# Unit 7 Predictive Parsing

Nguyen Thi Thu Huong Hanoi University of Technology



# Top Down Parsing Methods

- Simplest method is a full-backup recursive descent parse
- Write recursive recognizers (subroutines) for each grammar rule
  - □ If rules succeeds perform some action (I.e., build a tree node, emit code, etc.)
  - If rule fails, return failure. Caller may try another choice or fail
  - On failure it "backs up" which might have problem if it needs to return a lexical symbol to the input stream



### **Problems**

- Also remember left recursion problem
- Need to backtrack, suppose that you could always tell what production applied by looking at one (or more) tokens of lookahead – called predictive parsing
- Factoring



# Summary of Recursive Descent

- Simple and general parsing strategy usually coupled with simple handcrafted lexer
  - □ Left-recursion must be eliminated first
  - □ ... but that can be done automatically
- Unpopular because of backtracking
  - □ Thought to be too inefficient
- In practice, backtracking is eliminated by restricting the grammar



# Elimination of Immediate Left Recursion

$$A \rightarrow A \alpha_1 \mid A \alpha_2 \mid ... A \alpha_m \mid \beta_1 \mid \beta_2 \mid \beta_n$$



### **Predictive Parsers**

- Like recursive-descent but parser can "predict" which production to use
  - □ By looking at the next few tokens
  - No backtracking
- Predictive parsers accept LL(k) grammars
  - □ L means "left-to-right" scan of input
  - L means "leftmost derivation"
  - □ k means "predict based on k tokens of lookahead"
- In practice, LL(1) is used



## LL(1) Languages

- In recursive-descent, for each non-terminal and input token there may be a choice of production
- LL(1) means that for each non-terminal and token there is only one production
- Can be specified via 2D tables
  - One dimension for current non-terminal to expand
  - □ One dimension for next token
  - □ A table entry contains one production



# Predictive Parsing and Left Factoring

Recall the grammar

```
E \rightarrow T + E \mid T
T \rightarrow int | int * T | (E)
```

- Hard to predict because
  - □ For T two productions start with int
  - ☐ For E it is not clear how to predict
- A grammar must be <u>left-factored</u> before use for predictive parsing



## Left-Factoring Example

Consider the grammar

$$E \rightarrow T + E \mid T$$
  
T \rightarrow int | int \* T | (E)

Factor out common prefixes of productions

$$E \rightarrow T X$$
 $X \rightarrow + E \mid \epsilon$ 
 $T \rightarrow (E) \mid int Y$ 
 $Y \rightarrow * T \mid \epsilon$ 



# LL(1) Parsing Table Example

Left-factored grammar

$$E \rightarrow T X$$
  $X \rightarrow + E \mid \varepsilon$   
 $T \rightarrow (E) \mid int Y$   $Y \rightarrow * T \mid \varepsilon$ 

■ The LL(1) parsing table:

	int	*	+	(	)	\$
Е	ΤX			ΤX		
X			+ E		3	33
Т	int Y			(E)		
Υ		* T	3		3	33



# LL(1) Parsing Table Example (Cont.)

- Consider the [E, int] entry
  - $\square$  "When current non-terminal is E and next input is int, use production E  $\rightarrow$  T X
  - □ This production can generate an int in the first place
- Consider the [Y,+] entry
  - "When current non-terminal is Y and current token is +, get rid of Y"
  - $\square$  Y can be followed by + only in a derivation in which Y  $\rightarrow \epsilon$



## LL(1) Parsing Tables. Errors

- Blank entries indicate error situations
  - □ Consider the [E,\*] entry
  - "There is no way to derive a string starting with \* from non-terminal E"



## Using Parsing Tables

- Method similar to recursive descent, except
  - □ For each non-terminal S
  - We look at the next token a
  - □ And chose the production shown at [S,a]
- We use a stack to keep track of pending nonterminals
- We reject when we encounter an error state
- We accept when we encounter end-of-input



# LL(1) Parsing Algorithm

```
initialize stack = <S $> and next repeat case stack of <X, rest> : if T[X,*next] = Y<sub>1</sub>...Y<sub>n</sub> then stack \leftarrow <Y<sub>1</sub>... Y<sub>n</sub> rest>; else error (); <t, rest> : if t == *next ++ then stack \leftarrow <rest>; else error (); until stack == < >
```



# LL(1) Parsing Example

Stack	Input	<u>Action</u>	
E\$	int * int \$	ΤX	
T X \$	int * int \$	int Y	
int Y X \$	int * int \$	terminal	
YX\$	* int \$	* T	
* T X \$	* int \$	terminal	
T X \$	int \$	int Y	
int Y X \$	int \$	terminal	
YX\$	\$	3	
X \$	\$	3	
\$	\$	ACCEPT	



