Introduction to Bottom Up Parser

Bottom-Up Parsing

- A **bottom-up parser** creates the parse tree of the given input starting from leaves towards the root.
- A bottom-up parser tries to find the right-most derivation of the given input in the reverse order.

  $S \Rightarrow ... \Rightarrow \omega$ (the right-most derivation of ω)

  $\leftarrow$ (the bottom-up parser finds the right-most derivation in the reverse order)

- Bottom-up parsing is also known as **shift-reduce parsing** because its two main actions are shift and reduce.
  - At each shift action, the current symbol in the input string is pushed to a stack.
  - At each reduction step, the symbols at the top of the stack (this symbol sequence is the right side of a production) will replaced by the non-terminal at the left side of that production.
  - There are also two more actions: accept and error.

Introduction

- Constructs parse tree for an input string beginning at the leaves (the bottom) and working towards the root (the top)
- Example: id*id

E -> E + T | T
T -> T * F | F
F -> (E) | **id**



Shift-reduce parser

- The general idea is to shift some symbols of input to the stack until a reduction can be applied
- At each reduction step, a specific substring matching the body of a production is replaced by the nonterminal at the head of the production
- The key decisions during bottom-up parsing are about when to reduce and about what production to apply
- A reduction is a reverse of a step in a derivation
- The goal of a bottom-up parser is to construct a derivation in reverse:
  - E=>T=>T*F=>T*id=>F*id=>id*id

- Bottom-Up Parsing

•Shift reduce parsing uses a stack to hold the grammar and an input tape to hold the string.

•Sift reduce parsing performs the two actions: shift and reduce. That's why it is known as shift reduces parsing.
•At the shift action, the current symbol in the input string is pushed to a stack.
•At each reduction, the symbols will replaced by the non-terminals. The symbol is the right side of the production and non-terminal is the left side of the production.

•Shift reduce parsing is a process of reducing a string to the start symbol of a grammar.



Shift-Reduce Parsing

- A shift-reduce parser tries to reduce the given input string into the starting symbol.

  a string ➔ the starting symbol

  reduced to

- At each reduction step, a substring of the input matching to the right side of a production rule is replaced by the non-terminal at the left side of that production rule.
- If the substring is chosen correctly, the right most derivation of that string is created in the reverse order.

Rightmost Derivation: $S \underset{rm}{\overset{*}{\Rightarrow}} \omega$

Shift-Reduce Parser finds: $\omega \underset{rm}{\Leftarrow} \ldots \underset{rm}{\Leftarrow} S$

Shift-Reduce Parsing -- Example

$S \rightarrow aABb$

$A \rightarrow aA \mid a$

$B \rightarrow bB \mid b$

input string: aaabb

aaAbb

aAbb ⇓ reduction

aABb

S

$S \Rightarrow aABb \Rightarrow aAbb \Rightarrow aaAbb \Rightarrow aaabb$



Right Sentential Forms

- How do we know which substring to be replaced at each reduction step?

S → aABe

A → Abc/b

B → d

Input : abbcde



Handle

- Informally, a **handle** of a string is a substring that matches the right side of a production rule.
  - But not every substring matches the right side of a production rule is handle

- A **handle** of a right sentential form $\gamma \ (\equiv \alpha\beta\omega)$ is

  a production rule $A \rightarrow \beta$ and a position of γ

  where the string β may be found and replaced by A to produce the previous right-sentential form in a rightmost derivation of γ.

$$S \underset{rm}{\overset{*}{\Rightarrow}} \alpha A \omega \underset{rm}{\Rightarrow} \alpha\beta\omega$$

- If the grammar is unambiguous, then every right-sentential form of the grammar has exactly one handle.
- We will see that ω is a string of terminals.

Handle pruning

- A Handle is a substring that matches the body of a production and whose reduction represents one step along the reverse of a rightmost derivation

Right sentential form	Handle	Reducing production
id*id	id	F->id
F*id	F	T->F
T*id	id	F->id
T*F	T*F	E->T*F

Shift reduce parsing

- A stack is used to hold grammar symbols
- Handle always appear on top of the stack
- Initial configuration:

Stack	Input
$	w$

- Acceptance configuration

Stack	Input
$S	$

Handle Pruning

- A right-most derivation in reverse can be obtained by **handle-pruning**.

$S = \gamma_0 \underset{rm}{\Rightarrow} \gamma_1 \underset{rm}{\Rightarrow} \gamma_2 \underset{rm}{\Rightarrow} \cdots \underset{rm}{\Rightarrow} \gamma_{n-1} \underset{rm}{\Rightarrow} \gamma_n = \omega$ ← input string

- Start from γ_n, find a handle $A_n \rightarrow \beta_n$ in γ_n, and replace β_n in by A_n to get γ_{n-1}.
- Then find a handle $A_{n-1} \rightarrow \beta_{n-1}$ in γ_{n-1}, and replace β_{n-1} in by A_{n-1} to get γ_{n-2}.
- Repeat this, until we reach S.

A Shift-Reduce Parser

$E \rightarrow E+T \mid T$

$T \rightarrow T*F \mid F$

$F \rightarrow (E) \mid id$

Right-Most Derivation of `id+id*id`

$E \Rightarrow E+T \Rightarrow E+T*F \Rightarrow E+T*id \Rightarrow E+F*id$

$\Rightarrow E+id*id \Rightarrow T+id*id \Rightarrow F+id*id \Rightarrow id+id*id$

Right-Most Sentential Form	Reducing Production
`id`+id*id	$F \rightarrow id$
`F`+id*id	$T \rightarrow F$
`T`+id*id	$E \rightarrow T$
E+`id`*id	$F \rightarrow id$
E+`F`*id	$T \rightarrow F$
E+T*`id`	$F \rightarrow id$
E+`T*F`	$T \rightarrow T*F$
`E+T`	$E \rightarrow E+T$
E	

`Handles` are red and underlined in the right-sentential forms.

A Stack Implementation of A Shift-Reduce Parser

- There are four possible actions of a shift-parser action:

1. **Shift** : The next input symbol is shifted onto the top of the stack.
2. **Reduce**: Replace the handle on the top of the stack by the non-terminal.
3. **Accept**: Successful completion of parsing.
4. **Error**: Parser discovers a syntax error, and calls an error recovery routine.

- Initial stack just contains only the end-marker $.
- The end of the input string is marked by the end-marker $.

A Stack Implementation of A Shift-Reduce Parser

Stack	Input	Action
$	id+id*id$ shift	
$id	+id*id$	reduce by F → id
$F	+id*id$	reduce by T → F
$T	+id*id$	reduce by E → T
$E	+id*id$	shift
$E+	id*id$	shift
$E+id	*id$	reduce by F → id
$E+F	*id$	reduce by T → F
$E+T	*id$	shift
$E+T*	id$	shift
$E+T*id	$	reduce by F → id
$E+T*F	$	reduce by T → T*F
$E+T	$	reduce by E → E+T
$E	$	accept

Parse Tree



Conflicts During Shift-Reduce Parsing

- There are context-free grammars for which shift-reduce parsers cannot be used.
- Stack contents and the next input symbol may not decide action:
  - **shift/reduce conflict**: Whether make a shift operation or a reduction.
  - **reduce/reduce conflict**: The parser cannot decide which of several reductions to make.
- If a shift-reduce parser cannot be used for a grammar, that grammar is called as non-LR(k) grammar.

- An ambiguous grammar can never be a LR grammar.

Shift-Reduce Parsers

- There are two main categories of shift-reduce parsers

1. **Operator-Precedence Parser**
   - simple, but only a small class of grammars.



2. **LR-Parsers**
   - covers wide range of grammars.
     - SLR – simple LR parser
     - LR – most general LR parser
     - LALR – intermediate LR parser (lookhead LR parser)
   - SLR, LR and LALR work same, only their parsing tables are different.

Handle will appear on top of the stack



Stack	Input
$ α β γ	yz$
$ α β B	yz$
$ α β By	z$



Stack	Input
$ α γ	xyz$
$ α Bxy	z$

Conflicts during shit reduce parsing

- Two kind of conflicts
  - Shift/reduce conflict
  - Reduce/reduce conflict
- Example:



Stack	Input
… if expr then stmt	else …$

Reduce/reduce conflict

stmt -> id(parameter_list)
stmt -> expr:=expr
parameter_list->parameter_list, parameter
parameter_list->parameter
parameter->id
expr->id(expr_list)
expr->id
expr_list->expr_list, expr
expr_list->expr

Stack	Input
… id(id	,id) …$

Two data structures are required to implement a shift-reduce parser-
•A **Stack** is required to hold the grammar symbols.
•An **Input buffer** is required to hold the string to be parsed.

