengthy assessments (J.
¹¹¹ Chua, Personal Interview. 16 September 2024). In a study conducted by (Ramos,
¹¹² Dacanay, & Bronuela-Ambrocio, 2023), it was found that the Philippines' current
¹¹³ method of manual pavement surveying is considered as a gap since it takes an
¹¹⁴ average of 2-3 months to cover a 250 km road as opposed to a 1 day duration
¹¹⁵ in the Australian Road Research Board for the same road length. Ramos et al.
¹¹⁶ (2022) recommended that to significantly improve efficiency of surveying methods
¹¹⁷ and data gathering processes, automated survey tools are to be employed. It was
¹¹⁸ also added that use of such automated, surveying tools can also guarantee the
¹¹⁹ safety of road surveyors (Ramos et al., 2023).

¹²⁰ If the process of assessment on the severity of road defects can be automated
¹²¹ then the whole process of assessing the quality of roads can be hastened up which

122 can also enable maintenance operations to commence as soon as possible if nec-
123 essary. If not automated, the delay of assessments will continue and roads that
124 are supposedly needing maintenance may not be properly maintained which can
125 affect the general public that is utilizing public roads daily.

126 Existing studies involving road defects such as potholes mainly focus on the
127 detection of potholes using deep learning models and almost not considering the
128 severity of detected potholes or did not incorporate any depth information from
129 potholes (Ha, Kim, & Kim, 2022; Kumar, 2024; Bibi et al., 2021). In addition,
130 for studies that include severity assessment on potholes, the main goal of the
131 study is not directed towards road maintenance automation but other factors such
132 as improvement of ride quality for the vehicle. Another issue found in existing
133 solutions is the lack of incorporation to the context of Philippine roads. With
134 these issues in mind, the study aims to utilize stereo vision from StereoPi V2
135 in order to obtain multi-perspective views of detected potholes to be used in
136 severity assessment by focusing on estimating the depth of individual potholes
137 for automated road condition monitoring.

138 1.2 Problem Statement

139 Roads support almost every aspect of daily life, from providing a way to transport
140 goods and services to allowing people to stay connected with their communities.
141 However, road defects such as cracks and potholes damage roads over time, and
142 they can increase accident risks and affect the overall transportation. The current
143 way of inspecting the roads for maintenance is often slow as it is done manually,
144 which makes it harder to detect and fix defects early. The delay in addressing these
145 problems can lead to even worse road conditions (J. Chua, Personal Interview. 16
146 September 2024). There are several research studies into automated road defect
147 classification that have advanced in recent years but most of them focus on iden-
148 tifying the types of defects rather than assessing their severity or characteristics
149 like depth. Without reliable data on the depth of the defect, road maintenance
150 authorities may underestimate the severity of certain defects. To address these
151 challenges, advancements are needed across various areas. An effective solution
152 should not only detect and classify road defects but also measure their severity
153 to better prioritize repairs. Failing to address this problem will require more ex-
154 tensive repairs for damaged roads, which raises the cost and strains the budget.
155 Additionally, road maintenance would still be slow and cause disruptions in daily
156 activities. Using an automated system that accurately assess the severity of road
157 defects by incorporating depth is necessary to efficiently monitor road quality.

¹⁵⁸ 1.3 Research Objectives

¹⁵⁹ 1.3.1 General Objective

¹⁶⁰ This special problem aims to develop a system that accurately estimates the depth
¹⁶¹ of potholes on road surfaces by using image analysis, depth measurement tech-
¹⁶² nologies, and computer vision techniques. The system will focus specifically on
¹⁶³ measuring the depth of potholes to assess their severity, enabling faster and more
¹⁶⁴ accurate road maintenance decisions, and there are no current practices in the
¹⁶⁵ Philippines involving depth information of potholes in assessing their severity. In
¹⁶⁶ accordance with the Department of Public Works and Highways Region 6's man-
¹⁶⁷ ual for road maintenance, the study will classify potholes into different severity
¹⁶⁸ levels such as low, medium, and high, which will be primarily based on their
¹⁶⁹ depth. In order to measure the system's accuracy, linear regression in order to
¹⁷⁰ represent the difference between the depth calculated from the disparity and the
¹⁷¹ actual depth of the pothole from ground truth data.

¹⁷² 1.3.2 Specific Objectives

¹⁷³ Specifically, this special problem aims:

- ¹⁷⁴ 1. To collect high-quality stereo images of road surfaces that capture potholes
¹⁷⁵ including their depth in favorable conditions
- ¹⁷⁶ 2. To measure the accuracy of the system by comparing the depth measure-
¹⁷⁷ ments against ground truth data collected from actual road inspections and
¹⁷⁸ to utilize linear regression, root mean square error, and mean absolute error
¹⁷⁹ as a metric for evaluation.
- ¹⁸⁰ 3. To develop a prototype system that can detect and measure road potholes
¹⁸¹ from image input, analyze their depth, and assess their severity.

¹⁸² 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

¹⁸³ This system focuses solely on detecting and assessing the severity of potholes
¹⁸⁴ through image analysis and depth measurement technologies. The scope includes

185 the collection of pothole images using cameras and depth-sensing tools under a
186 favorable weather condition.

187 Depth-sensing tools, such as stereo cameras, will be used to record the depth
188 of potholes specifically. The system will not address other road defects like cracks
189 or other surface deformations; therefore, it will detect and analyze only potholes.
190 Additionally, only accessible potholes will be measured, meaning those that are
191 filled with water or obscured by debris may not be accurately assessed.

