Package 'pathmodelfit'

October 14, 2022

Type Package
Title Path Component Fit Indices for Latent Structural Equation Models
Version 1.0.5
Description Functions for computing fit indices for evaluating the path component of latent variable structural equation models. Available fit indices include RMSEA-P and NSCI-P originally presented and evaluated by Williams and O'Boyle (2011) <doi:10.1177 1094428110391472=""> and demonstrated by O'Boyle and Williams (2011) <doi:10.1037 a0020539=""> and Williams, O'Boyle, & Yu (2020) <doi:10.1177 1094428117736137="">. Also included are fit indices described by Hancock and Mueller (2011) <doi:10.1177 0013164410384856="">.</doi:10.1177></doi:10.1177></doi:10.1037></doi:10.1177>
License GPL-3
Depends lavaan
Encoding UTF-8
LazyData true
RoxygenNote 7.1.1
NeedsCompilation no
Author Steven Andrew Culpepper [aut, cre] (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4226-6176), Larry Williams [aut]
Maintainer Steven Andrew Culpepper <sculpepp@illinois.edu></sculpepp@illinois.edu>
Repository CRAN
Date/Publication 2020-09-02 05:40:10 UTC
R topics documented:
pathmodelfit-package
Index

pathmodelfit-package pathmodelfit: Path Component Fit Indices for Latent Structural Equation Models

Description

Functions for computing fit indices for evaluating the path component of latent variable structural equation models. Available fit indices include RMSEA-P and NSCI-P originally presented and evaluated by Williams and O'Boyle (2011) <doi:10.1177/1094428110391472> and demonstrated by O'Boyle and Williams (2011) <doi:10.1037/a0020539> and Williams, O'Boyle, & Yu (2020) <doi:10.1177/1094428117736137>. Also included are fit indices described by Hancock and Mueller (2011) <doi:10.1177/0013164410384856>.

Author(s)

Maintainer: Steven Andrew Culpepper <sculpepp@illinois.edu> (ORCID) Authors:

• Larry Williams <larry.williams@ttu.edu>

References

Hancock, G. R., & Mueller, R. O. (2011). The reliability paradox in assessing structural relations within covariance structure models. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 71(2), 306-324.

McNeish, D., & Hancock, G. R. (2018). The effect of measurement quality on targeted structural model fit indices: A comment on Lance, Beck, Fan, and Carter (2016). Psychological Methods, 23(1), 184–190. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000157

O'Boyle, E. H., Jr., & Williams, L. J. (2011). Decomposing model fit: Measurement vs. theory in organizational research using latent variables. Journal of Applied Psychology, 96(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020539

Williams, L. J., & O'Boyle, E. H. (2011). The myth of global fit indices and alternatives for assessing latent variable relations. Organizational Research Methods, 14, 350-369.

Williams, L. J., O'Boyle, E. H., & Yu, J. (2020). Condition 9 and 10 tests of model confirmation: A review of James, Mulaik, and Brett (1982) and contemporary alternatives. Organizational Research Methods, 23, 1, 6-29.

Examples

```
library(lavaan)
model4 <- '
Ldrrew =~ LdrrewI1 + LdrrewI2 + LdrrewI3
Jobcom =~ JobcomI1 + JobcomI2 + JobcomI3
Jobsat =~ JobsatI1 + JobsatI2 + JobsatI3
Orgcom =~ OrgcomI1 + OrgcomI2 + OrgcomI3
Jobsat ~ Ldrrew + Jobcom</pre>
```

mediationVC 3

```
Orgcom ~ Jobsat'
data(mediationVC)
fit <- sem(model4, sample.cov = mediationVC, sample.nobs = 232)
pathmodelfit(fit)</pre>
```

mediationVC

Williams and Anderson (1994) Mediated Multifoci Model Dataset

Description

This data set is from Williams and Anderson (1994) on the study of methods effects in organizational research using latent-variable models.