Working-

Initially, shift-reduce parser is present in the following configuration where-
•Stack contains only the $ symbol.
•Input buffer contains the input string with $ at its end.

The parser works by-
•Moving the input symbols on the top of the stack.
•Until a handle β appears on the top of the stack.

The parser keeps on repeating this cycle until-
•An error is detected.
•Or stack is left with only the start symbol and the input buffer becomes empty.

After achieving this configuration,
•The parser stops / halts.
•It reports the successful completion of parsing.



Initial Configuration



Final Configuration

Possible Actions-

A shift-reduce parser can possibly make the following four actions-

1. Shift-

In a shift action,
•The next symbol is shifted onto the top of the stack.

2. Reduce-

In a reduce action,
•The handle appearing on the stack top is replaced with the appropriate non-terminal symbol.

3. Accept-

In an accept action,
•The parser reports the successful completion of parsing.

4. Error-

In this state,
•The parser becomes confused and is not able to make any decision.
•It can neither perform shift action nor reduce action nor accept action.

Problem-01:

Consider the following grammar-
E → E – E
E → E x E
E → id
.
Parse the input string
id – id x id
using a shift-reduce parser

Solution-

The priority order is:
id > x > –

Stack	Input Buffer	Parsing Action
$	id – id x id $	Shift
$ id	– id x id $	Reduce E → id
$ E	– id x id $	Shift
$ E –	id x id $	Shift
$ E – id	x id $	Reduce E → id
$ E – E	x id $	Shift
$ E – E x	id $	Shift
$ E – E x id	$	Reduce E → id
$ E – E x E	$	Reduce E → E x E
$ E – E	$	Reduce E → E – E
$ E	$	Accept

- **Problem-02:**
- Consider the following grammar-
  - S → (L) | a
  - L → L , S | S
- Parse the input string
- (a , (a , a))
- using a shift-reduce parser.

Stack	Input Buffer	Parsing Action
$	(a , (a , a)) $	Shift
$ (	a , (a , a)) $	Shift
$ (a	, (a , a)) $	Reduce S → a
$ (S	, (a , a)) $	Reduce L → S
$ (L	, (a , a)) $	Shift
$ (L ,	(a , a)) $	Shift
$ (L , (	a , a)) $	Shift
$ (L , (a	, a)) $	Reduce S → a
$ (L , (S	, a)) $	Reduce L → S
$ (L , (L	, a)) $	Shift
$ (L , (L ,	a)) $	Shift
$ (L , (L , a	)) $	Reduce S → a
$ (L , (L , S)	)) $	Reduce L → L , S
$ (L , (L	)) $	Shift
$ (L , (L)	) $	Reduce S → (L)
$ (L , S	) $	Reduce L → L , S
$ (L	) $	Shift
$ (L)	$	Reduce S → (L)
$ S	$	Accept

- **Problem-03:**
-
- Consider the following grammar-
  - S → T L
  - T → int | float
  - L → L , id | id
- Parse the input string
- int id , id ;
- using a shift-reduce parser.

Stack	Input Buffer	Parsing Action
$	int id , id ; $	Shift
$ int	id , id ; $	Reduce T → int
$ T	id , id ; $	Shift
$ T id	, id ; $	Reduce L → id
$ T L	, id ; $	Shift
$ T L ,	id ; $	Shift
$ T L , id	; $	Reduce L → L , id
$ T L	; $	Shift
$ T L ;	$	Reduce S → T L
$ S	$	Accept

Problem-04:

Considering the string

“10201”,

design a shift-reduce parser for the following grammar-

S → 0S0 | 1S1 | 2

Stack	Input Buffer	Parsing Action
$	1 0 2 0 1 $	Shift
$ 1	0 2 0 1 $	Shift
$ 1 0	2 0 1 $	Shift
$ 1 0 2	0 1 $	Reduce S → 2
$ 1 0 S	0 1 $	Shift
$ 1 0 S 0	1 $	Reduce S → 0 S 0
$ 1 S	1 $	Shift
$ 1 S 1	$	Reduce S → 1 S 1
$ S	$	Accept

Operator-Precedence Parser

- **Operator grammar**
  - small, but an important class of grammars
  - we may have an efficient operator precedence parser (a shift-reduce parser) for an operator grammar.
- In an *operator grammar*, no production rule can have:
  - ε at the right side
  - two adjacent non-terminals at the right side.

- Ex:

$E \rightarrow AB$	$E \rightarrow EOE$	$E \rightarrow E+E \mid$
$A \rightarrow a$	$E \rightarrow id$	$E*E \mid$
$B \rightarrow b$	$O \rightarrow +\mid*\mid/$	$E/E \mid id$
not operator grammar	not operator grammar	operator grammar

Precedence Relations

- In operator-precedence parsing, we define three disjoint precedence relations between certain pairs of terminals.

  $a \lessdot b$ b has higher precedence than a

  $a \doteq b$ b has same precedence as a

  $a \gtrdot b$ b has lower precedence than a

- The determination of correct precedence relations between terminals are based on the traditional notions of associativity and precedence of operators. (Unary minus causes a problem).

Using Operator-Precedence Relations

- The intention of the precedence relations is to find the handle of a right-sentential form,
  - $<\cdot$ with marking the left end,
  - $=\cdot$ appearing in the interior of the handle, and
  - $\cdot>$ marking the right hand.

- In our input string $\$a_1a_2...a_n\$$, we insert the precedence relation between the pairs of terminals (the precedence relation holds between the terminals in that pair).

Using Operator -Precedence Relations

$E \rightarrow E+E \mid E-E \mid E*E \mid E/E \mid E\hat{}E \mid (E) \mid -E \mid id$

The partial operator-precedence table for this grammar

	id	+	*	$
id		·>	·>	·>
+	<·	·>	<·	·>
*	<·	·>	·>	·>
$	<·	<·	<·	

- Then the input string id+id*id with the precedence relations inserted will be:

$$\$ <\cdot \text{ id } \cdot> + <\cdot \text{ id } \cdot> * <\cdot \text{ id } \cdot> \$$$

To Find The Handles

1. Scan the string from left end until the first ·> is encountered.
2. Then scan backwards (to the left) over any =· until a <· is encountered.
3. The handle contains everything to left of the first ·> and to the right of the <· is encountered.

$ <· id ·> + <· id ·> * <· id ·> $	E → id	$ id + id * id $
$ <· + <· id ·> * <· id ·> $	E → id	$ E + id * id $
$ <· + <· * <· id ·> $	E → id	$ E + E * id $
$ <· + <· * ·> $	E → E*E	$ E + E * ·E $
$ <· + ·> $	E → E+E	$ E + E $
$ $		$ E $

Operator-Precedence Parsing Algorithm

- The input string is w$, the initial stack is $ and a table holds precedence relations between certain terminals

Algorithm:

```
set p to point to the first symbol of w$ ;
repeat forever
   if ( $ is on top of the stack and p points to $ ) then return
   else {
      let a be the topmost terminal symbol on the stack and let b be the symbol pointed to by p;
      if ( a <· b or a =· b ) then {        /* SHIFT */
         push b onto the stack;
         advance p to the next input symbol;
      }
      else if ( a ·> b ) then               /* REDUCE */
         repeat pop stack
         until ( the top of stack terminal is related by <· to the terminal most recently popped );
      else error();
   }
```

Operator-Precedence Parsing Algorithm -- Example

stack	***input***	***action***		
$	id+id*id$	$ $\lessdot\cdot$ id	shift	
$id	+id*id$	id $\cdot\!>$ +	reduce	E $\rightarrow$ id
$	+id*id$	shift		
$+	id*id$	shift		
$+id	*id$	id $\cdot\!>$ *	reduce	E $\rightarrow$ id
$+	*id$	shift		
$+*	id$	shift		
$+*id	$	id $\cdot\!>$ $	reduce	E $\rightarrow$ id
$+*	$	* $\cdot\!>$ $	reduce	E $\rightarrow$ E*E
$+	$	+ $\cdot\!>$ $	reduce	E $\rightarrow$ E+E
$	$	accept		

How to Create Operator-Precedence Relations

- We use associativity and precedence relations among operators.