192 The system developed focuses exclusively on detecting potholes and assessing
193 their severity through depth measurement. The accuracy of the system's depth
194 measurements is evaluated by comparing them against data collected from actual
195 field inspections. However, this comparison is limited to selected sample sites,
196 as collecting field data over a large area can be time-consuming and resource-
197 intensive.

198 Environmental factors such as lighting, road surface texture, and weather con-
199 ditions may impact the system's performance. The accuracy and reliability of
200 the system will depend on the quality of camera calibration and disparity map
201 finetuning. Its ability to measure the depth of pothole images needs careful vali-
202 dation.

203 1.5 Significance of the Research

204 This special problem aims to be significant to the following:

205 *Computer Science Community.* This system can contribute to advancements
206 in computer vision and machine learning by using both visual and depth data to
207 assess the severity of road defects. It introduces a more comprehensive approach
208 compared to the usual image-only or manual inspection methods. This combina-
209 tion can be applied to other fields that need both visual and depth analysis like
210 medical imaging.

211 *Concerned Government Agencies.* This system offers a valuable tool for road
212 safety and maintenance. Not only can this detect and classify anomalies, it can
213 also assess the defect's severity which allows them to prioritize repairs, optimal
214 project expenditures, and better overall road safety and quality.

215 *Field Engineers.* In the scorching heat, field engineers are no longer required
216 to be on foot unless it requires their engineering judgement when surveying a road
217 segment. It can hasten the overall assessment process.

²¹⁸ *Future Researchers.* The special problem may serve as a baseline and guide of
²¹⁹ researchers with the aim to pursue special problems similar or related to this.

²²⁰ **Chapter 2**

²²¹ **Review of Related Literature**

²²² **2.1 Frameworks**

²²³ This section of the chapter presents related frameworks that is considered essential
²²⁴ for the development of this special problem.

²²⁵ **2.1.1 Depth Estimation**

²²⁶ Depth estimation as defined by Sanz, Mezcua, and Pena (2012) is a set of processes
²²⁷ that aims to extract a representation of a certain scene's spatial composition.
²²⁸ Stereo vision is stated to be among the depth estimation strategies.

²²⁹ **2.1.2 Image and Video Processing**

²³⁰ Kumar (2024) defines image processing as a process of turning an image into its
²³¹ digital form and extracting data from it through certain functions and operations.
²³² Usual processes are considered to treat images as 2D signals wherein different
²³³ processing methods utilize these signals. Like image processing, RICHES Project
²³⁴ (2014) defines video processing as being able to extract information and data from
²³⁵ video footage through signal processing methods. However, in video processing
²³⁶ due to the diversity of video formats, compression and decompression methods
²³⁷ are often expected to be performed on videos before processing methods to either
²³⁸ increase or decrease bitrate.

²³⁹ 2.1.3 Stereo Vision

²⁴⁰ MathWorks (n.d.) defines stereo vision as a process of utilizing multiple 2D per-
²⁴¹ spectives in order to extract information in 3D. In addition, most uses of stereo
²⁴² vision involve estimating an objects distance from an observer or camera. The 3D
²⁴³ information is stated to be extracted with stereo pairs or pair of images through es-
²⁴⁴ timation of relative depth of points in a scene which are then represented through
²⁴⁵ a stereo map that is made through the matching of the pair's corresponding points.

²⁴⁶ 2.2 Related Studies

²⁴⁷ This section of the chapter presents related studies conducted by other researchers
²⁴⁸ wherein the methodology and technologies used may serve as basis in the devel-
²⁴⁹ opment of this special problem.

²⁵⁰ 2.2.1 Deep Learning Studies

²⁵¹ **Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies** ²⁵² **in VANET Using Deep Learning**

²⁵³

²⁵⁴ In the study of Bibi et al. (2021) it was noted that identification of active road
²⁵⁵ defects are critical in maintaining smooth and safe flow of traffic. Detection and
²⁵⁶ subsequent repair of such defects in roads are crucial in keeping vehicles using
²⁵⁷ such roads away from mechanical failures. The study also emphasized the growth
²⁵⁸ in use of autonomous vehicles in research data gathering which is what the re-
²⁵⁹ searchers utilized in data gathering procedures. With the presence of autonomous
²⁶⁰ vehicles, this allowed the researchers to use a combination of sensors and deep
²⁶¹ neural networks in deploying artificial intelligence. The study aimed to allow au-
²⁶² tonomous vehicles to avoid critical road defects that can possibly lead to dangerous
²⁶³ situations. Researchers used Resnet-18 and VGG-11 in automatic detection and
²⁶⁴ classification of road defects. Researchers concluded that the trained model was
²⁶⁵ able to perform better than other techniques for road defect detection. The study
²⁶⁶ is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training arti-
²⁶⁷ ficial intelligence for road defect detection and classification. However, the study
²⁶⁸ lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects and incorporation of pot-
²⁶⁹ hole depth in their model which are both crucial in automating manual procedures
²⁷⁰ of road surveying in the Philippines.

