

# Relational Database Model

# Context: What is the Relational Model?

## Two Key Points:

### 1. Based on relational algebra

- A relation (entity) = table of intersecting rows (tuples) and columns (attributes)

### 2. Tables are related by sharing common attributes

## Enhancement:

- Entity Relationship Model (ERM) adds graphical design notation (e.g., crow's foot)

In this course: *Relational Model = Entity Relationship Model = ERM*

## Tables: The Foundation

- **Relation** (mathematical) → **Table** (persistent storage)
  - Codd used these terms interchangeably
- A table contains a group of related entity instances (**entity set**)
- Table view makes it easy to spot and define entity relationships

## Characteristics of a Relational Table

1. 2D structure: rows and columns
2. Each **row** (tuple) = one **entity instance** (record)
3. Each **column** = one **attribute** (field) with distinct name
4. Row-column intersection = single data value (also a **1NF** requirement)
5. All values in a column:
  - Have same data format
  - Drawn from the **attribute domain**
6. Order of rows/columns is immaterial (logically)
7. At least one attribute (or combination) **uniquely identifies each row** (also a **1NF** requirement)

A table in at least 1NF is a relational table!

## Example: Student Table

STU_ID	STU_LNAME	STU_FNAME	STU_INIT	STU_GPA	DEPT_CODE	ADVISOR_ID
10001	Martinez	Carlos	A	3.45	CS	201
10002	Johnson	Emily	R	3.82	MATH	205
10003	Chen	David	L	3.67	CS	201
10004	Williams	Sarah	M	3.91	BIO	210
10005	Anderson	Michael	J	3.28	ENG	215

## Related Table: Advisors

ADVISOR_ID	ADV_LNAME	ADV_FNAME	ADV_INIT	DEPT_CODE	ADV_OFFICE	ADV_PHONE	ADV_EMAIL
201	Thompson	Robert	K	CS	SCI-312	555-234-5678	<a href="mailto:rthompson@university.edu">rthompson@university.edu</a>
205	Patel	Anjali	S	MATH	MATH-208	555-234-5692	<a href="mailto:apatel@university.edu">apatel@university.edu</a>
210	Garcia	Maria	L	BIO	BIO-105	555-234-5703	<a href="mailto:mgarcia@university.edu">mgarcia@university.edu</a>
215	Brown	James	D	ENG	ENG-421	555-234-5715	<a href="mailto:jbrown@university.edu">jbrown@university.edu</a>

**Note:** ADVISOR\_ID connects these tables!

## Keys: Definition

A **key** consists of one or more attributes that *determine* other attributes.

### Example:

- STU\_ID determines all other student attributes
- $\text{STU\_ID} \rightarrow (\text{STU\_LNAME}, \text{STU\_FNAME}, \text{STU\_INIT}, \text{STU\_GPA}, \text{DEPT\_CODE}, \text{ADVISOR\_ID})$

This is **functional dependence** (from Normalization)

## Key Terminology: Structure

1. **Simple key:** consists of ONE attribute

- e.g., STU\_ID or ADVISOR\_ID

2. **Composite key:** consists of MULTIPLE attributes

- e.g., (STU\_LNAME, STU\_FNAME, STU\_INIT)

3. **Key attribute:** an attribute that is part of a key

- e.g., STU\_LNAME or STU\_FNAME or STU\_INIT (when part of composite)



# Key Terminology: Row Identification

## Hierarchy:

- **Super Key**: uniquely identifies one row
  - e.g., (STU\_ID) or (STU\_ID, STU\_LNAME) or (STU\_LNAME, STU\_FNAME, STU\_INIT)
  - **Candidate Key**: irreducible Super Key
    - e.g., (STU\_ID) or (STU\_LNAME, STU\_FNAME, STU\_INIT)
    - NOT (STU\_ID, STU\_LNAME) ← reducible!
    - **Primary Key (PK)**: the chosen candidate key
      - e.g., (STU\_ID)

# More Key Types

## Surrogate Key:

- Primary key created by designer
- Does NOT correspond to real-world attribute
- Examples: STU\_ID, ADVISOR\_ID
- Why use surrogate keys?
  - No identifying attribute exists naturally
  - Existing attribute inappropriate (e.g., SSN)
  - DBMS efficient at generating unique IDs

## Foreign Key (FK):

- Attribute(s) that is the primary key of a related table
- e.g., ADVISOR\_ID in Students table

## Secondary Key (index key):

- For efficient/convenient retrieval
- e.g., STU\_LNAME

# Integrity Rules

A valid relational table **MUST** satisfy:

## 1. Entity Integrity

- All primary key entries are **unique**. Why?
- No part of primary key is **null**. Why?

