

# ACM EXPLAINER – How the Autonomous Condition Monitoring System Thinks

**Version:** 2025-11-11

**Purpose:** Explain, in human terms, what ACM does, why it uses many detectors, what “fusion” means, and how to interpret the outcomes.

## 1. Purpose

ACM (Autonomous Condition Monitoring) is not a single algorithm — it is a **multi-view analytical system** that watches every asset from several perspectives simultaneously.

Each *detector head* captures a unique kind of abnormality.  
The goal is **not just to flag “something’s wrong”**, but to **understand what kind of deviation** is happening, when it began, and which sensors are responsible.

## 2. The Multi-Head Framework

### 2.1 Detector Heads – Core Idea

Head	Method	Focus	What It Detects
AR1	1-step autoregression	Temporal self-consistency	Detects control oscillations, instability, erratic noise bursts
PCA (SPE/T²)	Principal Component projection & reconstruction	Statistical variance structure	Detects broad data shape change, abnormal spread or new cluster
Mahalanobis Distance	Multivariate covariance distance	Geometric distance from normal cloud	Detects uniform multi-sensor drift or scaling
GMM (Gaussian Mixture Model)	Probabilistic cluster membership	Regime membership probability	Detects entry into new operating condition or unseen regime
Isolation Forest	Tree-based isolation	Local density outliers	Detects rare, abrupt or localized deviations
OMR (Overall Model Residual)	PLS / PCA / Ridge reconstruction	Cross-sensor functional relationships	Detects broken coupling among process variables
CUSUM / Drift Monitor	Sequential residual tracking	Slow trend evolution	Detects gradual degradation, fouling, efficiency loss

## 3. What Each Detector Identifies in Physical Terms

Below is a *fault-mapping layer* — how each head translates to physical conditions commonly observed in rotating and process equipment.

3.1 AR1 – **Dynamic Instability & Control Oscillation**

Fault Type	Example Physical Behavior
PID tuning error	Pressure, temperature, or flow oscillating cyclically
Sensor noise or chattering	Sudden erratic spikes uncorrelated to process load
Mechanical looseness	Vibration amplitude oscillations, alternating sign
Electrical instability	Current or speed jitter, fluctuating torque feedback

**Interpretation:**  
High AR1 z-score means **temporal predictability broke** — the signal no longer follows its own historical inertia.

3.2 PCA (SPE/T<sup>2</sup>) – **Shape and Variance Anomalies**

Fault Type	Example Physical Behavior
Process fluctuation increase	Flow, pressure, or temp spread widening
Nonlinearity introduction	Process deviating from established manifold
Regime overlap	System operating between two steady states
Data saturation	Sensor range limiting → compressed variance

**Interpretation:**  
High PCA-SPE or T<sup>2</sup> indicates the **overall “cloud” of normal operation deformed** — e.g., more scattered, tilted, or squashed.  
Usually an *early symptom* of control loss, valve sticking, or feed variability.

3.3 Mahalanobis Distance – **Global Shift or Scaling**

Fault Type	Example Physical Behavior
Uniform temperature rise	All temperatures increase proportionally
Common-mode bias	Pressure and flow both rise together by offset
Calibration shift	Sensor zero drift or scaling error
Step change in baseline	Operation under a new global condition

**Interpretation:**  
High Mahalanobis z means the **entire operating point shifted**, but internal relationships remain mostly consistent.  
Useful for catching *load changes, bias drifts, or setpoint moves*.

---

### 3.4 GMM – Regime Recognition / Novel Condition

Fault Type	Example Physical Behavior
Startup vs steady operation	Transitions between regimes not seen before
Ambient or seasonal variation	Conditions outside historical distribution
Process reconfiguration	Valve sequencing changed, new product grade
Major load change	Flow, current, and temperature cluster into new pattern

**Interpretation:**  
Low GMM probability indicates **the system entered a new statistical regime**.  
Not always a fault — often an *operating-mode change*.  
Helps isolate “new but healthy” vs “new and abnormal” contexts.

---

### 3.5 Isolation Forest – Localized, Sparse Outliers

Fault Type	Example Physical Behavior
Momentary spikes	Sensor dropout or EMI noise
One-time pulse	Transient process upset or blowoff
Sudden discontinuity	Step fault (valve jam, trip event)
Sensor saturation	Out-of-range single-sensor spike

**Interpretation:**  
High IForest score means **“this sample stands alone”** — rare behavior not repeated nearby in time.  
Acts as a *spike detector* or *transient marker* complementing drift detectors.