1. If operator O_1 has higher precedence than operator O_2,
   ➔ $O_1 \cdot\!> O_2$ and $O_2 <\!\cdot\ O_1$

2. If operator O_1 and operator O_2 have equal precedence,
   they are left-associative ➔ $O_1 \cdot\!> O_2$ and $O_2 \cdot\!> O_1$
   they are right-associative ➔ $O_1 <\!\cdot\ O_2$ and $O_2 <\!\cdot\ O_1$

3. For all operators O,
   $O <\!\cdot$ id, id $\cdot\!>$ O, $O <\!\cdot$ (, ($<\!\cdot$ O, O $\cdot\!>$),) $\cdot\!>$ O, O $\cdot\!>$ \$, and \$ $<\!\cdot$ O

4. Also, let

(=·)	\$ <· (	id ·>)	) ·> \$
(<· (	\$ <· id	id ·> \$	) ·>)
(<· id			

Operator-Precedence Relations

	+	-	*	/	^	id	(	)	$
+	·>	·>	<·	<·	<·	<·	<·	·>	·>
-	·>	·>	<·	<·	<·	<·	<·	·>	·>
*	·>	·>	·>	·>	<·	<·	<·	·>	·>
/	·>	·>	·>	·>	<·	<·	<·	·>	·>
^	·>	·>	·>	·>	<·	<·	<·	·>	·>
id	·>	·>	·>	·>	·>			·>	·>
(	<·	<·	<·	<·	<·	<·	<·	=·	
)	·>	·>	·>	·>	·>			·>	·>
$	<·	<·	<·	<·	<·	<·	<·		

Handling Unary Minus

- Operator-Precedence parsing cannot handle the unary minus when we also the binary minus in our grammar.
- The best approach to solve this problem, let the lexical analyzer handle this problem.
  - The lexical analyzer will return two different operators for the unary minus and the binary minus.
  - The lexical analyzer will need a lookhead to distinguish the binary minus from the unary minus.
- Then, we make

$O \lessdot$ unary-minus	for any operator
unary-minus $\gtrdot O$	if unary-minus has higher precedence than O
unary-minus $\lessdot O$	if unary-minus has lower (or equal) precedence than O

Operator-Precedance Grammars

Let G be an ∈-free operator grammar(No ∈-Production).For each terminal symbols *a* and *b*, the following conditions are satisfies.

1. $a \doteq b$, if ∃ a production in RHS of the form $\alpha a \beta b \gamma$, where β is either ∈ or a single non Terminal. *Ex* $S \rightarrow iCtSeS$ *implies* $i \doteq t$ *and* $t \doteq e$.
2. $a <\cdot b$ if for some non-terminal A ∃ a production in RHS of the form $A \rightarrow \alpha a A \beta$, and $A \Rightarrow^{+} \gamma b \delta$ where γ is either ∈ or a single non-terminal. *Ex* $S \rightarrow iCtS$ *and* $C \Rightarrow^{+} b$ *implies* $i <\cdot b$.
3. $a \cdot> b$ if for some non-terminal A ∃ a production in RHS of the form $A \rightarrow \alpha A b \beta$, and $A \Rightarrow^{+} \gamma a \delta$ where δ is either ∈ or a single non-terminal. *Ex* $S \rightarrow iCtS$ *and* $C \Rightarrow^{+} b$ *implies* $b \cdot> t$.

Example:E→E+E | E*E | (E) | id is not a Operator precedence Grammar

By Rule no. 3 we have + <· + & + ·> +. Where as we can modify the Grammar is as follow

E→E+T | T, T→T*F | F, F→(E) | id

Operator Precedence Relations.

To find the Table we have to find the last & first terminal for each non-terminal as follows:

Non terminal	First terminal	Last terminal
E	*, +, (, **id**	*, +,), **id**
T	*, (, **id**	*,), **id**
F	(, **id**	), **id**

By Applying the Rule of Operator Precedence Grammar

	+	*	(	)	id	$
+	·>	<·	<·	·>	<·	·>
*	·>	·>	<·	·>	<·	·>
(	<·	<·	<·	≐	<·	
)	·>	·>		·>		·>
id	·>	·>		·>		·>
$	<·	<·	<·		<·	

Operator Precedence Relations, Continue….

To produce the Table we have to follow the procedure as:

LEADING(A) = { a| A $\Rightarrow^{+} \gamma a \delta$, where γ is $\in$ or a single non-terminal.}

TRAILING(A) = { a| A $\Rightarrow^{+} \gamma a \delta$, where δ is $\in$ or a single non-terminal.}

Precedence Functions

- Compilers using operator precedence parsers do not need to store the table of precedence relations.
- The table can be encoded by two precedence functions f and g that map terminal symbols to integers.
- For symbols a and b.

  $f(a) < g(b)$ whenever $a <\cdot b$

  $f(a) = g(b)$ whenever $a =\cdot b$

  $f(a) > g(b)$ whenever $a \cdot> b$

Disadvantages of Operator Precedence Parsing

- **Disadvantages**:
  - It cannot handle the unary minus (the lexical analyzer should handle the unary minus).
  - Small class of grammars.
  - Difficult to decide which language is recognized by the grammar.

- **Advantages**:
  - simple
  - powerful enough for expressions in programming languages

Error Recovery in Operator-Precedence Parsing

Error Cases:

1. No relation holds between the terminal on the top of stack and the next input symbol.
2. A handle is found (reduction step), but there is no production with this handle as a right side

Error Recovery:

1. Each empty entry is filled with a pointer to an error routine.
2. Decides the popped handle “looks like” which right hand side. And tries to recover from that situation.

LR Parsers

- The most powerful shift-reduce parsing (yet efficient) is:

LR(k) parsing.

- LR parsing is attractive because:
  - LR parsing is most general non-backtracking shift-reduce parsing, yet it is still efficient.
  - The class of grammars that can be parsed using LR methods is a proper superset of the class of grammars that can be parsed with predictive parsers.

    LL(1)-Grammars $\subset$ LR(1)-Grammars
  - An LR-parser can detect a syntactic error as soon as it is possible to do so a left-to-right scan of the input.

LR Parsers

- **LR-Parsers**
  - covers wide range of grammars.
  - SLR – simple LR parser
  - LR – most general LR parser
  - LALR – intermediate LR parser (look-head LR parser)
  - SLR, LR and LALR work same (they used the same algorithm), only their parsing tables are different.

LR Parsing Algorithm



A Configuration of LR Parsing Algorithm

- A configuration of a LR parsing is:

$$(S_o\ X_1\ S_1 \ldots X_m\ S_m,\ \ a_i\ a_{i+1} \ldots a_n\ \$)$$



- S_m and a_i decides the parser action by consulting the parsing action table. (*Initial Stack* contains just S_o)

- A configuration of a LR parsing represents the right sentential form:

$$X_1 \ldots X_m\ a_i\ a_{i+1} \ldots a_n\ \$$$

Actions of A LR-Parser

1. **shift s** -- shifts the next input symbol and the state **s** onto the stack

   (S_o X_1 S_1 ... X_m S_m, a_i a_{i+1} ... a_n \$) ➔ (S_o X_1 S_1 ... X_m S_m a_i s, a_{i+1} ... a_n \$)

2. **reduce** $A \rightarrow \beta$ (or **rn** where n is a production number)
   - pop $2|\beta|$ (=r) items from the stack;
   - then push **A** and **s** where **s=goto[s_{m-r},A]**

   (S_o X_1 S_1 ... X_m S_m, a_i a_{i+1} ... a_n \$) ➔ (S_o X_1 S_1 ... X_{m-r} S_{m-r} A s, a_i ... a_n \$)

   - Output is the reducing production reduce $A \rightarrow \beta$

3. **Accept** – Parsing successfully completed

4. **Error** -- Parser detected an error (an empty entry in the action table)

Reduce Action

- pop $2|\beta|$ (=r) items from the stack; let us assume that $\beta = Y_1 Y_2 \ldots Y_r$
- then push **A** and **s** where **$s = goto[s_{m-r}, A]$**

$(S_o X_1 S_1 \ldots X_{m-r} S_{m-r} Y_1 S_{m-r} \ldots Y_r S_m, a_i a_{i+1} \ldots a_n \$)$

$\rightarrow (S_o X_1 S_1 \ldots X_{m-r} S_{m-r} A s, a_i \ldots a_n \$)$

- In fact, $Y_1 Y_2 \ldots Y_r$ is a handle.