## 2. Referential Integrity

- Every **non-null** foreign key value must reference an **existing** primary key in the related table. Why?

## **Active Learning: Check Integrity**

Do these tables satisfy Entity and Referential Integrity?

**Students table:** STU\_ID (PK), ADVISOR\_ID (FK)

**Advisors table:** ADVISOR\_ID (PK)


**Verify:**

1. Are all PKs unique and non-null?
2. Do all FK values reference existing PKs?

**Discuss in pairs for 2 minutes**

# Entity

- An **object of interest** in the model
- Corresponds to a **table** (entity set), not a row
- **Notation:** Rectangle containing entity name
  - Name is a **noun**
  - Convention: UPPERCASE with underscore




# Attribute

- A characteristic of an entity

## Notation:

- **Chen:** Oval with attribute name connected to entity
- **Crow's Foot:** Listed in entity rectangle below name



## Conventions:

- Required attributed indicated by **bold**
- PK attributes indicated by
  - **Underline** or
  - **PK** notation next to attributes
- FK attributes indicated by **FK** notated next to attributes

# Multivalued Attributes

**Problem:** Attributes with multiple values for same entity

- e.g., multiple phone numbers, multiple colors

**Two Implementation Approaches:**

1. **Decompose** into separate attributes

- STU\_HOMEPHONE, STU\_CELLPHONE, STU\_WORKPHONE
- ❌ Brittle if number of values changes

2. **Create new entity** with 1:M relationship

- ✅ Flexible and scalable

## Multivalued Attributes: Approach 1

Decompose into separate attributes:

STU_ID (PK)	STU_HOMEPHONE	STU_CELLPHONE	STU_WORKPHONE
10001	555-123-4567	NULL	555-345-6789
10002	NULL	555-876-5432	555-345-6789

Issues:

- Many NULL values
- Fixed number of phone types
- Hard to add new types



## Multivalued Attributes: Approach 2

Create new entity with 1:M relationship:

**STUDENT table:**

STU_ID (PK)
10001
10002

**STUDENT\_PHONE table:**

STU_ID (PK,FK)	PHONE_TYPE (PK)	PHONE_NUMBER
10001	home	555-123-4567
10001	work	555-345-6789
10002	cell	555-876-5432
10002	work	555-345-6789

✓ **Flexible and scalable!**

## Relationships

- An **association** between entities
- **Participant**: entity in the relationship
- **Name**: verb (active or passive)
  - Active: STUDENT *takes* CLASS
  - Passive: CLASS *is taken by* STUDENT

**Bi-directional**: Must know in both directions

- One A relates to how many B's?
- One B relates to how many A's?


# Relationship Properties

**Connectivity:** Type of relationship

- 1:1 (one-to-one)
- 1:M (one-to-many)
- M:N (many-to-many)

**Cardinality:** Min/max occurrences (constraints)

- Notated as (min, max) beside entity




SYMBOL	MEANING	MIN/MAX	MEANING
○	Zero	○+	Zero or one (optional)
	One	○*	Zero or more (optional)
◁	Many	++	Exactly one (mandatory)
		*+	One or more (mandatory)

# 1:M Relationship Example

## Business Rule:

- A painter paints one or more paintings
- A painting is painted by exactly one painter



# 1:M: Foreign Key Placement

**Question:** Where does the FK go?

**Option 1 - WRONG ❌:** FK in PAINTER table (the "one" side)

PAINTER_ID (PK)	PAINTER_LNAME	PAINTING_ID (PK, FK)
101	Van Gogh	1001
101	Van Gogh	1002
101	Van Gogh	1005

**Problems:**

1. Redundant painter info

i. New PK: (PAINTER\_ID, PAINTING\_ID)

ii. Partial dependency: PAINTER\_ID → PAINTER\_LNAME (not in 2NF!)


## 1:M: Foreign Key Placement (cont.)

**Option 2 - CORRECT** : FK in PAINTING table (the "many" side)

PAINTING_ID (PK)	PAINTING_NAME	PAINTER_ID (FK)
1001	Starry Night	101
1002	Sunflowers	101
1003	Guernica	102
1004	The Old Guitarist	102
1005	The Potato Eaters	101

**Rule:** PK of "one" side → FK in "many" side

## 1:M: Foreign Key Placement (cont.)



## Active Learning: 1:M Practice

### Scenario:

- A department has many courses
- A course belongs to exactly one department

### Questions:


1. Draw the crow's foot notation
2. Which table gets the foreign key?
3. What would happen if you put the FK in the wrong table?