---

### 3.6 OMR – Cross-Sensor Coupling Breaks

Fault Type	Example Physical Behavior
Process decoupling	Flow and pressure no longer move in sync
Efficiency loss	Temperature and load diverge (e.g., fouling, heat loss)
Sensor drift	One variable stops tracking others though both change slowly
Partial failure	Vibration increases while power constant, or torque–speed mismatch
Valve sticking	Pressure–flow response slope changes abnormally

**Interpretation:**  
High OMR score means **the physical relationships between variables are no longer consistent with healthy physics**.  
It’s the detector that senses **“behavioral inconsistency,” not just appearance change**.

---

3.7 CUSUM / Drift Detector – **Slow Degradation**

Fault Type	Example Physical Behavior
Bearing wear	Slow rising vibration baseline
Heat exchanger fouling	Gradual temp differential increase
Filter choking	Pressure drop creep
Sensor bias drift	Output offset growing over time
Process loss of efficiency	Gradual divergence of expected vs achieved value

**Interpretation:**  
Positive drift slope = **slow degradation**, often before any alarm is triggered.  
CUSUM provides early-warning of persistent bias trends.

4. Fusion – The Consensus Layer

4.1 Why We Fuse

Fusion builds a **stable consensus** of these detectors instead of replacing them.  
It smooths noise, balances strengths, and adapts automatically.

- If *all* rise → clear fault.
- If *only one or two* rise → partial degradation.
- If they conflict → investigate whether a sensor or regime shift is occurring.

Fusion z-score = “*how confident we are something truly changed.*”

4.2 What Head Contributions Mean

Dominant Head	Interpretation	Typical Fault Signature
AR1	Dynamic instability	Fast oscillations, control loop hunting
PCA / Mahalanobis	Statistical distortion	Process spread or baseline shift
GMM / IForest	Novel regime	Startup, untrained operating zone
OMR	Broken coupling	Process decoupling, loss of efficiency
CUSUM / Drift	Slow degradation	Wear, fouling, or drift buildup

5. Reading ACM Outputs – The Human Hierarchy

Fused Health (Is it abnormal?)

↓

Dominant Head(s) (What kind of deviation?)

↓  
Top Sensors (Where is it happening?)

Level	Role	Interpretation
Fusion Score / Zone	Overall health	Red = consensus fault, Yellow = watch, Green = healthy
Head Mix / Type	Fault nature	OMR↑ = physical decoupling; PCA↑ = variability; AR1↑ = instability
Sensor Contributions	Fault source	OMR residuals show responsible sensors
Regime Context	Operating mode	Helps confirm process state
Drift Metrics	Persistence	Determines trend vs transient

6. Physical Example: Gas Turbine Case

Observation	Detector Reaction	Interpretation
Sudden speed oscillation	AR1 ↑, PCA ↑	Control loop oscillation
Gradual exhaust temp rise	Drift ↑, OMR ↑	Efficiency degradation, fouling
Flow-pressure decoupling	OMR ↑↑, PCA normal	Broken thermodynamic coupling
Global temperature shift	Mahalanobis ↑, OMR stable	Load or ambient condition change
Noise spike in vibration	IForest ↑ only	Transient event, likely not sustained
Operation in startup mode	GMM ↓ probability	Entered new regime; re-learn required

7. Operator-Facing Summary

Display	Shows	Takeaway
Health Gauge	Fused health (Green/Yellow/Red)	Is asset normal?
Deviation Type Chart	Head contributions	What kind of deviation?
Sensor Hotspot Map	OMR top contributors	Which sensors or subsystem?
Regime Tracker	Operating mode context	Was it steady or transient?
Drift Trend Graph	Slow degradation path	Is this trending worse?

8. Why Multiple Heads Are Still Needed

Even if several detectors correlate on healthy data, they **diverge under fault conditions** — and that divergence *defines* the fault character.

| Healthy State | All heads  $\approx$  correlated (0.9+)  $\rightarrow$  stable ensemble |  
| Fault State | Different heads spike differently  $\rightarrow$  diagnostic fingerprint |

This fingerprint enables automatic **fault typing** and better RCA (Root Cause Analysis).

---

## 9. Summary Takeaways

1. **ACM doesn't just detect change — it categorizes it.**
  2. **Fusion = confidence; head contributions = explanation.**
  3. **OMR = relational truth detector** — tells whether physics between signals still holds.
  4. **Operators see one score**, but **engineers can unpack the anatomy of deviation.**
  5. The combination of **distributional, temporal, relational, and drift-based views** allows ACM to detect and classify almost every kind of degradation seen in rotating and process equipment.
- 

**End of Document**