## Serie 4 - Solution

Exercise 1 (Debugging). FIXME: Nico...

Exercise 2 (Profiling). Producing the gmon.out file is done with:

gcc -pg poisson.c -o poisson

We get then:

index % time		self	children	called	name
[1]	100.0	5.30 5.36 0.00 0.00	5.36 0.00 0.00 0.00	1019/1019 2/2 1/1	<pre> <spontaneous> main [1]  write_to_file [2]  second [3]  colormap [4]</spontaneous></pre>
[2]	50.3	5.36 5.36	0.00	1019/1019 1019	main [1] write_to_file [2]
[3]	0.0	0.00	0.00	2/2 2	main [1] second [3]
[4]	0.0	0.00	0.00	1/1 1	main [1] colormap [4]

Most of the time is spent in writing the files. The file is written in ASCII so it is not parallelizable. One need to modify into a binary format.

Exercise 3 (icc, gcc, optimization, vectorization and other cool stuff). Results are done on a two-CPU Intel Haswell E5-2630v3 running at 2.4 GHz with DDR4. N = 1024, eps = 0.005

optimization level	$t_{icc}$ [s]	$t_{gcc}$ [s]
-00	58.92	57.32
-01	9.08	9.39
-02	3.34	9.12
-03	3.36	8.67
-03 -xHost (-ftree-vectorize)	2.74	8.67

A simple way to measure the execution time is using second():

Exercise 4 (Parallelization with OpenMP). int main() {
 int i, j, k;
 float \*\*u;
 float \*\*f;
 float h;
 float 12;

```
double t1;
t1 = second();
 h = 1. / (N+1);
  // Allocation of arrays of pointers
 u = (float**) malloc((N+1)*sizeof(float*));
  uo = (float**) malloc((N+1)*sizeof(float*));
  f = (float**) malloc((N+1)*sizeof(float*));
  // allocation of the rows
  for(i=0; i<N+1;i++) {
    u [i] = (float*) malloc((N+1)*sizeof(float));
   uo[i] = (float*) malloc((N+1)*sizeof(float));
   f [i] = (float*) malloc((N+1)*sizeof(float));
  // initialization of u0 and f
#pragma omp parallel
{
#pragma omp for private(i,j)
  for(i = 0; i < N+1; i++) {
    for(j = 0; j < N+1; j++) {
      uo[i][j] = 0;
      u[i][j] = 0;
      f[i][j] = -2.*100. * M_PI * M_PI * sin(10.*M_PI*i*h) * sin(10.*M_PI*j*h);
   }
  }
}
 k=0;
  do {
   12 = 0.;
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp for reduction(+ : 12) private(i,j)
     for(i = 1; i < N; i++) {
      for(j = 1; j < N; j++) {
// computation of the new step
u[i][j] = 0.25 * (uo[i-1][j] + uo[i+1][j] + uo[i][j-1] + uo[i][j+1] - f[i][j]*h*h);
// L2 norm
12 += (uo[i][j] - u[i][j])*(uo[i][j] - u[i][j]);
      }
    }
```

```
}
    // copy new grid in old grid
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp for private(i,j)
    for(i = 0; i < N+1; i++){
      for(j = 0; j < N+1; j++){
uo[i][j] = u[i][j];
      }
    }
 }
    // outputs
    printf("12=\%.5f (k=\%d)\n", sqrt(12), k);
//
      write_to_file(N+1, u, k, -1., 1.);
    k++;
  } while(12 > eps);
  colormap(N+1);
  // deallocation of the rows
  for(i=0; i<N+1;i++) {
    free(u [i]);
    free(uo[i]);
    free(f [i]);
  // deallocate the pointers
  free(u);
  free(uo);
  free(f);
printf("Execution time = %f [s] \n",(second()-t1));
  return 0;
```

Results are done on a two-CPU Intel Haswell, 16 cores (32 with Hyperthreading) E5-2630v3 running at 2.4 GHz with DDR4.  $N=1024,\,eps=0.005$ 

OMP_NUM_THREADS	time [s]	speedup
1	2.85	1
2	1.49	1.9
4	0.73	3.9
8	0.43	6.6
16	0.73	3.9
32	0.84	3.3

One can observe that the speedup is close to linear up to 8 cores running. After this limit, we observe a speeddown. This is due to the limit of the memory bandwidth (north bridge). Conclusion: this application runs at best with 8 cores on this machine.