$X_1 \ldots X_{m-r} A a_i \ldots a_n \$ \Rightarrow X_1 \ldots X_m Y_1 \ldots Y_r a_i a_{i+1} \ldots a_n \$$

(SLR) Parsing Tables for Expression Grammar

1) $E \rightarrow E+T$
2) $E \rightarrow T$
3) $T \rightarrow T*F$
4) $T \rightarrow F$
5) $F \rightarrow (E)$
6) $F \rightarrow id$

	Action Table							Goto Table		
state	**id**	**+**	*****	**(**	**)**	**$**		**E**	**T**	**F**
0	s5			s4				1	2	3
1		s6				acc				
2		r2	s7		r2	r2				
3		r4	r4		r4	r4				
4	s5			s4				8	2	3
5		r6	r6		r6	r6				
6	s5			s4					9	3
7	s5			s4						10
8		s6			s11					
9		r1	s7		r1	r1				
10		r3	r3		r3	r3				
11		r5	r5		r5	r5				

Actions of A (S)LR-Parser -- Example

stack	input	action	output
0	id*id+id$	shift 5	
0id5	*id+id$	reduce by F→id	F→id
0F3	*id+id$	reduce by T→F	T→F
0T2	*id+id$	shift 7	
0T2*7	id+id$	shift 5	
0T2*7id5	+id$	reduce by F→id	F→id
0T2*7F10	+id$	reduce by T→T*F	T→T*F
0T2	+id$	reduce by E→T	E→T
0E1	+id$	shift 6	
0E1+6	id$	shift 5	
0E1+6id5	$	reduce by F→id	F→id
0E1+6F3	$	reduce by T→F	T→F
0E1+6T9	$	reduce by E→E+T	E→E+T
0E1	$	accept	

Constructing SLR Parsing Tables – LR(0) Item

- An **LR(0) item** of a grammar G is a production of G a dot at the some position of the right side.
- Ex: $A \rightarrow aBb$ Possible LR(0) Items: (four different possibility)
  $A \rightarrow \bullet aBb$
  $A \rightarrow a \bullet Bb$
  $A \rightarrow aB \bullet b$
  $A \rightarrow aBb \bullet$
- Sets of LR(0) items will be the states of action and goto table of the SLR parser.
- A collection of sets of LR(0) items (**the canonical LR(0) collection**) is the basis for constructing SLR parsers.
- *Augmented Grammar*:

  G' is G with a new production rule $S' \rightarrow S$ where S' is the new starting symbol.

The Closure Operation

- If ***I*** is a set of LR(0) items for a grammar G, then ***closure(I)*** is the set of LR(0) items constructed from I by the two rules:

  1. Initially, every LR(0) item in I is added to closure(I).
  2. If $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet B\beta$ is in closure(I) and $B \rightarrow \gamma$ is a production rule of G; then $B \rightarrow \bullet \gamma$ will be in the closure(I).
     We will apply this rule until no more new LR(0) items can be added to closure(I).

The Closure Operation -- Example

$E' \rightarrow E$

$E \rightarrow E+T$

$E \rightarrow T$

$T \rightarrow T*F$

$T \rightarrow F$

$F \rightarrow (E)$

$F \rightarrow id$

closure($\{E' \rightarrow \bullet E\}$) =

$\{ \; E' \rightarrow \bullet E$ ⟵ kernel items

$E \rightarrow \bullet E+T$

$E \rightarrow \bullet T$

$T \rightarrow \bullet T*F$

$T \rightarrow \bullet F$

$F \rightarrow \bullet (E)$

$F \rightarrow \bullet id \; \}$

Goto Operation

- If I is a set of LR(0) items and X is a grammar symbol (terminal or non-terminal), then goto(I,X) is defined as follows:
  - If $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet X\beta$ in I
    then every item in **closure({$A \rightarrow \alpha X \bullet \beta$})** will be in goto(I,X).

Example:

I ={ $E' \rightarrow \bullet E$, $E \rightarrow \bullet E+T$, $E \rightarrow \bullet T$,
$T \rightarrow \bullet T*F$, $T \rightarrow \bullet F$,
$F \rightarrow \bullet (E)$, $F \rightarrow \bullet id$ }

goto(I,E) = { $E' \rightarrow E \bullet$, $E \rightarrow E \bullet +T$ }

goto(I,T) = { $E \rightarrow T \bullet$, $T \rightarrow T \bullet *F$ }

goto(I,F) = {$T \rightarrow F \bullet$ }

goto(I,() = { $F \rightarrow (\bullet E)$, $E \rightarrow \bullet E+T$, $E \rightarrow \bullet T$, $T \rightarrow \bullet T*F$, $T \rightarrow \bullet F$,
$F \rightarrow \bullet (E)$, $F \rightarrow \bullet id$ }

goto(I,id) = { $F \rightarrow id \bullet$ }

Construction of The Canonical LR(0) Collection

- To create the SLR parsing tables for a grammar G, we will create the canonical LR(0) collection of the grammar G'.

- ***Algorithm***:

  C is { closure({S'$\rightarrow \bullet$ S}) }

  repeat the followings until no more set of LR(0) items can be added to ***C***.

  for each I in ***C*** and each grammar symbol X

  if goto(I,X) is not empty and not in ***C***

  add goto(I,X) to ***C***

- goto function is a DFA on the sets in C.

The Canonical LR(0) Collection -- Example

I_0: E' → .E
E → .E+T
E → .T
T → .T*F
T → .F
F → .(E)
F → .id

I_1: E' → E.
E → E.+T

I_2: E → T.
T → T.*F

I_3: T → F.

I_4: F → (.E)
E → .E+T
E → .T
T → .T*F
T → .F
F → .(E)
F → .id

I_5: F → id.

I_6: E → E+.T
T → .T*F
T → .F
F → .(E)
F → .id

I_7: T → T*.F
F → .(E)
F → .id

I_8: F → (E.)
E → E.+T

I_9: E → E+T.
T → T.*F

I_{10}: T → T*F.

I_{11}: F → (E).

Transition Diagram (DFA) of Goto Function



LR Parsing

- The most prevalent type of bottom-up parsers
- LR(k), mostly interested on parsers with k<=1
- Why LR parsers?
  - Table driven
  - Can be constructed to recognize all programming language constructs
  - Most general non-backtracking shift-reduce parsing method
  - Can detect a syntactic error as soon as it is possible to do so
  - Class of grammars for which we can construct LR parsers are superset of those which we can construct LL parsers

States of an LR parser

- States represent set of items
- An LR(0) item of G is a production of G with the dot at some position of the body:
  - For A->XYZ we have following items
    - A->.XYZ
    - A->X.YZ
    - A->XY.Z
    - A->XYZ.
  - In a state having A->.XYZ we hope to see a string derivable from XYZ next on the input.
  - What about A->X.YZ?

Constructing canonical LR(0) item sets

- Augmented grammar:
  - G with addition of a production: S'->S
- Closure of item sets:
  - If I is a set of items, closure(I) is a set of items constructed from I by the following rules:
    - Add every item in I to closure(I)
    - If A->α.Bβ is in closure(I) and B->γ is a production then add the item B->.γ to clsoure(I).
- Example:

E'->E
E -> E + T | T
T -> T * F | F
F -> (E) | **id**

I0=closure({[E'->.E]}
E'->.E
E->.E+T
E->.T
T->.T*F
T->.F
F->.(E)
F->.id

Constructing canonical LR(0) item sets (cont.)

- Goto (I,X) where I is an item set and X is a grammar symbol is closure of set of all items [A-> αX. β] where [A-> α.X β] is in I
- Example



Closure algorithm

```
SetOfItems CLOSURE(I) {
    J=I;
    repeat
        for (each item A-> α.Bβ in J)
            for (each prodcution B->γ of G)
                if (B->.γ is not in J)
                    add B->.γ to J;
    until no more items are added to J on one round;
    return J;
```

GOTO algorithm

```
SetOfItems  GOTO(I,X) {
   J=empty;
    if (A-> α.X β is in I)
          add CLOSURE(A-> αX. β ) to J;
    return J;
}
```

Canonical LR(0) items

```
Void items(G') {
    C= CLOSURE({[S'->.S]});
    repeat
        for (each set of items I in C)
            for (each grammar symbol X)
                if (GOTO(I,X) is not empty and not in C)
                    add GOTO(I,X) to C;
    until no new set of items are added to C on a round;
}
```

Steps to Solve LR(0) Sums

1. Augment the given Grammer
2. Draw Conical Collection of LR(0) item/DFD
3. Number the Production
4. Create the parsing table
5. Stack implementation
6. Draw parse tree

Example

E'->E
E -> E + T | T
T -> T * F | F
F -> (E) | **id**



Example

(0) E'->E
(1) E -> E + T
(2) E-> T
(3) T -> T * F
(4) T-> F
(5) F -> (E)
(6) F->**id**

id*id+id?