271 **Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches**

272

273 The study of Luo, Lu, and Guo (2020) aimed to utilize deep learning models in
274 classifying road anomalies. The researchers used three deep learning approaches
275 namely Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent
276 Neural Network from data collected through the sensors in the vehicle's suspension
277 system. In comparing the performance of the three deep learning approaches, the
278 researchers fixed some hyperparameters. Results revealed that the RNN model
279 was the most stable among the three and in the case of the CNN and DFN mod-
280 els, the researchers suggested the use of wheel speed signals to ensure accuracy.
281 And lastly, the researchers concluded that the RNN model was best due to high
282 prediction performance with small set parameters. However, proper severity as-
283 sessment through depth information was not stated to be utilized in any of the
284 three approaches used in the study.

285 **Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-
286 Based Segmentation and Detection**

287

288 In the study of Ha et al. (2022), it was argued that the detection, classification,
289 and severity assessment of road cracks should be automated due to the bottleneck
290 it causes during the entire process of surveying. For the study, the researchers
291 utilized SqueezeNet, U-Net, and MobileNet-SSD models for crack classification and
292 severity assessment. Furthermore, the researchers also employed separate U-nets
293 for linear and area cracking cases. For crack detection, the researchers followed
294 the process of pre-processing, detection, classification. During preprocessing im-
295 ages were smoothed out using image processing techniques. The researchers also
296 utilized YOLOv5 object detection models for classification of pavement cracking
297 wherein the YOLOv51 model recorded the highest accuracy. The researchers how-
298 ever stated images used for the study are only 2D images which may have allowed
299 higher accuracy rates. Furthermore, the researchers suggest incorporating depth
300 information in the models to further enhance results.

301 **Roadway pavement anomaly classification utilizing smart-
302 phones and artificial intelligence**

303

304 The study of Kyriakou, Christodoulou, and Dimitriou (2016) presented what is
305 considered as a low-cost technology which was the use of Artificial Neural Net-
306 works in training a model for road anomaly detection from data gathered by
307 smartphone sensors. The researchers were able to collect case study data us-
308 ing two-dimensional indicators of the smartphone's roll and pitch values. In the
309 study's discussion, the data collected displayed some complexity due to accelera-
310 tion and vehicle speed which lead to detected anomalies being not as conclusive as

311 planned. The researchers also added that the plots are unable to show parameters
312 that could verify the data's correctness and accuracy. Despite the setbacks, the
313 researchers still fed the data into the Artificial Neural Network that was expected
314 to produce two outputs which were “no defect” and “defect.” The method still
315 yielded above 90% accuracy but due to the limited number of possible outcomes
316 in the data processing the researchers still needed to test the methodology with
317 larger data sets and roads with higher volumes of anomalies.

318 **2.2.2 Machine Learning Studies**

319 **Smartphones as Sensors for Road Surface Monitoring**

320
321 In their study, Sattar, Li, and Chapman (2018) noted the rise of sensing capabilities
322 of smartphones which they utilized in monitoring road surface to detect and
323 identify anomalies. The researchers considered different approaches in detecting
324 road surface anomalies using smartphone sensors. One of which are threshold-
325 based approaches which was determined to be quite difficult due to several factors
326 that are affecting the process of determining the interval length of a window
327 function in spectral analysis. The researchers also utilized a machine learning
328 approach adapted from another study. It was stated that k-means was used in
329 classifying sensor data and in training the SVM algorithm. Due to the requirement
330 of training a supervised algorithm using a labeled sample data was required
331 before classifying data from sensors, the approach was considered to be impractical
332 for real-time situations. In addition, Sattar et al. (2018) also noted various
333 challenges when utilizing smartphones as sensors for data gathering such as sensors
334 being dependent on the device's placement and orientation, smoothness of
335 captured data, and the speed of the vehicle it is being mounted on. Lastly, it was
336 also concluded that the accuracy and performance of using smartphone sensors is
337 challenging to compare due to the limited data sets and reported algorithms.

338 **Road Surface Quality Monitoring Using Machine Learning 339 Algorithms**

340
341 The study of Singh, Bansal, Kamal, and Kumar (2021) aimed to utilize machine
342 learning algorithms in classifying road defects as well as predict their locations.
343 Another implication of the study was to provide useful information to commuters
344 and maintenance data for authorities regarding road conditions. The researchers
345 gathered data using various methods such as smartphone GPS, gyroscopes, and
346 accelerometers. (Singh et al., 2021) also argued that early existing road monitoring
347 models are unable to predict locations of road defects and are dependent
348 on fixed roads and static vehicle speed. Neural and deep neural networks were

349 utilized in the classification of anomalies which was concluded by the researchers
350 to yield accurate results and are applicable on a larger scale of data. The study
351 of Singh et al. (2021) can be considered as an effective method in gathering data
352 about road conditions. However, it was stated in the study that relevant authori-
353 ties will be provided with maintenance operation and there is no presence of any
354 severity assessment in the study. This may cause confusion due to a lack of as-
355 sessment on what is the road condition that will require extensive maintenance or
356 repair.

357 **2.2.3 Computer Vision Studies**

358 **Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved**
359 **Ride Quality**

360
361 In the study of Ramaiah and Kundu (2021) it was stated that stereo vision has
362 been earning attention due to its reliable obstacle detection and recognition. Fur-
363 thermore, the study also discussed that such technology would be useful in improv-
364 ing ride quality in automated vehicles by integrating it in a predictive suspension
365 control system. The proposed study was to develop a novel stereo vision based
366 pothole detection system which also calculates the depth accurately. However,
367 the study focused on improving ride quality by using the 3D information from
368 detected potholes in controlling the damping coefficient of the suspension system.
369 Overall, the pothole detection system was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is
370 able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm. The researchers concluded
371 that such system can be utilized in commercial applications. However, it is also
372 worth noting that despite the system being able to detect potholes and measure
373 its depth, the overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

³⁷⁴ 2.3 Chapter Summary

- ³⁷⁵ The reviewed literature involved various techniques and approaches in road anomaly detection and classification. These approaches are discussed and summarized below along with their limitations and research gaps.