## 1:1 Relationship Example

### Business Rule:

- A professor optionally chairs at most one department
- A department is chaired by exactly one professor



## 1:1: Foreign Key Placement

**Question:** Where does FK go in 1:1 relationship?

**Option 1 - WRONG ❌:** FK in PROFESSOR (mandatory side)

PROF_ID (PK)	PROF_LNAME	CHAIR_DEPT_ID (FK)
301	Smith	NULL
302	Johnson	402
303	Khemani	NULL
304	Guerra Hahn	401

**Problem:** Many NULL values!

## 1:1: Foreign Key Placement (cont.)

Option 2 - CORRECT : FK in DEPARTMENT (optional side)

DEPT_ID (PK)	DEPT_NAME	CHAIR_PROF_ID (FK)
401	Computer Science	304
402	Mathematics	302

**No NULL values!**


**Rule:** In 1:1 with one optional entity, PK of "mandatory" side → FK in "optional" side

## 1:1: Both Sides Mandatory

When both entities are mandatory:

- Either table can contain the FK
- Choose based on other factors (e.g., additional relationships)

Example:




PROFESSOR has FK to DEPARTMENT (for "contains" relationship)

DEPARTMENT has FK to PROFESSOR (for "chairs" relationship)

## M:N Relationship Example

### Business Rule:

- A student takes many classes
- A class is taken by many students



**Problem:** M:N relationships are NOT directly supported in relational model!

## M:N: Why Not Directly Supported?

Wrong implementation ❌:

CLS_CODE (PK)	CLS_ROOM	STU_ID (PK, FK)
CS101	Room 201	501
CS101	Room 201	502
MA201	Room 105	501
MA201	Room 105	502

Class info redundancy due to CLS\_CODE -  
> (CLS\_ROOM, ...) partial dependency!


Wrong implementation ❌:

STU_ID (PK)	STU_LNAME	CLS_CODE (PK, FK)
501	Gomez	CS101
501	Gomez	MA201
502	Jackson	CS101
502	Jackson	MA201

Student info redundancy due to STU\_ID ->  
(STU\_LNAME, ...) partial dependency!

## M:N: The Solution

Create a composite (bridge/associative/junction) entity



### ENROLLMENT entity:

- Contains FKs to both tables
- PK options:
  - i. (FK1, FK2) ← composite key
  - ii. Separate surrogate PK

## M:N: Complete Example

### STUDENT table:

STU_ID (PK)	STU_LNAME
501	Miller
502	Davis

### CLASS table:

CLASS_CODE (PK)	CLASS_ROOM
CS101	Room 201
MA201	Room 105

### ENROLLMENT table:

STU_ID (PK,FK)	CLASS_CODE (PK,FK)
501	CS101
501	MA201
502	CS101



## Active Learning: Design Challenge

### Scenario:

- An author writes many books
- A book can have many authors (co-authored)

### Tasks:

- Draw the ERD with:
  - i. The M:N relationship handled via two 1:M relationships to a composite entity
  - ii. The PKs and FKs shown

# Relationship Verb Guidelines

## 1:M relationships:

- "One" side is subject of verb
- Read from "one" to "many"

## 1:1 relationships:

- If one optional: mandatory side is subject
- If both mandatory: follow logical/business dependency

## Example verbs:

- Active: paints, teaches, manages, contains
- Passive: is painted by, is taught by, is managed by

## Summary: Key Takeaways

1. **Tables** = entities with rows (tuples) and columns (attributes)
2. **Keys** uniquely identify rows; FKs connect related tables
3. **Integrity rules** ensure data validity
4. **1:M**: FK goes in "many" side
5. **1:1**: FK goes in "optional" side (if one optional)
6. **M:N**: Requires composite/bridge entity

## Practice Exercise: Complete Database

Given these tables:

REGION_ID	REGION_NAME	STORE_ID	STORE_NAME	REGION_ID
1001	West Coast	2001	Downtown	1001
1002	East Coast	2002	Hillside	1001

STORE_ID	STORE_NAME	REGION_ID
2001	Downtown	1001
2002	Hillside	1001

EMP_ID	EMP_LNAME	STORE_ID
3001	Anderson	2001
3002	Brown	2001

### Questions:

1. Identify all PKs and FKs
2. Check entity and referential integrity
3. Draw a crow's foot ERD showing the entity relationships

## Tools for Drawing ERDs

### Free Recommendations:

1. **Mermaid** - embedded in markdown
2. **Excalidraw** - web-based drawing
3. **Lucidchart** - professional diagrams
4. **MySQL Workbench** - database-specific

**Practice using at least one tool for your assignments!**