STATE	ACTON						GOTO		
	id	+	*	(	)	$	E	T	F
0	S5			S4			1	2	3
1		S6				Acc			
2		R2	S7		R2	R2			
3		R4	R7		R4	R4			
4	S5			S4			8	2	3
5		R6	R6		R6	R6			
6	S5			S4				9	3
7	S5			S4					10
8		S6			S11				
9		R1	S7		R1	R1			
10		R3	R3		R3	R3			
11		R5	R5		R5	R5			

Line	Stack	Symbols	Input	Action
(1)	0		id*id+id$	Shift to 5
(2)	05	id	*id+id$	Reduce by F->id
(3)	03	F	*id+id$	Reduce by T->F
(4)	02	T	*id+id$	Shift to 7
(5)	027	T*	id+id$	Shift to 5
(6)	0275	T*id	+id$	Reduce by F->id
(7)	02710	T*F	+id$	Reduce by T->T*F
(8)	02	T	+id$	Reduce by E->T
(9)	01	E	+id$	Shift
(10)	016	E+	id$	Shift
(11)	0165	E+id	$	Reduce by F->id
(12)	0163	E+F	$	Reduce by T->F
(13)	0169	E+T`	$	Reduce by E->E+T
(14)	01	E	$	accept

Use of LR(0) automaton

- Example: id*id

Line	Stack	Symbols	Input	Action
(1)	0	$	id*id$	Shift to 5
(2)	05	$id	*id$	Reduce by F->id
(3)	03	$F	*id$	Reduce by T->F
(4)	02	$T	*id$	Shift to 7
(5)	027	$T*	id$	Shift to 5
(6)	0275	$T*id	$	Reduce by F->id
(7)	02710	$T*F	$	Reduce by T->T*F
(8)	02	$T	$	Reduce by E->T
(9)	01	$E	$	accept

LR-Parsing model



LR parsing algorithm

```
let a be the first symbol of w$;
while(1) { /*repeat forever */
    let s be the state on top of the stack;
    if (ACTION[s,a] = shift t) {
        push t onto the stack;
        let a be the next input symbol;
    } else if (ACTION[s,a] = reduce A->β) {
        pop |β| symbols of the stack;
        let state t now be on top of the stack;
        push GOTO[t,A] onto the stack;
        output the production A->β;
    } else if (ACTION[s,a]=accept) break; /* parsing is done */
    else call error-recovery routine;
}
```

Example

(0) E'->E
(1) E -> E + T
(2) E-> T
(3) T -> T * F
(4) T-> F
(5) F -> (E)
(6) F->**id**

id*id+id?

STATE	ACTON						GOTO		
	id	+	*	(	)	$	E	T	F
0	S5			S4			1	2	3
1		S6				Acc			
2		R2	S7		R2	R2			
3		R4	R7		R4	R4			
4	S5			S4			8	2	3
5		R6	R6		R6	R6			
6	S5			S4				9	3
7	S5			S4					10
8		S6			S11				
9		R1	S7		R1	R1			
10		R3	R3		R3	R3			
11		R5	R5		R5	R5			

Line	Stack	Symbols	Input	Action
(1)	0		id*id+id$	Shift to 5
(2)	05	id	*id+id$	Reduce by F->id
(3)	03	F	*id+id$	Reduce by T->F
(4)	02	T	*id+id$	Shift to 7
(5)	027	T*	id+id$	Shift to 5
(6)	0275	T*id	+id$	Reduce by F->id
(7)	02710	T*F	+id$	Reduce by T->T*F
(8)	02	T	+id$	Reduce by E->T
(9)	01	E	+id$	Shift
(10)	016	E+	id$	Shift
(11)	0165	E+id	$	Reduce by F->id
(12)	0163	E+F	$	Reduce by T->F
(13)	0169	E+T`	$	Reduce by E->E+T
(14)	01	E	$	accept


S' → S
S → AA
A → aA/b
S' → .S
S → .AA
A → .aA/.b
S
S' → S.
A
S → A.A
A → .aA/.b
a
A → a.A
A → .aA/.b
b
A → b.


S'→S
S→AA
A→aA/b
S'→.S
S→.AA
A→.aA/.b
S'→S.
S→A.A
A→.aA/.b
S→AA.
A→a.A
A→.aA/.b
A→b.
S
A
A
a
a
b
b


S'→S
S→AA
A→aA/b
S'→.S
S→.AA
A→.aA/.b
S'→S.
S→A.A
A→.aA/.b
S→AA.
A→a.A
A→.aA/.b
A→aA.
A→b.
S
A
a
b


S'→S
S→AA
A→aA/b
I0
S'→.S
S→.AA
A→.aA/.b
I1
S'→S.
I2
S→A.A
A→.aA/.b
I3
A→a.A
A→.aA/.b
I4
A→b.
I5
S→AA.
I6
A→aA.
S
A
a
b



	action			Goto	
	a	b	$	A	S
0	S_3	S_4		2	1
1			accept		
2	S_3	S_4		5	
3	S_3	S_4		6	
4	γ_3	γ_3	γ_3		
5	γ_1	γ_1	γ_1		
6	γ_2	γ_2	γ_2		


E' → E
E → BB
B → cB / d
B → c.B
B → .cB / .d
E
E' → E.
E' → .E
E → .BB
B → .cB / .d
B
E → B.B
B → .cB / .d
E → BB.
c
B → c.B
B → .cB / .d
c
d
B → cB.
B → d.
d
c
d



Step 3) Number the Production

E`→E
E→BB (1)
B→cB (2) / d (3)

4) Parsing table

State	Action			Goto	
	c	d	$	E	B
I_0	S_3	S_4		1	2
I_1			Accept		
I_2	S_3	S_4			5
I_3	S_3	S_4			6
I_4	r_3	r_3	r_3		
I_5	r_1	r_1	r_1		
I_6	r_2	r_2	r_3		

Step 5) Stack implementation

E`→E
E→BB
B→cB / d

4) Parsing table

State	Action			Goto	
	c	d	$	E	B
I_0	S_3	S_4		1	2
I_1			Accept		
I_2	S_3	S_4			5
I_3	S_3	S_4			6
I_4	r_3	r_3	r_3		
I_5	r_1	r_1	r_1		
I_6	r_2	r_2	r_3		

Step 5) Stack implementation

$E' \rightarrow E$

$E \Rightarrow BB$ (1)

$B \Rightarrow cB / d$ (2) (3)

ccdd$

Stack	Input	Action
$0	ccdd$	Shift c in the stack and Goto State 3
$0c3	cdd$	shift c → s3
$0c3c3	dd$	shift d → s4
$0c3c3d4	d$	reduce r3 B → d
$0c3c3B6	d$	reduce B → cB
$0c3B6	d$	reduce B → cB
$0B2	d$	shift d → s4
$0B2d4	$	reduce B → d
$0B2B5	$	reduce E → BB
$0E1	$	Accept

Stack	Input	Action
$0	c c d d $	shift c in the stack and Goto state 3
$0c3	c d d $	shift c → s3
$0c3c3	d d $	shift d → s4
$0c3c3d4	d $	reduce r3 B → d
$0c3c3B6	d $	reduce B → cB
$0c3B6	d $	reduce B → cB
$0B2	d $	shift d → s4
$0B2d4	$	reduce B → d
$0B2B5	$	reduce E → BB
$0E1	$	Accept

Step 6) Draw the Parse tree



Constructing SLR Parsing Table

(of an augumented grammar G')

1. Construct the canonical collection of sets of LR(0) items for G'.
   $C \leftarrow \{I_0,...,I_n\}$
2. Create the parsing action table as follows
   - If a is a terminal, $A \rightarrow \alpha.a\beta$ in I_i and $goto(I_i,a)=I_j$ then action[i,a] is ***shift j.***
   - If $A \rightarrow \alpha.$ is in I_i, then action[i,a] is ***reduce*** $A \rightarrow \alpha$ for all a in FOLLOW(A) where $A \neq S'$.
   - If $S' \rightarrow S.$ is in I_i, then action[i,$] is ***accept***.
   - If any conflicting actions generated by these rules, the grammar is not SLR(1).
3. Create the parsing goto table
   - for all non-terminals A, if $goto(I_i,A)=I_j$ then goto[i,A]=j
4. All entries not defined by (2) and (3) are errors.
5. Initial state of the parser contains $S' \rightarrow .S$

Parsing Tables of Expression Grammar

	Action Table							Goto Table		
state	**id**	**+**	*****	**(**	**)**	**$**		**E**	**T**	**F**
0	s5			s4				1	2	3
1		s6				acc				
2		r2	s7		r2	r2				
3		r4	r4		r4	r4				
4	s5			s4				8	2	3
5		r6	r6		r6	r6				
6	s5			s4					9	3
7	s5			s4						10
8		s6			s11					
9		r1	s7		r1	r1				
10		r3	r3		r3	r3				
11		r5	r5		r5	r5				

SLR(1) Grammar

- An LR parser using SLR(1) parsing tables for a grammar G is called as the SLR(1) parser for G.
- If a grammar G has an SLR(1) parsing table, it is called SLR(1) grammar (or SLR grammar in short).
- Every SLR grammar is unambiguous, but every unambiguous grammar is not a SLR grammar.

shift/reduce and reduce/reduce conflicts

- If a state does not know whether it will make a shift operation or reduction for a terminal, we say that there is a **shift/reduce conflict**.

- If a state does not know whether it will make a reduction operation using the production rule `i` or `j` for a terminal, we say that there is a **reduce/reduce conflict**.