Study	Technology/ Techniques Used	Key Findings	Limitations
Automated Detection and Classification of Road Anomalies in VANET Using Deep Learning	Resnet-18 and VGG-11	Trained model is able to provide the effectiveness of using deep learning models in training artificial intelligence for road defect detection and classification.	Lacks findings regarding the severity of detected defects.
Smartphones as sensors for Road surface monitoring	Machine Learning, Smartphones	Approach was considered impractical for real-life applications.	Sensors are dependent on device's placement and orientation, smoothness of data, and speed of vehicle it is mounted on. Accuracy of results is difficult to compare.
Road Anomaly Detection through Deep Learning Approaches	Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Feedforward Network, and Recurrent Neural Network	Identified that RNN was the best deep learning approach due to high prediction performance.	Data collection is considered too difficult and complicated to execute due to sensors being mounted on an integral part of the vehicle.
Assessing Severity of Road Cracks Using Deep Learning-Based Segmentation and Detection	SqueezeNet, U-Net, YOLOv5, and MobileNet-SSD models	YOLOv5 model recorded the highest accuracy.	Only 2D images are used for the study which may have allowed higher accuracy rates, and the study also lacked depth information.
Stereo Vision Based Pothole Detection System for Improved Ride Quality	Pair of stereo images captured by a stereo camera	System was able to achieve 84% accuracy and is able to detect potholes that are deeper than 5 cm.	Overall severity of the pothole and road condition was not addressed.

Table 2.1: Comparison of Related Studies on Road Anomaly Detection using Deep Learning Techniques and Stereo Vision

³⁷⁸ **Chapter 3**

³⁷⁹ **Methodology**

³⁸⁰ This chapter outlines the systematic approach that were taken to address the
³⁸¹ problem of pothole depth estimation using StereoPi V2. The methodology is
³⁸² divided into key phases: data collection, algorithm selection, design, testing and
³⁸³ experimentation, and challenges and limitations. Each phase will play a crucial
³⁸⁴ role in accurately classifying and assessing road defects. Each phase is essential
³⁸⁵ for accurately estimating the depth of potholes using StereoPi V2.

³⁸⁶ **3.1 Research Activities**

³⁸⁷ **3.1.1 Data Collection**

³⁸⁸ The researchers conducted initial inquiries to understand the problem domain and
³⁸⁹ existing road maintenance practices. This phase included consulting the engineers
³⁹⁰ under the Road Maintenance Department of the government agency Department
³⁹¹ of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). An interview with Engr. Jane Chua pro-
³⁹² vided a comprehensive overview of the DPWH's road maintenance manual, which
³⁹³ was crucial in aligning this project with existing standards. This collaboration
³⁹⁴ with DPWH provided insights into road pothole classification standards, ensuring
³⁹⁵ that the collected data will align with industry standards. The DPWH manual
³⁹⁶ primarily focuses on the volume of detected potholes within a road segment as
³⁹⁷ a measure of severity. However, since depth is not explicitly measured in their
³⁹⁸ current procedures, the study will supplement this by referencing international
³⁹⁹ standards such as the Long-Term Pavement Performance (LTPP) classification
⁴⁰⁰ used in the United States (Miller et al., 2014). The LTPP categorizes potholes

401 based on depth thresholds, which will be integrated with DPWH's volume-based
402 assessment to provide a more comprehensive severity classification framework.
403 The data collection involved capturing around 130 images of potholes from var-
404 ious locations within the UP Visayas Campus. Ground truth data of pothole
405 depth were collected by the researchers by measuring the depth of different points
406 in an individual pothole and then solving for its average depth. The aforemen-
407 tioned process was validated by Engr. Benjamin Javellana, Assistant Director
408 of the DPWH Regional Office 6 Maintenance Division. In order to individually
409 locate or determine each pothole where the ground truth data is collected, images
410 taken were labeled with their corresponding coordinates, street names, and nearby
411 landmarks.


412 **3.1.1.1 Data Collection (Ground Truth Data)**

413 Data collection took place between January and March 2025, during which the
414 researchers collected depth information from 130 potholes around the University of
415 the Philippines Visayas Miagao Campus. During data collection, the researchers
416 are equipped with safety vests and an early warning device to give caution to
417 incoming vehicles. To measure the depth of each pothole, the researchers recorded
418 four depth points within the pothole and calculated their average.

419 **3.1.2 Design, Testing, and Experimentation**

420 This section outlines both the design and testing of the system, as well as the
421 experimentation process to validate the selected methodologies.

422 **3.1.2.1 Materials and Equipment**



423

Figure 3.1: Components used in the prototype development.

424 The prototype system was constructed using the following materials and com-
425 ponents:

- 426 • StereoPi V2 Board
- 427 • Raspberry Pi Compute Module 4 (CM4)
- 428 • Dual RaspberryPi Camera Modules with Fisheye Lens
- 429 • 3D Printed Custom Housing
- 430 • 2-inch LCD Module
- 431 • Micro SD Card
- 432 • Antenna
- 433 • Momentary Push Button

434 **3.1.2.2 Prototype Building**

435 The prototype involved the StereoPi V2 Kit which was acquired through an official
436 international distributor. After assembling the camera, it was further modified to
437 address its heating by incorporating a heat sink and a small computer fan to
438 make it suitable for outdoor use.