Usage

mediationVC

Format

A variance-covariance matrix for 232 observations and 12 variables. The variables are indicators of four constructss: 1) job satisfaction (Jobsat; 10 items), 2) organizational committment (Orgcom; 8 items), 3) leader-contingent reward behavior (Ldrrew; 10 items), and 4) job complexity (Jobcom; 6 items). The individual item responses were used to create three, total-score indicators for each construct defined as follows:

```
JobsatI1 Job satisfaction indicator 1

JobsatI2 Job satisfaction indicator 2

JobsatI3 Job satisfaction indicator 3

OrgcomI1 Organizational committment indicator 1

OrgcomI2 Organizational committment indicator 2

OrgcomI3 Organizational committment indicator 3

LdrrewI1 Leader-contingent reward behavior indicator 1

LdrrewI2 Leader-contingent reward behavior indicator 2

LdrrewI3 Leader-contingent reward behavior indicator 3

JobcomI1 Job complexity indicator 1

JobcomI2 Job complexity indicator 2

JobcomI3 Job complexity indicator 3
```

Author(s)

Steven Culpepper and Larry Williams

4 pathmodelfit

Source

Williams, L. J. & Anderson, S. E. (1994). An alternative approach to method effects by using latent-variable models: Applications in organizational behavior research. Journal of Applied Psychology, 79, 323-331.

pathmodelfit Compute fit indices for the path component of latent variable structural equation models.

Description

pathmodelfit computes fit indices for evaluating the path component of latent variable structural equation models. Available fit indices include RMSEA-P and NSCI-P originally presented and evaluated by Williams and O'Boyle (2011) and demonstrated by O'Boyle and Williams (2011) and Williams, O'Boyle, & Yu, (2019). Also included are fit indices described by Hancock and Mueller (2011).

Usage

pathmodelfit(lavaanoutput)

Arguments

lavaanoutput A lavaan sem object.

Value

A vector with RMSEA-P, a p-value for the chi-square test comparing the theoretical and saturated model, a 90 percent confidence interval for RMSEA-P, NSCI-P, and SRMRs, RMSEAs, TLIs, and CFIs.

References

Hancock, G. R., & Mueller, R. O. (2011). The reliability paradox in assessing structural relations within covariance structure models. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 71(2), 306-324.

McNeish, D., & Hancock, G. R. (2018). The effect of measurement quality on targeted structural model fit indices: A comment on Lance, Beck, Fan, and Carter (2016). Psychological Methods, 23(1), 184–190. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000157

O'Boyle, E. H., Jr., & Williams, L. J. (2011). Decomposing model fit: Measurement vs. theory in organizational research using latent variables. Journal of Applied Psychology, 96(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020539

Williams, L. J., & O'Boyle, E. H. (2011). The myth of global fit indices and alternatives for assessing latent variable relations. Organizational Research Methods, 14, 350-369.

Williams, L. J., O'Boyle, E. H., & Yu, J. (2020). Condition 9 and 10 tests of model confirmation: A review of James, Mulaik, and Brett (1982) and contemporary alternatives. Organizational Research Methods, 23, 1, 6-29.

pathmodelfit 5

Examples

```
library(lavaan)

model4 <- '
Ldrrew =~ LdrrewI1 + LdrrewI2 + LdrrewI3
Jobcom =~ JobcomI1 + JobcomI2 + JobcomI3
Jobsat =~ JobsatI1 + JobsatI2 + JobsatI3
Orgcom =~ OrgcomI1 + OrgcomI2 + OrgcomI3
Jobsat ~ Ldrrew + Jobcom
Orgcom ~ Jobsat'

data(mediationVC)

fit <- sem(model4, sample.cov = mediationVC, sample.nobs = 232)
pathmodelfit(fit)</pre>
```

Index

```
* datasets
    mediationVC, 3
_PACKAGE (pathmodelfit-package), 2

mediationVC, 3

pathmodelfit, 4
pathmodelfit-package, 2
```