- If the SLR parsing table of a grammar G has a conflict, we say that that grammar is not SLR grammar.

Conflict Example

$S \rightarrow L=R$
$S \rightarrow R$
$L \rightarrow *R$
$L \rightarrow id$
$R \rightarrow L$

I_0: $S' \rightarrow .S$
$S \rightarrow .L=R$
$S \rightarrow .R$
$L \rightarrow .*R$
$L \rightarrow .id$
$R \rightarrow .L$

I_1: $S' \rightarrow S.$

I_2: $S \rightarrow L.=R$
$R \rightarrow L.$

I_3: $S \rightarrow R.$

I_4: $L \rightarrow *.R$
$R \rightarrow .L$
$L \rightarrow .*R$
$L \rightarrow .id$

I_5: $L \rightarrow id.$

I_6: $S \rightarrow L=.R$
$R \rightarrow .L$
$L \rightarrow .*R$
$L \rightarrow .id$

I_7: $L \rightarrow *R.$

I_8: $R \rightarrow L.$

I_9: $S \rightarrow L=R.$

Problem

FOLLOW(R)={=,$}

= → shift 6

→ reduce by $R \rightarrow L$

shift/reduce conflict

Conflict Example2

$S \rightarrow AaAb$

$S \rightarrow BbBa$

$A \rightarrow \varepsilon$

$B \rightarrow \varepsilon$

I_0: $S' \rightarrow .S$

$S \rightarrow .AaAb$

$S \rightarrow .BbBa$

$A \rightarrow .$

$B \rightarrow .$

Problem

FOLLOW(A)={a,b}

FOLLOW(B)={a,b}

a → reduce by $A \rightarrow \varepsilon$

a → reduce by $B \rightarrow \varepsilon$

reduce/reduce conflict

b → reduce by $A \rightarrow \varepsilon$

b → reduce by $B \rightarrow \varepsilon$

reduce/reduce conflict

$E \rightarrow T+E \mid T$

$T \rightarrow i$

i) check Grammer is LR(0) or not

ii) check Grammer is SLR(1) or not

Step 1) Augment the given grammer

$E' \rightarrow E$

$E \rightarrow T+E \mid T$

$T \rightarrow i$

step 2) Draw conical collection of LR(0) item

Conflict

RR

$A \to a$ -①
$B \to b$ -②
$C \to aB$ -③



SR

$C \to .Ba$ -①
$B \to d$ -②





Conflict

RR

$A \to a$ -①
$B \to b$ -②
$C \to aB$ -③



SR

$C \to .Ba$ -①
$B \to d$ -②





LR(0) Parsing table

LR(0) CI

1 = 0 =

$E \to T+E$ (2) / T

$T \to id$ (3)

State	id	+	$	E	T
0	S_3			1	2
1			Accept	-	
2	r_2	S/r_2	r_2		
3					
4					
5					

Action Goto



LR(0) Parsing table

LR(0) CI

$E \to T+E$ (1) / T (2)

$T \to id$ (3)



State	id	+	$	E	T
0	S_3			1	2
1			Accept	-	
2	r_2	S_4/r_2	r_2		
3	r_3	r_3	r_3		
4				5	2
5	r_1	r_1	r_1		

Action Goto

State	id	+	$	E	T
0	S_3			1	2
1			Accept	-	
2	r_2	S_4/r_2	r_2		
3	r_3	r_3	r_3		
4	S_3			5	2
5	r_1	r_1	r_1		

$E' \to E$

$E \to T+E \;/\; T$

$T \to i$




E'→E
E→T+E / T
T→i
E
E'→E.
E'→.E
E→.T+E / .T
T→.i
T
E→T.+E
E→T.
+
E→T+.E
E→.T+E / .T
T→.i
E
E→T+E.
T
i
i
T→i.

$E' \to E$

$E \to T+E \;/\; T$

$T \to i$



State	Actions			Goto	
	+	i	$	E	T
I_0		S_3		1	2
I_1			Accept		
I_2	S_4/r_1	r_2	r_2		
I_3	r_3	r_3	r_3		
I_4		S_3		5	2
I_5	r_1	r_1	r_1		

$E' \to E$

$E \to T+E$ ① / T ②

$T \to i$ ③

$E' \to E$ (1)

$E \to T+E$ / T (2)

$T \to i$ (3)



State	Actions			Goto	
	+	i	$	E	T
I_0		S3		1	2
I_1					
I_2	S4				
I_3					
I_4		S3		5	2
I_5					

follow (T) = { +, $ }

follow (E) = { $ }

$E' \to E$ - (1)

$E \to T+E \;/\; T$ - (2)

$T \to i$ - (3)



$E \to T \quad - r_2$

State	Actions			Goto	
	+	i	$	E	T
I_0		S3		1	2
I_1			Accept		
I_2	S4				
I_3					
I_4		S3		5	2
I_5					

follow (T) = { +, $ }

follow (E) = { $ }

$E' \to E$ (1)

$E \to T+E$ / T (2)

$T \to i$ (3)



$E \to T \quad -r_2$

$T \to i.$

State	Actions			Goto	
	+	i	$	E	T
I_0		S3		1	2
I_1			Accept		
I_2	S4		r_2		
I_3					
I_4		S3		5	2
I_5					

follow (T) = { +, $ }

follow (E) = { $ }

$E' \to E$ (1)

$E \to T+E$ / T (2)

$T \to i$ (3)



$E \to T \quad - r_2$

$T \to i \quad - r_3$

$E \to T+E \quad - r_1$

State	Actions			Goto	
	+	i	$	E	T
I_0		S3		1	2
I_1			Accept		
I_2	S4		r_2		
I_3	r_3		r_3		
I_4		S3		5	2
I_5			r_1		

Follow (T) = {+, $}

Follow (E) = {$}

(i) LL(1)
(ii) LR(0)
(iii) SLR(1)

$S \to dA / aB$
$A \to bA / c$
$B \to bB / c$




(i) LL(1) ✓
(ii) LR(0) ✓
(iii) SLR(1) ✓
S R
R R
S → dA /aB
A → bA/c
B → bB/c
I0
S' → .S
S → .dA /.aB
I1
S' → S.
I2
S → d.A
A → .bA /.C
I3
S → a.B
B → .bB/.C
I4
S → dA.
I5
A → b.A
A → .bA /.C
I6
A → C.
I7
S → aB.
I8
B → b.B
B → .bB/.C
I9
B → C.
I10
A → bA.
I11
B → bB
S
d
a
A
b
C
B
b
c
A
b
B
b
c

LL(1)

LR(0)X

SLR(1)X

{a} {a}

S → A/a

A → a



LL(1) ✗
LR(0) ✓
SLR(1) ✓

$S \to (L) / a$

$L \to L, S$
$/ S$



$E \to E+T$
$/T$
$T \to i$



LL(1) X

$E \to T+E$ ①

$/T$ ②

$T \to i^{\circ}$ ③



LL(1) X

$E \to T+E$ ①

T ②

$T \to i^{\circ}$ ③



LR(0) parser

	i°	+	$	E	T
0	S_3			1	2
1			acc		
2	r_2	r_2/S_4	r_2		
3	r_3	r_3	r_3		
4	S_3			5	2
5	r_1	r_1	r_1		

LL(1) X

LR(0) X

SLR(1)?



E→T.

Follow(T) = {+, $}

Follow(E) = {$}

SLR(1)

	i	+	$	E	T
0	S_3			1	2
1			acc		
2		S_4	r_2		
3		r_3	r_3		
4	S_3			5	2
5			r_1		


LL(1) X
SLR(0)
E→E+T ①
/T ②
T→TF ③
/F ④
F→F*/a/b
⑤ ⑥ ⑦
Follow(E) = {+, $}
Follow(T) = {+, $, a, b}
Follow(F) = {+, *, $, a, b}
I0
E'→.E
E→.E+T
/.T
T→.TF
/.F
F→.F*/.a/.b
I1
E'→E.
E→E.+T
I2
E→T.
T→T.F
F→.F*
/.a/.b
I3
T→F.
F→F.*
I4
F→a.
I5
F→b.
I6
E→E+.T
T→.TF/.F
F→.F*/.a/.b
I7
T→TF.
F→F.*
I8
F→F*.
I9
E→E+T.
T→T.F
F→.F*
/.a/.b
E
T
F
a
b
*
+