439




Figure 3.2: Dual RPi Camera Modules attached to the custom housing.




Figure 3.3: LCD Module connected to the StereoPi board.

440




Figure 3.4: The finished prototype.

441 **3.1.2.3 Camera Calibration (Fisheye Distortion)**

442 The StereoPi V2 is first calibrated using a 9 by 6 checkerboard, with a checker
443 size of 55mm, from different angles through calibration scripts that came with the
444 package. This process ensured that the camera is working properly in capturing
445 stereo imagery. This removed distortion from captured images allowing depth
446 estimation with more accuracy.

447




Figure 3.5: Calibration process with a checkerboard to correct fisheye lens distortion.

448 **3.1.2.4 Camera Calibration (Disparity Map Fine-tuning)**

449 The stereo image pairs captured by the system were first rectified to ensure proper
450 alignment of corresponding features. Block matching parameters were then fine-
451 tuned to produce clearer and more accurate disparity maps. It was observed
452 that the effective operational range of the stereo camera system extends from
453 approximately 30 to 80 cm. At distances closer than 30 cm, the disparity maps
454 exhibited significant noise, while at distances beyond 80 cm, disparity information
455 became sparse or blank.

456




Figure 3.6: Parameter tuning process to achieve cleaner and more accurate disparity maps.

457 **3.1.2.5 Initial Testing**

458 Initial testing was conducted to verify the functionality and basic accuracy of the
459 stereoscopic camera system in a controlled environment. Artificial potholes with
460 known depths were created to simulate varying real-world scenarios. The system
461 captured disparity maps, and estimated depths were computed using the standard
462 stereo camera depth formula.

463




Figure 3.7: The system tested on a simulated pothole.

464 However, the results revealed a non-linear relationship between the computed
465 disparity values and the actual distances. This discrepancy indicated that the
466 traditional depth estimation method was insufficient for the current setup. To
467 address this, the researchers collected multiple data points and correlating known
468 distances to their respective disparity readings and fitted an inverse model to
469 better represent the system's behavior (see Figure 4.4). This updated disparity-
470 to-depth model was subsequently used in the final testing phase.




Figure 3.8: Inverse Model Fit to Disparity vs. Distance.

471 3.1.2.6 Performance Metrics

472 The accuracy of the pothole depth estimated by StereoPi V2 was analyzed using
473 Linear Regression in order to model the difference between the disparity and
474 distance. The lower the disparity indicates that the pothole is deeper.

475 3.1.2.7 Final Testing and Validation

476 The testing process began with a detailed testing plan that includes both simu-
477 lated and real-world testing scenarios. Initially, the system is tested in controlled
478 environments to ensure it can estimate pothole depth effectively. Following this,
479 real-world testing was conducted using the StereoPi kit on previously located
480 potholes, specifically at the University of the Philippines Visayas Miagao Cam-
481 pus. The system's performance was validated by comparing its predictions with
482 ground-truth data collected from manual inspections.

483 3.1.2.8 Documentation

484 Throughout the research activities, thorough documentation was maintained.
485 This documentation captured all methods, results, challenges, and adjustments
486 made during the experimentation phases. It ensured the reproducibility of the
487 work and provided transparency for future research endeavors.

488 **3.1.3 Challenges and Limitations**

489 **3.1.3.1 Camera Limitations**

490 During the data collection process, the researchers were faced with various issues
491 involving the StereoPi V2 kit. Issues like inaccuracies in the rectified image pair
492 and generated disparity map were very apparent in the early stages of data collec-
493 tion due to limited related studies and literature involving the camera. In addition,
494 the camera also yielded some inaccurate depth estimation and over reliance on
495 controlled environments which prompted the researchers to further improve its
496 tuning and calibration.

497 **Chapter 4**

498 **Preliminary Results/System
499 Prototype**

500 This chapter presents the results on estimating the depth of potholes using the
501 StereoPi system. It details the prototype construction, calibration of the system,
502 and the application of regression analysis to improve depth estimation. It also
503 contains the measurements taken during the testing phases, comparing the ground
504 truth depths with the value estimated by the camera. Findings are presented
505 systematically, supported by tables showing the collected data, images of the
506 outputs, and discussion on the analysis of results.

507 **4.1 System Calibration and Model Refinement**

508 After the initial testing, the system was calibrated using a controlled setup, where
509 artificial potholes with known depths were created. The stereo camera system
510 captured disparity maps, from which depth was calculated using the standard
511 stereo vision formula:

$$\text{Depth} = \frac{f \times B}{d}$$

512 where:

- 513 • f is the focal length in pixels,
514 • B is the baseline distance between the two cameras,

- 515 • d is the disparity.

516 However, preliminary observations revealed that the relationship between mea-
517 sured disparity and depth was shifted from the ideal. Their relationship is in-
518 herently nonlinear, specifically an inverse relationship (of the form $y=1/x$). As
519 disparity decreases, depth increases rapidly and nonlinearly. However, due to
520 real-world factors such as lens distortion, imperfect calibration, stereo matching
521 errors, and pixel quantization, the actual relationship between measured disparity
522 and true depth often deviates from the theoretical ideal (Scharstein & Szeliski,
523 2002).

