In hash 3, we constructed a least squares hit for the Monte Carlo momerical integration error in lap-log scale. Below is a brief description about constructing least squares hits using both, linear scale and log-log scale.

## Linear Least squares regression

Suppose that we wish to fit a linear function of = a + b x to some data (xe, y, ),..., (xu, yn). This yields a (typically overletermined) linear system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_{1} = a + b \times 1 \\ \gamma_{2} = a + b \times 2 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \gamma_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{m} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \times m \end{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 47 - b \times 1 \\ 1 \times m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 47 - b \times 1 \\ 1 \times m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 47 - b \times 1 \\ 1 \times m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 47 - b \times 1 \\ 1 \times m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

We can find a linear lot of a a + bx satisfying

by solving a and b from the normal equation

Least squares approximation for power functions

Suppose that we wish to fit of = axt to some data (xe, y,),..., (xa, ya).

The "standard" way is to linearise the model by taking the Logarithm on both sides:

This can now be expressed as the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} log \gamma_1 \\ \vdots \\ log \gamma_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & log x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & log x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} log a \end{bmatrix}$$

and we can find the lit by using ordinary linear least squares, i.e., solving the normal equation

## On error plots

- If the date satisfies a power law of = axb, then it is typical to represent it using a lay-lay plat. The rate of decay b will then be the slope of the data represented in lay-lay scale.
- . It the data setisties an exponential law of = Ce of x, then it is typical to represent it using a semi-log plot (i.e., the x-axis is linear, but the of-axis is logarithmic). The slope of a data set which appears linear in semi-log scale is related to of.