LL(1) X
SLR(0) X
SLR(1)
E→E+T ①
/T ②
T→TF ③
/F ④
F→F*/a/b
⑤ ⑥ ⑦
I0
E'→.E
E→.E+T
/.T
T→.TF
/.F
F→.F*/a/.b
I1
E'→E.
E→E.+T
I2
E→T.
T→T.F
F→.F*
/.a/.b
I3
T→F.
F→F.*
I4
F→a.
I5
F→b.
I6
E→E+.T
T→.TF/.F
F→.F*/.a/.b
I7
T→TF.
F→F.*
I8
F→F*.
I9
E→E+T.
T→T.F
F→.F*
/.a/.b
Follow(E) = {+, $}
Follow(T) = {+, $, a, b}
Follow(F) = {+, *, $, a, b}
	a	b	+	*	$
2	S4	S5	γ2		γ2
3	γ4	γ4	γ4	S8	γ4
7	γ3	γ3	γ3	S8	γ3
9	S4	S5	γ1		γ1

$A \rightarrow \cdot\epsilon$ $A \rightarrow \cdot$

$A \rightarrow \epsilon\cdot$

$S \rightarrow AaAb$ ① LL(1)

$/BbBa$ ② LR(0) X

SLR(1) X

$A \rightarrow \epsilon$ ③

$B \rightarrow \epsilon$ ④

I_0

$S' \rightarrow \cdot S$

$S \rightarrow \cdot AaAb$

$/\cdot BbBa$

$A \rightarrow \cdot$

$B \rightarrow \cdot$

	a	b	$
0	r_3/r_4	r_3/r_4	


{a,b}
{b}
{a,b}
{b}
S → AS/b
A → SA/a
LL(1) X
LR(0) X
SLR(1) X
S'→ .S
S → .AS/.b
A → .SA/.a
S'→ S.$
A → S.A
A → .SA/.a
S → .AS/.b
A → SA.
S → A.S/.b
S → .AS/.b
A → .SA/.a
A → S.A
A → .SA/.a
S → .AS/.b
S → A.S
S → .AS/.b
A → .SA/.a
S → AS.
A → S.A
A → .SA/.a
S → .AS/.b
S
A
S
A
S
A
S
S
A

abab





LL(1) X
LR(0) X
SLR(1)

{d}
→Aa
/bAC {b}
/dC {d}
/bda
→d



	a	b	c	d	$
I_1	r		S/r		

LL(1) X
LR(0) X
SLR(1) X

$S \to Aa$ {d}
$/bAC$ {b}
$/dC$ {d}
$/bda$
$A \to d$



Constructing Canonical LR(1) Parsing Tables

- In SLR method, the state i makes a reduction by $A \rightarrow \alpha$ when the current token is a:
  - if the $A \rightarrow \alpha\bullet$ in the I_i and a is FOLLOW(A)
- In some situations, βA cannot be followed by the terminal a in a right-sentential form when $\beta\alpha$ and the state i are on the top stack. This means that making reduction in this case is not correct.

$S \rightarrow AaAb$	$S \Rightarrow AaAb \Rightarrow Aab \Rightarrow ab$	$S \Rightarrow BbBa \Rightarrow Bba \Rightarrow ba$
$S \rightarrow BbBa$		
$A \rightarrow \varepsilon$	$Aab \Rightarrow \varepsilon ab$	$Bba \Rightarrow \varepsilon ba$
$B \rightarrow \varepsilon$	$AaAb \Rightarrow Aa \varepsilon b$	$BbBa \Rightarrow Bb \varepsilon a$

LR(1) Item

- To avoid some of invalid reductions, the states need to carry more information.
- Extra information is put into a state by including a terminal symbol as a second component in an item.
- A LR(1) item is:

$A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet \beta, a$ where **a** is the look-head of the LR(1) item

(**a** is a terminal or end-marker.)

LR(1) Item (cont.)

- When β (in the LR(1) item $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet \beta, a$) is not empty, the look-head does not have any affect.
- When β is empty ($A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet, a$), we do the reduction by $A \rightarrow \alpha$ only if the next input symbol is **a** (not for any terminal in FOLLOW(A)).
- A state will contain $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet, a_1$ where $\{a_1,...,a_n\} \subseteq$ FOLLOW(A)

  ...

  $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet, a_n$

Canonical Collection of Sets of LR(1) Items

- The construction of the canonical collection of the sets of LR(1) items are similar to the construction of the canonical collection of the sets of LR(0) items, except that *closure* and *goto* operations work a little bit different.

closure(I) is: (where I is a set of LR(1) items)

- every LR(1) item in I is in closure(I)
- if $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet B\beta, a$ in closure(I) and $B \rightarrow \gamma$ is a production rule of G; then $B \rightarrow .\gamma, b$ will be in the closure(I) for each terminal b in FIRST(βa) .

goto operation

- If I is a set of LR(1) items and X is a grammar symbol (terminal or non-terminal), then goto(I,X) is defined as follows:
  - If $A \rightarrow \alpha.X\beta,a$ in I
    then every item in **closure({$A \rightarrow \alpha X.\beta,a$})** will be in goto(I,X).

Construction of The Canonical LR(1) Collection

- ***Algorithm***:

  C is { closure({S'→.S,$}) }

  repeat the followings until no more set of LR(1) items can be added to ***C***.

  for each I in ***C*** and each grammar symbol X

  if goto(I,X) is not empty and not in ***C***

  add goto(I,X) to ***C***

- goto function is a DFA on the sets in C.

A Short Notation for The Sets of LR(1) Items

- A set of LR(1) items containing the following items

$$A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet \beta, a_1$$

$$\ldots$$

$$A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet \beta, a_n$$

can be written as

$$A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet \beta, a_1/a_2/\ldots/a_n$$

Canonical LR(1) Collection -- Example

$S \rightarrow AaAb$

$S \rightarrow BbBa$

$A \rightarrow \varepsilon$

$B \rightarrow \varepsilon$

I_0: $S' \rightarrow .S$,\$
$S \rightarrow .AaAb$,\$
$S \rightarrow .BbBa$,\$
$A \rightarrow .$,a
$B \rightarrow .$,b

S → I_1: $S' \rightarrow S.$,\$

A → I_2: $S \rightarrow A.aAb$,\$ —a→ to I_4

B → I_3: $S \rightarrow B.bBa$,\$ —b→ to I_5

I_4: $S \rightarrow Aa.Ab$,\$ —A→ I_6: $S \rightarrow AaA.b$,\$ —a→ I_8: $S \rightarrow AaAb.$,\$
$A \rightarrow .$,b

I_5: $S \rightarrow Bb.Ba$,\$ —B→ I_7: $S \rightarrow BbB.a$,\$ —b→ I_9: $S \rightarrow BbBa.$,\$
$B \rightarrow .$,a

Canonical LR(1) Collection – Example2

S' → S
1) S → L=R
2) S → R
3) L→ *R
4) L → id
5) R → L

I_0: S' → .S,$
S → .L=R,$
S → .R,$
L → .*R,$/=
L → .id,$/=
R → .L,$



I_1: S' → S.,$

I_2: S → L.=R,$ → to I_6
R → L.,$

I_3: S → R.,$

I_4: L → *.R,$/=
R → .L,$/=
L→ .*R,$/=
L → .id,$/=



I_5: L → id.,$/=

I_6: S → L=.R,$
R → .L,$
L → .*R,$
L → .id,$



I_7: L → *R.,$/=

I_8: R → L.,$/=

I_9: S → L=R.,$

I_{10}: R → L.,$

I_{11}: L → *.R,$
R → .L,$
L→ .*R,$
L → .id,$



I_{12}: L → id.,$

I_{13}: L → *R.,$

I_4 and I_{11}

I_5 and I_{12}

I_7 and I_{13}

I_8 and I_{10}

Construction of LR(1) Parsing Tables

1. Construct the canonical collection of sets of LR(1) items for G'.
   $C \leftarrow \{I_0,...,I_n\}$

2. Create the parsing action table as follows
   - If a is a terminal, $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet a\beta,b$ in I_i and $goto(I_i,a)=I_j$ then action[i,a] is ***shift j.***
   - If $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet,a$ is in I_i, then action[i,a] is ***reduce*** $A \rightarrow \alpha$ where $A \neq S'$.
   - If $S' \rightarrow S \bullet,\$$ is in I_i, then action[i,$] is ***accept***.
   - If any conflicting actions generated by these rules, the grammar is not LR(1).

3. Create the parsing goto table
   - for all non-terminals A, if $goto(I_i,A)=I_j$ then goto[i,A]=j

4. All entries not defined by (2) and (3) are errors.

5. Initial state of the parser contains $S' \rightarrow .S,\$$

LR(1) Parsing Tables – (for Example2)

	id	*	=	$	S	L	R
0	s5	s4			1	2	3
1				acc			
2			s6	r5			
3				r2			
4	s5	s4				8	7
5			r4	r4			
6	s12	s11				10	9
7			r3	r3			
8			r5	r5			
9				r1			
10				r5			
11	s12	s11				10	13
12				r4			
13				r3			

no shift/reduce or
no reduce/reduce conflict

so, it is a LR(1) grammar

LALR Parsing Tables

- **LALR** stands for **LookAhead LR.**

- LALR parsers are often used in practice because LALR parsing tables are smaller than LR(1) parsing tables.
- The number of states in SLR and LALR parsing tables for a grammar G are equal.
- But LALR parsers recognize more grammars than SLR parsers.
- ***yacc*** creates a LALR parser for the given grammar.
- A state of LALR parser will be again a set of LR(1) items.