524 To address the shifting behavior, a curve fitting approach was introduced.
525 Specifically, an inverse model was fitted to the collected data points, relating
526 disparity and ground-truth distance measurements.

527 An inverse function of the form:

$$y = a + \frac{b}{x}$$

528 where:

- 529 • y is the estimated distance (in cm),
530 • x is the measured disparity,
531 • a and b are coefficients obtained through regression analysis.

532 4.2 Model Refinement Using Regression

533 The regression analysis produced the following model parameters:

- 534 • $a = \dots$
535 • $b = \dots$

536 The model achieved the following performance on the test data:

Metric	Value
Mean Absolute Error (MAE)	X cm
Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)	X cm

Table 4.1: Performance Metrics for the Regression Model

537 The relatively low MAE and RMSE indicate that the fitted model signifi-
 538 cantly improved the accuracy of depth estimation compared to the original stereo
 539 formula.

540 4.3 Error Analysis

541 Despite the improvements, minor estimation errors remained. These errors were
 542 primarily attributed to:

- 543 • Low-light imaging conditions affecting disparity computation,
- 544 • Shallow potholes with depths less than 1 cm, where disparity resolution
 545 becomes a limiting factor,
- 546 • Perspective distortion when the stereo camera was not parallel to the ground
 547 plane.

548 4.4 Testing Results

549 Following calibration, actual potholes located around the University of the Philip-
 550 pines Visayas (UPV) campus were tested. The ground truth depths of the potholes
 551 were measured manually and compared with the depths estimated by the camera.
 552 Based on the results, the StereoPi camera was able to estimate the depths fairly
 553 close to the ground truth values. The smallest difference was seen in Pothole 5,
 554 where the estimated depth was only 0.24 cm away from the ground truth. The
 555 largest difference was found in Pothole 1, where the error was 3.45 cm. For the
 556 other potholes, the differences were 0.67 cm for Pothole 2, 2.07 cm for Pothole
 557 3, and 2.66 cm for Pothole 4. Most of the time, the camera's estimated depths
 558 were only off by about one to three centimeters. Table 4.2 shows the comparison
 559 between the manually measured ground truth depths and the depths estimated
 560 by the StereoPi camera for each simulated pothole.

Table 4.2: Ground Truth and StereoPi Depth Measurements

Pothole	Ground Truth 1 (cm)	Ground Truth 2 (cm)	Ground Truth Avg (cm)	Est Depth 1(cm)	Est Depth 2 (cm)	Est Depth Avg(cm)	Diff(cm)
1	14.6	14.4	14.5	11.16	10.94	11.05	3.45
2	12.0	12.1	12.05	12.36	10.4	11.38	0.67
3	6.4	6.5	6.45	4.76	4.0	4.38	2.07
4	9.8	9.3	9.55	6.16	7.62	6.89	2.66
5	13.9	14.3	14.1	13.04	14.68	13.86	0.24




Figure 4.1: Disparity Map




Figure 4.2: Left Stereo Image



Figure 4.3: Right Stereo Image

561 4.5 Discussion

562 The Linear Regression test on the collected data revealed a strong positive linear
 563 relationship between the estimated and ground truth depths ($R = 0.937$). The co-
 564 efficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.878$) also indicates that 87.8% of the differences
 565 in the estimated depth are correctly predicted based on the ground truth data.
 566 After calculating for the Mean Absolute Error, it was also found that estimated
 567 pothole depths differ from the actual ground truth data by around 1.82 cm. In
 568 addition, the Root Mean Square Error also revealed that the typical error size is
 569 at 1.19 cm.

R	R ²	Root Mean Square Error	Mean Absolute Error
0.937	0.878	1.19	1.82

Table 4.3: Linear Regression Model for Pothole Depth Estimation




Figure 4.4: Inverse Model Fit to Disparity vs. Distance.

⁵⁷⁰ **Chapter 5**

⁵⁷¹ **Summary, Conclusions,
572 Discussion, and
573 Recommendations**

⁵⁷⁴ This chapter provides conclusions based on the research findings from data col-
⁵⁷⁵ lected on the development of a pothole depth estimation system using stereo vision
⁵⁷⁶ technology. It also presents a discussion and recommendations for future research.
⁵⁷⁷ This chapter reviews the purpose of the study, research questions, related liter-
⁵⁷⁸ ature, methodology, and findings. It then presents the conclusions, a discussion
⁵⁷⁹ of the results, recommendations for practice, suggestions for further research, and
⁵⁸⁰ the final conclusion of the study.

⁵⁸¹ **5.1 Summary**

⁵⁸² This special project addressed the critical issue of road maintenance by developing
⁵⁸³ a system capable of estimating the depth of potholes to help prioritize repairs.
⁵⁸⁴ The purpose of the project was to create an automated method that not only
⁵⁸⁵ detects potholes but also assesses their severity based on depth, responding to
⁵⁸⁶ the current manual and slow road inspection practices. The researchers aimed to
⁵⁸⁷ collect high-quality images of potholes under varying conditions, to validate the
⁵⁸⁸ system's depth estimation accuracy using ground truth measurements and linear
⁵⁸⁹ regression analysis, and to build a working prototype using stereo vision that can
⁵⁹⁰ detect, measure, and assess potholes.

