


Motivation

Limitations of existing work

- Focus on object physical properties (*adjectives*) and ignore interaction-based properties.
- Visual relationship detection* study object interactions but require object localization → difficult for large-scale data collection.
- Attributes are abundant in existing image-text datasets but have not been utilized for large-scale attribute learning.

Proposal Large-scale attribute learning from image-text datasets, extendable to open-vocabulary attribute prediction that allows to recognize arbitrary textual attribute phrases.




Adjective

Verb & Verb-Object

Preposition-Object

Large-Scale Attribute (LSA) Dataset

Datasets	# images	# instances	# attr annotations	Type of grounding
VG + GQA	108k	6.5M	10.1M	Box
Flickr30K-Entities	32k	285k	503k	Box
MS-COCO + COCO-Attrs	122k	1.2M	2.2M	Ungrounded + Box
Localized Narratives	312k	1.4M	1.7M	Mouse trace
Total	420k	9.5M	14.6M	




Flickr30K-Entities captions

Attribute types	# of classes in \mathcal{C}_s
Adjective	1251
Verb	950
Interaction	1278
Location	2047
Total	5526

Statistics of attributes


Transformer for Attribute Prediction (TAP)



Object grounding: Train network to softly localize object when grounding supervision is available → can attend to correct image regions when train/test on ungrounded objects

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ground}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{|O_i^+|} \sum_{j \in O_i^+} -\log \left(\frac{\exp(z_i^T v'_j / \tau)}{\sum_{k=0}^{L_v-1} \exp(z_i^T v'_k / \tau)} \right)$$

Qualitative Examples



Excited

Fishing

Salmon-colored

Classification

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{bce}}^{\text{cl}}(Y, r) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{c=1}^{\mathcal{C}_s} -\mathbb{1}_{[y_{i,c}=1]} p_c \log(\sigma(r_{i,c})) - \mathbb{1}_{[y_{i,c}=0]} n_c \log(1 - \sigma(r_{i,c}))$$

Open-vocabulary attribute branch:

Generate class embedding for attribute j
 $q_j = \text{CLIP}(\text{'A photo of a <attr> object'})$

Attribute prediction score of object i against attribute j

$$s_{i,j} = (z_i^T q_j / \tau) / (\|z_i\| \|q_j\|)$$

Trained with BCE loss: $\mathcal{L}_{\text{bce}}^{\text{op}}(Y, s)$

Experiments

Methods	LSA pretrained	VAW supervised	mAP	mR@15	mA	F1@15
RN50-Baseline		✓	63.0	52.1	68.6	63.9
ML-GCN		✓	63.0	52.8	69.5	64.1
Sarafianos et al.		✓	64.6	51.1	68.3	64.6
SCoNE		✓	68.3	58.3	71.5	70.3
TAP [Ours]		✓	65.4	54.2	67.2	66.4
RN50-Context	✓	✓	67.3	54.1	69.3	66.1
TAP [Ours]	✓		67.2	53.8	65.5	61.5
TAP [Ours]	✓	✓	73.4	63.3	73.5	71.1

- New state-of-the-art result on VAW after pretraining on LSA. Without pretraining, TAP outperforms the baselines and is only lower than SCoNE due to being data hungry.

Methods	AP _{seen}	AP _{unseen}	AP _{overall}	Methods	Bbox	Pose	CLIP text	mAP
CLIP (attribute prompt)	2.53	3.37	2.64	PastaNet	✓	✓		46.3
CLIP (object-attribute prompt)	0.97	1.56	1.04	HAK	✓	✓		47.1
CLIP (combined prompt)	2.81	3.67	2.92	DEFR-RN50			✓	49.7
OpenTAP	14.34	7.62	13.59	OpenTAP			✓	51.7

- (Left) On LSA, OpenTAP outperforms CLIP (using custom designed prompts for attribute prediction) → can recognize large # of attributes, even those unseen in the open-world.
- (Right) On HICO, finetuned OpenTAP achieves SOTA human-object interaction classification → can recognize well interaction classes.