Creating LALR Parsing Tables

Canonical LR(1) Parser ➔ LALR Parser

shrink # of states

- This shrink process may introduce a **reduce/reduce** conflict in the resulting LALR parser (so the grammar is NOT LALR)
- But, this shrink process does not produce a **shift/reduce** conflict.

The Core of A Set of LR(1) Items

- The core of a set of LR(1) items is the set of its first component.

Ex: $S \rightarrow L \bullet =R,\$$ ➔ $S \rightarrow L \bullet =R$ ⟵ Core

$R \rightarrow L \bullet ,\$$ $R \rightarrow L \bullet$

- We will find the states (sets of LR(1) items) in a canonical LR(1) parser with same cores. Then we will merge them as a single state.

I_1: $L \rightarrow id \bullet ,=$ A new state: I_{12}: $L \rightarrow id \bullet ,=$

➔ $L \rightarrow id \bullet ,\$$

I_2: $L \rightarrow id \bullet ,\$$ have same core, merge them

- We will do this for all states of a canonical LR(1) parser to get the states of the LALR parser.
- In fact, the number of the states of the LALR parser for a grammar will be equal to the number of states of the SLR parser for that grammar.

Creation of LALR Parsing Tables

- Create the canonical LR(1) collection of the sets of LR(1) items for the given grammar.
- Find each core; find all sets having that same core; replace those sets having same cores with a single set which is their union.

  $C=\{I_0,...,I_n\} \rightarrow C'=\{J_1,...,J_m\}$ where $m \leq n$
- Create the parsing tables (action and goto tables) same as the construction of the parsing tables of LR(1) parser.
  - Note that: If $J=I_1 \cup ... \cup I_k$ since $I_1,...,I_k$ have same cores
    $\rightarrow$ cores of $goto(I_1,X),...,goto(I_2,X)$ must be same.
  - So, $goto(J,X)=K$ where K is the union of all sets of items having same cores as $goto(I_1,X)$.
- If no conflict is introduced, the grammar is LALR(1) grammar. (We may only introduce reduce/reduce conflicts; we cannot introduce a shift/reduce conflict)

Shift/Reduce Conflict

- We say that we cannot introduce a shift/reduce conflict during the shrink process for the creation of the states of a LALR parser.
- Assume that we can introduce a shift/reduce conflict. In this case, a state of LALR parser must have:

$$A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet, a \qquad \text{and} \qquad B \rightarrow \beta \bullet a\gamma, b$$

- This means that a state of the canonical LR(1) parser must have:

$$A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet, a \qquad \text{and} \qquad B \rightarrow \beta \bullet a\gamma, c$$

But, this state has also a shift/reduce conflict. i.e. The original canonical LR(1) parser has a conflict.

(Reason for this, the shift operation does not depend on lookaheads)

Reduce/Reduce Conflict

- But, we may introduce a reduce/reduce conflict during the shrink process for the creation of the states of a LALR parser.

$I_1 : A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet, a$

$B \rightarrow \beta \bullet, b$

$I_2 : A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet, b$

$B \rightarrow \beta \bullet, c$

$\Downarrow$

$I_{12} : A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet, a/b$ ➔ reduce/reduce conflict

$B \rightarrow \beta \bullet, b/c$

Canonical LALR(1) Collection – Example2

S' → S
1) S → L=R
2) S → R
3) L→ *R
4) L → id
5) R → L

I_0: S' → •S,$
S → •L=R,$
S → •R,$
L → •*R,$/=
L → •id,$/=
R → •L,$

S → I_1: S' → S•,$

L → I_2: S → L•=R,$ → to I_6
R → L•,$

R → I_3: S → R•,$

* → I_{411}: L → *•R,$/=
R → •L,$/=
L→ •*R,$/=
L → •id,$/=

id → I_{512}: L → id•,$/=

R → to I_{713}
L → to I_{810}
* → to I_{411}
id → to I_{512}

I_6: S → L=•R,$
R → •L,$
L → •*R,$
L → •id,$

R → to I_9
L → to I_{810}
* → to I_{411}
id → to I_{512}

I_9: S → L=R•,$

I_{713}: L → *R•,$/=

I_{810}: R → L•,$/=

Same Cores
I_4 and I_{11}
I_5 and I_{12}
I_7 and I_{13}
I_8 and I_{10}

LALR(1) Parsing Tables – (for Example2)

	id	*	=	$		S	L	R
0	s5	s4				1	2	3
1				acc				
2			s6	r5				
3				r2				
4	s5	s4					8	7
5			r4	r4				
6	s12	s11					10	9
7			r3	r3				
8			r5	r5				
9				r1				

no shift/reduce or
no reduce/reduce conflict

so, it is a LALR(1) grammar

Using Ambiguous Grammars

- All grammars used in the construction of LR-parsing tables must be un-ambiguous.
- Can we create LR-parsing tables for ambiguous grammars ?
  - Yes, but they will have conflicts.
  - We can resolve these conflicts in favor of one of them to disambiguate the grammar.
  - At the end, we will have again an unambiguous grammar.
- Why we want to use an ambiguous grammar?
  - Some of the ambiguous grammars are **much natural**, and a corresponding unambiguous grammar can be very complex.
  - Usage of an ambiguous grammar may **eliminate unnecessary reductions**.
- Ex.

$E \rightarrow E+E \mid E*E \mid (E) \mid id$ ➔

$E \rightarrow E+T \mid T$

$T \rightarrow T*F \mid F$

$F \rightarrow (E) \mid id$

Sets of LR(0) Items for Ambiguous Grammar



SLR-Parsing Tables for Ambiguous Grammar

FOLLOW(E) = { $, +, *,) }

State I_7 has shift/reduce conflicts for symbols + and *.

$I_0 \xrightarrow{E} I_1 \xrightarrow{+} I_4 \xrightarrow{E} I_7$

when current token is +

shift ➔ + is right-associative

reduce ➔ + is left-associative

when current token is *

shift ➔ * has higher precedence than +

reduce ➔ + has higher precedence than *

SLR-Parsing Tables for Ambiguous Grammar

FOLLOW(E) = { $, +, *,) }

State I_8 has shift/reduce conflicts for symbols + and *.

$I_0 \xrightarrow{E} I_1 \xrightarrow{*} I_5 \xrightarrow{E} I_7$

when current token is *

shift ➔ * is right-associative

reduce ➔ * is left-associative

when current token is +

shift ➔ + has higher precedence than *

reduce ➔ * has higher precedence than +

SLR-Parsing Tables for Ambiguous Grammar

	Action							Goto
	id	**+**	*****	**(**	**)**	**$**		**E**
0	s3			s2				1
1		s4	s5			acc		
2	s3			s2				6
3		r4	r4		r4	r4		
4	s3			s2				7
5	s3			s2				8
6		s4	s5		s9			
7		r1	s5		r1	r1		
8		r2	r2		r2	r2		
9		r3	r3		r3	r3		

Error Recovery in LR Parsing

- An LR parser will detect an error when it consults the parsing action table and finds an error entry. All empty entries in the action table are error entries.
- Errors are never detected by consulting the goto table.
- An LR parser will announce error as soon as there is no valid continuation for the scanned portion of the input.
- A canonical LR parser (LR(1) parser) will never make even a single reduction before announcing an error.
- The SLR and LALR parsers may make several reductions before announcing an error.
- But, all LR parsers (LR(1), LALR and SLR parsers) will never shift an erroneous input symbol onto the stack.

Panic Mode Error Recovery in LR Parsing

- Scan down the stack until a state **s** with a goto on a particular nonterminal **A** is found. (Get rid of everything from the stack before this state s).
- Discard zero or more input symbols until a symbol **a** is found that can legitimately follow A.
  - The symbol a is simply in FOLLOW(A), but this may not work for all situations.
- The parser stacks the nonterminal **A** and the state **goto[s,A]**, and it resumes the normal parsing.
- This nonterminal A is normally is a basic programming block (there can be more than one choice for A).
  - stmt, expr, block, ...

Phrase-Level Error Recovery in LR Parsing

- Each empty entry in the action table is marked with a specific error routine.
- An error routine reflects the error that the user most likely will make in that case.
- An error routine inserts the symbols into the stack or the input (or it deletes the symbols from the stack and the input, or it can do both insertion and deletion).
  - missing operand
  - unbalanced right parenthesis