⁵⁹¹ To achieve these objectives, a hardware prototype was built using the StereoPi

592 V2 board and Raspberry Pi Compute Module 4, equipped with dual fisheye-lens
593 cameras. Camera calibration was performed using a 9x6 checkerboard pattern
594 with known square sizes to correct for fisheye lens distortion and ensure proper
595 alignment of the stereo pair. After calibration, disparity map generation was
596 fine-tuned by adjusting block matching parameters to produce clearer and more
597 reliable disparity maps. Initial testing was conducted using simulated potholes
598 with known depths to verify the functionality of the system and identify the non-
599 linear behavior present in stereo vision depth measurements. It was observed that
600 using the standard stereo depth formula led to inaccuracies, particularly at greater
601 distances.

602 The calibrated system and fitted regression model were validated by comparing
603 the estimated depths with the manually measured depths. The findings showed
604 that the system was able to estimate pothole depths within approximately ± 2
605 cm of actual measurements, achieving a Mean Absolute Error (MAE) of 1.82 cm
606 and a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of 1.19 cm. A strong positive linear
607 relationship was observed between the estimated and actual depths ($R = 0.937$,
608 $R^2 = 0.878$).

609 5.2 Conclusions

610 The researchers conclude the following based on the findings:

- 611 • The system effectively captures and analyzes depth information from stereo
612 images, providing a viable method for automated pothole severity assess-
613 ment.
- 614 • Incorporating depth measurements significantly improves pothole repair pri-
615 oritization compared to traditional visual-only inspections, allowing main-
616 tenance decisions to be based on objective, measurable data.
- 617 • The system achieved an acceptable regression model fit, with a strong posi-
618 tive correlation ($R = 0.937$) and a coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.878$),
619 confirming that the depth estimates closely align with the ground truth
620 measurements. The system obtained satisfactory error metrics, with a Mean
621 Absolute Error (MAE) of 1.82 cm and a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)
622 of 1.19 cm, indicating reliable performance for both pothole detection and
623 depth estimation tasks.
- 624 • The proposed approach fills a critical gap in current road maintenance prac-
625 tices, especially within the Philippine context where depth-based severity

626 classification is not yet systematically implemented.

627 5.3 Discussion

628 The study found that stereo vision works effectively in helping estimate the depth
629 of road potholes. The system built using the StereoPi V2 camera was able to
630 measure pothole depths with results mostly within ± 2 cm of the actual ground
631 truth values. This matches the general observation in earlier studies (e.g., Ra-
632 maiah and Kundu, 2021), which showed that stereo vision can provide useful 3D
633 information for road obstacle detection. However, this study advances previous
634 work by focusing not just on detection, but on depth-based severity classification,
635 which was largely missing in earlier research.

636 The outputs of the system were generally positive, showing that with proper
637 calibration and tuning, consistent and reliable depth estimates can be produced.
638 Calibration using checkerboards and tuning block matching parameters were cru-
639 cial steps in achieving these results. Similar to the findings of Sanz et al. (2012),
640 proper stereo camera calibration was found to be critical to achieving accept-
641 able disparity maps. This reinforces the importance of calibration techniques,
642 especially in real-world outdoor conditions where environmental factors introduce
643 noise.

644 It was also observed that incorporating depth measurements into pothole de-
645 tection greatly improves how potholes are prioritized for repairs compared to
646 traditional visual-only inspections. This insight fills a notable gap in current
647 practices, especially in the Philippine context where depth measurements are not
648 typically part of road surveys (Ramos et al., 2023). Depth-based severity clas-
649 sification enables road maintenance teams to make more informed and objective
650 decisions on which potholes to prioritize for immediate repair, helping to optimize
651 resource allocation and improve public road safety.

652 The system achieved a strong positive regression model fit ($R = 0.937$, R^2
653 = 0.878) and satisfactory error measures ($MAE = 1.82$ cm, $RMSE = 1.19$ cm).
654 These results confirm that stereo vision, when combined with simple regression
655 modeling, can reliably estimate pothole depths. This finding is significant because
656 earlier machine learning-based road detection studies (such as Bibi et al., 2021)
657 focused mostly on classifying the existence of defects, not measuring their severity.

658 However, the study also highlighted limitations affecting system performance,
659 including sensitivity to camera calibration quality, lighting conditions, road sur-
660 face texture, and the camera's vertical positioning during image capture. Outdoor

661 testing revealed that low lighting and shallow potholes made it difficult to gen-
662 erate clean disparity maps, sometimes causing minor estimation errors. These
663 observations are consistent with Sattar et al. (2018), who reported that mobile
664 road sensing systems often struggle in low-light or highly variable surface condi-
665 tions. Understanding these challenges is important because it points to practical
666 improvements, such as using better cameras, adding lighting support, or applying
667 more robust image enhancement methods in future versions of the system.

668 5.4 Recommendations for Practice

669 Based on the findings of this special project, the following recommendations are
670 proposed for future researchers, engineers, and road maintenance agencies:

671 *Use stereo vision systems for road surveys.* Road maintenance agencies should
672 consider using calibrated stereo vision systems to estimate pothole depth, allowing
673 for better prioritization of road repairs compared to visual inspections alone.

674 *Incorporate depth-based severity classification in maintenance procedures.* Authorities
675 should update road inspection protocols to include depth measurements, making
676 pothole severity assessment more objective and standardized.

677 5.5 Suggestions for further research

678 Based on the limitations encountered and the results obtained, the researchers have
679 observed that there are lapses and possible improvements to further better this
680 system.