# Constructing Parsing Tables

- LL(1) languages are those defined by a parsing table for the LL(1) algorithm
- No table entry can be multiply defined
- We want to generate parsing tables from CFG



# Constructing Parsing Tables (Cont.)

- If A  $\rightarrow \alpha$ , where in the line of A we place  $\alpha$ ?
- In the column of t where t can start a string derived from  $\alpha$ 
  - $\square \alpha \rightarrow^* t \beta$
  - $\square$  We say that  $t \in First(\alpha)$
- In the column of t if  $\alpha$  is  $\varepsilon$  and t can follow an A
  - $\square S \rightarrow^* \beta A t \delta$
  - $\square$  We say  $t \in Follow(A)$



## Computing First Sets

Definition: First(X) = { t | X  $\rightarrow^*$  t $\alpha$ }  $\cup$  { $\epsilon$  | X  $\rightarrow^*$   $\epsilon$ }

Algorithm sketch (see book for details):

- 1. for all terminals t do  $First(X) \leftarrow \{t\}$
- 2. for each production  $X \to \varepsilon$  do First(X)  $\leftarrow \{ \varepsilon \}$
- 3. if  $X \to A_1 \dots A_n \alpha$  and  $\epsilon \in First(A_i)$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$  do
  - add First(α) to First(X)
- 4. for each  $X \to A_1 \dots A_n$  s.t.  $\varepsilon \in First(A_i)$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$  do
  - add ε to First(X)
- 5. repeat steps 4 & 5 until no First set can be grown



### First Sets. Example

Recall the grammar

$$E \rightarrow T X$$
  
  $T \rightarrow (E) | int Y$ 

$$X \rightarrow + E \mid \varepsilon$$
  
 $Y \rightarrow * T \mid \varepsilon$ 

First sets

First( T ) = {int, ( }  
First( E ) = {int, ( }  
First( X ) = {+, 
$$\varepsilon$$
 }  
First( Y ) = {\*,  $\varepsilon$  }



## Computing Follow Sets

#### Definition:

Follow(X) = { t | S 
$$\rightarrow$$
\*  $\beta$  X t  $\delta$  }

- Intuition
  - □ If S is the start symbol then \$ ∈ Follow(S)
  - □ If  $X \to A$  B then First(B)  $\subseteq$  Follow(A) and Follow(X)  $\subseteq$  Follow(B)
  - $\square$  Also if B  $\rightarrow^* \varepsilon$  then Follow(X)  $\subseteq$  Follow(A)



# Computing Follow Sets (Cont.)

### Algorithm sketch:

- 1. Follow(S)  $\leftarrow$  { \$ }
- 2. For each production  $A \rightarrow \alpha X \beta$ 
  - add First( $\beta$ ) { $\epsilon$ } to Follow(X)
- 3. For each  $A \rightarrow \alpha X \beta$  where  $\epsilon \in First(\beta)$ 
  - add Follow(A) to Follow(X)
- repeat step(s) \_\_\_\_ until no Follow set grows



### Follow Sets. Example

Recall the grammar

$$E \rightarrow T X$$
  $X \rightarrow + E \mid \varepsilon$   
 $T \rightarrow (E) \mid int Y$   $Y \rightarrow * T \mid \varepsilon$ 

Follow sets

```
Follow(+) = { int, ( } Follow(*) = { int, ( } Follow(()) = { int, ( } Follow(()) = { int, ( } Follow(()) = { ), $ } Follow(()) = { +, ), $ } Follow(()) = { +, ), $ } Follow(()) = { +, ), $ } Follow(()) = { *, +, ), $ }
```



# Constructing LL(1) Parsing Tables

- Construct a parsing table T for CFG G
- For each production  $A \rightarrow \alpha$  in G do:
  - $\square$  For each terminal  $t \in First(\alpha)$  do
    - $T[A, t] = \alpha$
  - □ If  $\varepsilon \in First(\alpha)$ , for each  $t \in Follow(A)$  do
    - $T[A, t] = \alpha$
  - □ If ε ∈ First(α) and \$ ∈ Follow(A) do
    - $T[A, \$] = \alpha$



# Notes on LL(1) Parsing Tables

- If any entry is multiply defined then G is not LL(1)
  - ☐ If G is ambiguous
  - ☐ If G is left recursive
  - □ If G is not left-factored
- Most programming language grammars are not LL(1)
- There are tools that build LL(1) tables