681 *Better camera.* While the StereoPi V2 camera was effective for basic depth
682 estimation, its performance is limited by its resolution, sensitivity to lighting,
683 and depth range. Future researchers could consider using higher-quality stereo
684 cameras or depth sensors with better image resolution and low-light capabilities
685 to achieve more accurate and consistent disparity maps.

686 *Improve camera calibration and tuning.* While the StereoPi system produced
687 good depth estimates, the results still varied depending on the precision of the
688 camera calibration. Future researchers can explore better calibration techniques
689 and finer parameter adjustments to minimize errors, especially in challenging en-
690 vironments.

691 5.6 Conclusion

692 This special project has successfully developed a system that addresses the prob-
693 lem of pothole severity assessment using depth measurement. The research shows
694 that stereo vision, even using accessible and affordable technology, holds strong
695 potential for future development in road maintenance automation. By building
696 upon the foundation laid by this project, future systems can become even more
697 accurate, efficient, and practical for real-world deployment.

698 References

- 699 Bibi, R., Saeed, Y., Zeb, A., Ghazal, T. M., Rahman, T., Said, R. A., ... Khan,
700 M. A. (2021). Edge ai-based automated detection and classification of road
701 anomalies in vanet using deep learning. *Computational Intelligence and*
702 *Neuroscience*, 2021(1). doi: 10.1155/2021/6262194
- 703 Ha, J., Kim, D., & Kim, M. (2022). Assessing severity of road cracks using deep
704 learning-based segmentation and detection. *The Journal of Supercomputing*,
705 78(16), 17721–17735. doi: 10.1007/s11227-022-04560-x
- 706 Kumar, A. (2024, October). What is image processing: Overview, applications,
707 benefits, and more. *AI and Machine Learning*. Retrieved from <https://www.simplilearn.com/image-processing-article> (Accessed: January
708 1, 2025)
- 710 Kyriakou, C., Christodoulou, S. E., & Dimitriou, L. (2016, April). Roadway
711 pavement anomaly classification utilizing smartphones and artificial intel-
712 ligence. In *Proceedings of the ieee conference*. Retrieved from <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7495459>
- 714 Luo, D., Lu, J., & Guo, G. (2020, June). Road anomaly detec-
715 tion through deep learning approaches. *IEEE Journals and Magazine*.
716 (<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9123753/>)
- 717 Ramaiah, N. K. B., & Kundu, S. (2021). Stereo vision based pothole detection
718 system for improved ride quality. *SAE International Journal of Advances*
719 *and Current Practices in Mobility*, 3(5), 2603–2610. doi: 10.4271/2021-01
720 -0085
- 721 Ramos, J. A., Dacanay, J. P., & Bronuela-Ambrocio, L. (2023). *A re-*
722 *view of the current practices in the pavement surface monitoring in the*
723 *philippines* (Doctoral dissertation, University of the Philippines Diliman).
724 Retrieved from https://ncts.upd.edu.ph/tssp/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/TSSP2022_09.pdf
- 726 RICHES Project. (2014). *Video processing*. Retrieved from <https://resources.riches-project.eu/glossary/video-processing/>
- 728 Sanz, P., Mezcua, B., & Pena, J. (2012). Depth estimation: An introduction.
729 *Current Advancements in Stereo Vision*. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/cav.201201101>

- 730 .org/10.5772/45904 doi: 10.5772/45904
- 731 Sattar, S., Li, S., & Chapman, M. (2018). Road surface monitoring us-
732 ing smartphone sensors: A review. *Sensors*, 18(11), 3845–3845. doi:
733 10.3390/s18113845
- 734 Scharstein, D., & Szeliski, R. (2002). A taxonomy and evaluation of dense
735 two-frame stereo correspondence algorithms. *International Journal of Com-
736 puter Vision*, 47(1), 7–42. Retrieved from [https://link.springer.com/
737 article/10.1023/A:1014573219977](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1014573219977) doi: 10.1023/A:1014573219977
- 738 Singh, P., Bansal, A., Kamal, A. E., & Kumar, S. (2021). Road surface quality
739 monitoring using machine learning algorithm. In *Smart innovation, systems
740 and technologies* (pp. 423–432). doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-6482-3_42

⁷⁴¹ **Appendix A**

⁷⁴² **Appendix**

⁷⁴³ **Appendix B**

⁷⁴⁴ **Resource Persons**

⁷⁴⁵ **Prof. Jumar Cadondon**

⁷⁴⁶ Assistant Professor

⁷⁴⁷ Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics

⁷⁴⁸ University of the Philippines Visayas

⁷⁴⁹ jgcadondon@up.edu.ph

⁷⁵⁰ **Engr. Jane Chua**

⁷⁵¹ Engineer

⁷⁵² DPWH Region 6

⁷⁵³ chua.jane@dpwh.gov.ph

⁷⁵⁴

⁷⁵⁵ **Engr. Marilou Zamora**

⁷⁵⁶ Chief

⁷⁵⁷ Planning and Design

⁷⁵⁸ DPWHRegion6

⁷⁵⁹ zamora.marilou@dpwh.gov.ph

⁷⁶⁰ **Engr. Benjamin Javellana**

⁷⁶¹ Assistant Director

⁷⁶² Maintenance

⁷⁶³ DPWHRegion6

⁷⁶⁴ javellana.benjamin@dpwh.gov.ph